Representing Low-Resource Language Varieties: Improved Methods for Spoken Language Processing

Martijn Bartelds

bartelds@stanford.edu











There is often substantial variation within languages





- There is often substantial variation within languages
 - This most clearly observed in spoken language
 - Oral vernaculars or no written system





Baevski et al. (2020)



Can we use these models to describe and model language variation?

Can we use these models to describe and model language variation?

Quantifying differences between language variants automatically without requiring transcriptions

Studying language variation may give us important insights into how varieties relate to their linguistic communities

$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k & i & n & d & a \\ \\ \hline k & i & n & d & \partial \\ \hline 1 & & 1 \end{array}$

Quantifying Language Variation Acoustically with Few Resources

Martijn Bartelds University of Groningen The Netherlands m.bartelds@rug.nl

Martijn Wieling University of Groningen The Netherlands m.b.wieling@rug.nl

types of linguistic information. Specifically, the

Abstract

Deep acoustic models represent linguistic information based on massive amounts of data. Unfortunately, for regional languages and dialects such resources are mostly not available. However, deep acoustic models might have learned linguistic information that transfers to lowresource languages. In this study, we evaluate whether this is the case through the task of distinguishing low-resource (Dutch) regional varieties. By extracting embeddings from the hidden layers of various way2vec 2.0 models (including new models which are pre-trained and/or fine-tuned on Dutch) and using dynamic time warping, we compute pairwise pronunciation differences averaged over 10 words for over 100 individual dialects from four (regional) languages. We then cluster the resulting difference matrix in four groups and compare these to a gold standard, and a partitioning on the basis of comparing phonetic transcriptions. Our results show that acoustic models outperform the (traditional) transcription-based approach without requiring phonetic transcriptions, with the best performance achieved by the multilingual XLSR-53 model fine-tuned on Dutch. On the basis of only six seconds of speech, the resulting clustering closely matches the gold standard.

1 Introduction

Deep acoustic models have improved automatic speech recognition (ASR) substantially in recent years (Schneider et al., 2019; Baevski et al., 2020a,b; Conneau et al., 2020). These models represent linguistic information based on massive amounts of data. While these models are generally evaluated on ASR benchmarks, few studies have addressed what kind of linguistic information is represented by them. The work of Pasad et al. (2021) examined information represented by the wav2vec 2.0 model (Baevski et al., 2020b) across the various Transformer layers. They showed that different layers encode different et al. 2020), they produce lower quality phonetic

initial layers appeared to be most similar to the input speech features, whereas the middle layers mostly encoded contextual information. The final layers again turned out to be similar to the input speech features. However, the representations of the final layers changed when the model was finetuned, likely because task-specific information was learned. In addition, Ma et al. (2021) investigated several deep acoustic models using phonetic probing tasks, and found that representations from these models capture information useful for distinguishing English phones. Importantly, these deep acoustic models were better able to distinguish English phones than using conventional MFCC or filterbank features. Although they evaluated the transferability of deep acoustic representations across several domains, it remains unclear whether these models learned information that transfers to other languages. This is, however, important when working on more inclusive speech technology. Especially when resources for training these models are lacking, such as for regional languages and dialects. In this paper, we therefore investigate if hidden layers of deep acoustic models incorporate fine-grained information, which can be used to represent differences between, and in turn distinguish, regional language varieties.

Past work on investigating language variation has often been based on computing pronunciation distances that rely on phonetically transcribed speech (Nerbonne and Heeringa, 1997; Livescu and Glass, 2000; Heeringa, 2004). These (edit) distances have been found to match perceptual judgements of similarity well (Gooskens and Heeringa, 2004; Wieling et al., 2014). However, transcribing speech phonetically is time-consuming and prone to errors (Bucholtz, 2007; Novotney and Callison-Burch, 2010). While automatic approaches for computing phonetic transcriptions exist (e.g., Li

3735

Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 3735 - 3741 July 10-15, 2022 @2022 Association for Computational Linguistics



Armen	-	arms
Deeg	-	dough
Draden	-	wires
Duiven	-	pigeons
Naalden	-	needles
Ogen	-	eyes
Pijpen	-	pipes
Tangen	-	pliers
Volk	-	people
Vuur	-	fire

	Standard	Frisian	Low Saxon	Limburgish
	Dutch	(Joure)	(Eelde)	(Echt)
Arms	arəmən	jɛrəmən	?a.ims	ærəm
Dough	deix	deiç	deix	deix
Wires	dradən	trıdn	drədn	droi

Only 6 seconds of speech for each location





Acoustic models

- w2v2-en pre-trained and fine-tuned on 960 hours of English speech from Librispeech (Baevski et al., 2020)
- w2v2-n1 further pre-trained and subsequently fine-tuned on 243 hours of Dutch speech from the Spoken Dutch Corpus

* XLSR-n1 XLSR-53 fine-tuned on 243 hours of Dutch speech from the Spoken Dutch Corpus









Clustering

Previously applied to distance matrices of dialect pronunciations (e.g., Heeringa et al. (2002); Prokić and Nerbonne (2008)) Select optimal clustering

Spatial sensitive evaluation



dendrogram underlying the clustering)



Perceptual data

- Online survey
- Listen to the 10 words per village and select the (regional) language
- Approximately 600 ratings per location



Model	Layer	Method	CDistance		
			Gold	Perception	
w2v2-en	13	cl	0.34	0.47	
w2v2-nl	16	wa	0.34	0.44	
xlsr-nl	15	cl	0.20	0.39	
LD		ga	0.46	0.58	





LD (ga clustering)



xlsr-nl layer 15
 (cl clustering)

Conclusions

- XLSR-nl can be effectively used to distinguish between language groups in the Netherlands
- Outperformed the LD algorithm that needs time-consuming phonetic transcriptions
- Multilingual pre-training and fine-tuning on a similar language (compared to the target languages) is beneficial over using a monolingual model

Can these models help empower low-resource languages and their varieties?

Can we use these models to improve lowresource speech recognition performance?

Making More of Little Data: Improving Low-Resource Automatic Speech Recognition Using Data Augmentation

 Martijn Bartelds¹
 Nay San²
 Bradley McDonnell³

 Dan Jurafsky²
 Martijn Wieling¹
 ¹University of Groningen
 ²Stanford University
 ³University of Hawai'i at Mānoa m.bartelds@rug.nl

Abstract

The performance of automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems has advanced substantially in recent years, particularly for languages for which a large amount of transcribed speech is available. Unfortunately, for low-resource languages, such as minority languages, regional languages or dialects, ASR performance generally remains much lower. In this study, we investigate whether data augmentation techniques could help improve low-resource ASR performance, focusing on four typologically diverse minority languages or language variants (West Germanic: Gronings, West-Frisian; Malayo-Polynesian: Besemah, Nasal). For all four languages, we examine the use of selftraining, where an ASR system trained with the available human-transcribed data is used to generate transcriptions, which are then combined with the original data to train a new ASR system. For Gronings, for which there was a preexisting text-to-speech (TTS) system available, we also examined the use of TTS to generate ASR training data from text-only sources. We find that using a self-training approach consistently yields improved performance (a relative WER reduction up to 20.5% compared to using an ASR system trained on 24 minutes of manually transcribed speech). The performance gain from TTS augmentation for Gronings was even stronger (up to 25.5% relative reduction in WER compared to a system based on 24 minutes of manually transcribed speech). In sum, our results show the benefit of using selftraining or (if possible) TTS-generated data as an efficient solution to overcome the limitations of data availability for resource-scarce languages in order to improve ASR performance.

1 Introduction

Self-supervised learning (SSL) enables speech representation learning without the need for (manually) labeled data. Although this approach is very effective, pre-training an SSL model is costly. This cost (e.g., training time, resources, and memory)

increases with the number of languages added to the model. Furthermore, transferring information across languages, or extending a pre-trained model to new data or to a different domain is computationally expensive, and catastrophic forgetting may occur (Goodfellow et al., 2013). To alleviate this, SSL models are therefore often fine-tuned on the target task with target domain data. For the task of automatic speech recognition (ASR), fine-tuning approaches generally require less data, but training ASR systems that perform well for languages with very little data remains challenging. This leads to (digitally) underrepresented communities and domains such as minority languages, regional languages and dialects not profiting sufficiently from most recent technological advancements.

Recent studies explored fine-tuning of pretrained self-supervised models for ASR using speech from low-resource languages (e.g., Coto-Solano et al. 2022; Guillaume et al. 2022), and difficulties of modeling resource-scarce languages and dialects were acknowledged in previous work (Aksenova et al., 2022). It remains an open question to what extent model performance is dependent on the amount of fine-tuning data and the type of language, when the total amount of available data for a language is limited. Having a better understanding of how limited training data affects model performance paves the way for creating meaningful speech technology for a wider range of languages.

In this paper, we fine-tune pre-trained SSL models for ASR using varying amounts of data from four typologically diverse minority languages or language variants: Gronings, West-Frisian, Besemah and Nasal, which have a limited amount of data available. We specifically investigate whether data augmentation approaches can be used to generate additional training data to improve the performance of these models, particularly when very little resources are available. By using data from (ongoing) language documentation projects, we evaluate

715

Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics Volume 1: Long Papers, pages 715–729 July 9-14, 2023 ©2023 Association for Computational Linguistics wav2vec 2.0: A Framework for Self-Supervised Learning of Speech Representations

Alexei Baevski Henry Zhou Abdelrahman Mohamed Michael Auli

XLS-R: Self-supervised Cross-lingual Speech Representation Learning at Scale

 Arun Babu[△]*, Changhan Wang[△]*, Andros Tjandra[△], Kushal Lakhotia^{◊†}, Qiantong Xu[△],

 Naman Goyal[△], Kritika Singh[△], Patrick von Platen[♣], Yatharth Saraf[△], Juan Pino[△],

 Alexei Baevski[△], Alexis Conneau^{□‡}, Michael Auli^{△‡}

Robust Speech Recognition via Large-Scale Weak Supervision

Alec Radford *1 Jong Wook Kim *1 Tao Xu¹ Greg Brockman¹ Christine McLeavey¹ Ilya Sutskever¹

UNSUPERVISED CROSS-LINGUAL REPRESENTATION LEARNING FOR SPEECH RECOGNITION

Alexis Conneau, Alexei Baevski, Ronan Collobert, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Michael Auli Facebook AI

Understanding how limited training data affects model performance paves the way for creating more inclusive speech technology Can standard data augmentation approaches improve low-resource speech recognition performance using real-world data? Can standard data augmentation approaches improve low-resource speech recognition performance using real-world data?

Self-training and TTS-generated speech

XLST: Cross-lingual Self-training to Learn Multilingual Representation for Low Resource Speech Recognition

Zi-Qiang Zhang, Yan Song, Ming-Hui Wu, Xin Fang, Li-Rong Dai

Published as a conference paper at ICLR 2023

CONTINUOUS PSEUDO-LABELING FROM THE START

Dan Berrebbi* Carnegie Mellon University dberrebb@andrew.cmu.edu

Ronan Collobert, Samy Bengio, Navdeep Jaitly, Tatiana Likhomanenko Apple {collobert, bengio, njaitly, antares}@apple.com

GENERATING SYNTHETIC AUDIO DATA FOR ATTENTION-BASED SPEECH RECOGNITION SYSTEMS

Nick Rossenbach, Albert Zeyer, Ralf Schlüter, Hermann Ney

Human Language Technology and Pattern Recognition, Computer Science Department, RWTH Aachen University, 52074 Aachen, Germany AppTek GmbH, 52062 Aachen, Germany <surname>@i6.informatik.rwth-aachen.de

PSEUDO-LABELING FOR MASSIVELY MULTILINGUAL SPEECH RECOGNITION

Loren Lugosch^{1*}, Tatiana Likhomanenko^{2†}, Gabriel Synnaeve², Ronan Collobert^{2†}

¹McGill University / Mila, ²Facebook AI Research

SPEAKER AUGMENTATION FOR LOW RESOURCE SPEECH RECOGNITION

Chenpeng Du, Kai Yu

MoE Key Lab of Artificial Intelligence SpeechLab, Department of Computer Science and Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China {uchenpeng, kai.yu]8sjtu.edu.cn

SPEECH RECOGNITION WITH AUGMENTED SYNTHESIZED SPEECH

Andrew Rosenberg, Yu Zhang, Bhuvana Ramabhadran, Ye Jia, Pedro Moreno, Yonghui Wu, Zelin Wu

Google {rosenberg, ngyuzh, bhuv, jiaye, pedro, yonghui, zelinwu}@google.com

SELF-TRAINING FOR END-TO-END SPEECH RECOGNITION

Jacob Kahn, Ann Lee, Awni Hannun

Facebook AI Research

MAGIC DUST FOR CROSS-LINGUAL ADAPTATION OF MONOLINGUAL WAV2VEC-2.0

Sameer Khurana¹, Antoine Laurent², James Glass¹

¹MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Cambridge, MA, USA ²LIUM - Le Mans University, France









Images: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low_German (modified), Anderbeck, K., & Aprilani, H. (2013). The improbable language: Survey report on the Nasal language of Bengkulu, Sumatra. *SIL Electronic Survey Report*, *12*, McDonnell, B. J. (2016). *Symmetrical voice constructions in Besemah: A usage-based approach*. University of California, Santa Barbara.



■ West-Frisian: ± 875,000 ■ Gronings: ± 260,000

Images: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low_German (modified), Anderbeck, K., & Aprilani, H. (2013). The improbable language: Survey report on the Nasal language of Bengkulu, Sumatra. *SIL Electronic Survey Report, 12*, McDonnell, B. J. (2016). *Symmetrical voice constructions in Besemah: A usage-based approach*. University of California, Santa Barbara.



Nasal: ± 3,000

Images: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low_German (modified), Anderbeck, K., & Aprilani, H. (2013). The improbable language: Survey report on the Nasal language of Bengkulu, Sumatra. *SIL Electronic Survey Report*, *12*, McDonnell, B. J. (2016). *Symmetrical voice constructions in Besemah: A usage-based approach*. University of California, Santa Barbara.



Besemah: ± 500,000









Images: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Low_German (modified), Anderbeck, K., & Aprilani, H. (2013). The improbable language: Survey report on the Nasal language of Bengkulu, Sumatra. *SIL Electronic Survey Report*, *12*, McDonnell, B. J. (2016). *Symmetrical voice constructions in Besemah: A usage-based approach*. University of California, Santa Barbara.

XLS-R





Image: Arun Babu, Changhan Wang, Andros Tjandra, Kushal Lakhotia, Qiantong Xu, Naman Goyal, Kritika Singh, Patrick von Platen, Yatharth Saraf, Juan Pino, Alexei Baevski, Alexis Conneau, and Michael Auli. 2021. XLS-R: Self-supervised Cross-lingual Speech Representation Learning at Scale.

Effect of data size



Image (modified): Arun Babu, Changhan Wang, Andros Tjandra, Kushal Lakhotia, Qiantong Xu, Naman Goyal, Kritika Singh, Patrick von Platen, Yatharth Saraf, Juan Pino, Alexei Baevski, Alexis Conneau, and Michael Auli. 2021. XLS-R: Self-supervised Cross-lingual Speech Representation Learning at Scale.

Self-training



Self-training on Gronings



Gronings synthetic speech





(c) Results for the Besemah test set.



(b) Results for the West-Frisian test set.



(d) Results for the Nasal test set.



(c) Results for the Besemah test set.



(b) Results for the West-Frisian test set.



(d) Results for the Nasal test set.



(c) Results for the Besemah test set.

Training data 24 Z4 + 168 ST 18 ZZ 48 + 144 ST

🗾 96 + 96 ST



(d) Results for the Nasal test set.









(a) Results for the regular Gronings test set.



(b) Results for the out-of-domain Gronings test set.



(a) Results for the regular Gronings test set.



(b) Results for the out-of-domain Gronings test set.

We found that:

Data augmentation techniques may serve as a **cost-effective** way to improve low-resource ASR performance in a real-world setting;

We found that:

Data augmentation techniques may serve as a **cost-effective** way to improve low-resource ASR performance in a real-world setting;

The largest performance gains were observed when increasing the amounts of manually transcribed data;

We found that:

Data augmentation techniques may serve as a **cost-effective** way to improve low-resource ASR performance in a real-world setting;

The largest performance gains were observed when increasing the amounts of manually transcribed data;

We hope our experiments help further the development of more inclusive speech technology.

To help researchers to include real-world data into their analysis and applications, we publicly release our datasets in addition to our code and models.

github.com/Bartelds/neural-acoustic-distance (Journal of Phonetics 2022)

github.com/Bartelds/language-variation (NAACL 2022)

github.com/Bartelds/asr-augmentation (ACL 2023)

leave bartelds@stanford.edu | 😏 @barteldsmartijn