

Microscopy

CS/CME/BioE/Biophys/BMI 279

Oct. 31, 2024

Ron Dror

Thanks to those who filled out the feedback survey!

- Lots of positive feedback
- Some concerns and suggestions for improvement, which are especially valuable!
- Additional feedback is welcome at any point

Planned changes in response to your feedback

- Office hours
 - We'll increase the availability of online office hours by making in-person office hours hybrid
 - Students joining office hours online will be automatically let into the Zoom
 - We have released a more accessible office hours schedule. See link on the course website and in the pinned "Updated Office Hour Calendar" Ed Discussion post
- We'll add more material to subsequent kickstarts
 - Assignment 3, kickstart 1: Mon., Nov. 4th at 9am via Zoom (recorded)
 - Assignment 3, kickstart 2: time TBD
 - Final project kickstart: time TBD


Outline

- Microscopy: the basics
- Fluorescence microscopy
- Resolution limits
 - The diffraction limit
 - Beating the diffraction limit

Microscopy: the basics

Most of what we know about the structure of cells come from imaging

- Light microscopy, including fluorescence microscopy



[https://
www.microscopyu.com/
articles/livecellimaging/
livecellmaintenance.html](https://www.microscopyu.com/articles/livecellimaging/livecellmaintenance.html)

- Electron microscopy



[http://
blog.library.gsu.edu/
wp-content/uploads/
2010/11/mtdna.jpg](http://blog.library.gsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2010/11/mtdna.jpg)


Light microscopy

- Basic idea:
 - Shine light on a biological sample (i.e., one or more cells)
 - Measure the light that is *reflected* or *transmitted*
 - Use lenses
 - Why do we need lenses in a microscope?



Lenses in microscopy

- The lenses in a microscope do two things:
 - Magnify the image
 - *Focus* the image, so that much of the light coming from a particular point in the sample ends up focusing on a particular point on either your retina or a sensor (e.g., CCD)
- You need a lens to form a clear image, even if you have a very high-resolution sensor



Fluorescence microscopy

Fluorescence microscopy: basic idea

- Suppose we want to know where a particular type of protein is located in the cell, or how these proteins move around
- We can't do this by simply looking through a microscope, because:
 - We (usually) don't have sufficient resolution
 - The protein of interest doesn't look different from the ones around it
- If only the protein would glow!
- Can we get a protein (or other molecule of interest) to glow?

Fluorescence microscopy: basic idea


- Make the molecules of interest glow
- Attach a fluorophore (fluorescent molecule) to the molecules of interest
- When you shine light of a particular wavelength on a fluorophore, it emits light of a different wavelength
 - Additional advantage: not only does the molecule glow, the light it emits has a different wavelength than the incident illumination (the light you're shining), making the molecule easier to isolate

Fluorophores

- Fluorophores can themselves be either proteins or small molecules
 - Among the most widely used are:
 - Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP)
 - Alexa fluors (small molecules)

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2008 was awarded jointly to Osamu Shimomura, Martin Chalfie and Roger Y. Tsien *"for the discovery and development of the green fluorescent protein, GFP"*.


GFP




Fluorescence microscopy images

- There are many types of fluorescence microscopy: wide-field, confocal, TIRF (total internal reflectance fluorescence), etc.

Butterfly Wing Epithelium




Wide-field



Confocal

<http://www.microscopyu.com/articles/confocal/confocalintrobasics.html>

TIRF




Von Zastrow lab, UCSF

Analyzing this data quantitatively involves the types of image analysis we discussed in previous lectures, and more

Single-molecule tracking

- If the density of fluorescent molecules is sufficiently low, we can track individual molecules
 - Doing this well is a challenging computational problem




Resolution limits

Resolution limits


The diffraction limit

A limit on focusing light


- The physics of light—in particular, the fact that it is a wave—imposes a fundamental limit on how well a lens can focus it
- The light from a single point in space will not focus to a single point
- Instead, it will focus to a disk-like pattern called an “Airy pattern”
 - This means the observed image will be slightly blurred
 - In fact, we can think of the observed image as the true image convolved with the Airy pattern. This constitutes a low-pass filter.



Airy pattern



The diffraction limit



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/STED_microscopy#mediaviewer/File:Ernst-Abbe-Denkmal_Jena_F%C3%BCrstengraben_-_20140802_125708.jpg

- This limit on how well one can focus light is known as “the diffraction limit”
 - It’s literally “written in stone” in Jena, Germany (on a memorial to Ernst Abbe, who published it in 1873)
- The radius d of the Airy disk (the central spot of the Airy pattern) is proportional to the wavelength λ of the light
- It also depends on some other parameters (e.g., lens size) that determine the “numerical aperture” ($n \sin \theta$)
 - The numerical aperture is usually between 0.1 and 1

The bottom line

- Resolution limit of a light microscope:
 - The wavelength of visible light is 400–700 nm
 - A light microscope can't distinguish points that are closer than 200 nm
- Many cellular structures are smaller than this. A protein is just a few nm across.

Question to discuss

How can one achieve resolution better than 200 nm?


Resolution limits

Beating the diffraction limit

Option 1: Decrease the wavelength


- Higher-frequency radiation (e.g., x-rays) has shorter wavelengths and thus allows higher resolution
 - It also damages the sample more
- It's possible to image with electrons, which have a *much* shorter wavelength ($\sim .002$ nm)
 - Electron microscopy can thus achieve much higher resolution
 - Disadvantages: can't use living cells, and molecules of interest won't glow

Transmission electron microscopy



http://www.cas.miamioh.edu/~meicenrd/ANATOMY/Ch2_Ultrastructure/Tempcell.htm

Scanning electron microscopy



http://www.newscientist.com/data/images/ns/cms/dn14136/dn14136-1_788.jpg



Option 2: super-resolution fluorescence microscopy

- A number of recently developed techniques achieve resolution well beyond the diffraction limit
 - This requires violating some of the assumptions of that limit
- I'll briefly describe the most popular of these techniques, known alternately as STORM (stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy) or PALM (photoactivation localization microscopy)

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2014 was awarded jointly to Eric Betzig, Stefan W. Hell and William E. Moerner *"for the development of super-resolved fluorescence microscopy"*.

STORM/PALM

- If we have only a few fluorophores in an image, we can localize them very accurately
- Thus by getting only a few fluorophores to turn on at a time, identifying their locations in each image (computationally), and combining that information across many images, we can build a composite image of very high resolution



Improving STORM/PALM through machine learning

- Increase accuracy of the reconstructed image by learning to recognize the “point spread function”—i.e., the appearance of individual fluorophores under the microscope
 - Example: T. Kim et al., Information-rich localization microscopy through machine learning, *Nature Communications*, 2019
- Speed up imaging by allowing analysis of images with a higher density of active fluorophores, thus requiring fewer individual images
 - Examples: “Deep-STORM” and “artificial neural network accelerated PALM.”
 - Both are described in: R. Strack, Deep learning advances super-resolution imaging, *Nature Methods*, 2018.
- This is an active research area, with other approaches available or under development

No class on Tuesday Nov. 5—
Democracy Day