


Const Correctness

Ali Malik

malikali@stanford.edu

Game Plan



Recap

Const Everything

Prep for Next Time

Announcements

Recap

Operator Overloading

Let's go back for a second...

+	-	*	/	%	^
&		~	!	,	=
<	>	<=	>=	++	--
<<	>>	==	!=	&&	
+=	-=	*=	/=	%=	^=
&=	=	<<=	>>=	[]	()
->	->*	new	new []	delete	delete []

Operator Overloading

Two ways to overload operators:

- Member functions
- Non-member functions

Member Functions

Just add a function named `operator@` to your class

```
bool operator==(const HashSet& rhs) const;
```

```
Set operator+(const Set& rhs) const;
```

```
Set& operator+=(const ValueType& value);
```

For binary operators, accept the right hand side as an argument.

I usually name mine rhs.

Non-member Functions

Add a function named `operator@` outside your class.

Have it take all its operands.

```
bool operator==(const Point& lhs, const Point& rhs) {  
    return lhs.x == rhs.x && lhs.y == rhs.y;  
}
```

Operator Overloading

Let's go back for a second...

Anything curious here?

+	-	*	/	%	^
&		~	!	,	=
<	>	<=	>=	++	--
<<	>>	==	!=	&&	
+=	-=	*=	/=	%=	^=
&=	=	<<=	>>=	[]	()
->	->*	new	new []	delete	delete []

Operator Overloading

Let's go back for a second...

Anything curious here?

+	-	*	/	%	^
&		~	!	,	=
<	>	<=	>=	++	--
<<	>>	==	!=	&&	
+=	-=	*=	/=	%=	^=
&=	=	<<=	>>=	[]	()
->	->*	new	new []	delete	delete []

Operator Overloading

Let's go back for a second...

Anything curious here?

+	-	*	/	%	^
&		~	!	,	=
<	>	<=	>=	++	--
<<	>>	==	!=	&&	
+=	-=	*=	/=	%=	^=
&=	=	<<=	>>=	[]	()
->	->*	new	new []	delete	delete []



Functors

Classes which define the `()` operator.

Why is this useful?

- Can have **state**
- **Customizable** through constructor

Very useful for algorithms!

Functors

Functors let us make **customizable** functions!

We can pass **useful information** to their **constructor** that was not known at compile time.

But...

Kind of a Pain™

C++ has a solution!

Functors

Functors let us make **customizable** functions!

We can pass **useful information** to their **constructor** that was not known at compile time.

But...

Kind of a Pain™

C++11 has a solution!

Lambdas


A C++11 feature that lets you make functions on the fly.

```
[capture-list] (params) -> ReturnType {  
    // code  
};
```

Lambda Captures

A C++11 feature that lets you make functions on the fly.

```
[capture-list] (params) -> ReturnType {  
    // code  
};
```



What is this for?

Lambda Captures

You can capture available variables to use in the lambda

```
[byValue, &byReference]
```

You can also capture all currently available variables:

```
[=] // By value
```

```
[&] // By reference
```

This will only capture the ones used inside the function.

How Lambdas Work?

```
[capture-list] (params) ->
ReturnType {

    // code

};
```

```
class SomeName {
public:
    SomeName(capture-list) {
        // set each private member to
        // thing in capture list
    }

    ReturnType operator() (params) {
        // code
    }

private:
    // create private member for each
    // thing in capture-list

};
```


Const Correctness



Mike Precup (mprecup@stanford.edu)

Administrivia

WOW

there isn't any

Why Const?

"I still sometimes come across programmers who think const isn't worth the trouble. "Aw, const is a pain to write everywhere," I've heard some complain. "If I use it in one place, I have to use it all the time. And anyway, other people skip it, and their programs work fine. Some of the libraries that I use aren't const-correct either. Is const worth it?"

We could imagine a similar scene, this time at a rifle range: "Aw, this gun's safety is a pain to set all the time. And anyway, some other people don't use it either, and some of them haven't shot their own feet off..."

Safety-incorrect riflemen are not long for this world. Nor are const-incorrect programmers, carpenters who don't have time for hard-hats, and electricians who don't have time to identify the live wire. **There is no excuse for ignoring the safety mechanisms provided with a product, and there is particularly no excuse for programmers too lazy to write const-correct code.**

- Herb Sutter, generally cool dude

Why Const?

Instead of asking why you think **const** is important, I want to start with a different question.

Why don't we use global variables?

Why Const?

- "Global variables can be read or modified by any part of the program, making it difficult to remember or reason about every possible use"
- "A global variable can be get or set by any part of the program, and any rules regarding its use can be easily broken or forgotten"

Why Const?

- "Non-const variables can be read or modified by any part of the function, making it difficult to remember or reason about every possible use"
- "A non-const variable can be get or set by any part of the function, and any rules regarding its use can be easily broken or forgotten"

Why Const?

Find the bug in this code:

```
void f(int x, int y) {  
    if ((x==2 && y==3) || (x==1))  
        cout << 'a' << endl;  
    if ((y==x-1)&&(x==-1 || y=-1))  
        cout << 'b' << endl;  
    if ((x==3)&&(y==2*x))  
        cout << 'c' << endl;  
}
```

Why Const?

Find the bug in this code:

```
void f(int x, int y) {  
    if ((x==2 && y==3) || (x==1))  
        cout << 'a' << endl;  
    if ((y==x-1)&&(x==-1 || y=-1))  
        cout << 'b' << endl;  
    if ((x==3)&&(y==2*x))  
        cout << 'c' << endl;  
}
```

Why Const?

Find the bug in this code:

```
void f(const int x, const int y) {  
    if ((x==2 && y==3) || (x==1))  
        cout << 'a' << endl;  
    if ((y==x-1)&&(x==-1 || y=-1))  
        cout << 'b' << endl;  
    if ((x==3)&&(y==2*x))  
        cout << 'c' << endl;  
}
```

Why Const?

The compiler finds the bug for us!

```
test.cpp: In function 'void f(int, int)':  
test.cpp:7:31: error: assignment of read-only parameter 'y'
```

Why Const?

That's a fairly basic use case though, is that really all that const is good for?

The const Model

Planet earth;



The const Model

```
long int countPeople(Planet& p);
```

```
long int population = countPeople(earth);
```



The const Model

```
addLittleHat(earth);
```




The const Model

```
marsify(earth);
```




```
countPeople(earth)
```



The const Model

```
deathStar(earth);
```



Why Const?

How did this happen?

The const Model

```
long int countPopulation(Planet& p) {  
    // Hats are the cornerstone of modern society  
    addLittleHat(p);  
  
    // More land; oceans were wasting space  
    marsify(p);  
  
    // Optimization: destroy planet  
    // This makes population counting O(1)  
    deathStar(p);  
    return 0;  
}
```

The const model

What would happen if I made that a const method?

The const Model

```
long int countPopulation(const Planet& p) {  
    // Hats are the cornerstone of modern society  
    addLittleHat(p);  
  
    // More land; oceans were wasting space  
    marsify(p);  
  
    // Optimization: destroy planet  
    // This makes population counting O(1)  
    deathStar(p);  
    return 0;  
}
```

The const Model

```
test.cpp: In function 'long int countPopulation(const Planet&):
```

```
test.cpp:9:21: error: invalid initialization of reference of type  
'Planet&' from expression of type 'const Planet'
```

```
test.cpp:3:6: error: in passing argument 1 of 'void  
addLittleHat(Planet&)'
```

```
test.cpp:12:12: error: invalid initialization of reference of type  
'Planet&' from expression of type 'const Planet'
```

```
test.cpp:4:6: error: in passing argument 1 of 'void marsify(Planet&)'
```

```
test.cpp:16:14: error: invalid initialization of reference of type  
'Planet&' from expression of type 'const Planet'
```

```
test.cpp:5:6: error: in passing argument 1 of 'void deathStar(Planet&)'
```

The `const` Model

`const` allows us to reason about whether a variable will be changed.

The const Model

```
void f(int& x) {  
    // The value of x here  
  
    aConstMethod(x);  
  
    anotherConstMethod(x);  
  
    // Is the same value of x here  
  
}
```

The const Model

```
void f(const int& x) {  
    // Whatever you want  
}  
  
void g() {  
    int x = 2;  
    f(x);  
    // x is still equal to two  
}
```

const and Classes

This is great for things like `ints`, but how does `const` interact with classes?

How do we define `const` member functions?


const and Classes



string
Internal State

Let's have this cloud represent the member variables of a certain string

const and Classes



string
Internal State

member functions

Previously, we thought that you just used member functions to interact with an instance of an object

const and Classes


string
Internal State

const member functions

non-const member functions

Now we see that there are both const and non-const member functions,
and const objects can't use non-const member functions

const and Classes



The const Model

```
// Defining const member functions
struct Planet {
    int countPopulation() const;
    void deathStar();
};

int Planet::countPopulation() const {
    return 42; // seems about right
}

void Planet::deathStar() {
    cout << "BOOM" << endl;
}
```

The const Model

```
// using const member functions
struct Planet {
    int countPopulation() const;
    void deathStar();
};

void evil(const Planet &p) {
    // OK: countPopulation is const
    cout << p.countPopulation() << endl;
    // NOT OK: deathStar isn't const
    p.deathStar();
}
```

A Const Pointer

- Using pointers with const is a little tricky
 - When in doubt, read right to left

```
//constant pointer to a non-constant Widget
```

```
Widget * const p;
```

```
//non-constant pointer to a constant Widget
```

```
const Widget* p;
```

```
Widget const* p;
```

```
//constant pointer to a constant Widget
```

```
const Widget* const p;
```

```
Widget const* const p;
```

Const Iterators

- Remember that iterators act like pointers
- `const vector<int>::iterator itr` however, acts like `int* const itr`
- To make an iterator read only, define a new `const_iterator`

Const Iterators

```
const vector<int>::iterator itr = v.begin();  
*itr = 5; //OK! changing what itr points to  
++itr; //BAD! can't modify itr
```

```
vector<int>::const_iterator itr = v.begin();  
*itr = 5; //BAD! can't change value of itr  
++itr; //OK! changing v  
int value = *itr; //OK! reading from itr
```

Recap

Where does const work?

It can be used as a **qualifier** on any type. This works for everything from arguments to local variables.

```
const string &s = f();
```

It can also be used on functions:

```
size_t Vector<ElemType>::size() const;
```

Recap

- For the most part, always anything that does not get modified should be marked `const`
- Pass by const reference is better than pass by value
 - Not true for primitives (`bool`, `int`, etc)
- Member functions should have both const and non const iterators
- Read right to left to understand pointers
- Please don't make a method to blow up earth

Final Notes

const on objects:

Guarantees that the object won't change by allowing you to call only const functions and treating all public members as if they were const. This helps the programmer write safe code, and also gives the compiler more information to use to optimize.

const on functions:

Guarantees that the function won't call anything but const functions, and won't modify any non-static, non-mutable members.