

Class 7: Agenda and Questions

1 Announcements

- HW2 due Friday!
- HW3 out now! (Or soon!)

2 Warm-Up**Group Work**

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted, undirected graph, on n vertices with edge weights w_{uv} on the edge $\{u, v\} \in E$. Let $d : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the associated graph metric.

Explain how to efficiently find and apply a map $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, for $k = O(\log^2 n)$, so that

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n) \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d(u, v)}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d(u, v)}$$

holds with high probability. Above, $\binom{V}{2}$ refers to the set of all unordered pairs $\{u, v\}$ for $u, v \in V$ and $u \neq v$.

Group Work: Solutions

Let $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be the map given by Bourgain's embedding. Then for all u, v , we have (for some constant b)

$$\frac{k}{b \log n} d(u, v) \leq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1 \leq k d(u, v),$$

and so

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d(u, v)}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d(u, v)} \geq \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \frac{1}{k} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \frac{b \log n}{k} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} = \frac{1}{b \log n} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $b \log n$ establishes the statement.

3 Lecture Recap and Questions?

Any questions from the mini-lectures or pre-class-quiz? (Metric Embeddings; Bourgain's Embedding)

4 Sparsest Cuts

[Some slides; summary is below]

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, define

$$\phi(G, S) = \frac{|E(S, \bar{S})|}{|S||\bar{S}|},$$

and

$$\phi(G) = \min_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset, V} \phi(G, S),$$

where above $\bar{S} := V \setminus S$ denotes the complement of S , and $E(S, \bar{S})$ denotes the set of edges that have one endpoint in S and one endpoint in \bar{S} .

Intuitively, if $\phi(G, S)$ is small, then S is pretty “disconnected” from \bar{S} . Notice that the denominator, $|S||\bar{S}|$, is the number of edges that would be between S and \bar{S} in the complete graph, so $\phi(G, S)$ is the fraction of possible edges between S and \bar{S} that actually exist in G .

Finding S to minimize $\phi(G, S)$ is useful, for example, in clustering applications. However, it's also NP-hard. Today we'll see a randomized algorithm to find an S so that $\phi(G, S)$ is *approximately* minimized. More precisely, we'll find S so that $\phi(G, S) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G)$.

Question: How is this definition of $\phi(G)$ different than simply asking for the minimum cut? When might you prefer a sparsest cut to a min cut? (Recall we saw a randomized algorithm for the minimum cut back in Week 1...)

4.1 Connection to metrics

Group Work

In this group work, you will show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_f \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}, \tag{1}$$

where the minimum is over all functions $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for some k , so that f takes on at least two distinct values. (This last bit is needed so that the denominator doesn't vanish).

1. Show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f:V \rightarrow \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|},$$

where the minimum is over all functions $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ so that f takes on both values 0 and 1. (The difference between this and the expression above is that f maps to $\{0, 1\}$ instead of \mathbb{R}^k for some k).

Hint: What's a natural way to relate a set $S \subseteq V$ to a function $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$?

2. Think about why the above extends to show that

$$\phi(G) = \inf_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|},$$

where now the minimum is over $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ instead of $f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

(Don't worry about a formal proof here, just kind of convince yourself intuitively that this is true).

Hint: Using part (a), it suffices to show that the infimum over all $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is actually attained by some f that maps vertices in V to $\{0, 1\}$. To see this, consider the following steps:

- Suppose that $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ takes on three distinct values, $a < b < c$. Consider a new function $f_x : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that $f_x(u) = x$ if $f(u) = b$, and $f_x(u) = f(u)$ otherwise. That is, $f_x(u)$ just replaces the value b with x . Show that either

$$R(f_x) \leq R(f) \quad \text{or} \quad R(f_c) \leq R(f),$$

where

$$R(f) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|}.$$

(That is, by sliding the middle value b towards either a or c , you can decrease this quantity.)

- Argue that the above logic implies that there's an f that attains the infimum that takes on only two values.
- Argue that those two values may as well be 0 and 1.

3. Think about why the above extends to show that

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1},$$

where the minimum is over all functions $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for any k .

Hint: You may want to use the inequality that $\frac{\sum_i a_i}{\sum_i b_i} \geq \min_i \frac{a_i}{b_i}$ for $a_i, b_i > 0$.

Group Work: Solutions

1. Using the connection in the hint, the numerator is exactly $|E(S, \bar{S})|$, and the denominator is the number of edges between S and \bar{S} in the complete graph, which is $|S||\bar{S}|$.
2. **Note: this proof is a bit involved; there is an easier proof, but this one involves the least machinery and also is somewhat algorithmic, which will be useful later. I didn't expect students to get all of the details of this proof in group work, I only wanted you to get some basic intuition.**

For convenience, let

$$R(f) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f(u) - f(v)|}.$$

Notice that both the numerator and the denominator of $R(f_{b'})$ are linear in b' , for $b' \in [a, c]$. This is because if both $f(u), f(v) = b$, then $|f_{b'}(u) - f_{b'}(v)| = |f(u) - f(v)| = 0$; if neither are equal to b , then the expression does not change; and if only one is equal to b (say WLOG that $f(u) = b$), then the other one is either $\leq a$ or $\geq c$ (say WLOG $\leq a$), meaning that $|f_{b'}(u) - f_{b'}(v)| = |b - f(v)| = b - f(v)$ is linear in b' .

Further, the denominator of $R(f_{b'})$ doesn't vanish, since there's at least one nonzero term in it (e.g., the term $|c - a|$). But then $R(f_{b'})$ is the ratio of linear functions in b' , and the denominator never vanishes. It's not too hard to see (e.g., with some calculus) that $R(f_{b'})$ is thus is either increasing or decreasing (or constant), and in particular it attains a minimum at one of the endpoints a or c of the relevant interval.

We could have done this for any f so that there are ≥ 3 distinct values in the range. By doing this repeatedly, we see that for any f with ≥ 3 distinct values, there is some f^* with only two values (say, a and b) so that $R(f^*) \leq R(f)$. But notice that $R(f^*)$ doesn't change if we change the values of a and b to 0 and 1 respectively. (That is, replace $f^*(x)$ with $\frac{f^*(x)-a}{b-a}$).

This implies that $\inf_{f:V \rightarrow \{0,1\}} R(f) \leq \inf_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f)$, and since there are only a finite number of functions $f:V \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, the infimum is actually a minimum.

3. We have shown that $\phi(G) = \min_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f)$. We clearly have

$$\phi(G) = \min_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(f) \geq \min_{f:V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} R(f),$$

since the set we are minimizing over on the right. On the other hand, for any

$f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, we can write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(f) &= \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \sum_i |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \sum_i |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_i \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_i \sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &\geq \min_i \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} |f_i(u) - f_i(v)|} \\
 &= \min_i R(f_i) \\
 &\geq \min_{g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} R(g) \\
 &= \phi(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the above reasoning held for any $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, we conclude

$$\min_{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k} R(f) \geq \phi(G).$$

4.2 A randomized algorithm

Based on the result that we got in the first group work, we might think of the following approach:

Find $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ to minimize

$$R(f) := \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}$$

Unfortunately, this doesn't turn out to be an easy optimization problem to solve. Instead, we'll consider the optimization problem:

Find values $d_{u,v} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $u \neq v \in V$ to minimize

$$Q(d) := \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} d_{u,v}$$

subject to:

- $d_{u,v} = d_{v,u} \geq 0$ for all u, v
- $d_{u,v} + d_{v,w} \geq d_{u,w}$ for all u, v, w
- $\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} d_{u,v} = 1$

It turns out that this problem *can* be solved efficiently, using linear programming. (If you don't know what that is, it's okay, all that matters now is that we can find \vec{d} to minimize this efficiently).

Below, we'll show how to use this to find an efficient approximate sparsest-cut algorithm.

Group Work

1. Suppose that d^* is the minimizer of the problem above. Explain why $Q(d^*) \leq \phi(G)$.
2. Find a randomized algorithm to approximate $\phi(G)$. More precisely, give a randomized algorithm that finds $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ so that, with high probability,

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

Hint: Your warm-up exercise might be relevant.

Hint: If it comes up, you may assume that Bourgain's embedding works just fine on pseudo-metrics, which are functions $d(u, v)$ that obey all of the axioms of metrics except that maybe $d(u, v) = 0$ for $u \neq v$.

3. Given f as in the previous part, explain how to efficiently find a set $S \subset V$ so that

$$\phi(G, S) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

Hint: Our proof in the first group-work was somewhat algorithmic...

Group Work: Solutions

1. Notice that because of the final constraint, and the fact that the ℓ_1 norm satisfies $\|c(f(u) - f(v))\|_1 = c\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1$,

$$R(f) = Q(d_f),$$

where

$$d_f(u, v) = \frac{\|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{u', v' \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u') - f(v')\|_1}.$$

But $Q(d^*)$ is the minimum over *all* (pseudo-)metrics (aka, distances d that satisfy $d(u, v) = d(v, u) \geq 0$ and also satisfy the triangle inequality), so in particular d_f is in the domain that we are minimizing over. Thus, $Q(d^*) \leq Q(d_f) = R(f)$.

Since this holds for any f ,

$$Q(d^*) \leq \min_f R(f) = \phi(G)$$

using the previous group work.

2. Apply Bourgain's embedding to the metric d^* to get some embedding f . The warm-up exercise exactly implies that

$$\frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1}{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in \binom{V}{2}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|_1} \leq O(\log n)Q(d^*) \leq O(\log n)\phi(G).$$

3. Given $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, we saw that we can just find the coordinate f_i of f with the smallest $R(f_i)$ value and that will have $R(f_i) \leq R(f)$. From there, if f takes on more than two values, we can "push" any intermediate value to one of its two neighbors. Repeating this leaves us with f taking on only two values, and then we can renormalize f to take on values that are only 0 and 1. Then we let $S \leftarrow \text{Supp}(f)$.