# CS107, Lecture 25 Optimization

Reading: B&O 5

Ed Discussion: <a href="https://edstem.org/us/courses/46162/discussion/3929747">https://edstem.org/us/courses/46162/discussion/3929747</a>

#### **Lecture Plan**

- What is optimization?
- GCC Optimization
- Limitations of GCC Optimization

#### **Optimization**

- Optimization is the task of making your program faster or more efficient with space or time. You've seen explorations of efficiency with Big-O notation!
- Targeted, intentional optimizations to alleviate bottlenecks can result in big gains. But it's important to only work to optimize where necessary.

#### **Optimization**

Most of what you need to do with optimization can be summarized by:

- 1) If you're doing something infrequently, and only on small inputs, do whatever is simplest to code, understand, read, and debug.
- 2) If you're doing something very often, and/or on big inputs, make the primary algorithm's Big-O cost reasonable
- 3) Let gcc do its magic from there
- 4) Optimize explicitly as a last resort

- Today, we'll be comparing two levels of optimization in the gcc compiler:
  - gcc -00 // mostly just literal translation of C
  - gcc -02 // enable nearly all reasonable optimizations
  - (we also use –Og, like –O0 but more debugging friendly)
- There are other custom and more aggressive levels of optimization, e.g.:
  - -03 //more aggressive than 02, trade size for speed
  - -Os //optimize for size
  - -Ofast //disregard standards compliance (!!)
- Exhaustive list of gcc optimization-related flags:
  - https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Optimize-Options.html

#### **Compiler optimizations**

#### How many GCC optimization levels are there?

Asked 11 years, 3 months ago Active 5 months ago Viewed 62k times



How many GCC optimization levels are there?

109

I tried gcc -O1, gcc -O2, gcc -O3, and gcc -O4



If I use a really large number, it won't work.



However, I have tried

35

1

gcc -0100

and it compiled.

How many optimization levels are there?

gcc supports numbers up to 3. Anything above is interpreted as 3

https://stackoverflow.co m/questions/1778538/ho w-many-gcc-optimizationlevels-are-there

#### **Example: Matrix Multiplication**

Here's a standard matrix multiply, a triply-nested for loop:

```
void mmm(double a[][DIM], double b[][DIM], double c[][DIM], int n) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            for (int k = 0; k < n; k++) {
                c[i][j] += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
            }
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

```
./mult // -00 (no optimization)
matrix multiply 25^2: cycles 1.32M
matrix multiply 50^2: cycles 10.64M
matrix multiply 100^2: cycles 16.55M
```

```
./mult_opt // -02 (with optimization)
matrix multiply 25^2: cycles 0.33M (opt)
matrix multiply 50^2: cycles 2.04M (opt)
matrix multiply 100^2: cycles 13.60M (opt)
```

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

Optimizations may target one or more of:

- Static instruction count
- Dynamic instruction count
- Cycle count / execution time

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Constant Folding**

Constant Folding pre-calculates constants at compile-time where possible.

```
int seconds = 60 * 60 * 24 * n_days;
```

#### **Constant Folding**

```
int fold(int param) {
    char arr[5];
    int a = 0x107;
    int b = a * sizeof(arr);
    int c = sqrt(2.0);
    return a * param + (a + 0x15 / c + strlen("Hello") * b - 0x37) / 4;
}
```

## **Constant Folding: Before (-00)**

```
0000000000011b9 <fold>:
    11b9:
                                             %rbp
%rsp,%rbp
    11ba:
            48 89 e5
                                     mov
    11bd:
            41 54
                                     push
                                             %r12
    11bf:
                                     push
                                             %rbx
                                             $0x30,%rsp
            48 83 ec 30
    11c0:
                                     sub
            89 7d cc
                                             %edi,-0x34(%rbp)
    11c4:
                                     mov
            c7 45 ec 07 01 00 00
                                             $0x107,-0x14(%rbp)
    11c7:
                                     mov1
    11ce:
            8b 45 ec
                                             -0x14(%rbp),%eax
                                     mov
    11d1:
            48 98
                                     cltq
    11d3:
            89 c2
                                     mov
                                             %eax,%edx
    11d5:
            89 d0
                                             %edx,%eax
                                     mov
    11d7:
            c1 e0 02
                                     shl
                                             $0x2,%eax
    11da:
            01 d0
                                     add
                                             %edx,%eax
            89 45 e8
                                             %eax,-0x18(%rbp)
    11dc:
                                     mov
                                             0xe2a(%rip),%rax
    11df:
            48 8b 05 2a 0e 00 00
                                                                      # 2010 < IO stdin used+0x10>
                                     mov
                                             %rax, %xmm0
            66 48 0f 6e c0
    11e6:
                                     mova
    11eb:
            e8 b0 fe ff ff
                                     callq
                                           10a0´<sqrt@plt>
    11f0:
            f2 0f 2c c0
                                     cvttsd2si %xmm0,%eax
                                             %eax,-0x1c(%rbp)
    11f4:
            89 45 e4
                                             -0x14(%rbp),%eax
    11f7:
            8b 45 ec
                                     mov
    11fa:
            0f af 45 cc
                                     imul
                                             -0x34(%rbp),%eax
    11fe:
                                             %eax,%r12d
            41 89 c4
                                     mov
    1201:
            b8 15 00 00 00
                                     mov
                                             $0x15,%eax
    1206:
            99
                                     cltd
    1207:
            f7 7d e4
                                     idivl
                                            -0x1c(%rbp)
    120a:
            89 c2
                                            %eax,%edx
                                     mov
                                             -0x14(%rbp),%eax
    120c:
            8b 45 ec
                                     mov
                                             %edx,%eax
    120f:
            01 d0
                                     add
    1211:
            48 63 d8
                                     movslq %eax,%rbx
    1214:
            48 8d 3d ed 0d 00 00
                                             0xded(%rip),%rdi
                                                                      # 2008 <_IO_stdin_used+0x8>
                                     lea
    121b:
            e8 20 fe ff ff
                                     calla
                                            1040 <strlen@plt>
    1220:
            8b 55 e8
                                             -0x18(%rbp),%edx
                                     movslq %edx, %rdx
            48 63 d2
    1223:
            48 Of af c2
    1226:
                                     imul
                                             %rdx,%rax
    122a:
            48 01 d8
                                     add
                                             %rbx,%rax
    122d:
            48 83 e8 37
                                     sub
                                             $0x37,%rax
    1231:
            48 c1 e8 02
                                             $0x2,%rax
    1235:
            44 01 e0
                                             %r12d,%eax
                                             $0x30,%rsp
    1238:
            48 83 c4 30
                                     add
    123c:
            5b
                                     gog
                                             %rbx
    123d:
            41 5c
                                     pop
                                             %r12
    123f:
            5d
                                             %rbp
    1240:
            с3
```

### **Constant Folding: After (-02)**

0000000000011b0 <fold>:

11b0: 69 c7 07 01 00 00 imul \$0x107,%edi,%eax 11b6: 05 a5 06 00 00 add \$0x6a5,%eax

11bb: c3 retq

What is the consequence of this for you as a programmer? What should you do differently or the same knowing that compilers can do this for you?

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Common Sub-Expression Elimination**

**Common Sub-Expression Elimination** prevents the recalculation of the same thing many times by doing it once and saving the result.

```
int a = (param2 + 0x107);
int b = param1 * (param2 + 0x107) + a;
return a * (param2 + 0x107) + b * (param2 + 0x107);
```

#### **Common Sub-Expression Elimination**

**Common Sub-Expression Elimination** prevents the recalculation of the same thing many times by doing it once and saving the result.

17

#### **Common Sub-Expression Elimination**

Why should we bother saving repeated calculations in variables if the compiler has common subexpression elimination?

- The compiler may not always be able to optimize every instance. Plus, it can help reduce redundancy!
- Makes code more readable!

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Dead Code**

**Dead code elimination** removes code that doesn't serve a purpose:

```
if (param1 < param2 && param1 > param2) {
    printf("This test can never be true!\n");
// Empty for loop
for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i++);
// If/else that does the same operation in both cases
if (param1 == param2) {
    param1++;
} else {
    param1++;
// If/else that more trickily does the same operation in both cases
if (param1 == 0) {
    return 0;
} else {
    return param1;
```

#### **Dead Code: Before (-00)**

```
00000000000011a9 <dead code>:
                                                       %rbp
%rsp,%rbp
    11a9:55
                                               push
    11aa: 48 89 e5
                                              mov
                                                       $0x20,%rsp
%edi,-0x14(%rbp)
%esi,-0x18(%rbp)
     11ad:48 83 ec 20
                                              sub
     11b1:89 7d ec
                                              mov
    11b4:89 75 e8
                                              mov
                                                      -0x14(%rbp),%eax
-0x18(%rbp),%eax
11d8 <dead code+0x2f>
-0x14(%rbp),%eax
     11b7:8b 45 ec
                                              mov
     11ba: 3b 45 e8
                                               cmp
    11bd: 7d 19
                                               jge
    11bf: 8b 45 ec
                                              ΜŎV
                                                       -0x18(%rbp),%eax
11d8 <dead_code+0x2f>
     11c2:3b 45 e8
                                               cmp
    11c5: 7e 11
                                               jle
                                                       0xe36(%rip),%rdi
    11c7:48 8d 3d 36 0e 00 00
11ce:b8 00 00 00 00
                                               lea
                                                                                     # 2004 < IO stdin used+0x4>
                                                       $0x0, %eax
                                              mov
                                                       1040 <printf@plt>
    11d3:e8 68 fe ff ff
                                               callq
     11d8: c7 45 fc 00 00 00 00
                                                       $0x0,-0x4(%rbp)
                                              movl
     11df:eb 04
                                               jmp
                                                       11e5 <dead code+0x3c>
    11e1:83 45 fc 01
                                               ăddl
                                                       $0x1,-0x4(%rbp)
                                                       $0x3e7, -0x4(%rbp)
     11e5:81 7d fc e7 03 00 00
                                               cmpl
                                                       11e1 <dead code+0x38>
     11ec: 7e f3
                                               jle
                                                       -0x14(%rbp),%eax
-0x18(%rbp),%eax
    11ee: 8b 45 ec
11f1: 3b 45 e8
                                              mov
                                               cmp
     11f4:75 06
                                                       11fc <dead code+0x53>
                                               jne
                                                       $0x1,-0x14(%rbp)
1200 <dead_code+0x57>
     11f6:83 45 ec 01
                                               ăddl
     11fa:eb 04
                                               jmp
                                                       $0x1,-0x14(%rbp)
$0x0,-0x14(%rbp)
     11fc:83 45 ec 01
                                               addl
     1200:83 7d ec 00
                                               cmp1
     1204:75 07
                                                       120d <dead code+0x64>
                                               jne
     1206: b8 00 00 00 00
                                              mov
                                                       $0x0,%eax
     120b: eb 03
                                                       1210 <dead code+0x67>
                                               jmp
     120d: 8b 45 ec
                                                       -0x14(%rbp),%eax
                                              mov
     1210: c9
                                               leaveq
     1211: c3
                                               retq
```

### **Dead Code: After (-02)**

0000000000011b0 <dead\_code>:

11b0: 8d 47 01 lea 0x1(%rdi),%eax

11b3: c3 retq

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Strength Reduction**

**Strength reduction** changes divide to multiply, multiply to add/shift, and mod to AND to avoid using instructions that cost many cycles (multiply and divide).

```
int a = param2 * 32;
int b = a * 7;
int c = b / 3;
int d = param2 % 2;

for (int i = 0; i <= param2; i++) {
    c += param1[i] + 0x107 * i;
}
return c + d;</pre>
```

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Code Motion**

Code motion moves code outside of a loop if possible.

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   sum += arr[i] + foo * (bar + 3);
}</pre>
```

Common subexpression elimination deals with expressions that appear multiple times in the code. Here, the expression appears once, but is calculated each loop iteration.

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Tail Recursion**

**Tail recursion** is an example of where GCC can identify recursive patterns that can be more efficiently implemented iteratively.

```
long factorial(int n) {
   if (n <= 1) {
      return 1;
   }
   else return n * factorial(n - 1);
}</pre>
```

#### Tail recursion example: Lab6 bonus

Recall the factorial problem from an earlier lecture:

```
unsigned int factorial(unsigned int n) {
   if (n <= 1) {
      return 1;
   }
   return n * factorial(n - 1);
}</pre>
```

What happens with factorial(-1)?

https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs107/lab6/extra.html

- Infinite recursion → Literal stack overflow!
- Compiled with -0g!

#### Factorial: -0g vs -02

```
401146 <+0>: cmp
                    $0x1,%edi
                    0x40115b <factorial+21>
401149 <+3>: jbe
                    %rbx
40114b <+5>: push
40114c <+6>: mov
                    %edi,%ebx
                    -0x1(%rdi),%edi
40114e <+8>: lea
                    0x401146 <factorial>
401151 <+11>: callq
401156 <+16>:imul
                    %ebx,%eax
                    %rbx
401159 <+19>:pop
40115a <+20>:retq
40115b <+21>:mov
                    $0x1,%eax
401160 <+26>:retq
```



#### -02:

- What happened?
- Did the compiler "fix" the infinite recursion?

```
4011e0 <+0>: mov
                     $0x1,%eax
                     $0x1,%edi
4011e5 <+5>: cmp
4011e8 <+8>: jbe
                    0x4011fd <factorial+29>
4011ea <+10>:nopw
                    0x0(%rax, %rax, 1)
4011f0 <+16>:mov
                    %edi,%edx
4011f2 <+18>: sub
                    $0x1,%edi
4011f5 <+21>:imul
                    %edx,%eax
                    $0x1,%edi
4011f8 <+24>:cmp
4011fb <+27>: jne
                    0x4011f0 <factorial+16>
4011fd <+29>:retq
                                                 30
```

- Constant Folding
- Common Sub-expression Elimination
- Dead Code
- Strength Reduction
- Code Motion
- Tail Recursion
- Loop Unrolling

#### **Loop Unrolling**

**Loop Unrolling:** Do **n** loop iterations' worth of work per actual loop iteration, so we save ourselves from doing the loop overhead (test and jump) every time, and instead incur overhead only every n-th time.

```
for (int i = 0; i <= n - 4; i += 4) {
    sum += arr[i];
    sum += arr[i + 1];
    sum += arr[i + 2];
    sum += arr[i + 3];
} // after the loop handle any leftovers</pre>
```

#### **Limitations of GCC Optimization**

GCC can't optimize everything! You ultimately may know more than GCC does.

```
int char_sum(char *s) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (size_t i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++) {
        sum += s[i];
    }
    return sum;
}</pre>
```

What is the bottleneck? **strlen called for every character**What can GCC do? **code motion – pull strlen out of loop** 

#### **Limitations of GCC Optimization**

GCC can't optimize everything! You ultimately may know more than GCC does.

```
void lower1(char *s) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++) {
        if (s[i] >= 'A' && s[i] <= 'Z') {
            s[i] -= ('A' - 'a');
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

What is the bottleneck? What can GCC do?

strlen called for every character nothing! s is changing, so gcc doesn't know if length is constant across iterations. We, however, do!

#### **Callgrind**

- callgrind is another tool in the valgrind suite of tools
- callgrind is a profiler that measures instruction counts another way to measure efficiency
- can measure the number of instructions executed in a given run of our program, and where they came from
- useful for optimizing we can see where large #s of instruction executions come from

# Demo: limitations.c and callgrind



#### Why not always optimize?

Why not always just compile with -02?

- Difficult to debug optimized executables only optimize when complete
- Optimizations may not always improve your program. The compiler does its best, but may not work, or slow things down, etc. Experiment to see what works best!