BIDAF Model Optimization and QANet Model Re-implementation on SQuAD2.0

Dataset – SQuAD2.0

- A question-answering dataset based on Wikipedia articles
- Composed of contexts, related questions, and ground-truth answers
- Questions are derived from context, and answers are span-based
- Over 100,000 questions, half of which are unanswerable



Project Description

We aim to improve the end-to-end Q&A systems for SQuAD2.0 by

- Add building blocks to the baseline BIDAF model
- Explore attention mechanisms in BIDAF model
- Re-implement QANet

Evaluation metrics

- Exact Match (EM): whether the answer span matches exactly with the ground truth answer
- F1 scores: harmonic mean of precision and recall
- AvNA: Answer vs. No Answer prediction

Yulian ZHOU Department of Computer Science zhouyl@stanford.edu

Model Architecture

BIDAF: A bi-directional attention flow network[1] QANET: A Q&A model fully based on convolution and selfattention without recurrent[2]



Model Performance

Result: The ensemble model from model 3 and 5 achieved **EM** = 66.64 and F1 score = 69.70 on the Dev. set, and EM = 65.224 and F1 score = 68.438 on the Test set (None-PCE, one submission made, first place on the Test Leaderboard as of March 18, 2019)

Table: Performance comparison of different BIDAF models

Model #	Based on	Change Made	EM	F1
0	baseline	N.A.	56.49	59.88
1	0	+CharCNN	59.94	63.12
2	1	+Linear ReLU	61.60	65.17
3	2	LSTM->GRU with increased hidden_size	63.85	67.24

Table: Performance comparison of QANet models with different settings

Model #	hidden_size	head_num	batch_size	EM	F1
4	96	3	24	60.78	64.36
5	96	4	20	61.94	65.47
6	128	8	8	59.62	63.47

Model Comparison



		BIDAF			QANet		
Туре	#	EM	F1	AvNA	EM	F1	AvNA
Overall	5951	67.24	63.85	73.45	65.49	61.96	72.26
What	3625	64.22	67.17	73.35	61.71	65.37	72.47
Who	688	63.52	66.24	71.37	62.50	64.65	69.62
How	569	58.88	64.90	71.35	58.88	63.61	69.60
When	451	72.06	73.55	78.71	72.06	72.78	76.72
Where	253	59.68	64.71	73.91	56.52	61.14	70.75
Which	214	69.16	72.71	78.97	67.29	71.09	78.97
Why	86	54.65	63.76	74.42	59.30	68.19	76.74
Other	65	44.62	54.38	61.54	33.85	41.94	58.46



- Bidirectional attention flow for machine comprehension. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01603, 2016.
- and Quoc V Le. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.09541*, 2018.



Table: Query Types and Performance Comparison of BIDAF and QANet model

Error Analysis

References

[1] Minjoon Seo, Aniruddha Kembhavi, Ali Farhadi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi.

[2] Adams Wei Yu, David Dohan, Minh-Thang Luong, Rui Zhao, Kai Chen, Mohammad Norouzi,

Qanet: Combining local convolution with global self-attention for reading comprehension.