Due: 10/14 (Friday) at 11:59pm on Gradescope

Please follow the homework policies on the course website.

## 1. (8 pt.) [Counting small cuts.]

Recall that a cut of an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a partition of the vertices V into nonempty disjoint sets A and B. A  $min\ cut$  of G is a cut that minimizes the number of edges that cross the cut (have one endpoint in A and one in B).

In the following problems, assume G is a connected graph on n vertices (i.e., there is no cut with 0 edges that cross it).

- (a) (2 pt.) A graph may have many possible min cuts. Prove that G has at most n(n-1)/2 min cuts.
- (b) (2 pt.) Show that part (a) is tight; for every  $n \ge 2$ , give a connected graph on n vertices with exactly n(n-1)/2 min cuts.
- (c) (4 pt.) Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Suppose that any min cut of G has k edges that cross the cut. An  $\alpha$ -small cut of G is a cut that has at most  $\alpha k$  edges that cross the cut. Prove that the number of such cuts is at most  $O(n^{2\alpha})$ .

[Note: If you find it easier, you'll still get full credit if you prove a bound of  $O((2n)^{2\alpha})$ .] [HINT: Consider stopping Karger's algorithm early and then outputting a random cut in the contracted graph. What is the probability that this returns a fixed  $\alpha$ -small cut of G? ]

(d) (0 pt.) [Optional: this won't be graded] Let  $f(n, \alpha)$  be the maximum number of  $\alpha$ -small cuts that an n vertex graph can have. What are the tightest upper and lower bounds you can find for  $f(n, \alpha)$ ?

## 2. (12 pt.) [Tightness of Markov's and Chebyshev's Inequalities]

- (a) (4 pt.) Show that Markov's inequality is tight. Specifically, for each value c > 1, describe a distribution  $D_c$  supported on non-negative real numbers such that if the random variable X is drawn according to  $D_c$  then (1)  $\mathbb{E}[X] > 0$  and (2)  $\Pr[X \ge c\mathbb{E}[X]] = 1/c$ .
- (b) (4 pt.) Show that Chebyshev's inequality is tight. Specifically, for each value c > 1, describe a distribution  $D_c$  supported on real numbers such that if the random variable X is drawn according to  $D_c$  then (1)  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and Var[X] = 1 and (2)  $\Pr[|X \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge c\sqrt{\text{Var}[X]}| = 1/c^2$ .
- (c) (4 pt.) [One-sided version of Chebyshev's Inequality] Prove a one-sided bound on the distribution of a random variable X given its variance. That is, if Var[X] = 1, what the best upper bound on  $Pr[X \mathbb{E}[X] \ge t]$ ? Give your answer in terms of t. Prove your bound (a) is true and (b) is tight by coming up with a variable X with distribution  $D_t$  and variance 1 for which  $Pr[X \mathbb{E}[X] \ge t]$  equals your answer.

3. (0 pt.) [This whole problem is optional and will not be graded.] In this problem, you'll analyze a different primality test than we saw in class. This one is called the *Agrawal-Biswas Primality test*.

Given a degree d polynomial p(x) with integer coefficients, for any polynomial q(x) with integer coefficients, we say  $q(x) \equiv t(x) \mod (p(x), n)$  if there exists some polynomial s(x) such that  $q(x) = s(x) \cdot p(x) + t(x) \mod n$ . (Here, we say that  $\sum_i c_i x^i = \sum_i c_i' x^i \mod n$  if and only if  $c_i = c_i' \mod n$  for all i.) For example,  $x^5 + 6x^4 + 3x + 1 \equiv 3x + 1 \mod (x^2 + x, 5)$ , since  $(x^3)(x^2 + x) + (3x + 1) = x^5 + x^4 + 3x + 1 \equiv x^5 + 6x^4 + 3x + 1 \mod 5$ .

## Agrawal-Biswas Primality Test.

Given n:

- If n is divisible by 2,3,5,7,11, or 13, or is a perfect power (i.e.  $n = c^r$  for integers c and r) then output **composite**.
- Set d to be the smallest integer greater than  $\log n$ , and choose a random degree d polynomial with leading coefficient 1:

$$r(x) = x^d + c_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0,$$

by choosing each coefficient  $c_i$  uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

• If  $(x+1)^n \equiv x^n + 1 \mod (r(x), n)$  then output **prime**, else output **composite**.

Consider the following theorem (you can assume this if you like, or for even more optional work, try to prove it!):

**Theorem 1** (Polynomial version of Fermat's little theorem).

- If n is prime, then for any integer a,  $(x-a)^n = x^n a \mod n$ .
- If n is not prime and is not a power of a prime, then for any a s.t. gcd(a,n) = 1 and any prime factor p of n,  $(x-a)^n \neq x^n a \mod p$ .

First, show that if n is prime, then the Agrawal-Biswas primality test will always return **prime**.

Now, we will prove that if n is composite, the probability over random choices of r(x) that the algorithm successfully finds a witness to the compositeness of n (and hence returns **composite**) is at least  $\frac{1}{4d}$ .

(a) Using the polynomial version of Fermat's Little Theorem, and the fact that, for prime q, every polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  that has leading coefficient 1 (i.e. that is "monic") has a unique factorization into irreducible monic polynomials, prove that the number of irreducible degree d factors that the polynomial  $(x+1)^n - (x^n+1)$  has over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is at most n/d, where p is any prime factor of n. (A polynomial is irreducible if it cannot be factored, for example  $x^2 + 1 = (x+1)(x+1) \mod 2$  is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , but  $x^2 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .)

[HINT: Even though this question sounds complicated, the proof is just one line...]

(b) Let f(d, p) denote the number of irreducible monic degree d polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Prove that if n is composite, and not a power of a prime, the probability that r(x) is a witness to the compositeness of n is at least  $\frac{f(d,p)-n/d}{p^d}$ , where p is a prime factor of n.

[HINT:  $p^d$  is the total number of monic degree d polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .]

(c) Now complete the proof, and prove that the algorithm succeeds with probability at least 1/(4d), leveraging the fact that the number of irreducible monic polynomials of degree d over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is at least  $p^d/d - p^{d/2}$ . (You should be able to prove a much better bound, though 1/4d is fine.)

[HINT: You will also need to leverage the fact that we chose  $d > \log n$  and also explicitly made sure that n has no prime factors less than 17. ]