

## Class 7: Agenda, Questions, and Links

**1 Announcements**

- HW4 is out, due next Wednesday.
- Solutions for HW2 are posted (or will be posted very soon).

**2 Lecture Recap and Questions?**

Any questions from the mini-lectures or pre-class-quiz? (Metric Embeddings; Bourgain's Embedding)

**3 Warm-Up****Group Work**

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a weighted, undirected graph, on  $n$  vertices with edge weights  $w_{uv}$  on the edge  $\{u, v\} \in E$ . Let  $d : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the associated graph metric.

Explain how to efficiently find and apply a map  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , for  $k = O(\log^2 n)$ , so that

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n) \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d(u, v)}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d(u, v)}$$

holds with high probability. Above,  $\binom{V}{2}$  refers to the set of all unordered pairs  $\{u, v\}$  for  $u, v \in V$  and  $u \neq v$ .

**Group Work: Solutions**

Let  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be the map given by Bourgain's embedding. Then for all  $u, v$ , we have (for some constant  $b$ )

$$\frac{k}{b \log n} d(u, v) \leq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 \leq k d(u, v),$$

and so

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d(u, v)}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d(u, v)} \geq \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \frac{1}{k} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \frac{b \log n}{k} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} = \frac{1}{b \log n} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $b \log n$  establishes the statement.

## 4 Minimum Cuts

[Will present on the whiteboards, summary is below]

For a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , define

$$\phi(G, S) = \frac{|E(S, \bar{S})|}{|S||\bar{S}|},$$

and

$$\phi(G) = \min_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset, V} \phi(G, S),$$

where above  $\bar{S} := V \setminus S$  denotes the complement of  $S$ , and  $E(S, \bar{S})$  denotes the set of edges that have one endpoint in  $S$  and one endpoint in  $\bar{S}$ .

Intuitively, if  $\phi(G, S)$  is small, then  $S$  is pretty “disconnected” from  $\bar{S}$ . Notice that the denominator,  $|S||\bar{S}|$ , is the number of edges that would be between  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  in the complete graph, so  $\phi(G, S)$  is the fraction of possible edges between  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  that actually exist in  $G$ .

Finding  $S$  to minimize  $\phi(G, S)$  is useful, for example, in clustering applications. However, it’s also NP-hard. Today we’ll see a randomized algorithm to find an  $S$  so that  $\phi(G, S)$  is *approximately* minimized. More precisely, we’ll find  $S$  so that  $\phi(S, G) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G)$ .

Question: How is this definition of  $\phi(G)$  different/better than simply asking for the sparsest cut? (Recall we saw a randomized algorithm for the sparsest cut back in Week 1...)

### 4.1 Connection to metrics

#### Group Work

In this group work, you will show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_f \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}, \quad (1)$$

where the minimum is over all functions  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k$ , so that  $f$  takes on at least two distinct values. (This last bit is needed so that the denominator doesn’t vanish).

1. Show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f: V \rightarrow \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|},$$

where the minimum is over all functions  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  so that  $f$  takes on both values 0 and 1. (The difference between this and the expression above is that  $f$

maps to  $\{0, 1\}$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k$ ).

**Hint:** Consider mapping functions  $f$  to sets  $S$  by the relationship  $S = \{u : f(u) = 1\}$ .

2. Think about why the above extends to show that

$$\phi(G) = \inf_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|},$$

where now the minimum is over  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  instead of  $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

(Don't worry about a formal proof here, just kind of convince yourself intuitively that this is true).

**Hint:** Using part (a), it suffices to show that the infimum over all  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is actually attained by some  $f$  that maps vertices in  $V$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ . To see this, consider the following steps:

- Suppose that  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  takes on three distinct values,  $a < b < c$ . Consider a new function  $f_x : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , so that  $f_x(u) = x$  if  $f(u) = b$ , and  $f_x(u) = f(u)$  otherwise. That is,  $f_x(u)$  just replaces the value  $b$  with  $x$ . Show that either

$$R(f_a) \leq R(f) \quad \text{or} \quad R(f_c) \leq R(f),$$

where

$$R(f) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|}.$$

(That is, by sliding the middle value  $b$  towards either  $a$  or  $c$ , you can decrease this quantity.)

**Sub-hint:** when you vary  $x \in [a, c]$ , you can get rid of the absolute values in  $R(f_x)$ . Looking at a small example might be helpful.

- Argue that the above logic implies that there's an  $f$  that attains the infimum that takes on only two values.
- Argue that those two values may as well be 0 and 1.

3. Think about why the above extends to show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1},$$

where the minimum is over all functions  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  for any  $k$ .

**Hint:** You may want to use the inequality that  $\frac{\sum_i a_i}{\sum_i b_i} \geq \min_i \frac{a_i}{b_i}$  for  $a_i, b_i > 0$ .

## Group Work: Solutions

1. Using the connection in the hint, the numerator is exactly  $|E(S, \bar{S})|$ , and the denominator is the number of edges between  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  in the complete graph, which is  $|S||\bar{S}|$ .
2. **Note: this proof is a bit involved; there is an easier proof, but this one involves the least machinery and also is somewhat algorithmic, which will be useful later. I didn't expect students to get all of the details of this proof in group work, I only wanted you to get some basic intuition.**

For convenience, let

$$R(f) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|}.$$

Notice that both the numerator and the denominator of  $R(f_{b'})$  are linear in  $b'$ , for  $b' \in [a, c]$ . This is because if both  $f(u), f(v) = b$ , then  $|f_{b'}(u) - f_{b'}(v)| = |f(u) - f(v)| = 0$ ; if neither are equal to  $b$ , then the expression does not change; and if only one is equal to  $b$  (say WLOG that  $f(u) = b$ ), then the other one is either  $\leq a$  or  $\geq c$  (say WLOG  $\leq a$ ), meaning that  $|f_{b'}(u) - f_{b'}(v)| = |b - f(v)| = b - f(v)$  is linear in  $b'$ .

Further, the denominator of  $R(f_{b'})$  doesn't vanish, since there's at least one nonzero term in it (e.g., the term  $|c - a|$ ). But then  $R(f_{b'})$  is the ratio of linear functions in  $b'$ , and the denominator never vanishes. It's not too hard to see (e.g., with some calculus) that  $R(f_{b'})$  is thus is either increasing or decreasing (or constant), and in particular it attains a minimum at one of the endpoints  $a$  or  $c$  of the relevant interval.

We could have done this for any  $f$  so that there are  $\geq 3$  distinct values in the range. By doing this repeatedly, we see that for any  $f$  with  $\geq 3$  distinct values, there is some  $f^*$  with only two values (say,  $a$  and  $b$ ) so that  $R(f^*) \leq R(f)$ . But notice that  $R(f^*)$  doesn't change if we change the values of  $a$  and  $b$  to 0 and 1 respectively. (That is, replace  $f^*(x)$  with  $\frac{f^*(x)-a}{b-a}$ ).

This implies that  $\inf_{f: V \rightarrow \{0,1\}} R(f) \leq \inf_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f)$ , and since there are only a finite number of functions  $f: V \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ , the infimum is actually a minimum.

3. We have shown that  $\phi(G) = \min_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f)$ . We clearly have

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f) \geq \min_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} R(f),$$

since the set we are minimizing over on the right. On the other hand, for any

$f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , we can write  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(f) &= \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \sum_i |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \sum_i |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_i \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_i \sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &\geq \min_i \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &= \min_i R(f_i) \\
 &\geq \min_{g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(g) \\
 &= \phi(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the above reasoning held for any  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , we conclude

$$\min_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} R(f) \geq \phi(G).$$

## 4.2 A randomized algorithm

### Group Work

1. First, all quietly read the following: Based on the result that we got in the first group work, we might think of the following approach:

Find  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  to minimize

$$R(f) := \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}$$

Unfortunately, this doesn't turn out to be an easy optimization problem to solve. Instead, we'll consider the optimization problem:

Find values  $d_{u,v} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $u \neq v \in V$  to minimize

$$Q(d) := \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d_{u,v}$$

subject to:

- $d_{u,v} = d_{v,u} \geq 0$  for all  $u, v$
- $d_{u,v} + d_{v,w} \geq d_{u,w}$  for all  $u, v, w$

- $\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d_{u,v} = 1$

It turns out that this problem *can* be solved efficiently, using linear programming. (If you don't know what that is, it's okay, all that matters now is that we can find  $\vec{d}$  to minimize this efficiently).

2. Suppose that  $d^*$  is the minimizer of the problem above.

Explain why  $Q(d^*) \leq \phi(G)$ .

3. Find a randomized algorithm to approximate  $\phi(G)$ . More precisely, give a randomized algorithm that finds  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  so that, with high probability,

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

**Hint:** Your warm-up exercise might be relevant.

**Hint:** If it comes up, you may assume that Bourgain's embedding works just fine on pseudo-metrics, which are functions  $d(u, v)$  that obey all of the axioms of metrics except that maybe  $d(u, v) = 0$  for  $u \neq v$ .

4. Given  $f$  as in the previous part, explain how to efficiently find a set  $S \subset V$  so that

$$\phi(G, S) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

**Hint:** Our proof in the first group-work was somewhat algorithmic...

## Group Work: Solutions

1. Notice that because of the final constraint, and the fact that the  $\ell_1$  norm satisfies  $\|c(f(u) - f(v))\|_1 = c\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1$ ,

$$R(f) = Q(d_f),$$

where

$$d_f(u, v) = \frac{\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{u', v' \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u') - f(v')\|_1}.$$

But  $Q(d^*)$  is the minimum over *all* (pseudo-)metrics (aka, distances  $d$  that satisfy  $d(u, v) = d(v, u) \geq 0$  and also satisfy the triangle inequality), so in particular  $d_f$  is in the domain that we are minimizing over. Thus,  $Q(d^*) \leq Q(d_f) = R(f)$ .

Since this holds for any  $f$ ,

$$Q(d^*) \leq \min_f R(f) = \phi(G)$$

using the previous group work.

2. Apply Bourgain's embedding to the metric  $d^*$  to get some embedding  $f$ . The warm-up exercise exactly implies that

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n)Q(d^*) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

3. Given  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , we saw that we can just find the coordinate  $f_i$  of  $f$  with the smallest  $R(f_i)$  value and that will have  $R(f_i) \leq R(f)$ . From there, if  $f$  takes on more than two values, we can "push" any intermediate value to one of its two neighbors. Repeating this leaves us with  $f$  taking on only two values, and then we can renormalize  $f$  to take on values that are only 0 and 1. Then we let  $S \leftarrow \text{Supp}(f)$ .