

# STATS 200: Homework 7

Due Wednesday, November 30, at 5PM

**1. The Laplace distribution.** The Laplace (or double-exponential) distribution with mean  $\mu$  and scale  $b$  is a continuous distribution over  $\mathbb{R}$  with PDF

$$f(x|\mu, b) = \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - \mu|}{b}\right).$$

It is sometimes used as an alternative to the normal distribution to model data with heavier tails, as this PDF decays exponentially in  $|x - \mu|$  rather than in  $(x - \mu)^2$ .

(a) What are the MLEs  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{b}$  given data  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ? Why is this MLE  $\hat{\mu}$  more robust to outliers than the MLE  $\hat{\mu}$  in the  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  model?

You may assume that  $n$  is odd and that the data values  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are all distinct. (Hint: The log-likelihood is differentiable in  $b$  but not in  $\mu$ . To find the MLE  $\hat{\mu}$ , you will need to reason directly from its definition.)

(b) Suppose it is known that  $\mu = 0$ . In a Bayesian analysis, let us model the scale parameter as a random variable  $B$  with prior distribution  $B \sim \text{InverseGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Laplace}(0, b)$  when  $B = b$ , what are the posterior distribution and posterior mean of  $B$  given the data  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ?

(The  $\text{InverseGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution is a continuous distribution on  $(0, \infty)$  with PDF

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-\alpha-1} e^{-\beta/x}$$

and with mean  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$  when  $\alpha > 1$ .)

(c) Still supposing it is known that  $\mu = 0$ , what is the MLE  $\hat{b}$  for  $b$  in this sub-model? How does this compare to the posterior mean from part (b) when  $n$  is large?

**2. Bayesian inference for multinomial proportions.** The  $\text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K)$  distribution with parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K > 0$  is a continuous joint distribution over  $K$  random variables  $(P_1, \dots, P_K)$  such that  $0 \leq P_i \leq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, K$  and  $P_1 + \dots + P_K = 1$ . It has (joint) PDF

$$f(p_1, \dots, p_K | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K) \propto p_1^{\alpha_1-1} \times \dots \times p_K^{\alpha_K-1}.$$

Letting  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_K$ , this distribution satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[P_i] = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_0}, \quad \text{Var}[P_i] = \frac{\alpha_i(\alpha_0 - \alpha_i)}{\alpha_0^2(\alpha_0 + 1)}.$$

(a) Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_6) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, (p_1, \dots, p_6))$  be the numbers of 1's through 6's obtained in  $n$  rolls of a (possibly biased) die. Let us model  $(P_1, \dots, P_6)$  as random variables with prior distribution  $\text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6)$ . What is the posterior distribution of  $(P_1, \dots, P_6)$  given the observations  $(X_1, \dots, X_6)$ ? What is the posterior mean and variance of  $P_1$ ?

(b) How might you choose the prior parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  to represent a strong prior belief that the die is close to fair (meaning  $p_1, \dots, p_6$  are all close to  $1/6$ )?

(c) How might you choose an improper Dirichlet prior to represent no prior information? How do the posterior mean estimates of  $p_1, \dots, p_6$  under this improper prior compare to the MLE?

**3. GLRT and the t-test.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{IID}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. Consider the problem of testing

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \mu &= 0 \\ H_1 : \mu &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Show that the generalized likelihood ratio test statistic for this problem simplifies to

$$\Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n\bar{X}^2} \right)^{n/2}.$$

Letting  $S_X^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  and  $T = \sqrt{n}\bar{X}/S_X$  (the usual one-sample  $t$ -statistic for this problem), show that  $\Lambda(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $|T|$ , and hence the generalized likelihood ratio test is equivalent to the two-sided  $t$ -test which rejects for large values of  $|T|$ .

**4. Migration rates.** To study the rates of migration between 3 cities A, B, and C, the locations of  $n$  people living in these cities at the start and end of a 10-year period were recorded. Let  $N_{11}$  be the number of people who started and ended in city A,  $N_{12}$  be the number of people who started in city A and ended in city B, etc. Let us model the vector of 9 counts  $(N_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$  as  $\text{Multinomial}(n, (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3})$ , where  $(p_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$  is a probability vector with 9 entries summing to 1.

We wish to test the “equilibrium” null hypothesis that  $p_{12} = p_{21}$ ,  $p_{13} = p_{31}$ , and  $p_{23} = p_{32}$ . Express the generalized likelihood ratio test statistic for this problem as a simple formula in the observed counts  $(N_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$  and  $n$ , and describe how you would carry out a level- $\alpha$  test of this null hypothesis when  $n$  is large.