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Topics:

- **Memory and Pointers**
  - Picking up where we left off with Friday’s lecture, implementing ArrayStack
    - Arrays in C++
    - new/delete dynamic memory allocation
    - Uninitialized memory
  - C/C++ struct feature
  - What is a pointer?
Reminder where we left off last Friday

Arrays
Dynamically-allocated memory
A second kind of array in C/C++

```c
type name[length];
```

- **Basic array (AKA statically allocated or stack allocated)**
  - Stored in the stack frame alongside other local variables
  
  Example: `int homeworkGrades[7];`

```c
type* name = new type[length];
```

- **Dynamically allocated array (AKA heap allocated)**
  - The variable that refers to the array is called a pointer, and it is on the stack
  - But the actual array is stored in the heap!

  Example: `int* homeworkGrades = new int[7];`
Basic Array memory diagram

```c
int myFunction() {
    int x = 5;
    int y = 3;
    int stackArr[3];
    stackArr[0] = x + 1;
    stackArr[1] = y + 1;
    stackArr[2] = x + y;

    return y;
}
```

What happens when `myFunction()` returns?
Basic Array memory diagram
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Dynamically-allocated array memory diagram

```c
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What happens when myFunction() returns?
Memory leaks

- The pointer variable that points to heap allocated memory is like the string on a helium balloon.
- If you let go of the string (or lose that pointer variable), the balloon still exists out there somewhere, but it’s never yours to play with ever again. 😭
  - Also it’s polluting the environment.
Dynamic Memory Allocation

Keywords new and delete
Always a pair: new and delete

- Think of `new` as making a hotel room reservation.
  - `new int[5]`
  - “I’d like 5 connecting rooms, each big enough for 1 int value, please.”

- Think of `delete` as checking out of the hotel room.
  - `delete [] arr`
  - “My trip is done. Stop charging me for these rooms, and you can give them to other guests.”
Always a pair: new and delete

- Think of **new** as making a hotel room reservation.
  - `new int[5]`
  - “I’d like 5 connecting rooms, each big enough for 1 `int` value, please.”

- Think of **delete** as checking out of the hotel room.
  - `delete [] arr`
  - “My trip is done. Stop charging me for these rooms, and you can give them to other guests.”

**Your turn:** in the hotel analogy, it would be bad to re-enter a hotel room you’ve officially checked out of. What might go wrong if you did? What would be the equivalent to that for memory?
Always a pair: new and delete

Many things can go wrong with dynamic memory that are analogous to the hotel situation:

- Leave town but forget to check out—you’ll keep getting charged for the room and it can’t go to another guest
  - When you forget delete, you get a memory leak

- Check out of the room but then try to go back in—another guest might already be using it and will be very angry!
  - After you call delete, be sure not to try to use that memory again!

```c
int* arr = new int[10];
...
delete [] arr;
arr[0] = 5; // no!!
```
Always a pair: new and delete

```c
int myFunction() {
    int x = 5;
    int y = 3;
    int* heapArr = new int[3];
    heapArr[0] = x + 1;
    heapArr[1] = y + 1;
    heapArr[2] = x + y;
    delete [] heapArr; // fixed leak!
    return y;
}
```
Always a pair: new and delete

```c
int myFunction() {
    int x = 5;
    int y = 3;
    int* heapArr = new int[3];
    heapArr[0] = x + 1;
    heapArr[1] = y + 1;
    heapArr[2] = x + y;
    delete [] heapArr; // fixed leak!
    return y;
}
```

Memory

main()

myFunction’s stack frame automatically released

Heap array manually released by delete []

0

Stanford University
Always a pair: new and delete

int myFunction() {
    int x = 5;
    int y = 3;
    int* heapArr = new int[3];
    heapArr[0] = x + 1;
    heapArr[1] = y + 1;
    heapArr[2] = x + y;
    delete [] heapArr; // fixed leak!
    return y;
}

Q: “Why would you want to do that?”
A: It’s true that there’s no point to using dynamic allocation if we are just deleting at the end of the function. Choose a static array instead to automatically release. Dynamic allocation is for when you want the data to last so you can keep using it.
Uninitialized Memory

(CODE DEMO)
Danger in C/C++: uninitialized memory!

```cpp
type* name = new type[length];    // uninitialized
type* name = new type[length]();  // initialized with zeroes

› If () are written after [], all elements are zeroed out (slower but good if needed)
› If () are missing, the elements store uninitialized (“random”/garbage) values

```cpp
int* a1 = new int[3];
cout << a1[0];         // 2395876
cout << a1[1];         // -197630894

int* a2 = new int[3]();
cout << a2[0];         // 0
cout << a2[1];         // 0
```
Pointers

TAKING A DEEPER LOOK AT THE SYNTAX OF THAT ARRAY ON THE HEAP
bool kitkat = true;
int candies = 10;

Whenever you declare a variable, you allocate a bucket (or more) of memory for the value of that variable.
Each bucket of memory has a unique address.
Memory addresses

bool kitkat = true;
int candies = 10;

Whenever you declare a variable, you allocate a bucket (or more) of memory for the value of that variable.
Each bucket of memory has a unique address.

You can ask for any variable's address using the & operator.

cout << &candies << endl; // 20
cout << &kitkat << endl; // 4
Memory addresses

bool kitkat = true;
int candies = 10;

You can store memory addresses in a special type of variable called a pointer.
- i.e. A pointer is a variable that holds a memory address.

int* ptrC = &candies;   // 20
bool* ptrB = &kitkat;   // 4

This explains what happens when we use new! We get back the memory address of the place in the heap to use, so we store it in a pointer.

int* heapArr = new int[3];
Memory addresses

In our example here, the memory addresses of our local variables are very small numbers. Remember that in a real situation, the stack part of memory is waaaaaay up at the end of memory, so the addresses will be quite large! We typically write them in hexadecimal (base 16) instead of decimal (base 10). Example:

0x7ffee40f1494
Memory addresses

“Pointer” isn’t one type in C++ but many—it depends on what it points to. You can declare a pointer using * and the type pointed-to:

- int* p
- bool*
- string*
- double*
- Queue<GridLocation>*
- int** ← Yes this is possible (!!), you’ll see this in CS107.
“Pointer” isn’t one type in C++ but many—it depends on what it points to. You can declare a pointer using * and the type pointed-to:

- int*
- bool*
- string*
- double*
- Queue<GridLocation>*
- int** =YES this is possible (!!), you’ll see this in CS107.

Does this imply that we can use new with class types like Queue, to put the entire Queue object in heap memory? Yep, we sure can!
More on Dynamically-Allocated Memory

NEW AND DELETE FOR THINGS OTHER THAN ARRAYS
**Dynamically-allocated object**

// Array example
int* heapArr = new int[3];  // use [size] here
...
heapArr[0]
stackArr[0]
delete [] heapArr;  // use [] here

// Object example
Queue<GridLocation>* path = new Queue<GridLocation>();
...(enqueue a few things)...
path->enqueue(loc);  //instead of path.enqueue(Heap)
delete path;  // don't use [] here
Introducing the C/C++
struct
LIKE A LIGHTWEIGHT CLASS
Like a lightweight class: C/C++ struct

```cpp
struct Album {
    string title;
    int year;

    string artist_name;
    int artist_age;
    string artist_favorite_food;
    int artist_height; // in cm
};
```

- Like a class, but simpler—just a collection of some variables together into a new type
  - A holdover from C, before the idea of objects (that combine variables and methods together) existed
- You can declare a variable of this type in your code now, and use “.” to access fields:
  ```cpp
  Album lemonade;
  lemonade.year = 2016;
  lemonade.title = "Lemonade";
  cout << lemonade.year << endl;
  ```
Anything wrong with this struct design?

```c
struct Album {
    string title;
    int year;

    string artist_name;
    int artist_age;
    string artist_favorite_food;
    int artist_height; // in cm
};
```

Style-wise seems awkward to have to have "artist_" prefix on fields

How many times do we set and store the artist info?
void foo() {
    Album lemonade = {"Lemonade", 2016, "Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169};

    cout << lemonade.year << "", " << bday.year << endl; // 2016, 2006
}

- Notice the redundant code to declare and initialize these two album variables, lemonade and bday
It's redundantly stored, too

- "Lemonade", 2016, "Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169

lemonade bday
How do we fix this?

```c
struct Album {
    string title;
    int year;

    string artist_name;
    int artist_age;
    string artist_favorite_food;
    int artist_height; // in cm
};
```

Should probably be another struct?
Put a struct (Artist) in our struct (Album)

```cpp
struct Artist {
    string name;
    int age;
    string favorite_food;
    int height; // in cm
};

struct Album {
    string title;
    int year;
    Artist artist;
};

void foo() { //BEFORE
    Album lemonade = {"Lemonade", 2016, "Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169};
    cout << lemonade.year << ", " << bday.year << endl; // 2016, 2006
}

void foo() { //AFTER
    Artist beyonce = {"Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169};
    Album lemonade = {"Lemonade", 2016, beyonce};
    Album bday     = {"B'Day",    2006, beyonce};
    cout << lemonade.year << ", " << bday.year << endl; // 2016, 2006
}
```
void foo() { //This "AFTER" code is cleaner, but computer memory now store 3 copies!
    Artist beyonce = {"Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169};
    Album lemonade = {"Lemonade", 2016, beyonce};
    Album bday     = {"B'Day", 2006, beyonce};

    cout << lemonade.year << ", " << bday.year << endl; // 2016, 2006
}
void foo() {
    Artist beyonce = {"Beyonce", 38, "Red Lobster", 169};
    Album lemonade = {"Lemonade", 2016, beyonce};
    Album bday = {"B'Day", 2006, beyonce};
    beyonce.favorite_food = "Twix"; // New line of code
}

Question: what happens to the data in memory?

A. All 3 copies change to Twix
B. Only beyonce's copy changes
C. Only lemonade/bday's copies change
Conceptually, what would we really like to happen?

The album's artist field should **point to** the beyonce data structure instead of storing a copy of it.

**How do we do this in C++?**

...**pointers**!
Structs with pointers

Before pointers:

```c
struct Artist {
    string name;
    int age;
    string favorite_food;
    int height; // in cm
};
```

After pointers:

```c
struct Album {
    string title;
    int year;
    Artist* artist;
};
```
new and delete with structs

Example:
Artist* beyonce = new Artist;
beyonce->name = "Beyonce";
beyonce->age = 38;
beyonce->favorite_food = "Red Lobster";
beyonce->height = 169;

Album* lemonade = new Album;
album->title = "Lemonade";
album->year = 2016;
album->artist = beyonce;

beyonce->favorite_food = "Twix";
delete beyonce;
delete lemonade;