

HW2 (Due: Wed Jan 20)

2.1 [5 points each]

2.1 (English and FOL). Encode the following English sentences into FOL.

- (c) My mother's mother is my grandmother.
- (d) The intersection of two convex sets is convex.

2.2 Use semantic arguments with substitution. [10 points each]

2.2 (FOL validity & satisfiability). For each of the following FOL formulae, identify whether it is valid or not. If it is valid, prove it with a semantic argument; otherwise, identify a falsifying interpretation.

- (a) $(\forall x, y. p(x, y) \rightarrow p(y, x)) \rightarrow \forall z. p(z, z)$
- (b) $\forall x, y. p(x, y) \rightarrow p(y, x) \rightarrow \forall z. p(z, z)$
- (e) $\exists x, y. (p(x, y) \rightarrow (p(y, x) \rightarrow \forall z. p(z, z)))$

2.4 [10 points]

2.4 (Normal forms). Put the following formulae into prenex normal form.

- (c) $\forall w. \neg(\exists x, y. \forall z. p(x, z) \rightarrow q(y, z)) \wedge \exists z. p(w, z)$

3.1 [10 points each]

3.1 (Semantic argument in T_E). Use the semantic method to argue the validity of the following Σ_E -formulae, or identify a counterexample (a falsifying T_E -interpretation).

- (a) $f(x, y) = f(y, x) \rightarrow f(a, y) = f(y, a)$
- (c) $f(f(f(a))) = f(f(a)) \wedge f(f(f(f(a)))) = a \rightarrow f(a) = a$
- (e) $p(x) \wedge f(f(x)) = x \wedge f(f(f(x))) = x \rightarrow p(f(x))$

[20 points] Use semantic argument with substitution to prove the validity of the formula below:

$$(\forall x. p(x)) \leftrightarrow (\neg \exists x. \neg p(x))$$