

Stanford University
Computer Science Department
CS 240 Quiz 3
Spring 2006

June 7, 2006

This is an open-book exam. You have 50 minutes to answer 8 out of 10 questions. Write all of your answers directly on the paper. Make your answers as concise as possible. Sentence fragments ok.

NOTE: We will take off points if a correct answer also includes incorrect or irrelevant information. (I.e., don't put in everything you know in hopes of saying the correct buzzword.)

Question	Score
1 - 5	
6 - 10	
total	

Stanford University Honor Code

In accordance with both the letter and the spirit of the Honor Code, I did not cheat on this exam nor will I assist someone else cheating.

Name and Stanford ID:

Signature:

Answer eight of the following ten questions and, in a sentence or two, say *why* your answer holds (5 points each).

1. Initial versions of BSD disabled and re-enabled interrupts as the sole means of providing mutual exclusion. Using your knowledge of Mesa: what must BSD do (and why) if a kernel thread running with interrupts disabled sleeps (i.e., puts itself on a block queue and allows another thread to run)? Give an example of a problem this can cause. (Note: you only need to understand Mesa to answer this question, the details of BSD are irrelevant.)

2. You run on an Alpha which only has loads and stores of 32-bit and 64-bit values (i.e., has no way to store and load bytes or shorts directly). What is the complete set of values could you possibly see after running the following code and why?

```
char x = 0;
char y = 0;
```

```
      Thread 1          Thread 2
-----
x = 1;                y = 1;
```

3. Describe how to do Eraser-style detection of file race conditions in an NFS file server. In particular explain: (1) what the states in the Eraser state diagram correspond to and (2) what information you would need besides just the RPC arguments for **read** and **write**. Note: You will almost certainly need to augment the NFS protocol and you should use the Eraser definition of what a race is.

4. Assume you could classify packets with what application they were intended for infinitely fast. What could you improve in the livelock paper and how?

5. Explain how you would use the Xen “reorder barrier” when writing out LFS checkpoints.

6. Unlike FFS, Linux `ext2` requires the user `fsync` both a newly created file and its containing directory to guarantee that both the name and file will be persistent. Assume: Linux `ext2` does no synchronous writes to disk, but does implement `fsync` correctly. You `creat` a brand-new file, and successfully `fsync` both it and its directory entry to disk. The system crashes. After a crash the `fsck` repair program discovers that the inode for your file is also claimed by an entirely different directory from a different user. What happened? (This basic bug currently ships with Linux, BTW.)

7. Map/reduce skips log records that cause problems. Give a justification similar to one from the end-to-end paper for why this is ok.

8. Assume LFS has split a 100MB disk that has 50% utilization into 1MB. Draw the a distribution of segment utilization that will give a write cost of 1.

9. Lampson talks about using batching for speed. Give four examples from our reading where systems have done this.

10. Give four examples of Lampson hints from the exokernel paper.