Natural Language Processing with Deep Learning CS224N/Ling284



Shikhar Murty Lecture 14: Reasoning and Agents

Lecture Plan

Lecture 14: Reasoning and Agents

- 1. Reasoning in Language Models [35 mins]
- 2. Mini-break [5 mins]
- 3. Language Model Agents [40 mins]
- Announcements
 - Project Milestone due on Wed May 22nd at 4:30 pm
 - Your Project Mentors have already reached out to you (If not, let us know via Ed!)
 - Guest lectures on May 21st and May 28th : Students get 0.75% per guest lecture for attending live or writing a reaction paragraph (More details will be on Ed)

Reasoning (with Large Language Models)

Using *facts* and *logic* to arrive at an answer

Using *facts* and *logic* to arrive at an answer

Deductive Reasoning: Use logic to go from premise to firm conclusion

Premise: All mammals have kidneys Premise: All whales are mammals Conclusion: All whales have kidneys

Using *facts* and *logic* to arrive at an answer

Deductive Reasoning: Use logic to go from premise to firm conclusion

Premise: All mammals have kidneys Premise: All whales are mammals Conclusion: All whales have kidneys

Inductive Reasoning: From observation, predict a likely conclusion

Observation: When we see a creature with wings, it is usually a bird Observation: We see a creature with wings. Conclusion: The creature is likely to be a bird

Using *facts* and *logic* to arrive at an answer

Deductive Reasoning: Use logic to go from premise to firm conclusion

Premise: All mammals have kidneys Premise: All whales are mammals Conclusion: All whales have kidneys

Inductive Reasoning: From observation, predict a likely conclusion

Observation: When we see a creature with wings, it is usually a bird Observation: We see a creature with wings. Conclusion: The creature is likely to be a bird

Abductive Reasoning: From observation, predict the most likely explanation

Observation: The car cannot start and there is a puddle of liquid under the engine. Likely Explanation: The car has a leak in the radiator

Reasoning: Formal vs Informal

Formal Reasoning: Follows formal rules of logic along with axiomatic knowledge to derive conclusions.

Informal Reasoning: Uses intuition, experience, common sense to arrive at answers.

For most of this lecture, by "reasoning" we mean informal deductive reasoning, often involving multiple steps

Reasoning in Large Language Models

Large Language models are **REALLY GOOD** at predicting **plausible continuations of text**, that respect **constraints in the input**, and align **well with human preferences**.

Question: Can current LLMs reason?

Chain-of-thought prompting:



Figure 1: Chain-of-thought prompting enables large language models to tackle complex arithmetic, commonsense, and symbolic reasoning tasks. Chain-of-thought reasoning processes are highlighted.

Source: Wei et al. 2023

Zero-shot CoT prompting:

(a) Few-shot

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The answer is 8. X

(c) Zero-shot

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A: The answer (arabic numerals) is

(Output) 8 X

(b) Few-shot-CoT

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls. So there are 16 / 2 = 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. So there are 8 / 2 = 4 blue golf balls. The answer is 4.

(d) Zero-shot-CoT (Ours)

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A: Let's think step by step.

(Output) There are 16 balls in total. Half of the balls are golf balls. That means that there are 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. That means that there are 4 blue golf balls.

CoT with "Self-consistency": Replace greedy decoding with an ensemble of samples... Main idea: correct reasoning processes have greater agreement than incorrect processes.



	Method	AddSub	MultiArith	ASDiv	AQuA	SVAMP	GSM8K
	Previous SoTA	94.9 ^{<i>a</i>}	60.5 ^{<i>a</i>}	75.3 ^b	37.9 ^c	57.4 ^{<i>d</i>}	35 ^e / 55 ^g
UL2-20B	CoT-prompting	18.2	10.7	16.9	23.6	12.6	4.1
	Self-consistency	24.8 (+6.6)	15.0 (+4.3)	21.5 (+4.6)	26.9 (+3.3)	19.4 (+6.8)	7.3 (+3.2)
LaMDA-137B	CoT-prompting	52.9	51.8	49.0	17.7	38.9	17.1
	Self-consistency	63.5 (+10.6)	75.7 (+23.9)	58.2 (+9.2)	26.8 (+9.1)	53.3 (+14.4)	27.7 (+10.6)
PaLM-540B	CoT-prompting	91.9	94.7	74.0	35.8	79.0	56.5
	Self-consistency	93.7 (+1.8)	99.3 (+4.6)	81.9 (+7.9)	48.3 (+12.5)	86.6 (+7.6)	74.4 (+17.9)

Out-performs regular CoT on a variety of benchmarks

	Method	AddSub	MultiArith	ASDiv	AQuA	SVAMP	GSM8K
	Previous SoTA	94.9 ^{<i>a</i>}	60.5 ^{<i>a</i>}	75.3 ^{<i>b</i>}	37.9 ^c	57.4 ^{<i>d</i>}	35 ^e / 55 ^g
UL2-20B	CoT-prompting	18.2	10.7	16.9	23.6	12.6	4.1
	Self-consistency	24.8 (+6.6)	15.0 (+4.3)	21.5 (+4.6)	26.9 (+3.3)	19.4 (+6.8)	7.3 (+3.2)
LaMDA-137B	CoT-prompting	52.9	51.8	49.0	17.7	38.9	17.1
	Self-consistency	63.5 (+10.6)	75.7 (+23.9)	58.2 (+9.2)	26.8 (+9.1)	53.3 (+14.4)	27.7 (+10.6)
PaLM-540B	CoT-prompting	91.9	94.7	74.0	35.8	79.0	56.5
	Self-consistency	93.7 (+1.8)	99.3 (+4.6)	81.9 (+7.9)	48.3 (+12.5)	86.6 (+7.6)	74.4 (+17.9)

Out-performs regular CoT on a variety of benchmarks

	GSM8K	MultiArith	SVAMP	ARC-e	ARC-c
CoT (Wei et al., 2022)	17.1	51.8	38.9	75.3	55.1
Ensemble (3 sets of prompts)	18.6 ± 0.5	57.1 ± 0.7	42.1 ± 0.6	76.6 ± 0.1	57.0 ± 0.2
Ensemble (40 prompt permutations)	19.2 ± 0.1	60.9 ± 0.2	42.7 ± 0.1	76.9 ± 0.1	57.0 ± 0.1
Self-Consistency (40 sampled paths)	$\textbf{27.7} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$\textbf{75.7} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	$\textbf{53.3} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$\textbf{79.3} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	$\textbf{59.8} \pm \textbf{0.2}$

Self-consistency is doing more than simple ensembling

Reasoning in Large Language Models: prompting Problem decomposition with Least-to-Most prompting

Stage 1: Decompose Question into Subquestions



Reasoning in Large Language Models: prompting Problem decomposition with Least-to-Most prompting

Stage 1: Decompose Question into Subquestions



Stage 2: Sequentially Solve Subquestions



Reasoning in Large Language Models: prompting Least-to-Most prompting for Math reasoning

Q: Elsa has 5 apples. Anna has 2 more apples than Elsa. How many apples do they have together? A: Anna has 2 more apples than Elsa, so Anna has 2 + 5 = 7 apples. Elsa and Anna have 5 + 7 = 12 apples together. The answer is 12.

Standard CoT prompting

Q: Elsa has 5 apples. Anna has 2 more apples than Elsa. How many apples do they have together?
A: Let's break down this problem: 1. How many apples does Anna have? 2. How many apples do Elsa and Anna have together?
1. Anna has 2 more apples than Elsa. So Anna has 2 + 5 = 7 apples.
2. Elsa and Anna have 5 + 7 = 12 apples together.

Q: {question} A: Let's break down this problem:

The answer is:

Least-to-most prompting

Reasoning in Large Language Models: prompting Least-to-Most prompting for Math reasoning

Accuracy by Steps (GSM8K)	All	2 Steps	3 Steps	4 steps	\geq 5 steps
Least-to-Most	62.39	74.53	68.91	59.73	45.23
Chain-of-Thought	60.87	76.68	67.29	59.39	39.07

Generalizes to more #steps than in-context example!

Reasoning in Large Language Models: prompting Least-to-Most prompting for Math reasoning

Accuracy by Steps (GSM8K)	All	2 Steps	3 Steps	4 steps	\geq 5 steps
Least-to-Most	62.39	74.53	68.91	59.73	45.23
Chain-of-Thought	60.87	76.68	67.29	59.39	39.07

Generalizes to more #steps than in-context example!

Prompting method	Accuracy
Zero-Shot	16.38
Standard prompting	17.06 ³
Chain-of-Thought (original)	61.18
Chain-of-Thought (1-shot)	60.88
Least-to-Most (1-shot)	62.39
Chain-of-Thought (best)	68.61 ³
Least-to-Most (best)	68.01

But with enough prompt engineering, CoT \approx Least-to-Most

Reasoning in Large Language Models via distillation

So far, we've only looked at prompting >100B parameter models for reasoning

Can we get reasoning-like behavior with smaller LMs by teaching them to imitate larger models?

1. Collect a wide variety of instructions from the FLAN-v2 collection

Mixture Name	Sampling Algorithm	Original Size	Sampled
CoT	No Sampling	$150\mathrm{K}$	$150 \mathrm{K}$
NIV2	Stratified Sampling	$5\mathrm{M}$	$440 \mathrm{K}$
FLAN2021	Stratified Sampling	$>\!\!28.9M$	$2.5\mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{T0}$	Stratified Sampling	$85.7\mathrm{M}$	$2\mathrm{M}$
Dialog	Skipped	$22.5\mathrm{M}$	0

Table 3: Construction of our training data with 5 million samples.

1. Collect a wide variety of instructions from the FLAN-v2 collection.

2. Prompt GPT4 or ChatGPT with these instructions along with a system message

System Instruction: You are an Al assistant. User will you give you a task. Your goal is to complete the task as faithfully as you can. While performing the task think step-by-step and justify your steps.

User Instruction: Use the given data to calculate the median.

Input: [7, 3, 8, 2, 10]

Output: To calculate the median, I will follow these steps: Step 1: Arrange the data in ascending order [2, 3, 7, 8, 10] Step 2: Determine if the data set has an odd or even number of values The data set has 5 values, which is an odd number. Step 3: Find the middle value

Since the data set has an odd number of values, the median is the middle value in the ordered list. The middle value in the list [2, 3, 7, 8, 10] is 7.

- 1. Collect a wide variety of instructions from the FLAN-v2 collection.
- 2. Prompt GPT4 or ChatGPT with these instructions along with a system message
- 3. Finetune Llama-13b on outputs generated via ChatGPT + GPT4

Evaluation: *BigBench-hard*, a collection of 23 Big-Bench tasks with a special focus on multi-step reasoning

Evaluation: BigBench-hard, a collection of 23 Big-Bench tasks with a special focus on multi-step reasoning

Boolean Expressions

Q: True and False and not True and True is

A: Let's think step by step.

Remember that (i) expressions inside brackets are always evaluated first and that (ii) the order of operations from highest priority to lowest priority is "not", "and", "or", respectively.

We first simplify this expression "Z" as follows: "Z = True and False and not True and True = A and B" where "A = True and False" and "B = not True and True".

Let's evaluate A: A = True and False = False.

Let's evaluate B: B = not True and True = not (True and True) = not (True) = False.

Plugging in A and B, we get: Z = A and B = False and False = False. So the answer is False.

Evaluation: BigBench-hard, a collection of 23 Big-Bench tasks with a special focus on multi-step reasoning

Data Understanding

Q: Tomorrow is 11/12/2019. What is the date one year ago from today in MM/DD/YYYY?
Options:

(A) 09/04/2018
(B) 11/11/2018
(C) 08/25/2018
(D) 11/02/2018
(E) 11/04/2018

A: Let's think step by step.

If tomorrow is 11/12/2019, then today is 11/11/2019. The date one year ago from today is 11/11/2018. So the answer is (B).

Evaluation: BigBench-hard, a collection of 23 Big-Bench tasks with a special focus on multi-step reasoning

Geometric Shapes

Q: This SVG path element <path d="M 14.19,26.04 L 51.43,39.21 L 58.44,36.69 L 56.63,30.17 L 48.53,26.66 L 14.19,26.04"/> draws a **Options:** (A) circle (B) heptagon (C) hexagon (D) kite (E) line (F) octagon (G) pentagon (H) rectangle (I) sector (J) triangle A: Let's think step by step. This SVG path element contains "M" and "L" commands. M takes two parameters (x,y) and moves the current point to the coordinates (x,y). L takes two parameters (x,y) and draws a line from the previous coordinate to the new coordinate (x,y). This path can be decomposed into 6 separate commands. (1) M 14.19,26.04: Move the current point to 14.19,26.04. (2) L 51.43,39.21: Create a line from 14.19,26.04 to 51.43,39.21. (3) L 58.44,36.69: Create a line from 51.43,39.21 to 58.44,36.69. (4) L 56.63,30.17: Create a line from 58.44,36.69 to 56.63,30.17. (5) L 48.53.26.66: Create a line from 56.63.30.17 to 48.53.26.66. (6) L 14.19.26.04: Create a line from 48.53.26.66 to 14.19.26.04. This SVG path starts at point 14.19,26.04, creates five consecutive and touching lines, and then returns back its starting point, thereby creating a five-sided shape. It does not have any curves or arches. "pentagon" is the only five-sided polygon on the list. So the answer is (G).

Task	ChatGPT	GPT-4	Vicuna-13B	Orca-13B
Boolean Expressions	82.8	77.6	40.8	72.0 (76.5%)
Causal Judgement	57.2	59.9	42.2	59.9 (41.8%)
Date Understanding	42.8	74.8	10.0	50.0 (400.0%)
Disambiguation QA	57.2	69.2	18.4	63.6 (245.7%)
Formal Fallacies	53.6	64.4	47.2	56.0 (18.6%)
Geometric Shapes	25.6	40.8	3.6	20.8 (477.8%)
Hyperbaton	69.2	62.8	44.0	64.0 (45.5%)
Logical Deduction (5 objects)	38.8	66.8	4.8	39.6 (725.0%)
Logical Deduction (7 objects)	39.6	66.0	1.2	36.0 (2900.0%)
Logical Deduction (3 objects)	60.4	94.0	16.8	57.6 (242.9%)
Movie Recommendation	55.4	79.5	43.4	78.3 (80.6%)
Navigate	55.6	68.8	46.4	57.6 (24.1%)
Penguins in a Table	45.9	76.7	15.1	42.5 (181.8%)
Reasoning about Colored Objects	47.6	84.8	12.0	48.4 (303.3%)
Ruin Names	56.0	89.1	15.7	39.5 (151.2%)
Salient Translation Error Detection	40.8	62.4	2.0	40.8 (1940.0%)
Snarks	59.0	87.6	28.1	62.4 (122.0%)
Sports Understanding	79.6	84.4	48.4	67.2 (38.8%)
Temporal Sequences	35.6	98.0	16.0	72.0 (350.0%)
Tracking Shuffled Objects (5 objects)	18.4	25.2	9.2	15.6(69.6%)
Tracking Shuffled Objects (7 objects)	15.2	25.2	5.6	14.0 (150.0%)
Tracking Shuffled Objects (3 objects)	31.6	42.4	23.2	34.8 (50.0%)
Web of Lies	56.0	49.6	41.2	51.2 (24.3%)
Average	48.9	67.4	23.3	49.7 (113.7%)

- Outperforms Vicuna-13B
- Outperforms ChatGPT!
- GPT-4 has potential data contamination issues with Bigbench-hard

Reasoning by Finetuning LMs on their own outputs?

ReST^{EM} alternates between the following two steps:

- 1. Generate (E-Step): Given reasoning problem, sample multiple solutions from language model. Filter based on some (problem specific) function [answer correctness for math problems]
- 2. Improve (M-Step): Update the language model to maximize probability of filtered solutions, using supervised finetuning



Reasoning by Finetuning LMs on their own outputs?

ReST^{EM} alternates between the following two steps:

- 1. Generate (E-Step): Given reasoning problem, sample multiple solutions from language model. Filter based on some (problem specific) function [answer correctness for math problems]
- 2. Improve (M-Step): Update the language model to maximize probability of filtered solutions, using supervised finetuning





WIRED

Some Glimpse AGI in ChatGPT. Others Call It a Mirage

Can Language Models Reason? CoT Rationales are often not faithful



Reasoning vs Memorization: Using Counterfactuals



Reasoning vs Memorization: Using Counterfactuals

Original transformation types

Exten	nd sequ	ence		Successor		Pr	Predecessor		
abcd	\rightarrow	abcde	abcd	\rightarrow	abce	bcde	\rightarrow	acde	
ijkl	\rightarrow	i j k l m	ijkl	\rightarrow	i j k m	ijkl	\rightarrow	h j k l	
Remove redundant letter Fix alphabetic sequence					Sort				
abbcde	\rightarrow	abcde	abcwe	\rightarrow	abcde	adcbe	\rightarrow	abcde	
ijkklm	\rightarrow	ijklm	ijkxm	\rightarrow	ijklm	kjmli	\rightarrow	ijklm	

Modified transformation types

Exter	nd sequ	lence	Successor		Predecessor			
a b c d	\rightarrow	abcdf	a b c d	\rightarrow	abcf	c d e f	\rightarrow	a d e f
ijkl	\rightarrow	ijkln	ijkl	\rightarrow	i j k n	jkim	\rightarrow	h k l m
Remove	redund	ant letter	Fix alpha	abetic s	sequence		Sort	
acegii	\rightarrow	acegi	acego	\rightarrow	acegi	kfapu	\rightarrow	afkpu
ikkmoq	\rightarrow	i k m o q	ikxoq	\rightarrow	i k m o q	imkoq	\rightarrow	i k m o q

Reasoning vs Memorization: Using Counterfactuals



Language Model Agents

with some slides borrowed from Frank Xu (CMU)

Some Terminology



Some Terminology



Type ... on ..., Click on ..., Choose ... from dropdown, ...

Raw pixels as observation?

HTML DOM as observation?

Applications: Natural Language Interfaces



Virtual Assistants

Set an alarm at 7 AM
 Remind me for the meeting at 5pm
 Play Jay Chou's latest album



Natural Language Programming Image: Sort my_list in descending order Image: Sort my_list in descending order Image: Copy my_file to home folder Image: Dump my_dict as a csv file output.csv

Applications: UI automation

Click the "Menu" button, and then find and click on the item with the icon.





"Play some synthwave songs"

Applications: Multi-step "Tool use"

ChatGPT plugins

We've implemented initial support for plugins in

ChatGPT. Plugin language model help ChatGPT ac computations, o	Expedia Bring your trip plans to life—get there, stay there, find things to see and do.	FiscalNote Provides and enables access to select market-leading, real-time data sets for legal, political, and regulatory data and information.	Instacart Order from your favorite local grocery stores.	KAYAK Search for flights, stays and rental cars. Get recommendations for all the places you can go within your budget.
<u>ChatGPT plugins</u>	KI Klarna Shopping Search and compare prices from thousands of online shops.	Milo Family Al Giving parents superpowers to turn the manic to magic, 20 minutes each day. Ask: Hey Milo, what's magic today?	OpenTable Provides restaurant recommendations, with a direct link to book.	Shop Search for millions of products from the world's greatest brands.
	•••• Speak Learn how to say anything in another language with Speak, your Al-powered language tutor.	Wolfram Access computation, math, curated knowledge & real-time data through Wolfram Alpha and Wolfram Language.	Zapker Zapier Interact with over 5,000+ apps like Google Sheets, Trello, Gmail, HubSpot, Salesforce, and more.	

C

Instruction following agents [Pre LLMs]



- a) What states border Texas $\lambda x.state(x) \wedge borders(x, texas)$
- **b**) What is the largest state $\arg \max(\lambda x.state(x), \lambda x.size(x))$
- c) What states border the state that borders the most states $\lambda x.state(x) \wedge borders(x, \arg \max(\lambda y.state(y), \lambda y.count(\lambda z.state(z) \wedge borders(y, z))))$

Idea #1: Directly map from instructions to action sequences like Machine Translation [works well for simple grounded environments like text2sql, knowledge graph querying]

$$\max_{\theta} p_{\theta}(\{a_1, a_2, \ldots\} \mid g)$$

Instruction following agents [Pre LLMs]



Idea #2: Infer executable, latent plans from (instruction, trajectory) pairs and train a model to go from instructions to plans



Instruction: "Place your back against the wall of the 'T' intersection. Turn left. Go forward along the pink-flowered carpet hall two segments to the intersection with the brick hall. This intersection contains a hatrack. Turn left. Go forward three segments to an intersection with a bare concrete hall, passing a lamp. This is Position 5."

Parse: Turn (),

Verify (back: WALL), Turn (LEFT), Travel (), Verify (side: BRICK HALLWAY), Turn (LEFT), Travel (steps: 3), Verify (side: CONCRETE HALLWAY)

Instruction following agents [Pre LLMs]

<pre>U: click Run, and press OK after typing secpol.msc in the open box. a: C: left-click R: [Run]</pre>	:3	 Search Help Run Shut Down Start
<pre>U: click Run, and press OK after typing secpol.msc in the open box. a: left-click Run C: type-into R: [open "secpol.msc"]</pre>	8:	Run Type the name of a program, and Windows will open it for you. Open: secpol.msc Ok Cancel Browse The Start
U: click Run, and press OK after typing secpol.msc in the open box. \vec{a} : left-click Run type-into open "secpol.msc" C: left-click R: [OK]	8:	Run Type the name of a program, and Windows will open it for you. Open: secpol.msc Ok Cancel Browse Start

Idea #3: Use RL to directly map instructions to actions $\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}} R(a; \text{instruction, observation})$

Instruction following agents [in 2024]



Main Idea: Use causal transformers for generative trajectory modeling!

$$p(\tau \mid g) = p(s_1, a_1, s_2, a_2, \dots \mid g) = \prod_t p(s_t \mid s_{t-1}, a_t) \times \pi(a_t \mid \tau_{\leq t}, g)$$

A Simple Language Model Agent with ReACT

You are an agent capable of the following actions: 1. Type X on Y 2. Move mouse to 3. Click on X 4. Type Char x on Y Your objective is to follow user instructions, by mapping them into a sequence of actions. Instruction: {g} So far, you have taken the following actions and observed the following environment states: Previous Actions and Observations: 01: a1: o2: a2: ••• After executing these actions, you observe the following HTML state: <HTML state> Now, think about your next action: Thought: [model-pred] Now, take an action: Action: [model-pred] $\pi_{
m LM}$

- 1. Action space in text
- 2. Instruction in text
- 3. Previous observations and actions
- 4. Provide current observation [as text]

Model generates next action (sequence prediction task), use that action to update environment and repeat!

Mostly, just CoT prompting in a loop

Some popular benchmarks for LM agents: MiniWoB++



Sandboxed environment evaluating basic browser interactions across a range of applications from social media to email clients

Evaluates functional correctness

Not real world (limited functionality)

Relatively short-horizon

Zero-shot performance far from perfect!

Some popular benchmarks for LM agents: WebArena

"Create a plan to visit Pittsburgh's art museums with minimal driving distance starting from
 Schenley Park. Log the order in my "awesome-northeast-us-travel" repository



Environment with sandboxed approximations of real websites spanning e-commerce, social media!

Additional utility tools: Maps, calculators, scratchpads, Wikipedia...

Multi-tab browsing

Long-horizon tasks

Evaluates functional correctness

Some popular benchmarks for LM agents: WebLINX





Web-interactions on real websites

Conversational: includes a new "say" action to communicate with human to gather information

Multi-tab browsing

Turn-level metrics for evaluation

Not an environment, but a collection of interactions

Training data for Language Model Agents

- Standard practice: In-context learning with few-shot demonstrations of humans performing following similar instructions.
- This is still not scalable / reliable



1000s of environments, many kinds of interactions possible...

Can agents autonomously explore their environments to construct high quality synthetic demonstrations?





How can we decide if a sequence of interactions is meaningful? *Use Natural Language!*



How can we decide if a sequence of interactions is meaningful? *Use Natural Language!*



How can we decide if a sequence of interactions is meaningful? *Use Natural Language!*





Set origin to SFO and dest to NYC



57





(Bootstrapping Agents by Guiding Exploration with Language)



Finetuning possible too!

Multimodality?



- So far, we've looked at using text-only language models for agents
- This is intractable for real-world UIs with very long HTML
- Can we instead operate directly over pixel space?

Multimodality LLaVA



Multimodality Pix2Struct





The "prompting gap": without extensive prompting / bespoke few-shot examples, competitive LMs are far from perfect on even the simplest environments



Long-horizon planning is hard: Even on simple benchmarks, performance drops drastically on tasks that require longer horizon planning.



S2: Open Google translate and sign in using the following credentials: [email] [password]

	ooogie
V	Velcome
webtasks.	navigator@gmail.com v
Enter your naceword	
Show password	
Forgot password?	Ne

Reference (B): [password] GPT-4V (R): [email] LLaMA (B): [password]



Search