## Section \#3 Solutions

Based on the work of many CS109 staffs

## 1 Warmups

### 1.1 Website Visits

You have a website where only one visitor can be on the site at a time, but there is an infinite queue of visitors, so that immediately after a visitor leaves, a new visitor will come onto the website. On average, visitors leave your website after 5 minutes. Assume that the length of stay is exponentially distributed. What is the probability that a user stays more than 10 minutes, if we calculate this probability:
a. using the random variable $X$, defined as the length of stay of the user?
b. using the random variable $Y$, defined as the number of users who leave your website over a 10-minute interval?

As defined above, $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}\left(\lambda=\frac{1}{5}\right)$.

$$
P(X>10)=1-F_{X}(10)=1-\left(1-e^{-\lambda 10}\right)=e^{-2} \approx 0.1353
$$

Alternatively, we have that $Y$ is the number of users leaving on the website in the next 10 minutes. The average number of users leaving is 2 users per 10 minutes. $Y \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda=2)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(Y=0) & =\frac{2^{0} e^{-2}}{0!} \\
& =e^{-2} \approx 0.1353
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.2 Approximating Normal

Your website has 100 users and each day each user independently has a $20 \%$ chance of logging into your website. Use a normal approximation to estimate the probability that more than 21 users log in.

We can approximate the desired probability as $X \sim N(n p, n p(1-p))=N(20,16)$. Given the continuity correction, we desire to find $P(X>20.5)$, which equals $1-\Phi\left(\frac{20.5-20}{\sqrt{16}}\right)=$ 0.45026178 .

### 1.3 Continuous Random Variable

Let $X$ be a continuous random variable with the following probability density function:

$$
f_{X}(x)= \begin{cases}c\left(e^{x-1}+e^{-x}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

a. Find the value of $c$ that makes $f_{X}$ a valid probability distribution.
b. What is $P(X>0.75)$ ?
a. We need $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X}(x) d x=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X}(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{1} c\left(e^{x-1}+e^{-x}\right) d x \\
1 & =c\left[e^{x-1}-e^{-x}\right]_{x=0}^{1} \\
1 & =c\left(e^{1-1}-e^{-1}-\left(e^{0-1}-e^{-0}\right)\right) \\
c & =\frac{1}{1-e^{-1}-\left(e^{-1}-1\right)}=\frac{1}{2-\frac{2}{e}}
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X>0.75) & =\int_{0.75}^{1} c\left(e^{x-1}+e^{-x}\right) d x \\
& =c\left[e^{x-1}-e^{-x}\right]_{x=0.75}^{1} \\
& =c\left(e^{1-1}-e^{-1}-\left(e^{0.75-1}-e^{-0.75}\right)\right) \\
& =c\left(1-e^{-1}-e^{-0.25}+e^{-0.75}\right)=\frac{1-e^{-1}-e^{-0.25}+e^{-0.75}}{2-\frac{2}{e}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Problems

### 2.1 Air Quality

Throughout the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency monitors levels of PM2.5, a type of dangerous air pollution. These PM2.5 measurements can be approximately modeled by a normal distribution.
a. Let us model PM2.5 measurements with a normal distribution that has a mean of 8 . If threequarters of all measurements fall below 11.4, what is the standard deviation? Round to the nearest integer.
b. PM2.5 values above 12 can pose some health risks, especially to sensitive populations. Using the standard deviation found above, what is the probability that a randomly selected PM2.5 measurement is over 12?
c. What is the probability that a randomly selected PM 2.5 measurement is between 7 and 8 ?
a. $\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{11.4-8}{\sigma}\right)=0.75 \Longrightarrow \frac{3.4}{\sigma} \approx .68 \Longrightarrow \sigma \approx 5$.
b. $P(q>12)=1-P(q<12)=1-\Phi\left(\frac{12-8}{5}\right)=1-\Phi(.8)=1-0.7881=0.2119$.
c. $P(7<h<8)=P(h<8)-P(h<7)=\Phi\left(\frac{8-8}{5}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{7-8}{5}\right)$ $\left.=\Phi\left(\frac{8-8}{5}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{-1}{5}\right)\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{8-8}{5}\right)-\left(1-\Phi\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right)$ $=\Phi(0)-(1-\Phi(0.2))=0.5-(1-0.5793)=0.0793$

### 2.2 Elections

We would like to see how we could predict an election between two candidates in France (A and B), given data from 10 polls. For each of the 10 polls, we report below their sample size, how many people said they would vote for candidate A, and how many people said they would vote for candidate B. Not all polls are created equal, so for each poll we also report a value "weight" which represents how accurate we believe the poll was. The data for this problem can be found on the class website in polls.csv:

| Poll | N samples | A votes | B votes | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 862 | 548 | 314 | 0.93 |
| 2 | 813 | 542 | 271 | 0.85 |
| 3 | 984 | 682 | 302 | 0.82 |
| 4 | 443 | 236 | 207 | 0.87 |
| 5 | 863 | 497 | 366 | 0.89 |
| 6 | 648 | 331 | 317 | 0.81 |
| 7 | 891 | 552 | 339 | 0.98 |
| 8 | 661 | 479 | 182 | 0.79 |
| 9 | 765 | 609 | 156 | 0.63 |
| 10 | 523 | 405 | 118 | 0.68 |
| Totals: | $\mathbf{7 4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 2}$ |  |

a. First, assume that each sample in each poll is an independent experiment of whether or not a random person in France would vote for candidate A (disregard weights).

- Calculate the probability that a random person in France votes for candidate A.
- Assume each person votes for candidate A with the probability you've calculated and otherwise votes for candidate B. If the population of France is $64,888,792$, what is the probability that candidate A gets more than half of the votes?
b. Nate Silver at fivethirtyeight pioneered an approach called the "Poll of Polls" to predict elections. For each candidate A or B, we have a random variable $S_{A}$ or $S_{B}$ which represents their strength on election night (like ELO scores). The probability that A wins is $P\left(S_{A}>S_{B}\right)$.
- Identify the parameters for the random variables $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$. Both $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ are defined to be normal with the following parameters:

$$
S_{A} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu=\sum_{i} p_{A_{i}} \cdot \text { weight }_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right) \quad S_{B} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu=\sum_{i} p_{B_{i}} \cdot \text { weight }_{i}, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

where $p_{A_{i}}$ is the ratio of A votes to N samples in poll $i, p_{B_{i}}$ is the ratio of B votes to N samples in poll $i$, weight is the weight of poll $i, m_{i}$ is the N samples in poll $i$ and:
$\sigma=\frac{K}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} m_{i}}}$ s.t. $K=350$; thus $\sigma=4.054$.

- We will calculate $P\left(S_{A}>S_{B}\right)$ by simulating 100,000 fake elections. In each fake election, we draw a random sample for the strength of A from $S_{A}$ and a random sample for the strength of B from $S_{B}$. If $S_{A}$ is greater than $S_{B}$, candidate A wins. What do we expect to see if we simulate so many times? What do we actually see?
c. Which model, the one from (a) or the model from (b) seems more appropriate? Why might that be the case? On election night candidate A wins. Was your prediction from part (b) "correct"?
a. $P($ random person votes for A$)=\frac{\text { votesfor } A}{\text { totalvotes }}=\frac{4881}{7453}=0.655$

Now, let X be the number of votes for candidate A . We assume that X $\sim \operatorname{Bin}(64888792,0.655)$.

- Since n is so large, we can approximate X using a normal $\mathrm{Y} \sim N(n p, n p(1-p))$.
- $\mu=n p=42502158.76$, Variance $=n p(1-p)=14663244.77$ Std Dev $=$ 3829.26
- Votes to win $=\frac{64888792}{2}=32444396$
- $P($ A gets enough votes $)=P(X>32444396) \approx P(Y>32444396.5)=1.00$
b. $S_{A} \sim N(5.324,16.436)$
$S_{B} \sim N(2.926,16.436)$
$P\left(S_{A}>S_{B}\right) \approx 0.66$

We can figure this out through simulation by drawing from $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ 100,000 times and seeing how often the $S_{A}$ value is greater than the $S_{B}$ value. Later in the quarter, when we learn the convolution of independent normals, you will be able to figure this out mathematically.
c. Algorithm (a) makes very few assumptions, and simplicity can be useful, but it does assume that each voter is independent - which we definitely know isn't the case in real elections. Algorithm (b) allows us to model bias (using the weights we incorporated), and doesn't think of each voter as necessarily independent.

