# 14: Conditional Expectation

Lisa Yan May 6, 2020

### Quick slide reference

- Conditional distributions 14a\_conditional\_distributions
- Web server requests, redux 14b\_web\_servers
- Conditional expectation 14c\_cond\_expectation

Law of Total Expectation 14d\_law\_of\_total\_expectation

Exercises LIVE

14a\_conditional\_distributions

# Discrete conditional distributions

#### Discrete conditional distributions

Recall the definition of the conditional probability of event  $E$  given event  $F$ :

 $P(E|F) =$  $P(EF)$  $P(F)$ 

#### For discrete random variables X and Y, the **conditional PMF** of X given Y is

$$
P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)}
$$

 $p_{X, Y}(x, y)$ 

Different notation, same idea:

 $p_{X|Y}(x|y) =$ 

### Discrete probabilities of CS109

#### Each student responds with:

Year Y

- 1: Frosh/Soph
- 2: Jr/Sr
- 3: Co-term/grad/NDO

#### Timezone  $T(12<sub>p</sub>m$  California time in my timezone is):

- $\cdot$  -1: AM
- 0: noon
- 1: PM







#### Discrete probabilities of CS109



$$
T = -1
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
45
$$
  
\n
$$
46
$$
  
\n
$$
35
$$
  
\n
$$
17
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
56
$$
  
\n
$$
27
$$
  
\n
$$
17
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
75
$$
  
\n
$$
56
$$
  
\n
$$
27
$$
  
\n
$$
17
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
46
$$
  
\n
$$
35
$$
  
\n
$$
17
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
75
$$
  
\n
$$
125
$$
  
\n
$$
7
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
75
$$
  
\n
$$
125
$$
  
\n
$$
7
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
75
$$
  
\n
$$
205
$$
  
\n
$$
0 \le P(\forall z_{y} | T = \downarrow) \le 1
$$

 $(y_1 k) \rightarrow$  number



### Discrete probabilities of CS109

The below are conditional probability for conditional PMFs (A)  $P(Y = y | T = t)$  and (B)  $P(T = t | Y)$ 

- Which is which?
- 2. What's the missing probability?

 $.30/(.06+.29+.30)$ 



Now are conditional probability tables

\n

Joint PME		
litional PMFs	$Y = 1$ $Y = 2$ $Y = 3$	
$= y T = t$ ) and (B) $P(T = t Y = y)$ .	$T = -1$	$0.06$ $0.01$ $0.01$
$0.01$ $0.02$		
$T = 0$	$0.29$ $0.14$ $0.09$	
$T = 1$	$0.30$ $0.02$	
$P = 1$	$0.30$ $0.02$	
$P = 1$ $Y = 2 Y = y$	$P(Y = y T = t)$ $P(Y = 1 Y = 2 Y = 3)$	
$P = 1$ $Y = 2 Y = 3$	$Y = 1$ $Y = 2$ $Y = 3$ $Y = 1$	
$P = 1$ $0.04$ $0.08$ $T = -1$ $0.56$ $0.27$ $0.17$		
$P = 1$ $0.35$ $0.35$ $0.7$ $0.7$ $0.05$		

Conditional PMFs also sum to 1 conditioned on different events!

Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020

#### Extended to Amazon



Roll over image to zoom in

New Star Foodservice

#### **FINEDINE**

Stainless Steel Mixing Bowls by Finedine (Set of 6) Polished Mirror Finish Nesting Bowl, 3/4 - 1.5-3 - 4-5 - 8 Quart - Cooking Supplies \*\*\*\*\*\* 2,566 customer reviews | 75 answered questions

mazon's Choice for "stainless steel mixing bowls"

#### Price: \$24.99 & FREE Shipping on orders over \$25 shipped by Amazon, Details

#### Get \$40 off instantly: Pay \$0.00 upon approval for the Amazon.com Store Card.

#### √prime | Try Fast, Free Shipping \*

- With graduating sizes of %, 1.5, 3, 4, 5 and 8 guart, the bowl set allows users to be well equipped for serving fruit salads, marinating for the grill, and adding last ingredients for dessert
- · Stainless steel bowls with commercial grade metal that can be used as both baking mixing bowls and serving bowls. These metal bowls won't stain or absorb odors and resist rust for years of durability.
- . An easy to grip rounded-lip on the stainless steel bowl set makes handling easier while a generous wide rim allows contents to flow evenly when pouring; flat base stabilizes the silver bowls making mixing all the easier.
- \* A space saving stackable design helps de-clutter kitchen cupboards while the attractive polished mirror finish on the large mixing bowls adds a luxurious aesthetic.
- . This incredible stainless steel mixing bowl set is refrigerator, freezer, and dishwasher safe for quick and easy meal prep and clean up. They'd also make a great gift!

#### Compare with similar items

Used & new (7) from \$20.62 & FREE shipping on orders over \$25.00. Details

#### $\square$  Report incorrect product information.

Packaging may reveal contents. Choose Conceal Package at checkout.

KELIWA Easy home baking > Shop now



Ad feedback





**ExcelSteel Stainless Steel** Colanders, Set of 3 ★★★★☆ 301 \$15.83 √prime

 $\,$   $\,$ 





1Easylife 18/8 Stainless **Steel Measuring Spoons,** Set of 6 for Measuring Dry and Liquid Ingredients



**Measuring Spoons** \$9.99 vprime





**Rubbermaid Easy Find** 





**Bellemain Micro-**

5-quart Colander-

AmazonBasics 6-Piece perforated Stainless Steel \$19.99 vprime



#1 Best Seller Colanders

Nonstick Bakeware Set **食食食食**食67

**HOMWE Kitchen Cutting** Board (3-Piece Set) | Juice Grooves w/ Easy-Grip Handles | BPA-Free,.. **食食食食**红240 \$14.97 vprime



#### \$19.95 *v*prime

#### **Stanford University 8**

★★★★☆ 1,042 #1 Best Seller (in **Specialty Spoons** \$9.95 vprime

42917 Stainless Steel Lids Food Storage 4pcs Measuring Cups and Containers, Racer Red, Spoons Combo Set 42-Piece Set 1880801 ★★★★☆ 10,319 \$19.99 √prime

Cooking Utensil Set with Natural Acacia Hard **Wood Handle 食食食食**食1461 \$20.99 √prime

Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020

#### Quick check

$$
P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)}
$$

Number or function?

True or false?

1.  $P(X = 2 | Y = 5)$ 2.  $P(X = x | Y = 5)$ 3.  $P(X = 2 | Y = y)$ 

4.  $P(X = x | Y = y)$ 

5. 6. 7. 8.  $\sum P(X = x | Y = y) = 1$  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  $\overline{y}$  $\left\langle \right\rangle$  $\mathcal{Y}$  $P(X = 2|Y = y) = 1$  $\sum$  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  $P(X = x | Y = 5) = 1$  $\sum$  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  $\sum$  $\overline{y}$  $P(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y) = 1$ 

### Quick check

 $P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = x)}$  $P(Y = y)$ 

Number or function?

- 1.  $P(X = 2 | Y = 5)$ 2.  $P(X = x | Y = 5)$ 1-D function number
- 3.  $P(X = 2 | Y = y)$ 1-D function

4. 
$$
P(X = x | Y = y)
$$
  
2-D function

True or false?	
\n $\frac{1}{P(Y=y)} = \frac{1}{P(Y=y)} \cdot \frac{1}{P(Y$	

14b\_web\_servers

# Web server requests, redux

### Web server requests (Lecture: Independent RVs)

Let  $N = #$  of requests to a web server per day. Suppose  $N \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ .

- Each request independently comes from a human (prob.  $p$ ), or bot  $(1 p)$ .
- Let  $X$  be  $\#$  of human requests/day, and  $Y$  be  $\#$  of bot requests/day.

Are  $X$  and  $Y$  independent? What are their marginal PMFs?

Our approach:

- Yes, independent Poisson random variables:  $X \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda p)$ ,  $Y \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda (1-p))$
- Two big parts of our derivation:

• 
$$
P(X = n, Y = m) = P(X = n | N = n + m)P(N = n + m)
$$

$$
\circ \, X|N = n + m \sim \text{Bin}(n + m, p)
$$

A conditional distribution,  $X[N]$ !

LOTP<br>Chain Rule

Review

Consider the number of requests to a web server per day.

- Let  $X = #$  requests from humans/day.  $X \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_1)$
- Let  $Y = #$  requests from bots/day.  $Y \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_2)$
- X and Y are independent.  $\rightarrow X + Y \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020 What is  $P(X = k | X + Y = n)$ ?  $P(X = k | X + Y = n) =$  $P(X = k, Y = n - k)$  $\frac{P(X + Y = n)}{P(X + Y = n)}$ =  $P(X = k)P(Y = n - k)$  $P(X + Y = n)$ =  $e^{-\lambda_1}\lambda_1^k$  $\frac{1}{k!}$ .  $\frac{e^{-\lambda_2} \lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^n}$ =  $n!$  $k! (n - k)!$  $\lambda_1^k \lambda_2^{n-k}$  $\overline{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}$ =  $\overline{n}$  $\boldsymbol{k}$  $\lambda_1$  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  $\kappa$ <sup>2</sup> $\lambda$ <sup>2</sup>  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  $n$ – $k$ **Stanford University** 13  $(X, Y \in \mathcal{Y})$  $X|X + Y \sim$ Bin  $(X + Y,$  $\lambda_1$  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ 

14c\_cond\_expectation

# Conditional Expectation

#### Conditional expectation

Recall the the conditional PMF of X given  $Y = y$ :

$$
p_{X|Y}(x|y) = P(X = x|Y = y) = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}
$$

The conditional expectation of X given  $Y = y$  is

$$
E[X|Y = y] = \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y) = \sum_{x} xp_{X|Y}(x|y)
$$

### It's been so long, our dice friends

- Roll two 6-sided dice.
- Let roll 1 be  $D_1$ , roll 2 be  $D_2$ .
- Let  $S =$  value of  $D_1 + D_2$ .



 $E[X|Y = y] = \sum x p_{X|Y}(x|y)$ 

 $\mathcal{X}$ 

1. What is 
$$
E[S|D_2 = 6]
$$
?  $E[S|D_2 = 6] = \sum_{x=7}^{12} xP(S = x|D_2 = 6)$   
=  $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)(7 + 8 + 9 + 10 + 11 + 12)$   
=  $\frac{57}{6} = 9.5$ 

Intuitively:  $6 + E[D_1] = 6 + 3.5 = 9.5$ Let's prove this!

Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020

### Properties of conditional expectation

1. LOTUS:

$$
E[g(X)|Y=y] = \sum_{x} g(x)p_{X|Y}(x|y)
$$

2. Linearity of conditional expectation:

$$
E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \mid Y = y\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i | Y = y]
$$

3. Law of total expectation (next time)

## It's been so long, our dice friends

- Roll two 6-sided dice.
- Let roll 1 be  $D_1$ , roll 2 be  $D_2$ .
- Let  $S =$  value of  $D_1 + D_2$ .
- 1. What is  $E[S|D_2 = 6]$ ?
- 2. What is  $E[S|D_2]$ ?
	- A. A function of  $S$ **B.** A function of  $D_2$ C. A number
- 3. Give an expression for  $E[S|D_2]$ .







57

6

 $= 9.5$ 

## It's been so long, our dice friends

- Roll two 6-sided dice.
- Let roll 1 be  $D_1$ , roll 2 be  $D_2$ .
- Let  $S =$  value of  $D_1 + D_2$ .
- 1. What is  $E[S|D_2 = 6]$ ?
- 2. What is  $E[S|D_2]$ ?
	- A. A function of  $B$ . A function of  $D_2$ C. A number
- 3. Give an expression for  $E[S|D_2]$ .





6  $9(D_1)$  $E[S|D_2 = d_2] = E[D_1 + d_2|D_2 = d_2]$  $=$   $\sum$  $d_1 + d_2$ ) $P(D_1 = d_1 | D_2 = d_2)$  $\overline{d_1}$  $(D_1 = d_1, D_2 = d_2)$ independent  $=$   $\sum$  $d_1 P(D_1 = d_1) + d_2$  $P(D_1 = d_1)$ events) $\overline{d_1}$  $\overline{d_1}$ 

 $SSD, +D_2$ 

 $E[D_1] + d_2 = 3.5 + d_2$   $E[S|D_2] = 3.5 + D_2$ 

57

 $= 9.5$ 

14d\_law\_of\_total\_expectation

# Law of Total Expectation

#### Properties of conditional expectation

1. LOTUS:

$$
E[g(X)|Y=y] = \sum_{x} g(x)p_{X|Y}(x|y)
$$

2. Linearity of conditional expectation:

$$
E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \mid Y = y\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i | Y = y]
$$

3. Law of total expectation:

$$
E[X] = E\big[E[X|Y]\big] \quad \text{what?!}
$$

### Proof of Law of Total Expectation

 $E[X] = E[E[X|Y]]$ 

$$
E[E[X|Y]] = E[g(Y)] = \sum_{y} P(Y = y) E[X|Y = y]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{y} P(Y = y) \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{y} \sum_{x} P(Y = y) \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y)
$$
  
\n(left of conditional  
expectation)  
\n
$$
= \sum_{y} \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{y} \left( \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y)P(Y = y) \right) = \sum_{y} \left( \sum_{x} xP(X = x, Y = y) \right) \quad \text{(chain rule)}
$$

$$
= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} xP(X = x, Y = y) = \sum_{x} x \sum_{y} P(X = x, Y = y)
$$
 (switch order of summations)

 $xP(X = x)$  (marginalization)

summations)

$$
= E[X] \qquad \dots \text{what?}
$$

 $=$   $\sum$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ 

$$
E\big[E[X|Y]\big] = \sum_{y} P(Y = y)E[X|Y = y] = E[X]
$$

If we only have a conditional PMF of  $X$  on some discrete variable  $Y$ , we can compute  $E[X]$  as follows:

- $E[X|Y=y]$ 1. Compute expectation of X given some value of  $Y = y$
- Repeat step 1 for all values of  $Y_{\texttt{CQ}}$
- 3. Compute a weighted sum (where weights are  $P(Y = y)$ )

```
def recurse():
  if (random.random() < 0.5):
      return 3
  else: return (2 + recurse())
```
Useful for analyzing recursive code!!

# (live)

# 14: Conditional Expectation

Lisa Yan May 6, 2020

#### Where are we now? A roadmap of CS109

Monda

Last week: Joint distributions  $p_{X,Y}(x, y)$ 

Foday: Statistics of multiple RVs!  $Var(X + Y)$  $E[X+Y]$  $Cov(X, Y)$  $\rho(X, Y)$ 

Friday: Modeling with Bayesian Networks





Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020



#### Conditional Expectation



#### Conditional Distributions Expectation

Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020

## Breakout Rooms

Check out the quest (Slide 28). Post an

https://us.edstem.org/d

Breakout rooms: 4

## Quick check

- 1.  $E[X]$
- 2.  $E[X, Y]$
- 3.  $E[X + Y]$
- 4.  $E[X|Y]$
- 5.  $E[X|Y = 6]$
- 6.  $E[X = 1]$
- $7^*$   $E[Y|X=x$

A. value

- B. random variable, function of  $Y$
- C. random variable, function of  $X$

D. function of  $X$  and  $Y$ 

E. doesn't make sense



## Quick check

- 1.  $E[X]$  $A^ \sum_{i=1}^{n}$
- 2.  $E[X, Y]$
- A, expectation of a fouction of X & Y 3.  $E[X + Y]$
- $\beta$ 4.  $E[X|Y]$
- $\overline{A}$ 5.  $E[X|Y = 6$
- 6.  $E[X = 1]$  $\Gamma$
- A If for a particular value of X=x  $7^*$   $E[Y|X=x$

A. value

- B. random variable, function of  $Y$
- C. random variable, function of  $X$
- D. function of  $X$  and  $Y$
- E. doesn't make sense

The conditional expectation of X given  $Y = y$  is

$$
E[X|Y = y] = \sum_{x} xP(X = x|Y = y) = \sum_{x} xp_{X|Y}(x|y)
$$
  
• Interpret: 
$$
\underbrace{E[X|Y]}_{E[X|Y = y]} \text{ with probability } P(Y = y)
$$

The Law of Total Expectation states that

$$
E\big[E[X|Y]\big] = \sum_{y} \widetilde{E[X|Y=y]} P(Y=y) = E[X]
$$

Apply:  $E[X]$  can be calculated as the expectation of  $E[X|Y]$ 

Review

### Analyzing recursive code

```
def recurse():
  # equally likely values 1,2,3
 x = np. random. choice([1, 2, 3])
  if (x == 1): return 3
 elif (x == 2): return (5 + \text{recursive}())else: return (7 + recurse())
```

```
E[X] = E[E[X|Y]] = \sum E[X|Y = y]P(Y = y]\mathcal Y
```
Let  $Y =$  return value of recurse(). What is  $E[Y]$ ?

## Analyzing recursive code

$$
E[X] = E[E[X|Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X|Y = y]P(Y = y)
$$

**def** recurse(): # equally likely values 1,2,3 x = np.random.choice([1,2,3]) **if** (x == 1): **return** 3 **elif** (x == 2): **return** (5 + recurse()) **else**: **return** (7 + recurse()) Let = return value of recurse(). What is ? When = 1, return 3. | = 1 = 3 = | = 1 = 1 + | = 2 = 2 + | = 3 = 3

Think Slide 34 has a que<br>Think yourself. yourself.

#### Post any clarification

https://us.edstem.org/

Think by yourself: 2

### Analyzing recursive code

$$
E[X] = E[E[X|Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X|Y = y]P(Y = y)
$$

**def** recurse(): # equally likely values 1, 2, 3  $x = np$ . random. choice( $[1, 2, 3]$ ) **if**  $(x == 1)$ : **return** 3 **elif**  $(x == 2)$ : **return**  $(5 + \text{recursive}())$ **else**: **return** (7 + recurse())

Let  $Y =$  return value of recurse(). What is  $E[Y]$ ?

 $E[Y] = E[Y|X = 1]P(X = 1) + E[Y|X = 2]P(X = 2) + E[Y|X = 3]P(X = 3)$ 

 $E[Y|X = 1] = 3$ 

What is  $E[Y|X=2]$ ? A.  $E[5] + Y$ B.  $E[Y + 5] = 5 + E[Y]$ C.  $5 + E[Y|X = 2]$ 



$$
E[X] = E[E[X|Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X|Y = y]P(Y = y)
$$

**def** recurse(): # equally likely values 1, 2, 3  $x = np$ . random. choice( $[1, 2, 3]$ ) **if**  $(x == 1)$ : **return** 3 **elif**  $(x == 2)$ : **return**  $(5 + \text{recursive}())$ **else**: **return** (7 + recurse())

Let  $Y =$  return value of recurse(). What is  $E[Y]$ ?

 $E[Y|X = 1] = 3$  When  $X = 2$ , return 5 + a future return value of recurse(). What is  $E[Y|X=2]$ ? value, not a RV  $K$   $E[5] + Y$  $E[Y] = E[Y|X = 1]P(X = 1) + E[Y|X = 2]P(X = 2) + E[Y|X = 3]P(X = 3)$ 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\textcircled{B} & E[Y+5] = 5 + E[Y] \\
\textcircled{C} & 5 + E[Y|X=2] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}=2]\n\end{array}
$$

Analyzing recursive code	\n $E[X] = E[E[X Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X Y = y]P(Y = y)$ \n
\n <b>def</b> $\text{recursive}(1)$ :\n <ul>\n<li>\n<math display="inline">\# \text{ equally likely values } 1, 2, 3</math>\n</li>\n<li>\n<math display="inline">x = np.random</math>. \n        <b>if</b> <math display="inline">(x == 1)</math>: <b>return</b> 3\n      </li></ul>	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \text{recursive}()$ \n	
\n <b>else:</b> $\text{return } (7 + \$	

$$
E[X] = E[E[X|Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X|Y = y]P(Y = y)
$$

**def** recurse(): # equally likely values 1, 2, 3  $x = np$ . random. choice( $[1, 2, 3]$ ) **if** (x == 1): **return** 3 **elif**  $(x == 2)$ : **return**  $(5 + \text{recursive}())$ **else**: **return** (7 + recurse())

Let  $Y =$  return value of recurse(). What is  $E[Y]$ ?

 $E[Y] = E[Y|X = 1]P(X = 1) + E[Y|X = 2]P(X = 2) + E[Y|X = 3]P(X = 3)$  $E[Y|X = 1] = 3$   $E[Y|X = 2] = E[5 + Y]$   $E[Y|X = 3] = E[7 + Y]$  $E[Y] =$  3(1/3) + (5 +  $E[Y]/(1/3)$  + (7 +  $E[Y]/(1/3)$  $E[Y^{2}]$  $E[Y] = (1/3)(15 + 2E[Y]) = 5 + (2/3)E[Y]$ On your own: What is  $Var(Y)$ ?  $E[Y] = 15$ 



# Interlude for jokes/announcements

#### Announcements

#### Problem Set 3

Friday Due: Monday 5/8 10am Covers: Up to and including Lecture 11

## Interesting probability news

## **U.S. Recession Model at 100% Confirms Downturn Is Already Here**

["Bloomberg Economics created a](https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/us-economic-recession-tracker/)  model last year to determine America's recession odds."

I encourage you to read through and understand the parameters used to define this model!

https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/us-economic-<br> recession-tracker/



#### Independent RVs, defined another way

If X and Y are independent discrete random variables, then  $\forall x, y$ :

$$
P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)} = \frac{P(X = x)P(Y = y)}{P(Y = y)} = P(X = x)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{p_{X|Y}(x|y)}{p_X(y)} = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x, y)}{p_Y(y)} = \frac{p_X(x)p_Y(y)}{p_Y(y)} = p_X(x)
$$

Note for conditional expectation, independent  $X$  and  $Y$  implies  $E[X|Y = y] = \sum x p_{X|Y}(x|y) = \sum x p_X(x) = E[X]$  $\chi$  $\chi$ 

 $P_{X_1Y}(x,y) = P_{X}(x)P_Y$ 

## Breakout Rooms

Check out the quest (Slide 43). Post any

https://us.edstem.org/d

Breakout rooms: 4

 $E[X|Y = y] = E[X]$ indep  $X, Y$ 

Say you have a website: BestJokesEver.com. Let:

- $X = #$  of people per day who visit your site.  $X \sim Bin(100, 0.5)$
- $Y_i = #$  of minutes spent by visitor  $i / \lambda \rightarrow Y_i \sim \text{Poi}(8)$
- $X$  and all  $Y_i$  are independent.

The time spent by all visitors per day is  $\; \; W = \; \sum \; Y_i$  . What is  $E[W]$ ?  $Y_i$ 

 $\overline{i=1}$ 

 $\overline{X}$ 



### Random number of random variables

 $E[X|Y = y] = E[X]$ indep  $X, Y$ 



### Random number of random variables



#### See you next time!

Have a GREAT day!

(no video)

# Extra

Your company has only one job opening for a software engineer.

- *n* candidates interview, in order (*n!* orderings equally likely)
- Must decide hire/no hire *immediately* after each interview
- Strategy: 1. Interview *k* (of *n*) candidates and reject all *k*
	- 2. Accept the next candidate better than all of first *k* candidates.

What is your target k that maximizes P(get best candidate)?

#### Fun fact:

- There is an  $\alpha$ -to-1 factor difference in productivity b/t the "best" and "average" software engineer.
- Steve jobs said  $\alpha$ =25, Mark Zuckerberg claims  $\alpha$ =100

Your company has only one job opening for a software engineer.

- *n* candidates interview, in order (*n!* orderings equally likely)
- Must decide hire/no hire *immediately* after each interview
- Strategy: 1. Interview *k* (of *n*) candidates and reject all *k*
	- 2. Accept the next candidate better than all of first *k* candidates.

What is your target k that maximizes P(get best candidate)?

Define:  $X =$  position of best engineer candidate  $(1, 2, ..., n)$  $B =$  event that you hire the best engineer Want to maximize for k:  $P_k(B)$  = probability of B when using strategy for a given k  $P_k(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_k(B|X=i)P(X=i) = \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_k(B|X=i)$  (law of total probability)

Your company has only one job opening for a software engineer.

Strategy: 1. Interview *k* (of *n*) candidates and reject all *k* What is your target k that maximizes P(get best candidate)? 2. Accept the next candidate better than all of first *k* candidates.

Define:  $X = position$  of best engineer candidate  $B =$  event that you hire the best engineer

If  $i \leq k$ :  $P_k(B|X = i) = 0$  (we fired best candidate already)

#### Else:

We must not hire prior to the *i-*th candidate.

**→ We must have fired the best of the** *i***–1 first candidates.** 

→ The best of the *i*-1 needs to be our comparison point for positions  $k+1$ , …, *i*-1.

 $P_k(B|X = i) =$ 

 $\boldsymbol{k}$ 

 $i-1$ 

→ The best of the *i*-1 needs to be one of our first *k* comparison/auto-fire

 $\mathbf{i}$  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_k(B|X=i)$  $P_k(B) =$ ' 1 =  $\frac{1}{n}$  $\overline{i-1 \over i}$   $\overline{K_{\max}}$   $\overline{K_{\max}}$  Want to maximize over k **Stanford University** 50  $i = k + 1$ Lisa Yan, CS109, 2020

Your company has only one job opening for a software engineer.

Strategy: What is your target k that maximizes P(get best candidate)? 1. Interview *k* (of *n*) candidates and reject all *k* 2. Accept the next candidate better than all of first *k* candidates.

Want to maximize over k:  
\n
$$
P_k(B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{k}{i-1} \approx \frac{k}{n} \int_{i=k+1}^n \frac{1}{i-1} di = \frac{k}{n} \ln(i-1) \Big|_{i=k+1}^n = \frac{k}{n} \ln \frac{n-1}{k} \approx \frac{k}{n} \ln \frac{n}{k}
$$

Maximize by differentiating w.r.t *k* , set to 0, solve for k:

$$
\frac{d}{dk} \left(\frac{k}{n} \ln \frac{n}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{n}{k} + \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{-n}{k^2} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\ln \frac{n}{k} = 1
$$
\nIn the given  $\frac{n}{e}$  candidates\n
$$
k = \frac{n}{e}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{3} \cdot \text{Pick best based on strategy}
$$
\n
$$
P_k(B) \approx 1/e \approx 0.368 \text{mford University}
$$