

CS111, Lecture 22

Dynamic Address Translation


This document is copyright (C) Stanford Computer Science and Nick Troccoli, licensed under
Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 License. All rights reserved.

Based on slides and notes created by John Ousterhout, Jerry Cain, Chris Gregg, and others.

NOTICE RE UPLOADING TO WEBSITES: This content is protected and may not be shared,
uploaded, or distributed. (without expressed written permission)

CS111 Topic 4: Virtual Memory

Virtual Memory - *How can one set of memory be shared among several processes?
How can the operating system manage access to a limited amount of system memory?*



assign6: implement *demand paging* system to translate addresses and load/store memory contents for programs as needed.

Learning Goals

- Understand the benefits of dynamic address translation
- Reason about the tradeoffs in different ways to implement dynamic address translation

Plan For Today

- **Recap:** virtual memory and dynamic address translation
- Approach #2: Multiple Segments
- Approach #3: Paging

Plan For Today

- **Recap: virtual memory and dynamic address translation**
 - Approach #2: Multiple Segments
 - Approach #3: Paging

Virtual memory is a mechanism for multiple processes to simultaneously use system memory.


Sharing Memory

We want to allow multiple processes to simultaneously use system memory.
Our goals are:

- **Multitasking** – allow multiple processes to be memory-resident at once
- **Transparency** – no process should need to know memory is shared. Each must run regardless of the number and/or locations of processes in memory.
- **Isolation** – processes must not be able to corrupt each other
- **Efficiency** (both of CPU and memory) – shouldn't be degraded badly by sharing

Load-Time Relocation

- When a process is loaded to run, place it in a designated memory space.
- That memory space is for everything for that process – stack/data/code
- Interesting fact – when a program is compiled, it is compiled assuming its memory starts at address 0. Therefore, we must update its addresses when we load it to match its real starting address.
- Use first-fit or best-fit allocation to manage available memory.
- **Problems:** isolation, deciding memory sizes in advance, fragmentation, updating addresses when loading



Dynamic Address Translation


Idea: What if, instead of letting programs use the real physical addresses, we had them use “imaginary” addresses within their own private “virtual world”, and have the OS translate virtual addresses to physical addresses on the fly?

- The OS can prohibit processes from accessing certain addresses (e.g. OS memory or another process’s memory)
- Gives the OS lots of flexibility in managing memory
- Every process can now think that it is located starting at address 0 and is the only process in memory
- The OS will translate each process’s address to the real one it’s mapped to
- As a result, a process’s virtual address space may look very different from how the memory is really laid out in the physical address space.

Dynamic Address Translation

We will add a *memory management unit* (MMU) in hardware that changes addresses dynamically during every memory reference.

- *Virtual address* is what the program sees
- *Physical address* is the actual location in memory



Dynamic Address Translation

Key question: how do the MMU / OS translate from virtual addresses to physical ones? Three designs we'll consider:

- 1. Base and bound**
- 2. Multiple Segments**
- 3. Paging**

Approach #1: Base and Bound

- “base” is physical address starting point – corresponds to virtual address 0
- “bound” is one greater than highest allowable virtual memory address
- Each process has own base/bound. Stored in PCB and loaded into two registers when running.


On each memory reference:

- Compare virtual address to bound, trap if \geq (invalid memory reference)
- Otherwise, add base to virtual address to produce physical address


Approach #1: Base and Bound

- Key idea: each process appears to have a completely private memory whose size is determined by the bound register.
- The only physical address is in the base register, controlled by the OS. Process sees only virtual addresses!
- OS can update a process's base/bound if needed! E.g. it could move physical memory to a new location or increase bound.
- **Benefits:** inexpensive, little space needed, separation between virtual and physical addresses.
- **Drawbacks:** physical space must be contiguous, fragmentation, growth only upwards, no read-only region support

Base and Bound – Changing Bound




Base and Bound – Changing Bound




Base and Bound

bound
0



Process A Virtual
Address Space

One thought: Can we remove the requirement that the virtual address space must be mapped contiguously? Can we avoid mapping the unused space between the stack and heap?



Physical Address Space

Plan For Today

- Recap: virtual memory and dynamic address translation
- **Approach #2: Multiple Segments**
- Approach #3: Paging


**Idea: what if we broke up
the virtual address space
into segments and mapped
each segment
independently?**

Approach #2: Multiple Segments

Key Idea: Each process is split among several variable-size areas of memory, called segments.

- E.g. one segment for code, one segment for data/heap, one segment for stack.
- The OS maps each segment individually – each segment would have its own base and bound, and these are stored in a *segment map* for that process
- Start of each segment is fixed in the virtual address space
- We can also store a *protection* bit for each segment; whether the process is allowed to write to it or not in addition to reading
- Now each segment can have its own permissions, grow/shrink independently, be swapped to disk independently, be moved independently, and even be shared between processes (e.g. shared code).

Multiple Segments



Approach #2: Multiple Segments

On each memory reference:

- Look up info for the segment that address is in
- Compare virtual address to that segment's bound, trap if \geq (invalid memory reference)
- Add segment's base to virtual address to produce physical address

Problem: how do we know which segment a virtual address is in?

Approach #2: Multiple Segments

Problem: how do we know which segment a virtual address is in?


One Idea: make virtual addresses such that the top bits of the address specify its segment, and the low bits of the address specify the offset in that segment.

<i>Segment #</i>	<i>Offset</i>
0x122	0x456
Virtual Address	


Example: PDP-10 computer had design with 2 segments, and the most-significant bit in addresses encoded which one was being referenced.

Another possibility: deduce from machine code instruction executing

Multiple Segments




- Do not need to initially map full virtual address space, nor map it contiguously.
- Instead, individually/contiguously map each segment.
- Move an individual segment in physical memory by modifying its base (pinned to that segment's offset 0)
- Expand an individual segment's size by adjusting its bound.




Process A Virtual Address Space

Physical Address Space


Multiple Segments – Changing A Base



Multiple Segments – Changing A Base



Multiple Segments – Changing A Bound



Approach #2: Multiple Segments

What are some benefits of this approach?


- Can move segments to compact memory and eliminate fragmentation
- Flexibility – can manage each segment independently
- Can share segments between processes

What are some drawbacks of this approach?

- Variable-length segments result in memory fragmentation – can move, but creates friction
- Typically small number of segments
- Encoding segment + offset rigidly divides virtual addresses (how many bits for segment vs. how many for offset?)

Multiple Segments – Changing A Bound

stack
bound




**Process A Virtual
Address Space**

Growing a segment upwards works well for the heap, but not for the stack, for the same reason as base and bound: we can't move existing stack data after the program starts.

Can we do better?

Process A data base



Physical Address Space

**Idea: what if we broke up
the virtual address space
not into variable-length
segments, but into fixed-
size chunks?**

Plan For Today


- **Recap:** virtual memory and dynamic address translation
- Approach #2: Multiple Segments
- **Approach #3: Paging**

Approach #3: Paging


Key Idea: Each process's virtual (and physical) memory is divided into fixed-size chunks called *pages*. (Common size is 4KB pages).

- A “page” of virtual memory maps to a “page” of physical memory. No partial pages

Paging




Process A Virtual
Address Space



Physical Address Space


Paging




Process A Virtual
Address Space

Physical Address Space

Paging




Paging




Process A Virtual
Address Space

- Do not need to map each segment contiguously. Instead, we map just one page at a time.
- We can later map more pages either up or down, **because the start of the segment is not pinned to a physical address.**
- We can move each page separately in physical memory as well.




Physical Address Space

Paging



Paging



Approach #3: Paging

Key Idea: Each process's virtual (and physical) memory is divided into fixed-size chunks called *pages*. (Common size is 4KB pages).

- A “page” of virtual memory maps to a “page” of physical memory. No partial pages
- The **page number** is a numerical ID for a page. We have virtual page numbers and physical page numbers.
- A virtual address is comprised of the virtual page # and offset in that page.
- A physical address is comprised of the physical page # and offset in that page.

Page Maps


How do we track, for a given process, which virtual page maps to which physical page?

Each process has a *page map* (“*page table*”) with an entry for each virtual page, mapping it to a physical page number and other info such as a protection bit (read-only or read-write).

The page map is stored in contiguous memory.

Page Map

<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writeable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1
1	0x13241	0
0	0x256	0




Virtual page # = index

An array indexed by virtual page number means we can instantly jump to an entry given its page number. (but it also means we must have an entry for *every* page! More later!)

Page Map


<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writeable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1
1	0x13241	0
0	0x256	0



Virtual Address Encodes Page + Offset

Key idea: if you pick a page size that is a power of the base, the upper digits identify the page #.


E.g. base 10, say page size = $10^3 = 1000$:



Virtual Address Encodes Page + Offset

Key idea: if you pick a page size that is a power of the base, the upper digits identify the page #.


E.g. base 16, say page size = $16^3 = 4096$:




Page Map

<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writeable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1


For 4KB pages (4096 bytes), the offset can be 0-4095. Thus, we can store the offset in 12 bits (the amount needed to represent any number 0-4095). 12 bits = 3 hexadecimal digits.




Page Map



	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0



Page Map




Page Map




Process A Virtual
Address Space

	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0



Physical Address Space


Page Map



Process A Virtual
Address Space


Virtual Address
0x2223

	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0




Physical Address Space


Page Map




Page Map




	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0




Page Map




Page Map




Process A Virtual Address Space




	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0



Physical Address Space



Page Map




Process A Virtual Address Space

Virtual page # *Offset*

0x2	0x223
-----	-------

Virtual Address
0x2223

	Physical page #	WR?
12	3	1
11	X	X
10	X	X
9	X	X
8	X	X
7	X	X
6	X	X
5	X	X
4	2	1
3	9	0
2	1	0
1	7	0
0	5	0



Physical Address Space


Physical page # *Offset*

0x1	0x223
-----	-------


Physical Address
0x1223

Page Map


<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1
1	0x13241	0
0	0x256	0




Page Map




Page Map



Page Map



Page Map



PolIEV: What is the physical address?

Respond on PolIEv:
pollev.com/cs111



<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writeable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1
1	0x13241	0
0	0x256	0

Virtual page # *Offset* *Physical page #* *Offset*

???

???



???

???

Virtual Address

0x1456

Physical Address


???

What physical address corresponds with virtual address 0x1456 in this example?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.

Practice: What is the physical address?




Practice: What is the physical address?

<u>Index</u>	Physical page #	Writeable?
...
3	0x2342	1
2	0x12625	1
1	0x13241	0
0	0x256	0



x86-64 with 4KB pages has 36-bit virtual page numbers and 40-bit physical page numbers.


Each Process Has A Page Map




Process A Virtual Address Space

Physical page #	WR?	PR?
3	1	1
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
2	1	1
9	0	1
1	0	1
7	0	1
5	0	1

Physical page #	WR?	PR?
10	1	1
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
X	X	0
8	1	1
12	0	1



Process B Virtual Address Space



Physical Address Space


Paging

How do we provide memory to a process?

- Keep a global free list of physical pages – grab the first one when we need one
- Update process page table for a virtual page to map to this physical page

In this way, we can represent a process's segments (e.g. code, data) as a collection of 1 or more pages, starting on any page boundary.


Requesting More Memory



Process A Virtual
Address Space

Physical Address Space

Requesting More Memory



Process A Virtual
Address Space

Physical Address Space

Paging

Key Idea: Each process's virtual (and physical) memory is divided into fixed-size chunks called *pages*. (Common size is 4KB pages).

- A “page” of virtual memory maps to a “page” of physical memory. No partial pages. No more external fragmentation! (but some internal fragmentation if not all of a page is used).
- The **page number** is a numerical ID for a page. We have virtual page numbers and physical page numbers.
- Each process has a *page map* (“*page table*”) with an entry for each virtual page, mapping it to a physical page number and other info such as a protection bit (read-only or read-write).
- A memory address can tell us the page number and offset within that page.
- Not all pages are mapped – unmapped pages cannot be accessed

Paging

On each memory reference:

- Look up info for that virtual page in the page map
- If it's a valid virtual page number, get the physical page number it maps to, and combine it with the specified offset to produce the physical address.

Problem #1: what about invalid page numbers? I.e. pages the process is not using and that are not allowed to be accessed? how do we know/represent which pages are valid or invalid?

Solution: have entries in the page map for *all* pages, including invalid ones. Add an additional field marking whether it's valid ("present").

Problem #2: what if we run out of memory? More next time...

Recap

- **Recap:** virtual memory and dynamic address translation
- Approach #2: Multiple Segments
- Approach #3: Paging

Next time: demand paging

Lecture 22 takeaway:
Dynamic Address translation means that the OS intercepts and translates each memory access. Initial approaches to this include base+bound per process, or expanding that to be base+bound per variable-length segment, or instead dividing into fixed-size pages.