Overview Today:

- From one-layer to multi layer neural networks!
- Backprop *(last bit of heavy math)*
- Different descriptions and viewpoints of backprop
- Project Tips
Announcement:

- Hint for PSet1: Understand math and dimensionality, then check them with breakpoints or print statements:

```python
def softmaxCostAndGradient(predicted, target, outputVectors, dataset):
    """ Softmax cost function for word2vec models """

    ### YOUR CODE HERE
    print "v_hat", predicted.shape
    print "expected", target
    print "U", outputVectors.shape

    assert False
```
Why go through all of these derivatives?

- Actual understanding of math behind deep learning

- Backprop can be an imperfect abstraction, e.g.
  - During optimization issues (e.g. vanishing gradients)

- Enables you to debug models, think of and implement completely new models
Explaination #1 for backprop
Remember: Window-based Neural Net

Computing a window’s score with a 3-layer neural net: $s = score(\text{museums in Paris are amazing})$

$$s = U^T f(Wx + b) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{20 \times 1}, W \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 20}, U \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 1}$$

$$s = U^T a$$
$$a = f(z)$$
$$z = Wx + b$$

$X_{\text{window}} = [x_{\text{museums}} \quad x_{\text{in}} \quad x_{\text{Paris}} \quad x_{\text{are}} \quad x_{\text{amazing}}]$
Putting all gradients together:

- Remember: Full objective function for each window was:

  \[ J = \max(0, 1 - s + s_c) \]
  \[ s = U^T f(Wx + b) \]
  \[ s_c = U^T f(Wx_c + b) \]

- For example: (sub)gradient for U:

  \[ \frac{\partial J}{\partial U} = 1\{1 - s + s_c > 0\} \left( -f(Wx + b) + f(Wx_c + b) \right) \]
  \[ \frac{\partial J}{\partial U} = 1\{1 - s + s_c > 0\} \left( -a + a_c \right) \]
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Let’s look at a 2 layer neural network
- Same window definition for x
- Same scoring function
- 2 hidden layers (carefully define superscripts now!)

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= z^{(1)} = a^{(1)} \\
z^{(2)} &= W^{(1)} x + b^{(1)} \\
a^{(2)} &= f \left( z^{(2)} \right) \\
z^{(3)} &= W^{(2)} a^{(2)} + b^{(2)} \\
a^{(3)} &= f \left( z^{(3)} \right) \\
s &= U^T a^{(3)}
\end{align*}
\]
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Fully written out as one function:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s &= U^T f \left( W^{(2)} f \left( W^{(1)} x + b^{(1)} \right) + b^{(2)} \right) \\
    &= U^T f \left( W^{(2)} a^{(2)} + b^{(2)} \right) \\
    &= U^T a^{(3)}
\end{align*}
\]

- Same derivation as before for \( W^{(2)} \) (now sitting on \( a^{(2)} \))

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{\partial s}{\partial W_{ij}} &= U_i f'(z_i) x_j \\
    &= \delta_i x_j \\
    \frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(2)}_{ij}} &= U_i f' \left( z_i^{(3)} \right) a_j^{(2)} \\
    &= \delta_i^{(3)} a_j^{(2)}
\end{align*}
\]
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Same derivation as before for top $W^{(2)}$:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(2)}_{ij}} = U_i f' \left(z^{(3)}_i\right) a^{(2)}_j$$

$$= \delta^{(3)}_i a^{(2)}_j$$

- In matrix notation:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \delta^{(3)} a^{(2)^T}$$

where $\delta^{(3)} = U \circ f' \left(z^{(3)}\right)$ and $\circ$ is the element-wise product also called Hadamard product ($\otimes, \odot$)

- Last missing piece for understanding general backprop:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(1)}}$$
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Last missing piece: \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(1)}} \)

- What’s the bottom layer’s error message \( \delta^{(2)} \)?

- Similar derivation to single layer model

- Main difference, we already have \( W^{(2)T} \delta^{(3)} \) and need to apply the chain rule again on \( f'(z^{(2)}) \)
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Chain rule for: \( s = U^T f \left( W^{(2)} f \left( W^{(1)} x + b^{(1)} \right) + b^{(2)} \right) \)

- Get intuition by deriving \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(1)}} \) as if it was a scalar

- Intuitively, we have to sum over all the nodes coming into layer

- Putting it all together: \( \delta^{(2)} = \left( W^{(2)}^T \delta^{(3)} \right) \circ f' \left( z^{(2)} \right) \)
Two layer neural nets and full backprop

- Final derivative:
  \[
  \frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \delta^{(2)} x^T
  \]

- In general for any matrix \(W^{(l)}\) at internal layer \(l\) and any error with regularization \(E_R\) all backprop in standard multilayer neural networks boils down to 2 equations:

\[
\delta^{(l)} = \left( (W^{(l)})^T \delta^{(l+1)} \right) \circ f'(z^{(l)})
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(l)}} E_R = \delta^{(l+1)} (a^{(l)})^T + \lambda W^{(l)}
\]

- Top and bottom layers have simpler \(\delta\)

\[
x = z^{(1)} = a^{(1)}
\]
\[
z^{(2)} = W^{(1)} x + b^{(1)}
\]
\[
a^{(2)} = f \left( z^{(2)} \right)
\]
\[
z^{(3)} = W^{(2)} a^{(2)} + b^{(2)}
\]
\[
a^{(3)} = f \left( z^{(3)} \right)
\]
\[
s = U^T a^{(3)}\]
Taking a step back

Explanation #2 for backprop: “Circuits”

These examples are from CS231n:
http://cs231n.github.io/optimization-2/
Functions as circuits

\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

e.g. \( x = -2, y = 5, z = -4 \)
\[
f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z
\]
e.g. \(x = -2\), \(y = 5\), \(z = -4\)

\[
q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
\]

\[
f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q
\]

Want: \(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\)
Recursively walking back through circuit

\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]
e.g. \( x = -2, y = 5, z = -4 \)

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

\text{e.g. } x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \ \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \]
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

e.g. \( x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
q &= x + y & \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} &= 1, & \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} &= 1 \\
f &= qz & \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} &= z, & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} &= q
\end{align*}
\]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

e.g. \( x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4 \)

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]
e.g. \( x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4 \)

\[
q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
\]

\[
f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q
\]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]
e.g. \( x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4 \)

\[
q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \ \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
\]

\[
f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q
\]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]
e.g. \( x = -2, y = 5, z = -4 \)

\[
q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
\]

\[
f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q
\]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

\[ \text{e.g. } x = -2, y = 5, z = -4 \]

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)

Chain rule:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \]
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

E.g. \( x = -2, y = 5, z = -4 \)

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)
\[ f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z \]

e.g. \( x = -2, \ y = 5, \ z = -4 \)

\[ q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1 \]

\[ f = qz \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q \]

Want: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \)

**Chain rule:**

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \]
activations
Recursively apply chain rule through each node

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}
\]
Another example:

\[ f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}} \]

\[ f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x \]

\[ f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a \]

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -\frac{1}{x^2} \]

\[ f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1 \]
Another example:

\[ f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{- (w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}} \]

\[ f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x \]

\[ f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a \]

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -\frac{1}{x^2} \]

\[ f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1 \]
Another example:

\[ f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(x) &= e^x \\
  f_a(x) &= ax
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{df}{dx} &= e^x \\
  \frac{df}{dx} &= a
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(x) &= \frac{1}{x} \\
  f_c(x) &= c + x
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{df}{dx} &= -\frac{1}{x^2} \\
  \frac{df}{dx} &= 1
\end{align*}
\]
Another example: 

\[ f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}} \]

\[ f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x \]

\[ f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a \]

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -\frac{1}{x^2} \]

\[ f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1 \]
Another example: \[
f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}}
\]

\[
(e^{-1})(-0.53) = -0.20
\]

\[
f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x
\]

\[
f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a
\]

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -\frac{1}{x^2}
\]

\[
f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1
\]
Another example:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(w, x) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}} \\
\text{[local gradient] x [its gradient]} \\
x_0: [2] \times [0.2] &= 0.4 \\
w_0: [-1] \times [0.2] &= -0.2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) &= e^x & \quad \frac{df}{dx} &= e^x \\
f_a(x) &= ax & \quad \frac{df}{dx} &= a \\
f_c(x) &= c + x & \quad \frac{df}{dx} &= 1 \\
f(x) &= \frac{1}{x} & \quad \frac{df}{dx} &= -\frac{1}{x^2}
\end{align*}
\]
Combine nodes in the circuit when convenient.

\[
f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2)}}
\]

\[
\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}
\]

\[
\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} = \left(\frac{1 + e^{-x} - 1}{1 + e^{-x}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}\right) = (1 - \sigma(x))\sigma(x)
\]

(0.73) \times (1 - 0.73) = 0.2

We’ll combine a lot in #4 :)
Explanation #3 for backprop

The high-level flowgraph
Backpropagation (Another explanation)

• Compute gradient of example-wise loss wrt parameters

• Simply applying the derivative chain rule wisely

\[ z = f(y) \quad y = g(x) \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \]

• If computing the loss(example, parameters) is \( O(n) \) computation, then so is computing the gradient
Simple Chain Rule

\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}
\]
Multiple Paths Chain Rule

\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_2} \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x}
\]
Multiple Paths Chain Rule - General

\[ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x} \]
Chain Rule in Flow Graph

Flow graph: any directed acyclic graph
node = computation result
arc = computation dependency

\[ \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\} = \text{successors of } x \]

\[ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x} \]
Back-Prop in Multi-Layer Net

\[ NLL = -\log P(Y = y|x) \]

\[ P(Y = .|x) = \text{softmax}(Wh) \]

\[ h = \text{sigmoid}(Vx) \]
Back-Prop in General Flow Graph

Single scalar output $z$

1. Fprop: visit nodes in topo-sort order
   - Compute value of node given predecessors
2. Bprop:
   - initialize output gradient = 1
   - visit nodes in reverse order:
     Compute gradient wrt each node using gradient wrt successors

$$\left\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\right\} = \text{successors of } x$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x}$$
Automatic Differentiation

- The gradient computation can be automatically inferred from the symbolic expression of the fprop.
- Each node type needs to know how to compute its output and how to compute the gradient wrt its inputs given the gradient wrt its output.
- Easy and fast prototyping
Explanation #4 for backprop

The delta error signals in real neural nets
Actual error signals for 2 layer neural net

\[ s = U^T f \left( W^{(2)} f \left( W^{(1)} x + b^{(1)} \right) + b^{(2)} \right) \]
\[ = U^T f \left( W^{(2)} a^{(2)} + b^{(2)} \right) \]
\[ = U^T a^{(3)} \]
Visualization of intuition

- Let’s say we want \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \delta^{(2)} a^{(1)T} \) with previous layer and \( f = \sigma \)

Gradient w.r.t \( W^{(2)} = \delta^{(3)} a^{(2)T} \)
Visualization of intuition

\[ z^{(1)} \rightarrow a^{(1)} \rightarrow W^{(1)} \leftarrow z^{(2)} \rightarrow a^{(2)} \rightarrow W^{(2)} \rightarrow z^{(3)} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow s \]

- Reusing the \( \delta^{(3)} \) for downstream updates.
- Moving error vector across affine transformation simply requires multiplication with the transpose of forward matrix.
- Notice that the dimensions will line up perfectly too!
Visualization of intuition

\[ \sigma'(z^{(2)}) \odot W^{(2)T} \delta^{(3)} = \delta^{(2)} \]

--Moving error vector across point-wise non-linearity requires point-wise multiplication with local gradient of the non-linearity
Visualization of intuition

Our first example: Backpropagation using error vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma & \rightarrow W(2) \\
\delta^{(2)} & = W(2)^T \delta^{(3)}
\end{align*}
\]

Gradient w.r.t $W^{(1)} = \delta^{(2)} a^{(1)^T}$
You survived Backprop!

• Congrats!

• You now understand the inner workings of most deep learning models out there

• This was the hardest part of the class

• Everything else from now on is mostly just more matrix multiplications and backprop :)}
Bag of Tricks for Efficient Text Classification

Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, Piotr Bojanowski, Tomas Mikolov
Facebook AI Research
Text classification
Bag of Words (or n-grams)

Natural language processing is fun.

Average

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-0.132 \\
1.129 \\
0.827 \\
0.110 \\
-0.527 \\
0.156 \\
0.349 \\
-0.286
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Simple linear model

- Input features $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$
- Hidden layer
- Output layer

Word vectors
Text vector
Softmax
Learning

\[
- \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n \log(f(BA x_n))
\]

- **# documents**
- **softmax**
- **weight matrices**
- **normalized bag of features of n-th doc**
- **label of n-th doc**
Hierarchical softmax

Probability of a node is always lower than one of its parent

\[ P(n_{t+1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P(n_i). \]
Hierarchical softmax

Probability of a node is always lower than one of its parent

$P(n_{i+1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P(n_i)$. 

$O(h \log(k))$ vs $O(kh)$ training time!
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yahoo</th>
<th></th>
<th>Amazon full</th>
<th></th>
<th>Amazon polarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char-CNN</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDCNN</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7h</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fastText</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>5s</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>9s</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fast!

As good as NN!
Summary

fastText is often on par with deep learning classifiers

fastText takes seconds, instead of days

Can learn vector representations of words in different languages (with performance better than word2vec!)

Thanks!
Class Project

• Important (30%) and lasting result of the class
• Final Project Poster Presentation: 2%

• Choice of doing Assignment 4 or a Final project

• Mandatory approved mentors ➔ You need to reach out to potential mentors
• Start early and clearly define your task and dataset

• See https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs224n/project.html
**Project types**

1. Apply existing neural network model to a new task
2. Implement a complex neural architecture
3. Come up with a new neural network model
4. Theory of deep learning, e.g. optimization
Class Project: Apply Existing NNets to Tasks

1. Define Task:
   • Example: **Summarization**

2. Define Dataset
   1. Search for academic datasets
      • They already have baselines
      • E.g.: Document Understanding Conference (DUC)
   2. Define your own (harder, need more new baselines)
      • If you’re a graduate student: connect to your research
      • Summarization, Wikipedia: Intro paragraph and rest of large article
      • Be creative: Twitter, Blogs, News
Class Project: Apply Existing NNets to Tasks

3. Define your metric
   • Search online for well established metrics on this task
   • Summarization: Rouge (Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gisting Evaluation) which defines n-gram overlap to human summaries

4. Split your dataset!
   • Train/Dev/Test
   • Academic dataset often come pre-split
   • Don’t look at the test split until ~1 week before deadline! (or at most once a week)
Class Project: Apply Existing NNets to Tasks

5. Establish a baseline
   • Implement the simplest model (often logistic regression on unigrams and bigrams) first
   • Compute metrics on train AND dev
   • Analyze errors
   • If metrics are amazing and no errors: done, problem was too easy, restart :)

6. Implement existing neural net model
   • Compute metric on train and dev
   • Analyze output and errors
   • Minimum bar for this class
Class Project: Apply Existing NNets to Tasks

7. Always be close to your data!
   - Visualize the dataset
   - Collect summary statistics
   - Look at errors
   - Analyze how different hyperparameters affect performance

8. Try out different model variants
   - Soon you will have more options
     - Word vector averaging model (neural bag of words)
     - Fixed window neural model
     - Recurrent neural network
     - Recursive neural network
     - Convolutional neural network
Class Project: A New Model -- Advanced Option

• Do all other steps first (Start early!)
• Gain intuition of why existing models are flawed

• Talk to researcher/mentor, come to project office hours a lot
• Implement new models and iterate quickly over ideas
• Set up efficient experimental framework
• Build simpler new models first
• Example Summarization:
  • Average word vectors per paragraph, then greedy search
  • Implement language model (introduced later)
  • Stretch goal: Generate summary with seq2seq!
Project Ideas

• Summarization

• **NER, like PSet 2 but with larger data**


• **Image to text mapping or generation**, [Grounded Compositional Semantics for Finding and Describing Images with Sentences](https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D14-1184), Richard Socher, Andrej Karpathy, Quoc V. Le, Christopher D. Manning, Andrew Y. Ng. ([TACL 2014](http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D14-1184))
  or
  Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions, Andrej Karpathy, Li Fei-Fei

• **Entity level sentiment**

• **Use DL to solve an NLP challenge on kaggle**, Develop a scoring algorithm for student-written short-answer responses, [https://www.kaggle.com/c/asap-sas](https://www.kaggle.com/c/asap-sas)
Another example project: Sentiment

- Sentiment on movie reviews: [http://nlp.stanford.edu/sentiment/](http://nlp.stanford.edu/sentiment/)
- Lots of deep learning baselines and methods have been tried
Next up

- Some fun and fundamental linguistics with syntactic parsing
- TensorFlow lecture (for Ass.2) – also useful for projects and life : )