CS231M · Mobile Computer Vision

Announcements

- Next Wed team presentations start
- Please select the paper you want to present
- P2 submission deadline has been postponed to Friday 16th



CS231M · Mobile Computer Vision



Recognition

- Classification
- Detection
- Single instance detection and localization

From low level to high level vision





Classification or indexing

Is this an image of a bridge?



Image search engines





Detection

Does this image contain a bridge? [where?]



Face detection



Human body detection and gesture recognition



Single instance detection

Does this image contain the golden gate bridge? [where?] Or which landmark does this image contain?



Visual search and landmarks recognition







Masterworks of Art - Frida Kahlo and Di... Art



Visual search and landmarks recognition



RICOH



Face identifcation



Fingerprint identification



digital**Persona**.



Challenges: illumination



Challenges: scale



Slide credit: Fei-Fei, Fergus & Torralba

Challenges: deformation





Challenges: occlusion



Magritte, 1957

Challenges: background clutter



Kilmeny Niland. 1995

Challenges: viewpoint variation



Michelangelo 1475-1564

slide credit: Fei-Fei, Fergus & Torralba



Challenges: intra-class variation













Recognition paradigm

- Representation
- Learning
- recognition

Representation

- Building blocks: Sampling strategies



Interest operators



Dense, uniformly



Multiple interest operators



Randomly

Representation

– Appearance only or location and appearance







Learning: Generative models

- Naïve Bayes classifier
 - Csurka Bray, Dance & Fan, 2004
- Hierarchical Bayesian topic models (e.g. pLSA and LDA)
 - Object categorization: Sivic et al. 2005, Sudderth et al. 2005
 - Natural scene categorization: Fei-Fei et al. 2005

• 2D Part based models

- Constellation models: Weber et al 2000; Fergus et al 200
- Star models: ISM (Leibe et al 05)

• 3D part based models:

- multi-aspects: Sun, et al, 2009

Learning: Discriminative models



- Recognition task: classification, detection, etc..



- Recognition task
- Search strategy: Sliding Windows Viola, Jones 2001,
 - Simple
 - Computational complexity (x,y, S, θ , N of classes)
 - BSW by Lampert et al 08
 - Also, Alexe, et al 10



- Recognition task
- Search strategy: Sliding Windows Viola, Jones 2001,
 - Simple
 - Computational complexity (x,y, S, θ , N of classes)
 - BSW by Lampert et al 08
 - Also, Alexe, et al 10
 - Localization
 - Objects are not boxes



- Recognition task
- Search strategy: Sliding Windows Viola, Jones 2001,
 - Simple
 - Computational complexity (x,y, S, θ , N of classes)
 - BSW by Lampert et al 08
 - Also, Alexe, et al 10
 - Localization
 - Objects are not boxes
 - Prone to false positive

Non max suppression: Canny '86

Desai et al, 2009



Classification or indexing

Is this an image of a bridge?



definition of "BoW"

- Independent features
- histogram representation





codewords dictionary



1.Feature detection and description



Detect patches [Mikojaczyk and Schmid '02] [Mata, Chum, Urban & Pajdla, '02] [Sivic & Zisserman, '03]

2. Codewords dictionary formation





2. Codewords dictionary formation


2. Codewords dictionary formation



E.g., Kmeans, see CS131A

2. Codewords dictionary formation

Image patch examples of codewords



Sivic et al. 2005

3. Bag of word representation



- Nearest neighbors assignment
- K-D tree search strategy

Codewords dictionary

3. Bag of word representation



codewords

Codewords dictionary



Category models





Class N

Class 1



Discriminative models



Courtesy of Vittorio Ferrari Slide credit: Kristen Grauman

Major drawback of BOW models

Don't capture spatial information!

Spatial Pyramid Matching





Class street

Spatial Pyramid Matching



Caltech 101

Fei-Fei et al. (2004)

http://www.vision.caltech.edu/Image_Datasets/Caltech101/Caltech101.html



Caltech 101



Major drawback of BOW models

- Don't capture spatial information!
- As the number of images/classes to model increases, the dictionary size also increases
 - Computational cost of increasing the size of the vocabulary becomes very high!

Vocabulary tree

Scalable Recognition with a Vocabulary Tree. David Nistér and Henrik Stewénius. 2006

- Feature vectors are hierarchically clustered in into a k-way tree – also called vocabulary tree
- Computational cost in the hierarchical approach is logarithmic in the number of leaf nodes.
- Vocabularies of millions (10^6) of codewords can be supported
 - Individual words can be made more discriminative
 - Only 10 x 6 comparisons for quantizing each descriptor

Vocabulary tree

Scalable Recognition with a Vocabulary Tree. David Nistér and Henrik Stewénius. 2006



- First, an initial k-means process is run on the training data, defining k cluster centers.
- The training data is then partitioned into k groups, where each group consists of the descriptor vectors closest to a particular cluster center
- The same process is then recursively applied to each group of descriptor vectors, recursively defining quantization cells by splitting each quantization cell into k new parts

Vocabulary tree



With 40,000 images in the database, the retrieval is still real-time... (in 2006 !)

Detection

Does this image contain a bridge? [where?]



Model-based detection

- 1. Slide a window in image
 - E.g., choose position, scale orientation
- 2. Compare it with a model/template
 - Compute similarity to an example object or to a summary representation
- 3. Compute a score for each comparison and compute non-max suppression to remove weak scores



HoG = Histogram of Oriented Gradients

- Like SIFT, but...
 - Sampled on a dense, regular grid around the object
 - Gradients are contrast normalized in overlapping blocks



In OPEN CV: struct CV_EXPORTS HOGDescriptor

Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05

DPM = Deformable part model

- Like HOG template, but...
 - Use a star-structured part-based model made of:
 - Root filter (similar to Dalal-Triggs)
 - Set of parts and an associated deformation model



Felzenszwalb, et al., **Discriminatively Trained Deformable Part Models**, <u>http://people.cs.uchicago.edu/~pff/latent/</u>

Object Detection

Deformable Part Models (DPM)



DPM: Felzenszwalb, Girshick, McAllester, Ramanan 2010 **Sparselet:** Song et al. 2012 **Multi-Component model:** Gu et al. 2012

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)



CNN: LeCun, Bottou, Bengio, Haffner 1998Deep CNN: Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton 2012R-CNN: Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, J. Malik 2014

Boosting



Vila-Jones Detection: 2001 Regionlet: Wang et al 2013

3D Object Detection



ALM: Yu & Savarese, 2012 3D²PM: Pepik et al 2012 RGBD-CPMC: Lin et al 2013

Beyond sliding windows

Selective Search:





Selective Search: Sande et al 2011 segDPM: Fidler, Mottaghi, Yuille, Urtasun 2013

Single instance detection

- Does this image contain the golden gate bridge? [where?]
- Or which landmark does this image contain?



-Representation

- Detectors and descriptors

-Model learning & Recognition

- Hypothesis generation
- Model verification

Representation



Recognition

Goal: given a query image I, match objects in the image against a collection of learnt object models







Recognition

Goal: given a query image I, match objects in the image against a collection of learnt object models

- Match features between query image I and object model
- Generate hypothesis with a few matches
- Verify hypothesis with all the remaining matches
- Select hypothesis with lowest fitting error









Recognition

- Which model to use?
- How generate hypotheses?
- How to verify these hypotheses

- Detecting planar objects
- Detecting arbitrary objects and estimate camera/object pose

Goal: given a query image I, identify object model in the image I

Model: collection of points on a planar surface



Goal: given a query image I, identify object model in the image I





Challenges:

- View point changes
- Illumination changes
- Features from background



• Find matches between "model" points and "query" points

query



- Find matches between "model" points and "query" points
- Using N matches to fit homographic transformation (hypothesis generation)
- If matches and selected model are correct, the fitting error is small (verification)



- Find matches between "model" points and "query" points
- Using N matches to fit homographic transformation (hypothesis generation)
- If matches and selected model are correct, the fitting error is small (verification)



- Find matches between "model" points and "query" points
- Using N matches to fit homographic transformation (hypothesis generation)
- If matches and selected model are correct, the fitting error is small (verification)



- Find matches between "model" points and "query" points
- Using N matches to fit homographic transformation (hypothesis generation)
- If matches and selected model are correct, the fitting error is small (verification)


Line fitting with outliers



Sample set = set of points in 2D

Algorithm:

- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?] =[2]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set
- Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

Line fitting with outliers



Sample set = set of points in 2D

 $|\mathbf{0}| = 14$

Algorithm:

- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?] =[2]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set
- Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

Line fitting with outliers



Algorithm:

- 1. Select random sample of minimum required size to fit model [?]
- 2. Compute a putative model from sample set
- 3. Compute the set of inliers to this model from whole data set
- Repeat 1-3 until model with the most inliers over all samples is found

Recognizing single instances

Goal: given a query image I, identify object model in the image I

Model: collection of 3D points with descriptors



model

Recognizing single instances

Goal: given a query image I, identify object model in the image I

Model: collection of 3D points with descriptors



Rothganger et al. '03 '06

Class: toy house #3



1. Find matches between model and test image features

Class: toy house #3



- 1. Find matches between model and test image features
- 2. Generate hypothesis:
 - Compute transformation M from N matches (N=2; affine camera; key points with scale and rotation)
 - · Generate hypothesis of object location and pose w.r.t. camera

Class: toy house #3



- 1. Find matches between model and test image features
- 2. Generate hypothesis:
 - Compute transformation M from N matches
 - Generate hypothesis of object location and pose w.r.t. camera
- 3. Model verification
 - Use M to project other 3D model features into test image
 - Compute residual = D(projections, measurements)

Class: toy house #3



- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until residual doesn't decrease anymore
- 5. Repeat steps 1-4 for different object instances
- 6. M and C corresponding to min residual return the estimated object pose and object instance

Large-scale visual search



Courtesy of Grauman and Fergus

Recent related work on large scale and efficient image search

- World-scale Mining of Objects and Events from Community Photo Collections. T. Quack, B. Leibe, and L. Van Gool. CIVR 2008.
- Total Recall II: Query Expansion Revisited. O. Chum, A. Mikulik, M. Perdoch, and J. Matas. CVPR 2011.
- Geometric Min-Hashing: Finding a (Thick) Needle in a Haystack, O. Chum, M. Perdoch, and J. Matas. CVPR 2009.
- Three Things Everyone Should Know to Improve Object Retrieval. R. Arandjelovic and A. Zisserman. CVPR 2012.
- Video Mining with Frequent Itemset Configurations. T. Quack, V. Ferrari, and L. Van Gool. CIVR 2006.
- Bundling Features for Large Scale Partial-Duplicate Web Image Search. Z. Wu, Q. Ke, M. Isard, and J. Sun. CVPR 2009.
- Total Recall: Automatic Query Expansion with a Generative Feature Model for Object Retrieval. O. Chum et al. CVPR 2007.
- Discovering Favorite Views of Popular Places with Iconoid Shift. T. Weyand and B. Leibe. ICCV 2011.
- Supervised Hashing with Kernels. W. Liu, J. Wang, R. Ji, Y. Jiang, S.-F. Chang. CVPR 2012
- Kernelized Locality Sensitive Hashing for Scalable Image Search, by B. Kulis and K. Grauman, ICCV 2009
- Image Webs: Computing and Exploiting Connectivity in Image Collections. K. Heath, N. Gelfand, M. Ovsjanikov, M. Aanjaneya, and L. Guibas. CVPR 2010.
- Improving Image-based Localization by Active Correspondence Search. T. Sattler, B. Leibe, L. Kobbelt. ECCV 2012.
- Learning Binary Projections for Large-Scale Image Search. K. Grauman and R. Fergus. Chapter to appear in Registration, Recognition, and
- Object Retrieval with Large Vocabularies and Fast Spatial Matching. J. Philbin, O. Chum, M. Isard, J. Sivic, and A. Zisserman, CVPR 2007. [pdf] [approx k-means code]
- City-Scale Location Recognition, G. Schindler, M. Brown, and R. Szeliski, CVPR 2007. [pdf]

Single instance object detection on a mobile device

•G. Takacs et al. "Outdoors augmented reality on mobile phone using loxel-based visual feature organization", MIR'08

•B. Girod, V. Chandrasekhar, D. M. Chen, N. M. Cheung, R. Grzeszczuk, Y. Reznik, G. Takacs, S. S. Tsai and R. Vedantham, "Mobile Visual Search", IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 61-76, July 2011.

•J. Philbin, O. Chum, M. Isard, J. Sivic, and A. Zisserman, "Object Retrieval with Large Vocabularies and Fast Spatial Matching," CVPR, 2007.

Shape matching



- Match shape against database
- Retrieve relevant information
- Shape context (Belongie et al 00)
- Shape Classification Using the Inner-Distance [Ling and Jacobs 07]

Shape matching



Searching the World's Herbaria: A System for the Visual Identification of Plant Species 2008. S. Shirdhonkar, et al

CS231M · Mobile Computer Vision

Next lecture:

- Neural networks and decision trees for machine vision

