

EE263: Introduction to Linear Dynamical Systems

Review Session 6

Outline

- diagonalizability
- eigen decomposition theorem
- applications (modal forms, asymptotic growth rate)

Diagonalizability

- consider square matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ is an eigenvalue of A
- then, A is diagonalizable if and only if the multiplicity of λ_i equals $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\lambda_i I - A)) = n - \mathbf{rank}(\lambda_i I - A)$, for all i

Diagonalizability

- to see this, note that we can write the characteristic polynomial of A as

$$\chi(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1} (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{n_2} \dots (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{n_k}$$

- the eigenvectors corresponding to λ_i are given by the linear system

$$AX = \lambda_i X$$

or $(A - \lambda_i I)X = 0$

- so if $\dim \mathcal{N}(\lambda_i I - A) = n_i$, then we can find n_i independent eigenvectors corresponding to λ_i
- if this condition holds for all i , then the matrix A is diagonalizable (and vice versa)

Example

is this matrix diagonalizable?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{3 \times 3}$$

Solution.

- let's write the characteristic polynomial for A : $\chi(A) = \det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 3)^2$
- eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 4$ with multiplicity 1, and $\lambda_2 = 3$ with multiplicity 2
- the condition is satisfied for λ_1 , since λ_1 has multiplicity 1

- for λ_2 , since

$$\lambda_2 I - A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank 2, we have $n - \mathbf{rank}(\lambda_2 I - A) = 3 - 2 = 1$, which is not the multiplicity of λ_2

- this means that we cannot find two independent eigenvectors corresponding to λ_2
- thus A is not diagonalizable (in fact, A is in Jordan canonical form)

Example

show that the following statement is true: if $A \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$ has distinct eigenvalues, *i.e.*, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$, then A is diagonalizable (Lecture 11-22).

Solution. the characteristic polynomial of A has order of n . since its roots are distinct, we have n different eigenvalues with multiplicity of 1.

without loss of generality, let's show $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\lambda_1 I - A)) = 1$

- clearly, $v_i \neq \alpha v_1$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$
- since $v_1 \notin \mathbf{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent
- also $v_i \notin \mathcal{N}(\lambda_1 I - A)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ which implies $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\lambda_1 I - A)) = 1$
- therefore, $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\lambda_i I - A)) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$

Example

show that the following statement is true: It is possible for A to have repeated eigenvalues, but still be diagonalizable (Lecture 11-22)

Solution.

- as an example, assume

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathcal{X}(\lambda) = (\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda - 2)$ has multiplicity of 2 for $\lambda_1 = 1$ but still is diagonalizable

- here, e_1 and e_2 are eigenvectors for λ_1 , and e_3 is for λ_2 , so the condition still holds

Eigen decomposition theorem

- if a matrix $A \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable, A can be written as an eigen decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T \Lambda T^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i w_i^T \end{aligned}$$

where $T = [v_1 \ \cdots \ v_n]$, $\Lambda = \mathbf{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, and v_i is the eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i

- if A is symmetric, *i.e.*, $A = A^T$, then A is diagonalizable and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= Q \Lambda Q^T \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i q_i^T \end{aligned}$$

where $Q = [q_1 \ \cdots \ q_n]$ is an orthogonal matrix

- you may see that this is useful for positive definiteness soon!

Application: Modal form

suppose $A \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable, *i.e.*, $A = T\Lambda T^{-1}$

then we can rewrite $\dot{x} = Ax$ as $\dot{\tilde{x}} = \Lambda\tilde{x}$ where $\tilde{x} = T^{-1}x$

Application: Real modal form

when eigenvalues (hence T) are complex, system can be put in *real modal form*

- assume $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable, *i.e.*, $A = T\Lambda T^{-1}$, and has a complex eigenvalue $\lambda_i = \sigma_i + j\omega_i$ with corresponding eigenvector v_i , *i.e.*, $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$
- taking the conjugate, we have $A\bar{v}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{v}_i$, which implies \bar{v}_i is also an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}_i$
- we can select $\lambda_{i+1} = \bar{\lambda}_i = \sigma_i - j\omega_i$

from this argument, we can show:

$$\begin{aligned} Av_i &= \lambda_i v_i \\ &= (\sigma_i + j\omega_i)(\Re(v_i) + j\Im(v_i)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sigma_i \Re(v_i) - \omega_i \Im(v_i) + j(\omega_i \Re(v_i) + \sigma_i \Im(v_i))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Av_{i+1} &= A\bar{v}_i \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{v}_i \\ &= (\sigma_i - j\omega_i)(\Re(v_i) - j\Im(v_i)) \\ &= \sigma_i \Re(v_i) - \omega_i \Im(v_i) - j(\omega_i \Re(v_i) + \sigma_i \Im(v_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A\Re(v_i) &= \sigma_i \Re(v_i) - \omega_i \Im(v_i) \\ A\Im(v_i) &= \omega_i \Re(v_i) + \sigma_i \Im(v_i) \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in a matrix form:

$$[\Re(v_i) \ \Im(v_i)] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i & \omega_i \\ -\omega_i & \sigma_i \end{bmatrix} = A [\Re(v_i) \ \Im(v_i)]$$

now, let's bundle the real and complex eigenvalues together. assume $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, n$ and let

$$\Lambda_r = [\lambda_1 \ \cdots \ \lambda_r], \quad M_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i & \omega_i \\ -\omega_i & \sigma_i \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_r & \Re(v_{r+1}) & \Im(v_{r+1}) & \Re(v_{r+3}) & \Im(v_{r+3}) & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

since S is invertible, we finally have

$$S^{-1}AS = \mathbf{diag}(\Lambda_r, M_{r+1}, M_{r+3}, \dots, M_{n-1})$$

Example: Standard form for LDS

- given LDS as $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ and $y = Cx$
- suppose A is diagonalizable, *i.e.*, $A = T\Lambda T^{-1}$
- let's change coordinates with $x = T\tilde{x}$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tilde{x}} &= T^{-1}\dot{x} \\ &= T^{-1}AT\tilde{x} + T^{-1}Bu \\ &= \Lambda\tilde{x} + \tilde{B}u \\ y &= CT\tilde{x} \\ &= \tilde{C}\tilde{x}\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{B} = T^{-1}B$ and $\tilde{C} = CT$

Example

- suppose that $A \in \mathbf{R}_+^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable, and its eigenvalues are nonnegative.
- consider a discrete-time linear dynamic system, $x(t+1) = Ax(t)$, and a given nonnegative initial state, *i.e.*, $x_i(0) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
- how can we find the asymptotic growth rate of sum, *i.e.*, $\frac{\mathbf{1}^T x(t+1)}{\mathbf{1}^T x(t)}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

Solution.

- since A is diagonalizable, we can rewrite $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i w_i^T$ as above

- without loss of generality let's assume that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$, and let's take m as the index of the largest eigenvalue for which $x(0)$ is not in the associated nullspace, *i.e.*, $w_m^T x(0) \neq 0$, and $w_i^T x(0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$
- then, $\mathbf{1}^T x(t) = \mathbf{1}^T A^t x(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^t (\mathbf{1}^T v_i) (w_i^T x(0))$
- as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\mathbf{1}^T x(t) \approx \lambda_m^t (\mathbf{1}^T v_m) (w_m^T x(0))$
- therefore, $\frac{\mathbf{1}^T x(t+1)}{\mathbf{1}^T x(t)} \rightarrow \lambda_m$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Transfer matrix and impulse matrix

- assume LDS is given as $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ and $y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t)$
- taking Laplace transform, we can get:

$$\begin{aligned} sX(s) - x(0) &= AX(s) + BU(s) \\ \Leftrightarrow X(s) &= (sI - A)^{-1}x(0) + (sI - A)^{-1}BU(s) \\ \Rightarrow Y(s) &= C(sI - A)^{-1}x(0) + \underbrace{(C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D)}_{H(s)}U(s) \end{aligned}$$

- since $(sI - A)^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}e^{tA}$, we can get:

$$x(t) = e^{tA}x(0) + e^{tA} * Bu(t) \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) = Ce^{tA}x(0) + \underbrace{(Ce^{tA}B + D\delta(t))}_{h(t)} * u(t)$$

where $H(s)$ and $h(t)$ are transfer matrix and impulse matrix, respectively

- discretization: if we sample the states and outputs with interval h as $x_d(k) = x(kh)$ and $y_d(k) = y(kh)$, we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_d(k+1) &= x(kh+h) \\
 &= e^{(kh+h)A}x(0) + e^{tA} * Bu(t)|_{t=kh+h} \\
 &= e^{hA} \left(e^{khA}x(0) + e^{tA} * Bu(t)|_{t=kh} \right) \\
 &\quad + \int_{t=0}^h e^{(h-\tau)A}Bu(\tau+kh)d\tau \\
 &= e^{hA}x_d(k) + \int_{t=0}^h e^{(h-\tau)A}Bu(\tau+kh)d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_d(k) &= Ce^{khA}x(0) + h(t) * u(t)|_{t=kh} \\
 &= Cx_d(k) + Du(kh)
 \end{aligned}$$

Example

when inputs are piecewise constant, *i.e.*, $u(t) = u_d(k)$ for $kh \leq t < (k+1)h$, rewrite the linear dynamic system in the discretized form

Solution. with the piecewise constant inputs, the above system of equations can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}x_d(k+1) &= e^{hA}x_d(k) + \int_{t=0}^h e^{(h-\tau)A}Bu(\tau + kh)d\tau \\ &= \underbrace{e^{hA}}_{A_d}x_d(k) + \underbrace{\left(\int_{t=0}^h e^{\tau A}d\tau\right)B}_{B_d}u_d(k)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_d(k) = \underbrace{C}_{C_d}x_d(k) + \underbrace{D}_{D_d}u_d(k)$$