Will Monroe July 5, 2017

with materials by Mehran Sahami and Chris Piech

image: [Therightclicks](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:American_Bald_Eagle.jpg)

Independence

## Announcements: Problem Set 1 due!

 $-1-$ Will Monroe Problem Set #1  $CS$  109 Iune 28, 2017 Problem Set #1 Due: 12:30pm on Wednesday, July 5th

With problems by Mehran Sahami and Chris Piech

For each problem, briefly explain/justify how you obtained your answer. Brief explanations of your answer are necessary to get full credit for a problem even if you have the correct numerical answer. The explanations help us determine your understanding of the problem whether or not you got the correct answer. Moreover, in the event of an incorrect answer, we can still try to give you partial credit based on the explanation you provide. It is fine for your answers to include summations, products, factorials, exponentials, or combinations; you don't need to calculate those all out to get a single numeric answer.

Note: all assignment submissions will be made online through Gradescope. You can find information on signing up to submit assignments though Gradescope on the class webpage. If you handwrite your solutions, you are responsible for making sure that you can produce clearly legible scans of them for submission. You may use any word processing software you like for writing up your solutions. On the CS109 webpage we provide a template file and tutorial for the LaTeX system, if you'd like to use it.

This problem set includes one question where we ask you to write some code. You'll need to include a printout of your code in PDF or image form in your Gradescope submission. Doublecheck that indentation is preserved and the code isn't cut off (at the end of the line or at the end of the page). For LaTeX, we recommend the minted package (https://www.sharelatex.com/ learn/Code\_Highlighting\_with\_minted) with the breaklines option.

1. Introduce yourself! Fill out this Google form to tell me a bit about you:

https://goo.gl/forms/DuJ8v0UMpsTKDD1B2

(No need to copy the answers into your Gradescope submission; you can select an arbitrary page or write "done" so there is something to select.)

- 2. 10 computers are brought in for servicing (and machines are serviced one at a time). Of the 10 computers, 3 are PCs, 4 are Macs, 2 are Linux machines, and 1 is an Amiga. Assume that all computers of the same type are indistinguishable (i.e., all the PCs are indistinguishable, all the Macs are the indistinguishable, etc.).
	- a. In how many distinguishable ways can the computers be ordered for servicing? b. In how many distinguishable ways can the computers be ordered if the first 5 machines
	- serviced must include all 4 Macs? c. In how many distinguishable ways can the computers be ordered if 1 PC must be in the
	- first three and 2 PCs must be in the last three computers serviced?

#### **Ill** gradescope

Solutions to be posted next **Wednesday** 

(no submissions allowed >1 week late, even if you're willing to take lots of late penalties!)

## Announcements: Problem Set 2 out



(Cell phone location sensing)

Due next Wednesday, 7/12, at 12:30pm (before class).

13 problems 1 coding problem (this time with a bit of data to work with!)

## Review: Conditional probability

The conditional probability P(E | F) is the probability that  $E$  happens, given that  $F$ has happened. F is the new sample space.



$$
P\big(\,E\,|F\,\big)\!=\!\frac{P\big(\,EF\,\big)}{P\,\big(\,F\,\big)}
$$



## Conditional probability: A cautionary tale



THE ANNUAL DEATH RATE AMONG PEOPLE WHO KNOW THAT STATISTIC IS ONE IN SIX.

[xkcd by Randall Munroe](https://xkcd.com/795/)

## Review: Chain rule of probability

The probability of **all** events happening is the probability of the first happening times the prob. of the second given the first times the prob. of the third given the first two ...etc.

# $P(EFG...) = P(E)P(F|E)P(G|EF)...$





## Review: Law of total probability

You can compute an overall probability by summing over **mutually exclusive** and exhaustive sub-cases.





## Review: Bayes' theorem

You can "flip" a conditional probability if you multiply by the probability of the **hypothesis** and divide by the probability of the observation.

 $P(F)$ 





## Independence

Two events are independent if you can multiply their probabilities to get the probability of **both** happening.









## Independence

Two events are independent if you can multiply their probabilities to get the probability of **both** happening.





## Independence as conditional

### $P(E|F) = P(E)$ (if *and only if* E, F are independent)

## Conditioning on the complement

If E, F are independent:

 $P(E|F) = P(E|F^C)$ )

### Three events

E, F, G are independent if:

 $P(EFG) = P(E)P(F)P(G)$  $P(EF) = P(E)P(F)$  $P(EG) = P(E)P(G)$  $P(FG) = P(F)P(G)$ and and and

E: event that D 1  $= 1$ **F:** event that  $D_2 = 6$ 

G: event that D 1 + D 2 = 7

Are **E** and **F** independent?

A) Yes

 $D_1$   $D_2$ 

 $P(E) = 1/6$ 

 $P(F) = 1/6$ 

 $P(EF) = 1/36$ 

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

https://bit.ly/1a2ki4G → https://b.socrative.com/login/student/ Room: CS109SUMMER17

## Independence and causation

If two events **don't affect each other**, and also have no unknown factors in common that affect both, then they're likely to be independent.

However: If two events are independent, it **doesn't** necessarily mean they don't affect each other!

What it means is that knowing one doesn't give you **information** about the other.

E: event that D 1  $= 1$ **F:** event that  $D_2 = 6$ 

G: event that D 1 + D 2 = 7

 $P(G) = 6/36 = 1/6$  $P(EG) = 1/36$  $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

Are E and G independent?

A) Yes

 $D_1$   $D_2$ 

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

 $P(E) = 1/6$ 

 $P(F) = 1/6$ 

 $P(EF) = 1/36$ 

https://bit.ly/1a2ki4G → https://b.socrative.com/login/student/ Room: CS109SUMMER17

E: event that D 1  $= 1$ **F:** event that  $D_2 = 6$ 

G: event that D 1 + D 2 = 7

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$  $P(G) = 6/36 = 1/6$  $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

 $D_1$ 

 $P(E) = 1/6$ 

 $P(F) = 1/6$ 

 $P(EF) = 1/36$ 

 $P(EG) = 1/36$   $P(FG) = 1/36$  $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

Are F and G independent?

A) Yes

https://bit.ly/1a2ki4G → https://b.socrative.com/login/student/ Room: CS109SUMMER17

 $D_1$   $D_2$ E: event that D 1  $= 1$ **F:** event that  $D_2 = 6$ G: event that D 1 + D 2 = 7  $P(G) = 6/36 = 1/6$  $P(EG) = 1/36$   $P(FG) = 1/36$ 

 $P(EFG) = 1/36 \neq 1/6 \cdot 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

Are E, F and G independent?

B) No (!)

 $P(E) = 1/6$ 

 $P(F) = 1/6$ 

 $P(EF) = 1/36$ 

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

https://bit.ly/1a2ki4G → https://b.socrative.com/login/student/ Room: CS109SUMMER17

 $= 1/6 \cdot 1/6$ 

#### Many events

$$
E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n
$$
  
are independent if  
for every subset  
 $E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \ldots, E_{i_r}$ :

## $P(E_{i_1}E_{i_2}...E_{i_r})=P(E_{i_1})P(E_{i_2})...P(E_{i_r})$



## Generating random bits



Each bit is a 1 with probability  $p$ , 0 with probability  $(1 - p)$ .

E: generate *n* 1's, followed by a single 0

 $P(E) = p^n (1 - p)$ 



*n* flips, each flip heads with probability *p*, tails with probability (1 – *p*) E: *n* heads

> $P(E) = p^n$ F: *n* tails  $P(F) = (1 - p)^n$ G: *k* heads, then *n* – *k* tails  $P(G) = p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}$ H: exactly *k* heads  $P(H) = \binom{r}{k}$ *n*  $k$ <sup>}</sup>  $p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}$

Break time!



"Washington"

## Review: Getting rid of ORs

Finding the probability of an OR of events can be nasty. Try using De Morgan's laws to turn it into an AND!



#### $P(A \cup B \cup \cdots \cup Z) = 1 - P(A^c B^c \cdots Z^c)$ )













## Network reliability



n independent routers, each works with prob. *p i*

> E: path exists from A to B  $P(E) = ?$

 $P(E)=1-P($ all routers fail)  $=1-(1-p_1)(1-p_2)...(1-p_n)=1-\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-p_i)^{n_i}$  $i=1$ *n*



## Simplified craps







### Geometric series

$$
x^{0} + x^{1} + x^{2} + \dots + x^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{i}
$$

$$
= \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}
$$

∑ *i*=0 *n*  $x^i \rightarrow$ 1 1−*x* If  $|x|$  < 1, then as  $n \to \infty$ :

> See "Calculation Reference" for more super-useful sum and product identities!



## Conditional independence

Two events are **conditionally independent** if you can multiply their conditional probabilities to get the conditional probability of **both** happening.

### $P(EF|G) = P(E|G)P(F|G)$ ⇔ (*E*⊥*F*)|*G*



## Conditional independence

In general,

### (*E*⊥*F*)

does not imply

(*E*⊥*F*)|*G*

or vice versa!



E: event that D 1  $= 1$ **F:** event that  $D_2 = 6$ 

**G:** event that 
$$
D_1 + D_2 = 7
$$

$$
P(E) = 1/6
$$
  
P(F) = 1/6  
P(EF) = 1/36  
= 1/6 \cdot 1/6

$$
P(E | G) = 1/6
$$
  
P(F | G) = 1/6  
P(EF | G) = 1/6  
= 1/6 · 1/6

# Faculty night



At faculty night: 44 students 30 straight A's  $- P(A \mid F) \approx 0.68$ 

 20 CS majors 6 CS w/ straight  $A's - P(A | CS, F) = 0.30$ 

Survey the whole dorm: 100 students 30 straight  $A's - P(A) = 0.30$ 

 20 CS majors 6 CS w/ straight  $A's - P(A | CS) = 0.30$ 

Independent! What gives?

# Faculty night



All the A students came to faculty night. So did all the CS majors.

A, CS conditionally dependent, conditioned on faculty night.

At faculty night: 44 students 30 straight A's  $- P(A \mid F) \approx 0.68$ 

 20 CS majors 6 CS w/ straight  $A's - P(A | CS, F) = 0.30$ 

Survey the whole dorm: 100 students 30 straight  $A's - P(A) = 0.30$ 

 20 CS majors 6 CS w/ straight  $A's - P(A | CS) = 0.30$ 

## Watering the lawn



E: event that it rained today F: event that the sprinkler was on

Say E and F are independent.

G: event that the grass is wet

You observe the grass is wet. Probability of both rain and sprinkler goes up!  $P(E | G) > P(E)$  $P(F | G) > P(F)$ 

Now you find out the sprinklers were on. Does your belief that it rained change?  $P(E | FG) < P(E | G)$ 

## A graphical representation



## For more on this:



Daphne Koller— Designed & taught earlier versions, "wrote the book" (literally & figuratively)





Stefano Ermon— Taught Winter 2017



CS228: Probabilistic Graphical Models

Tdunning/Razorbliss

## Independence

Two events are independent if you can multiply their probabilities to get the probability of **both** happening.





## Conditional independence

Two events are **conditionally independent** if you can multiply their conditional probabilities to get the conditional probability of **both** happening.

### $P(EF|G) = P(E|G)P(F|G)$ ⇔ (*E*⊥*F*)|*G*



## Reminder: Python tutorial



Right now!

Follow Yuling to Gates B03