CS193T Final Project Rubric

This project is an opportunity to apply agentic AI tools to a problem in your field, work, or life, and to reflect on the implications of using AI in that setting. Projects may take many forms. We evaluate the thoughtfulness of your implementation, ethical reflection, and understanding of the context.

Rating	Technical: Use of tools	Ethics reflection	Context: Problem, purpose, and fit
1 — Insufficient evidence	Provides little evidence of an implemented AI tool or workflow; cannot explain how it works.	Provides little or no reflection on ethical issues raised by the project.	Does not clearly identify the problem, intended users, or purpose.
2 — Developing	Implements one part of a tool or workflow, but important gaps remain in its core function or the explanation of its implementation.	Names relevant concerns but discusses them generally, with limited connection to project choices or possible responses.	Identifies a problem or audience, but the intended use and fit of the approach remain unclear.
3 — Meets expectations	Project uses a selection of tools from the course. Explains the tools used, how the tool operates, and what worked or did not work, using at least one concrete example or test.	Examines ethical issues specific to the project, including who could benefit or be harmed. Explains reasonable mitigations, remaining limitations, and who retains responsibility for use and outcomes.	Clearly explains the problem, intended users, and setting. Gives a reasonable justification for using AI and identifies what the project can and cannot contribute.
4 — Strong	Project uses a thoughtful selection from among the most sophisticated tools and techniques covered in the class (multi-agent and/or multi-step workflows, vibe coding, etc). Demonstrates the product's performance through well designed tests. This information is well articulated in the poster.	Connects ethical analysis to concrete design decisions and thoughtfully examines tradeoffs, affected stakeholders, and gaps in safeguards. Analysis includes how widespread use could change communities, practices, or people's work.	Clearly explains a compelling personal or community need and how the project helps fulfill that need. Offers a particularly insightful understanding of the setting or explains how the project might change existing practices.

Pass/fail standard: A passing project earns **8 or higher as a composite score** and completes the required deliverables:

- A pre-showcase meeting with the tutorial TA to review the implementation.
- A showcase poster presenting the project and ethical reflections.
- A brief, approximately 3–5 minute oral presentation during our final project poster session.