# Self-Attention For Generative Models

# Ashish Vaswani and Anna Huang

Joint work with: Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Lukasz Kaiser, Illia Polosukhin, Llion Jones, Justin Gilmer, David Bieber, Jonathan Frankle, Jakob Uszkoreit, and others.

#### Learning Representations of Variable Length Data

#### Basic building block of sequence-to-sequence learning

Neural machine translation, summarization, QA, ...

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks**

Model of choice for learning variable-length representations.

Natural fit for sentences and sequences of pixels.

LSTMs, GRUs and variants dominate recurrent models.

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks**



### But...

Sequential computation inhibits parallelization.

No explicit modeling of long and short range dependencies.

We want to model hierarchy.

RNNs (w/ sequence-aligned states) seem wasteful!

#### Convolutional Neural Networks?



### **Convolutional Neural Networks?**

Trivial to parallelize (per layer).

Exploits local dependencies

'Interaction distance' between positions linear or logarithmic.

Long-distance dependencies require many layers.

#### Attention

#### Attention between encoder and decoder is crucial in NMT.

#### Why not use attention for representations?

#### Self-Attention



# Text generation

### Self-Attention

Constant 'path length' between any two positions.

Gating/multiplicative interactions.

Trivial to parallelize (per layer).

Can replace sequential computation entirely?



#### **Classification & regression with self-attention:**

Parikh et al. (2016), Lin et al. (2016)

#### Self-attention with RNNs:

Long et al. (2016), Shao, Gows et al. (2017)

#### **Recurrent attention:**

Sukhbaatar et al. (2015)

# The Transformer









#### Attention is Cheap!

#### FLOPs

Self-Attention	O(length <sup>2</sup> · dim)
RNN (LSTM)	$O(length \cdot dim^2)$
Convolution	O(length · dim <sup>2</sup> · kernel_width)

#### Attention is Cheap!

#### FLOPs

Self-Attention	O(length <sup>2</sup> · dim)	$= 4.10^9$
RNN <mark>(LSTM)</mark>	O(length · dim <sup>2</sup> )	$= 16.10^9$
Convolution	$O(\text{length} \cdot \text{dim}^2 \cdot \text{kernel_width})$	$= 6.10^9$

length=1000 dim=1000 kernel\_width=3

#### Attention: a weighted average



## Convolutions



#### Self-Attention





#### Attention head: Who









### Self-Attention: Averaging



#### Attention head: Who



#### Attention head: Did What?



#### Attention head: To Whom?



#### **Multihead Attention**



# Convolution:

#### Different linear transformations by relative position.



#### Attention: a weighted average



## **Multi-head Attention**

Parallel attention layers with different linear transformations on input and output.



#### Results

## Machine Translation: WMT-2014 BLEU

	EN-DE	EN-FR
GNMT (orig)	24.6	39.9
ConvSeq2Seq	25.2	40.5
Transformer*	28.4	41.8

\*Transformer models trained >3x faster than the others.

Attention is All You Need (NeurIPS 2017) Vaswani\*, Shazeer\*, Parmar\*, Uszkoreit\*, Jones\*, Kaiser\*, Gomez\*, Polosukhin\*

# Frameworks:

tensor2tensor


## Importance of residuals



Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

## Importance of Residuals

Residuals carry positional information to higher layers, among other information.



With residuals



#### Without residuals



0 🖬

Without residuals, with timing signals

## Training Details

ADAM optimizer with a learning rate warmup (warmup + exponential decay)

Dropout during training at every layer just before adding residual

Layer-norm

Attention dropout (for some experiments)

Checkpoint-averaging

Label smoothing

. . .

Auto-regressive decoding with beam search and length biasing

# What Matters?

2 <b>-</b>		N	$d_{\mathrm{model}}$	$d_{ m ff}$	h	$d_k$	$d_v$	$P_{drop}$	$\epsilon_{ls}$	train steps	PPL (dev)	BLEU (dev)	$\frac{\text{params}}{\times 10^6}$
-	base	6	512	2048	8	64	64	0.1	0.1	100K	4.92	25.8	65
	(A)				1	512	512				5.29	24.9	
					4	128	128				5.00	25.5	
					16	32	32				4.91	25.8	
					32	16	16				5.01	25.4	
	<b>(B)</b>					16					5.16	25.1	58
						32					5.01	25.4	60
-	(C)	2									6.11	23.7	36
		4									5.19	25.3	50
Resul		8									4.88	25.5	80
			256			32	32				5.75	24.5	28
-			1024			128	128				4.66	26.0	168
				1024							5.12	25.4	53
				4096							4.75	26.2	90
	(D)							0.0			5.77	24.6	(3) (3)
								0.2			4.95	25.5	
									0.0		4.67	25.3	
									0.2		5.47	25.7	
	(E)	positional embedding instead of sinusoids							4.92	25.7			
	big	6	1024	4096	16			0.3		300K	4.33	26.4	213

## Generating Wikipedia by Summarizing Long Sequences

msaleh@ et al. submission to ICLR'18

ROUGE

seq2seq-attention	12.7
Transformer-ED (L=500)	34.2
Transformer-DMCA (L=11000)	36.2

# Self-Similarity, Image and Music Generation

## Self-similarity in images



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-similarity

Self-Similarity in Images



Starry Night (Van Gogh, June 1889)

## Self-similarity in music

Motifs repeat, immediately and also at a distance



## **Probabilistic Image Generation**

Model the joint distribution of pixels

Turning it into a sequence modeling problem

Assigning probabilities allows measuring generalization

## **Probabilistic Image Generation**

RNNs and CNNs are state-of-the-art (PixelRNN, PixelCNN)

**CNNs incorporating gating now match RNNs in quality** 

**CNNs are much faster due to parallelization** 

A Oord et al. (2016), Salimans et al. (2017), Kalchbrenner et al. (2016)

## **Probabilistic Image Generation**

Long-range dependencies matter for images (e.g. symmetry)

Likely increasingly important with increasing image size

Modeling long-range dependencies with CNNs requires either

Many layers likely making training harder

Large kernels at large parameter/computational cost

## Texture Synthesis with Self-Similarity



Texture Synthesis by Non-parametric Sampling (Efros and Leung, 1999)

## Non-local Means



Figure 1. Scheme of NL-means strategy. Similar pixel neighborhoods give a large weight, w(p,q1) and w(p,q2), while much different neighborhoods give a small weight w(p,q3).

BCM 2005

## Non-local Means

A Non-local Algorithm for Image Denoising (Buades, Coll, and Morel. CVPR 2005)

Non-local Neural Networks (Wang et al., 2018)

## Previous work

#### Self-attention:

Parikh et al. (2016), Lin et al. (2016), Vaswani et al. (2017)

#### **Autoregressive Image Generation:**

A Oord et al. (2016), Salimans et al. (2017)







## Attention is Cheap!

#### FLOPs

Self-Attention	O(length <sup>2</sup> · dim)
RNN (LSTM)	$O(length \cdot dim^2)$
Convolution	O(length · dim <sup>2</sup> · kernel_width)

## Attention is Cheap if length << dim!

### FLOPs

Self-Attention	$O(\text{length}^2 \cdot \text{dim})$ (length=3072 for images)
RNN (LSTM)	$O(length \cdot dim^2)$
Convolution	$O(\text{length} \cdot \text{dim}^2 \cdot \text{kernel}_\text{width})$

## Combining Locality with Self-Attention

Restrict the attention windows to be local neighborhoods

Good assumption for images because of spatial locality

#### Local 1D Attention



#### Local 2D Attention





# Image Transformer Layer

## Tasks

Super-resolution

Unconditional and Conditional Image generation



Image Transformer Parmar\*, Vaswani\*, Uszkoreit, Kaiser, Shazeer, Ku, and Tran. ICML 2018

# Unconditional Image Generation

	Cifar-10 (Test)	Imagenet (Validation)
PixelRNN	3.00	3.86
Gated PixelCNN	3.03	3.83
PixelCNN++	2.92 (dmol)	-
PixelSNAIL	2.85	3.8
Image Transformer, 1D local	2.9 (xent)	3.77
Image Transformer, 1D local	2.9 (dmol)	3.78

Cross entropy of various models on CIFAR-10 and Imagenet datasets.

## Cifar10 Samples



## **CelebA Super Resolution**



## CelebA Super Resolution

	% Fooled				
	Γ = n/a	Γ = 1.0	Г = 0.9	Γ = 0.8	
ResNet	4.0	-	-	-	
srez GAN (Garcia, 2016)	8.5	-	-	-	
Pixel Recursive (Dahl et al., 2017)	-	11.0	10.4	10.25	
Image Transformer, 1D local		<b>35.94</b> ± 3.0	33.5 ± 3.5	29.6 ± 4.0	
Image Transformer, 2D local		<b>36.11</b> ±2.5	34 ± 3.5	30.64 ± 4.0	

Human Eval performance for the Image Transformer on CelebA. The fraction of humans fooled is significantly better than the previous state of art.

## Cifar10 SuperResolution



## Conditional Image Completion



# Music generation using relative self-attention

<u>Music Transformer</u> (ICLR 2019) by <u>Cheng-Zhi Anna Huang</u>, <u>Ashish Vaswani</u>, <u>Jakob Uszkoreit</u>, Noam Shazeer, <u>Ian Simon</u>, <u>Curtis Hawthorne</u>, <u>Andrew M. Dai</u>, <u>Matthew D. Hoffman</u>, <u>Monica Dinculescu</u> and <u>Douglas Eck</u>.

Blog post: https://magenta.tensorflow.org/music-transformer

## Raw representations in music and language



(Image from Simon & Oore, 2016)

## Music Language model: Prior work Performance RNN (Simon & Oore, 2016)




Given motif



Given motif

3 B

Given motif

RNN-LSTM





Given motif















# Self-Similarity in Music



### Sample from Music Transformer



### Attention: a weighted average



#### Attention: a weighted average



# Convolution:

#### Different linear transformations by relative position.



#### Relative attention (Shaw et al, 2018) Multihead attention + convolution?



**Closer look at attention** 

 $softmax(QK^{\top})$ 



#### Closer look at relative attention



### Machine Translation (Shaw et al, 2018)

Model	Position Representati on	BLEU En-De	BLEU En-Fr
Transformer Big	Absolute	27.9	41.3
Transformer Big	Relative	29.2	41.5

#### Previous work O(L<sup>2</sup>D): 8.5 GB per layer (Shaw et al, 2018)

Per layer, L=2048, D=512

 $softmax(QK^{\top} + Qf(E_{rel}))$ 



#### Our formulation O(LD): 4.2 MB per layer

 $softmax(QK^{\top} + skew(QE_{rel}^{\top}))$ 

Per layer, L=2048, D=512



# Goal of skewing procedure

Indexed by



# Skewing to reduce relative memory from O(L<sup>2</sup>D) to O(LD)



# A Jazz sample from Music Transformer

# A Jazz sample from Music Transformer



#### **Convolutions and Translational Equivariance**



#### **Relative positions Translational Equivariance**



#### **Relative Attention And Graphs**



#### **Relative Attention And Graphs**





Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks. (Battaglia et al., 2018)

Self-Attention With Relative Position Representations (Shaw et al., 2018)

#### Message Passing Neural Networks



$$m_{v}^{t+1} = \sum_{w \in N(v)} M_{t}(h_{v}^{t}, h_{w}^{t}, e_{vw})$$
$$h_{v}^{t+1} = U_{t}(h_{v}^{t}, m_{v}^{t+1})$$
$$\hat{y} = R(\{h_{v}^{T} | v \in G\})$$

Neural Message Passing For Quantum Chemistry. Gilmer et al. ICML 2017

Slide credit: Justin Gilmer

Google

# **Multiple Towers**



- Run k smaller copies of the MPNN in parallel.
- Mix node states after each message pass.
- Offers a factor of k speedup for the same node dimension d (> 2x speedup when d=200).
- Also helped improve performance when used with matrix multiply message function.

# Graph Library

#### <u>Code</u>

With Justin Gilmer, Jonathan Frankle, and David Bieber

### Self-Attention

Constant 'path length' between any two positions.

Unbounded memory.

Trivial to parallelize (per layer).

Models Self-Similarity.

Relative attention provides expressive timing, equivariance, and extends naturally to graphs.

#### Active Research Area

Non autoregressive transformer (Gu and Bradbury et al., 2018)

Deterministic Non-Autoregressive Neural Sequence Modeling by Iterative Refinement (Lee, Manismov, and Cho, 2018)

Fast Decoding in Sequence Models Using Discrete Latent Variables (ICML 2018) Kaiser, Roy, Vaswani, Pamar, Bengio, Uszkoreit, Shazeer

Towards a Better Understanding of Vector Quantized Autoencoders Roy, Vaswani, Parmar, Neelakantan, 2018

Blockwise Parallel Decoding For Deep Autogressive Models (NeurIPS 2019) Stern, Shazeer, Uszkoreit,

# Transfer learning

Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training (Radford, Narsimhan, Salimans, and Sutskever)

BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding (Devlin, Chang, Lee, and Toutanova)
## **Optimization and Large Models**

Adafactor: Adaptive Learning Rates with Sublinear Memory Cost (ICML 2018). Shazeer, Stern.

Memory-Efficient Adaptive Optimization for Large-Scale Learning (2019). Anil, Gupta, Koren, Singer.

Mesh-TensorFlow: Deep Learning for Supercomputers (NeurIPS 2019). Shazeer, Cheng, Parmar, Tran, Vaswani, Koanantakool, Hawkins, Lee, Hong, Young, Sepassi, Hechtman) <u>Code</u> (5 billion parameters)

## Self-attention in Other Work.

Generating Wikipedia by Summarizing Long sequences. (ICLR 2018). Liu, Saleh, Pot, Goodrich, Sepassi, Shazeer, Kaiser.

Universal Transformers (ICLR 2019). Deghiani\*, Gouws\*, Vinyals, Uszkoreit, Kaiser.

Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context (2019). Dai, Yang, Yang, Carbonell, Le, Salakhutdinov.

A Time-Restricted Self-Attention Layer for ASR (ICASSP 2018). Povey, Hadian, Gharemani, Li, Khudanpur.

Character-Level Language Modeling with Deeper Self-Attention (2018). Roufou\*, Choe\*, Guo\*, Constant\*, Jones\*

# Ongoing and Future Work

## Ongoing

Self-supervision and classification for images and video

Understanding Transfer

#### Future

Multitask learning

Long-range attention