

## Class 11: Agenda, Questions, and Links

### 1 Announcements

- HW5 was due today, HW6 due next Wednesday!

### 2 Recap/Questions?

Any questions from the minilectures and/or the quiz (second moment method and LLL)?

### 3 Practice with the LLL

Recall the  $k$ -SAT problem. There are  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We consider clauses that look like  $(x_{i_1} \vee x_{i_2} \vee \overline{x_{i_3}} \vee \dots \vee x_{i_k})$ ; that is, a clause is the OR of  $k$  literals. **For today, assume that each clause has  $k$  distinct variables that appear in it.** We have a formula  $\varphi$  that is the AND of  $m$  clauses. We would like to know: is  $\varphi$  satisfiable? That is, is there a way to assign values to the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  so that  $\varphi$  evaluates to TRUE?

#### Group Work

Suppose that each variable  $x_i$  is in at most  $t$  clauses, for some parameter  $t$  that will depend on  $k$  and that you'll work out in this problem. Apply the LLL to get a statement like the following:

Suppose that each variable is in at most  $t$  clauses of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is satisfiable.

(You should try to get  $t$  to be as large as possible. It's not hard to see that the statement above is true if, say,  $t = 1$ , but you should get a value of  $t$  that grows with  $k$ .)

*Hint: Recall that to apply the LLL, you need to define a probability distribution and a set of "bad" events. We set up this example in the minilecture video, we just didn't work out the conclusion. In the set-up of the video, we considered the probability distribution to correspond to assigning TRUE/FALSE to each variable  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  independently with probability  $1/2$  each, and we defined the bad event  $A_i$  to be the event that clause  $i$  is not satisfied.*

#### 3.1 More Practice with LLL and Mutual Independence

Consider a set of equations over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , where each equation has the form  $a_1x_{i_1} + a_2x_{i_2} + \dots + a_rx_{i_r} \equiv a_{r+1} \pmod{17}$ , for some  $r$  (that might vary from equation to equation) and

set of coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \{1, 2, \dots, 16\}$ , and  $a_{r+1} \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ . Additionally, suppose that each variable,  $x_i$ , occurs in at most 4 equations.

### Group Work

Prove that there exists an assignment to the variables such that *none* of the equations are satisfied.

**Hint:** Recall that because 17 is prime, for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, 16\}$  and any  $b \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ , the equation  $ax \equiv b$  has a unique solution for  $x \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ .

**Hint:** It might be helpful to go back to the definition of mutual independence when arguing about the value of  $d$  when applying the LLL.

## 4 Practice with the second moment method

In a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , say that a vertex  $v$  is **isolated** if it has no neighboring vertices.

### Group Work

Let  $G \sim G_{n,p}$  be a random graph where each edge is present independently with probability  $p$ , where  $p = \frac{c \ln n}{n}$  for some constant  $0 < c < 1$ .

1. Use the Second Moment Method to show that, with probability at least  $1 - o(1)$ , there is some isolated vertex in  $G$ .

For this exercise, feel free to use the approximation  $e^{-x} \approx 1 - x$  when  $x$  is small as an equality without worrying about it.

**Hint:** Consider the random variable  $X$  that is the number of isolated vertices in  $G$ , and recall that the second moment method says that  $\Pr[X = 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}$ .

**Hint:** When computing the variance of  $X$ , you may want to consider the following question: given two distinct vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$ , what is the probability that both  $u$  and  $v$  are isolated?

2. If you finish the previous part, what statement can you make about the case that  $c > 1$ ?