CS107, Lecture 8
C Generics – Void *

CS107 Topic 4: How can we use our knowledge of memory and data representation to write code that works with any data type?

CS107 Topic 4

How can we use our knowledge of memory and data representation to write code that works with any data type?

Why is answering this question important?

- Writing code that works with any data type lets us write more generic, reusable code while understanding potential pitfalls (today)
- Allows us to learn how to pass functions as parameters, a core concept in many languages (next time)

assign4: implement your own version of the **ls** command, a function to generically find and insert elements into a sorted array, and a program using that function to sort the lines in a file like the **sort** command.

Learning Goals

- Learn how to write C code that works with any data type.
- Learn about how to use void * and avoid potential pitfalls.
- Learn about the potential harm from vulnerabilities, challenges to proper disclosure of vulnerabilities, and how we weigh competing interests

Lecture Plan

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Lecture Plan

- **Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality**
- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Heap allocation interface: A summary

```
void *malloc(size_t size);
void *calloc(size_t nmemb, size_t size);
void *realloc(void *ptr, size_t size);
char *strdup(char *s);
void free(void *ptr);
```

Engineering principles: stack vs heap

Stack ("local variables")

Heap (dynamic memory)

- **Fast**
  Fast to allocate/deallocate; okay to oversize
- **Convenient**.
  Automatic allocation/ deallocation;
  declare/initialize in one step
- **Reasonable type safety**
  Thanks to the compiler

⚠ **Not especially plentiful**
Total stack size fixed, default 8MB

⚠ **Somewhat inflexible**
Cannot add/resize at runtime, scope
dictated by control flow in/out of functions

Engineering principles: stack vs heap

Stack ("local variables")

- **Fast**
  Fast to allocate/deallocate; okay to oversize
- **Convenient**.
  Automatic allocation/ deallocation; declare/initialize in one step
- **Reasonable type safety**
  Thanks to the compiler
- ⚠ **Not especially plentiful**
  Total stack size fixed, default 8MB
- ⚠ **Somewhat inflexible**
  Cannot add/resize at runtime, scope dictated by control flow in/out of functions

Heap (dynamic memory)

- **Plentiful**.
  Can provide more memory on demand!
- **Very flexible.**
  Runtime decisions about how much/when to allocate, can resize easily with realloc
- **Scope under programmer control**
  Can precisely determine lifetime
- ⚠ **Lots of opportunity for error**
  Low type safety, forget to allocate/free before done, allocate wrong size, etc., Memory leaks (much less critical)

Use-After-Free

"Use-After-Free" is a bug where you continue to use heap memory after you have freed it.

```
char *bytes = malloc(4);
char *ptr = bytes;
…
free(bytes);
…
strncpy(ptr, argv[1], 3);
```

We freed bytes but did not set ptr to NULL

✗ Memory at this address was already freed, but now we are using it!

This is possible because **free()** doesn't change the pointer passed in, it just frees the memory it points to.

Use-After-Free

- What happens when we have a use-after-free bug? ***Undefined Behavior / a memory error!***
  - Maybe the memory still has its original contents?
  - Maybe the memory is used to store some other heap data now?
- Use-after-free is not just a functionality issue; it can cause a range of unintended behavior, including accessing/modifying memory you shouldn't be able to access

It's our job as programmers to find and fix use-after-free and other bugs not just for the functional correctness of our programs, but to protect people who use and interact with our code.

Use-After-Free Examples

- Use-after-free in Chrome (2020)
- Google's attempts to reduce Chrome use-after-free vulnerabilities (2021)
- Use-after-free in iOS (2020)



CVE List CNAs WGs News & Blog Board About NVD

Go to for: CVSS Scores CPE Info

Search CVE List | Downloads | Data Feeds | Update a CVE Record | Request CVE IDs

TOTAL CVE Records: 152069

HOME > CVE > SEARCH RESULTS

Search Results

There are **3977** CVE Records that match your search.

Name	Description
CVE-2021-3407	A flaw was found in mupdf 1.18.0. Double free of object during linearization may lead to memory corruption and other potentia
CVE-2021-3403	In ytnef 1.9.3, the TNEFSubjectHandler function in lib/ytnef.c allows remote attackers to cause a denial-of-service (and potenti execution) due to a double free which can be triggered via a crafted file.
CVE-2021-3392	A use-after-free flaw was found in the MegaRAID emulator of QEMU. This issue occurs while processing SCSI I/O requests in th error mptsas_free_request() that does not dequeue the request object 'req' from a pending requests queue. This flaw allows a user to crash the QEMU process on the host, resulting in a denial of service. Versions between 2.10.0 and 5.2.0 are potentially
CVE-2021-3348	nbd_add_socket in drivers/block/nbd.c in the Linux kernel through 5.10.12 has an ndb_queue_rq use-after-free that could be t attackers (with access to the nbd device) via an I/O request at a certain point during device setup, aka CID-b98e762e3d71.

What should someone do if they find a vulnerability? How can we incentivize responsible disclosure?

Disclosure

Various roles in this process: **users** (those at risk), **makers** (e.g., software company), **security researchers** (who found the vulnerability), **bad actors** (who wish to exploit the issue to harm users), etc.

- Users want to be protected with secure software
- Makers want to make their software secure and not have it exploited – they probably want to have time to fix vulnerabilities before they are made public
- Security researchers want their issues to be fixed and be rewarded for finding them
- Bad actors want to learn about vulnerabilities before they are patched

Full Disclosure

One approach is to make vulnerabilities public as soon as they are found. Vulnerabilities unknown to the software maker before release are called “zero-day vulnerabilities” because they “have 0 days to fix the problem”.

- puts pressure on the maker to fix it quickly
- discloses the vulnerability to the public as soon as it’s found
- Leaves users vulnerable until the maker releases a patch

Few people now endorse this approach due to its drawbacks.

Responsible Disclosure

Another approach is to privately alert the software maker to the vulnerability to fix it in a reasonable amount of time before publicizing the vulnerability.
This is called “responsible disclosure”:

- Contacts the makers of the software
- Informs them about the vulnerability
- Negotiates a reasonable timeline for a patch or fix
- Considers a deadline extension if necessary

  …. *time passes while the developers fix the bug* ….
- Works with the developers to add the vulnerability to CVE Details https://www.cvedetails.com/ , from which it is added to the National Vulnerability Database https://nvd.nist.gov/

Responsible Disclosure

Responsible disclosure is the most common approach, and it is recommended by the ACM code of ethics:

Responsible disclosure is the approach more consistent with the ACM Code of Ethics. By keeping the existence of the vulnerability secret for a longer amount of time, it reduces the chance of harm to others (Principle 1.2). It also supports more robust patching (Principles 2.1, 2.9, and 3.6), as the company can take more time to develop the patch and confirm that it will not induce unintended consequences. Full disclosure puts individuals at risk of harm sooner, and those harms may be irreversible and onerous (contravening Principles 1.2 and 3.1). As such, full disclosure should the exception and should only be used when attempts at responsible disclosure have failed. Furthermore, the individual committing to the full disclosure needs to consider carefully the risks that they are imposing on others and be willing to accept the moral and possibly legal consequences (Principles 2.3 and 2.5).

Vulnerability Commercialization

Various entities may want to financially reward people for finding and reporting vulnerabilities:

- Software makers want to know about vulnerabilities in their software
- Other entities want to know about unpatched vulnerabilities to exploit them

Bug Bounty Programs

Many companies now offer “Bug Bounties,” or rewards for responsible disclosure.

Good Version of a bug bounty process:

- Responsible disclosure process is followed
- Company is buying information & time to fix the bug

Bad version of a bug bounty process:

- Company does not fix the bug *or* notify the public.
- Not knowing what vulnerabilities exist makes it harder for users to calibrate trust
- Company is effectively buying silence

Who do you think is one of the largest discoverers and purchasers of 0-day vulnerabilities?

The US Federal Government.

Vulnerabilities Equities Process

- The US Fed. Gov. follows a “Vulnerabilities Equities Process” (VEP) to determine which vulnerabilities to responsibly disclose and which to keep secret and use for espionage or intelligence gathering.
- VEP claimed in 2017 that 90% of vulnerabilities are disclosed, but it is not clear what the impact or scope of the un-disclosed 10% of vulnerabilities are.
- More reading here and here

Concerns with VEP

- **Lack of transparency**: little oversight for whether "bias towards responsible disclosure" is upheld
- **Harm of omission**: withholding the opportunity to fix the vulnerability means that another actor could use it
- **Risk of stockpiling**: Other people could hack into their database and use them, as in the "Shadowbrokers" attack which led to serious ransomware attacks on hospitals and transportation systems
- **Intended use**: NSA's intended use of vulnerabilities may be concerning, as in PRISM surveillance program.

How do we weigh competing stakeholder interests here, such as country vs. individual?

Partiality

Partiality holds that it is acceptable to give preferential treatment to some people based on our relationships to them or shared group membership with them.

Impartiality, involves “acting from a position that acknowledges that all persons are ... equally entitled to fundamental conditions of well-being and respect.”

Partiality



Degrees of Partiality

Partiality: preference towards own family, friends, and state is morally acceptable or even required

Partial Cosmpolitanism: limited preference towards own state acceptable

Universal Care: preference towards family acceptable but not towards state

Impartial Benevolence: same moral responsibilities towards all people

Case Study: EternalBlue

2012-2017: NSA secretly stores the EternalBlue Microsoft vulnerability and uses it to spy on both US and non-US citizens.

early 2017: EternalBlue stolen by hacker group the ShadowBrokers. NSA discloses EternalBlue to Microsoft.

March 14, 2017: Microsoft releases a patch for the vulnerability.

May 12, 2017: EternalBlue is the basis of the WannaCry and other ransomware attacks, leading to downtime in critical hospital and city systems and over $1 billion of damages.

Microsoft's Argument

"[T]his attack provides yet another example of why the **stockpiling of vulnerabilities** by governments is such a problem. ...

We need governments to consider the **damage to civilians** that comes from hoarding these vulnerabilities and the use of these exploits.

This is one reason we called in February for a new "Digital Geneva Convention" to govern these issues, including a **new requirement for governments to report vulnerabilities to vendors**, rather than stockpile, sell, or exploit them.

And it's why we've pledged our support for **defending every customer everywhere** in the face of cyberattacks**, regardless of their nationality**."

Full post here

Critical Questions

- Do we have special obligations to our own country and to protect our people? If so, what would this mean?
- If intentionally exploiting a vulnerability is wrong when done by a private citizen, is it equally wrong when done by the government?
- Should I be loyal to my country, a citizen of the world, or both?
- When should I give preference to my family members and when should I strive to treat all equally?

What you choose matters – the moral obligations you take on constitute who you are.

Revisiting EternalBlue

Federal Government

Microsoft

Partiality: preference towards own family, friends, and state is morally acceptable or even required	Partial Cosmpolitanism: limited preference towards own state acceptable	Universal Care: preference towards family acceptable but not towards state	Impartial Benevolence: same moral responsibilities towards all people

Partiality Takeaways

- Understanding partiality helps us understand how we balance cases of competing interests and where we may personally fall on this spectrum.
- In order to evaluate situations, it's critical to understand the good and the bad that may come of it (e.g. EternalBlue). Better understanding privacy and privacy concerns is critical to this! (more later)

Lecture Plan

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview: Generics**
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Generics

- We always strive to write code that is as general-purpose as possible.
- Generic code reduces code duplication and means you can make improvements and fix bugs in one place rather than many.
- Generics is used throughout C for functions to sort any array, search any array, free arbitrary memory, and more.
- How can we write generic code in C?

Lecture Plan

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview:** Generics
- **Generic Swap**
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```

Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

You're asked to write a function that swaps two numbers.

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int x = 2;
    int y = 5;
    swap_int(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



“Oh, when I said ‘numbers’ I meant shorts, not ints.”

Swap

```
void swap_short(short *a, short *b) {
    short temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    short x = 2;
    short y = 5;
    swap_short(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```

Swap

```
void swap_short(short *a, short *b) {
    short temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    short x = 2;
    short y = 5;
    swap_short(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



“You know what, I goofed. We’re going to use strings. Could you write something to swap those?”

Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```

Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



Swap

```
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char *x = "2";
    char *y = "5";
    swap_string(&x, &y);
    // want x = 5, y = 2
    printf("x = %s, y = %s\n", x, y);
    return 0;
}
```



“Awesome! Thanks.”

“Awesome! Thanks. We also have 20 custom struct types. Could you write swap for those too?”

Generic Swap

What if we could write *one* function to swap two values of any single type?

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) { … }
void swap_float(float *a, float *b) { … }
void swap_size_t(size_t *a, size_t *b) { … }
void swap_double(double *a, double *b) { … }
void swap_string(char **a, char **b) { … }
void swap_mystruct(mystruct *a, mystruct *b) { … }
…
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

void swap_short(short *a, short *b) {
    short temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap_int(int *a, int *b) {
    int temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

void swap_short(short *a, short *b) {
    short temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}

void swap_string(char **a, char **b) {
    char *temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}
```

All 3:

- Take pointers to values to swap
- Create temporary storage to store one of the values
- Move data at **b** into where **a** points
- Move data in temporary storage into where **b** points

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

```
int temp = *data1ptr;
```
4 bytes

```
short temp = *data1ptr;
```
2 bytes

```
char *temp = *data1ptr;
```
8 bytes

Problem: each type may need a different size temp!

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

```
*data1Ptr = *data2ptr;
```
4 bytes

```
*data1Ptr = *data2ptr;
```
2 bytes

```
*data1Ptr = *data2ptr;
```
8 bytes

Problem: each type needs to copy a different amount of data!

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

```
*data2ptr = temp;
```
4 bytes

```
*data2ptr = temp;
```
2 bytes

```
*data2ptr = temp;
```
8 bytes

Problem: each type needs to copy a different amount of data!

C knows the size of temp, and knows how many bytes to copy, because of the variable types.

Is there a way to make a version that doesn't care about the variable types?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(pointer to data1, pointer to data2) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr) {
    store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    copy data2 to location of data1
    copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr) {
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr) {
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

If we don't know the data type, we don't know how many bytes it is. Let's take that as another parameter.

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

If we don't know the data type, we don't know how many bytes it is. Let's take that as another parameter.

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Let's start by making space to store the temporary value. How can we make **nbytes** of temp space?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    void temp; ???
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Let's start by making space to store the temporary value. How can we make **nbytes** of temp space?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

temp is **nbytes** of memory, since each **char** is 1 byte!

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Now, how can we copy in what **data1ptr** points to into **temp**?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    temp = *data1ptr; ???
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Now, how can we copy in what **data1ptr** points to into **temp**?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    temp = *data1ptr; ???
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

We can't dereference a **void *** (or set an array equal to something). C doesn't know what it points to! Therefore, it doesn't know how many bytes there it should be looking at.

memcpy

memcpy is a function that copies a specified amount of bytes at one address to another address.

```
void *memcpy(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
```

It copies the next n bytes that src points to to the location contained in dest. (It also returns **dest**). It does not support regions of memory that overlap.

memcpy must take **pointers** to the bytes to work with to know where they live and where they should be copied to.

```
int x = 5;
int y = 4;
memcpy(&x, &y, sizeof(x));  // like x = y
```

memmove

memmove is the same as memcpy, but supports overlapping regions of memory. (Unlike its name implies, it still "copies").

```
void *memmove(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
```

It copies the next n bytes that src points to to the location contained in dest. (It also returns **dest**).

memmove

When might memmove be useful?



Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    temp = *data1ptr; ???
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

We can't dereference a **void *.** C doesn't know what it points to! Therefore, it doesn't know how many bytes there it should be looking at.

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    temp = *data1ptr; ???
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

How can **memcpy** or **memmove** help us here? (Assume data to be swapped is not overlapping).

```
void *memcpy(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);

void *memmove(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

We can copy the bytes ourselves into temp! This is equivalent to **temp = *data1ptr** in non-generic versions, but this works for *any* type of *any* size.

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

How can we copy data2 to the location of data1?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    *data1ptr = *data2ptr; ???
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

How can we copy data2 to the location of data1?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

How can we copy data2 to the location of data1?

memcpy!

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
}
```

How can we copy temp's data to the location of data2?

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

How can we copy temp's data to the location of data2? **memcpy**!

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

```
int x = 2;
int y = 5;
swap(&x, &y, sizeof(x));
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

```
short x = 2;
short y = 5;
swap(&x, &y, sizeof(x));
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

```
char *x = "2";
char *y = "5";
swap(&x, &y, sizeof(x));
```

Generic Swap

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    // store a copy of data1 in temporary storage
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data2 to location of data1
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    // copy data in temporary storage to location of data2
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

```
mystruct x = {…};
mystruct y = {…};
swap(&x, &y, sizeof(x));
```

C Generics

- We can use **void *** and **memcpy** to handle memory as generic bytes.
- If we are given where the data of importance is, and how big it is, we can handle it!

```
void swap(void *data1ptr, void *data2ptr, size_t nbytes) {
    char temp[nbytes];
    memcpy(temp, data1ptr, nbytes);
    memcpy(data1ptr, data2ptr, nbytes);
    memcpy(data2ptr, temp, nbytes);
}
```

void *, memcpy, memmove

From a design standpoint, why does **memcpy** take **void** *s as parameters?

```
int x = 2;
int y = 3;
memcpy(&x, &y, sizeof(x));  // copy 3 into x

// why not this?
memcpy(x, y);
```

1. The first parameter must be a pointer so **memcpy** knows where to copy to.
2. The second parameter *could* be a non-pointer. But then there must be a version of **memcpy** for every possible type we would like to copy!

memcpy_i(void *, int); memcpy_c(void *, char); memcpy_d(void *, double);

Lecture Plan

- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- **Generics Pitfalls**
- Generic Array Swap

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Void * Pitfalls

- **void** *s are powerful, but dangerous - C cannot do as much checking!
- E.g. with **int**, C would never let you swap *half* of an int. With **void *s**, this can happen! (*How? Let's find out!)*

Demo: Void *s Gone Wrong

`swap.c`

Void *Pitfalls

- Void * has more room for error because it manipulates arbitrary bytes without knowing what they represent. This can result in some strange memory Frankensteins!

http://i.ytimg.com/vi/10gPoYjq3EA/hqdefault.jpg

Lecture Plan

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- **Generic Array Swap**

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers.

```
void swap_ends_int(int *arr, size_t nelems) {
    int tmp = arr[0];
    arr[0] = arr[nelems - 1];
    arr[nelems - 1] = tmp;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int nums[] = {5, 2, 3, 4, 1};
    size_t nelems = sizeof(nums) / sizeof(nums[0]);
    swap_ends_int(nums, nelems);
    // want nums[0] = 1, nums[4] = 5
    printf("nums[0] = %d, nums[4] = %d\n", nums[0], nums[4]);
    return 0;
}
```

Wait – we just wrote a generic swap function. Let's use that!

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers.

```
void swap_ends_int(int *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int nums[] = {5, 2, 3, 4, 1};
    size_t nelems = sizeof(nums) / sizeof(nums[0]);
    swap_ends_int(nums, nelems);
    // want nums[0] = 1, nums[4] = 5
    printf("nums[0] = %d, nums[4] = %d\n", nums[0], nums[4]);
    return 0;
}
```

Wait – we just wrote a generic swap function. Let's use that!

Swap Ends

Let's write out what some other versions would look like (just in case).

```
void swap_ends_int(int *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}

void swap_ends_short(short *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}

void swap_ends_string(char **arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}

void swap_ends_float(float *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}
```

The code seems to be the same regardless of the type!

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}
```

Is this generic? Does this work?

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}
```

Is this generic? Does this work?

Unfortunately not. First, we no longer know the element size. Second, pointer arithmetic depends on the type of data being pointed to. With a void *, we lose that information!

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, sizeof(*arr));
}
```

We need to know the element size, so let's add a parameter.

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, elem_bytes);
}
```

We need to know the element size, so let's add a parameter.

Pointer Arithmetic

```
arr + nelems - 1
```

Let's say nelems = 4. How many bytes beyond arr is this?

If it's an array of…

Int?

Pointer Arithmetic

```
arr + nelems - 1
```

Let's say nelems = 4. How many bytes beyond arr is this?

If it's an array of…

Int: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(int) = 12 bytes

Pointer Arithmetic

```
arr + nelems - 1
```

Let's say nelems = 4. How many bytes beyond arr is this?

If it's an array of…

Int: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(int) = 12 bytes

Short?

Pointer Arithmetic

```
arr + nelems - 1
```

Let's say nelems = 4. How many bytes beyond arr is this?

If it's an array of…

Int: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(int) = 12 bytes

Short: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(short) = 6 bytes

Pointer Arithmetic

```
arr + nelems - 1
```

Let's say nelems = 4. How many bytes beyond arr is this?

If it's an array of…

Int: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(int) = 12 bytes

Short: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(short) = 6 bytes

Char *: adds 3 places to arr, and 3 * sizeof(char *) = 24 bytes

In each case, we need to know the element size to do the arithmetic.

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, arr + nelems - 1, elem_bytes);
}
```

How many bytes past arr should we go to get to the last element?

(nelems – 1) * elem_bytes

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

How many bytes past arr should we go to get to the last element?

(nelems – 1) * elem_bytes

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

But C still can't do arithmetic with a void*. We need to tell it to not worry about it, and just add bytes. **How can we do this?**

Swap Ends

Let's write a version of swap_ends that works for any type of array.

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

But C still can't do arithmetic with a void*. We need to tell it to not worry about it, and just add bytes. **How can we do this?**

char * pointers already add bytes!

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers. Well, now it can swap for an array of anything!

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers. Well, now it can swap for an array of anything!

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

```
int nums[] = {5, 2, 3, 4, 1};
size_t nelems = sizeof(nums) / sizeof(nums[0]);
swap_ends(nums, nelems, sizeof(nums[0]));
```

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers. Well, now it can swap for an array of anything!

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

```
short nums[] = {5, 2, 3, 4, 1};
size_t nelems = sizeof(nums) / sizeof(nums[0]);
swap_ends(nums, nelems, sizeof(nums[0]));
```

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers. Well, now it can swap for an array of anything!

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

```
char *strs[] = {"Hi", "Hello", "Howdy"};
size_t nelems = sizeof(strs) / sizeof(strs[0]);
swap_ends(strs, nelems, sizeof(strs[0]));
```

Swap Ends

You're asked to write a function that swaps the first and last elements in an array of numbers. Well, now it can swap for an array of anything!

```
void swap_ends(void *arr, size_t nelems, size_t elem_bytes) {
    swap(arr, (char *)arr + (nelems - 1) * elem_bytes, elem_bytes);
}
```

```
mystruct structs[] = …;
size_t nelems = …;
swap_ends(structs, nelems, sizeof(structs[0]));
```

Demo: Void *s Gone Wrong

`swap_ends.c`

Recap

- **`void *`** is a variable type that represents a generic pointer “to something”.
- We cannot perform pointer arithmetic with or dereference a **`void *`**.
- We can use **`memcpy`** or **`memmove`** to copy data from one memory location to another.
- To do pointer arithmetic with a **`void *`**, we must first cast it to a **`char *`**.
- **`void *`** and generics are powerful but dangerous because of the lack of type checking, so we must be extra careful when working with generic memory.

Recap

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap

Lecture 8 takeaway: Partiality helps us better understand competing interests such as with vulnerability disclosure. We can use **void *, memcpy** and **memmove** to manipulate data even if we don't know its type. We can cast **void** *s to perform pointer arithmetic. **void** *s have no type checking, so we must be vigilant!

Next time: More Generics, and Function Pointers

Overflow Slides

Lecture Plan

- Use-after-free vulnerabilities, disclosure and partiality
- **Overview:** Generics
- Generic Swap
- Generics Pitfalls
- Generic Array Swap
- **Generic Stack**

```
cp -r /afs/ir/class/cs107/lecture-code/lect8 .
```

Stacks

- C generics are particularly powerful in helping us create generic data structures.
- Let’s see how we might go about making a Stack in C.

Refresher: Stacks

- A **Stack** is a data structure representing a stack of things.
- Objects can be ***pushed*** on top of or ***popped*** from the top of the stack.
- Only the top of the stack can be accessed; no other objects in the stack are visible.
- Main operations:
  - **`push(value)`**: add an element to the top of the stack
  - **`pop()`**: remove and return the top element in the stack
  - **`peek()`**: return (but do not remove) the top element in the stack



Refresher: Stacks

A stack is often implemented using a **linked list** internally.

- "bottom" = tail of linked list
- "top" = head of linked list *(why not the other way around?)*

```
Stack<int> s;
s.push(42);
s.push(-3);
s.push(17);
```



Problem: C is not object-oriented! We can't call methods on variables.

Demo: Int Stack

`int_stack.c`

What modifications are necessary to make a generic stack?

Stack Structs

```
typedef struct int_node {
    struct int_node *next;
    int data;
} int_node;


typedef struct int_stack {
    int nelems;
    int_node *top;
} int_stack;
```

How might we modify the Stack data representation itself to be generic?

Stack Structs

```
typedef struct int_node {
    struct int_node *next;
    int data;
} int_node;


typedef struct int_stack {
    int nelems;
    int_node *top;
} int_stack;
```

Problem: each node can no longer store the data itself, because it could be any size!

Generic Stack Structs

```
typedef struct int_node {
    struct int_node *next;
    void *data;
} int_node;


typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

Solution: each node stores a pointer, which is always 8 bytes, to the data somewhere else. We must also store the data size in the Stack struct.

Stack Functions

- **`int_stack_create()`**: creates a new stack on the heap and returns a pointer to it
- **`int_stack_push(int_stack *s, int data)`**: pushes data onto the stack
- **`int_stack_pop(int_stack *s)`:** pops and returns topmost stack element

int_stack_create

```
int_stack *int_stack_create() {
    int_stack *s = malloc(sizeof(int_stack));
    s->nelems = 0;
    s->top = NULL;
    return s;
}
```

How might we modify this function to be generic?

From previous slide:

```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

Generic stack_create

```
stack *stack_create(int elem_size_bytes) {
    stack *s = malloc(sizeof(stack));
    s->nelems = 0;
    s->top = NULL;
    s->elem_size_bytes = elem_size_bytes;
    return s;
}
```

int_stack_push

```
void int_stack_push(int_stack *s, int data) {
    int_node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(int_node));
    new_node->data = data;

    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
```

How might we modify this function to be generic?

From previous slide:

```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

```
typedef struct node {
    struct node *next;
    void *data;
} node;
```

Generic stack_push

```
void int_stack_push(int_stack *s, int data) {
    int_node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(int_node));
    new_node->data = data;

    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
```

Problem 1: we can no longer pass the data itself as a parameter, because it could be any size!

Generic stack_push

```
void int_stack_push(int_stack *s, const void *data) {
    int_node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(int_node));
    new_node->data = data;

    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
```

Solution 1: pass a pointer to the data as a parameter instead.

Generic stack_push

```
void int_stack_push(int_stack *s, const void *data) {
    int_node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(int_node));
    new_node->data = data;

    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
```

Problem 2: we cannot copy the existing data pointer into new_node. The data structure must manage its own copy that exists for its entire lifetime. The provided copy may go away!

Generic stack_push

```
int main() {
    stack *int_stack = stack_create(sizeof(int));
    add_one(int_stack);
    // now stack stores pointer to invalid memory for 7!
}

void add_one(stack *s) {
    int num = 7;
    stack_push(s, &num);
}
```

Generic stack_push

```
void stack_push(stack *s, const void *data) {
    node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(node));
    new_node->data = malloc(s->elem_size_bytes);
    memcpy(new_node->data, data, s->elem_size_bytes);

    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
```

Solution 2: make a heap-allocated copy of the data that the node points to.

int_stack_pop

```
int int_stack_pop(int_stack *s) {
    if (s->nelems == 0) {
        error(1, 0, "Cannot pop from empty stack");
    }
    int_node *n = s->top;
    int value = n->data;

    s->top = n->next;

    free(n);
    s->nelems--;

    return value;
}
```

How might we modify this function to be generic?

From previous slide:

```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

```
typedef struct node {
    struct node *next;
    void *data;
} node;
```

Generic stack_pop

```
int int_stack_pop(int_stack *s) {
    if (s->nelems == 0) {
        error(1, 0, "Cannot pop from empty stack");
    }
    int_node *n = s->top;
    int value = n->data;

    s->top = n->next;

    free(n);
    s->nelems--;

    return value;
}
```

Problem: we can no longer return the data itself, because it could be any size!

Generic stack_pop

```
void *int_stack_pop(int_stack *s) {
    if (s->nelems == 0) {
        error(1, 0, "Cannot pop from empty stack");
    }
    int_node *n = s->top;
    void *value = n->data;

    s->top = n->next;

    free(n);
    s->nelems--;

    return value;
}
```

While it's possible to return the heap address of the element, this means the client would be responsible for freeing it. Ideally, the data structure should manage its own memory here.

Generic stack_pop

```
void stack_pop(stack *s, void *addr) {
    if (s->nelems == 0) {
        error(1, 0, "Cannot pop from empty stack");
    }
    node *n = s->top;
    memcpy(addr, n->data, s->elem_size_bytes);
    s->top = n->next;

    free(n->data);
    free(n);
    s->nelems--;
}
```

Solution: have the caller pass a memory location as a parameter and copy the data to that location.

Using Generic Stack

```
int_stack *intstack = int_stack_create();
for (int i = 0; i < TEST_STACK_SIZE; i++) {
    int_stack_push(intstack, i);
}
```

We must now pass the *address* of an element to push onto the stack, rather than the element itself.

Using Generic Stack

```
stack *intstack = stack_create(sizeof(int));
for (int i = 0; i < TEST_STACK_SIZE; i++) {
    stack_push(intstack, &i);
}
```

We must now pass the *address* of an element to push onto the stack, rather than the element itself.

Using Generic Stack

```
int_stack *intstack = int_stack_create();
int_stack_push(intstack, 7);
```

We must now pass the *address* of an element to push onto the stack, rather than the element itself.

Using Generic Stack

```
stack *intstack = stack_create(sizeof(int));
int num = 7;
stack_push(intstack, &num);
```

We must now pass the *address* of an element to push onto the stack, rather than the element itself.

Using Generic Stack

```
// Pop off all elements
while (intstack->nelems > 0) {
    printf("%d\n", int_stack_pop(intstack));
}
```

We must now pass the *address* of where we would like to store the popped element, rather than getting it directly as a return value.

Using Generic Stack

```
// Pop off all elements
int popped_int;
while (intstack->nelems > 0) {
    int_stack_pop(intstack, &popped_int);
    printf("%d\n", popped_int);
}
```

We must now pass the *address* of where we would like to store the popped element, rather than getting it directly as a return value.

Demo: Generic Stack

`generic_stack.c`

Extra Practice

Generic stack_create

```
stack *stack_create(int elem_size_bytes) {
    stack *s = malloc(sizeof(stack));
    s->nelems = 0;
    s->top = NULL;
    s->elem_size_bytes = elem_size_bytes;
    return s;
}

...

stack *numStack = stack_create(sizeof(int));
```




```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

```
typedef struct node {
    struct node *next;
    void *data;
} node;
```

Generic stack_push

```
void stack_push(stack *s, const void *data) {
    node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(node));
    new_node->data = malloc(s->elem_size_bytes);
    memcpy(new_node->data,
        data, s->elem_size_bytes);
    new_node->next = s->top;
    s->top = new_node;
    s->nelems++;
}
...
int x = 2;
stack_push(numStack, &2);
```




```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

```
typedef struct node {
    struct node *next;
    void *data;
} node;
```

Generic stack_pop

```
void stack_pop(stack *s, void *addr) {
    node *n = s->top;
    memcpy(addr, n->data,
        s->elem_size_bytes);
    s->top = n->next;
    free(n->data);
    free(n);
    s->nelems--;
}
...
int num;
stack_pop(numStack, &num);
printf("%d\n", num);
```

```
typedef struct stack {
    int nelems;
    int elem_size_bytes;
    node *top;
} stack;
```

```
typedef struct node {
    struct node *next;
    void *data;
} node;
```



Tips: C to English

- Translate C into English (function/variable declarations):

  https://cdecl.org/

- Pointer arithmetic: `(char *)` cast means byte address.
  What is the value of elt in the below (intentionally convoluted) code?

```
int arr[] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
void *ptr = arr;
int elt = *(int *)((char *) ptr + sizeof(int));
```

Code clarity: Consider breaking the last line into two lines! (1) pointer arithmetic, (2) int cast + dereference.

Exercise: Array Rotation

Exercise: You're asked to provide an implementation for a function called **`rotate`** with the following prototype:

```
void rotate(void *front, void *separator, void *end);
```

The expectation is that **`front`** is the base address of an array, **`end`** is the past-the-end address of the array, and **`separator`** is the address of some element in between. **`rotate`** moves all elements in between **`front`** and **`separator`** to the end of the array, and all elements between **`separator`** and **`end`** move to the front.

`rotate.c`

Exercise: Array Rotation

```
int array[7] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10};
rotate(array, array + 3, array + 10);
```



Exercise: Array Rotation

Exercise: Implement **rotate** to generate the provided output.

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int array[10] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10};
    print_int_array(array, 10); // intuit implementation ☺
    rotate(array, array + 5, array + 10);
    print_int_array(array, 10);
    rotate(array, array + 1, array + 10);
    print_int_array(array, 10);
    rotate(array + 4, array + 5, array + 6);
    print_int_array(array, 10);
    return 0;
}
```

```
Output:
myth52:~/lect8$ ./rotate
Array: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
Array: 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
Array: 7, 8, 9, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
Array: 7, 8, 9, 10, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6
myth52:~/lect8$
```

The inner workings of rotate





Exercise: Array Rotation

Exercise: A properly implemented **rotate** will prompt the following program to generate the provided output.

And here's that properly implemented function!

```
void rotate(void *front, void *separator, void *end) {
    int width = (char *)end - (char *)front;
    int prefix_width = (char *)separator - (char *)front;
    int suffix_width = width - prefix_width;

    char temp[prefix_width];
    memcpy(temp, front, prefix_width);
    memmove(front, separator, suffix_width);
    memcpy((char *)end - prefix_width, temp, prefix_width);
}
```