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- Please read all instructions (including these) carefully.

- There are 5 questions on the exam, all with multiple parts. You have 3 hours to work on the exam.

- The exam is open note. You may use laptops, phones and e-readers to read electronic notes, but not for computation or access to the internet for any reason.

- Please write your answers in the space provided on the exam, and clearly mark your solutions. Do not write on the back of exam pages or other pages.

- Solutions will be graded on correctness and clarity. Each problem has a relatively simple and straightforward solution. You may get as few as 0 points for a question if your solution is far more complicated than necessary. Partial solutions will be graded for partial credit.
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1. **Lifetimes** (18 points)

Consider the following Rust programs. Each program has several holes labeled ‘?’. For each proposed signature with the holes filled in, answer T if the program would type check with that signature and F otherwise. Assume that ‘...’ is some valid boolean expression.

(a) \(\text{fn swap}(\text{?}) (x: \& \text{? i32}, y: \& \text{? i32}) \rightarrow (\& \text{? i32}, \& \text{? i32}) \) { 

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i. } & \text{swap}('a', 'b')(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'b \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('a \text{ i32}, 'b \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: F} \\
\text{ii. } & \text{swap}('a', 'b')(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'b \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('b \text{ i32}, 'a \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: T} \\
\text{iii. } & \text{swap}'a'(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'a \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('a \text{ i32}, 'a \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: T}
\end{align*}
\]

(b) \(\text{fn swap}(\text{?}) (x: \& \text{? i32}, y: \& \text{? i32}) \rightarrow (\& \text{? i32}, \& \text{? i32}) \) { 

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i. } & \text{swap}('a', 'b')(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'b \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('a \text{ i32}, 'b \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: F} \\
\text{ii. } & \text{swap}('a', 'b')(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'b \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('b \text{ i32}, 'a \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: F} \\
\text{iii. } & \text{swap}'a'(x: \&'a \text{ i32}, y: \&'a \text{ i32}) \rightarrow ('a \text{ i32}, 'a \text{ i32}) \quad \text{T/F: T}
\end{align*}
\]
(c) fn swap<(x: &? str, y: &? str) -> (&? str, &? str) {
(y, x)
}
fn main() {
    let r1: &'static str = "hello";
    let r3 = {
        let s = String::new("world");
        // String::as_str has the following signature:
        // as_str<&'a>(&'a self) -> &'a str
        let (r2, _) = swap(s.as_str(), r1);
        r2
    };
    println!("{}\r3");
}

i. swap<&'a, &'b>(x: &'a str, y: &'b str) -> ('a str, 'b str)
   T/F: F

ii. swap<&'a, &'b>(x: &'a str, y: &'b str) -> ('b str, 'a str)[1]
    T/F: T

iii. swap<&'a>(x: &'a str, y: &'a str) -> ('a str, &'a str)
    T/F: F

---

1Due to a typo in the original version of the exam, swap took &i32 values instead of &str values. We gave credit to both answers.
2. **Ownership** (24 points)

Much research has been dedicated to the study of ownership type systems as a tool to manage resources such as memory. The type rules below show an early ownership system known as *affine types* for the simply typed lambda calculus (STLC). The basic idea behind affine types is that *a variable can be used at most once*.

The type judgements have the form $\Gamma \vdash e : t ; \Gamma'$, so each rule results in a new context as well as a type. Rules are read: given the unused variables $\Gamma$, evaluating expression $e$ yields a value of type $t$ and leaves variables $\Gamma'$ unused. We use $\Gamma \setminus \{x\}$ to denote the context $\Gamma$ with any binding for $x$ removed, should it exist. For simplicity, the typing rules enforce that there is no variable *shadowing*—any variable bound by a lambda abstraction is not bound by any other lambda abstraction nested inside it (see ABS).

\[
\begin{align*}
  t \in \text{Type} & ::= \text{int} \mid t \rightarrow t \\
i \in \text{Int} & ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid \cdots \\
e \in \text{Expr} & ::= i \mid x \mid \lambda x : t . e \mid e_1 e_2 \\
\Gamma \in \text{Context} & ::= x_1 : t_1, \ldots, x_n : t_n
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  \Gamma \vdash i : \text{int} ; \Gamma & \quad \text{[INT]} & \frac{x \notin \Gamma \quad \Gamma, x : t_1 \vdash e : t_2 ; \Gamma'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : t_1 . e : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 ; \Gamma' \setminus \{x\}} & \quad \text{[ABS]} \\
  \Gamma \vdash x : t ; \Gamma \setminus \{x\} & \quad \text{[VAR]} & \frac{\Gamma' \vdash e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 ; \Gamma'' \quad \Gamma'' \vdash e_2 : t_1 ; \Gamma'''}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : t_2 ; \Gamma'''} & \quad \text{[APP]}
\end{align*}
\]

(a) Write a lambda expression that is well-typed in the ordinary STLC (without shadowing), but not well-typed in the affine STLC.

\[
\lambda f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} . f (f 0)
\]

---

\[\footnotesize\text{\textsuperscript{2}The instructions related to shadowing have been reworded since the exam was administered. Due to ambiguity in the original wording, we did not take off any points for mistakes in 2.b related to shadowing.}\]
(b) Consider adding \texttt{let} expressions to the affine STLC:

\[
\begin{align*}
t & \in \text{Type} ::= \text{int} \mid t \rightarrow t \\
i & \in \text{Int} ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid \cdots \\
e & \in \text{Expr} ::= i \mid x \mid \lambda x : t . e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \\
\Gamma & \in \text{Context} ::= x_1 : t_1, \ldots, x_n : t_n
\end{align*}
\]

Give an affine type rule for \texttt{let} compatible with the other rules (given above) for an affine type system. Ensure there is no shadowing.\footnote{See footnote 2.}
3. **Continuations** (24 points)

The “with”-pattern is a popular resource management abstraction in functional languages. Consider a hypothetical Scheme/Racket dialect that provides a higher-order function `with-open-file`, which accepts 2 arguments: a path and a function `f`. When called, `with-open-file` opens a file object at the given file path, then calls function `f` with this file object, and finally closes the file object after `f` returns.

For example, the following code uses `with-open-file` to open `123.txt` and reads its contents. `read-file` is a built-in function that reads the contents of an open file object.

```
(with-open-file "123.txt"
  (lambda (file) (read-file file)))
```

The following is an implementation of `with-open-file`. Built-in function `open-file` opens a file object at a given path, and `close-file` closes a file object. Once `close-file` is called on a file object, it is no longer open and cannot be used for file operations, such as `read-file`.

```
(define (with-open-file path f)
  (let* ((file (open-file path))
         (return-value (f file)))
    (close-file file)
    return-value))
```

In this example, `with-open-file` calls each of `open-file` and `close-file` exactly once. In fact, `with-open-file` is guaranteed to issue matching pairs of `open-file` and `close-file` calls no matter what computation we do in `f`, as long as `call/cc` is not involved.

For each of the following expressions, answer how many times `open-file` and `close-file` are called during evaluation. Assume that erroneous file operations such as using `read-file` on a closed file object are no-ops and do not terminate the program:

(a) (let* (((count 0)
    (k #f))
  (call/cc (lambda (k1) (set! k k1)))
  (with-open-file "123.txt"
    (lambda (file) (read-file file)))
  (set! count (+ count 1))
  (if (< count 10)
    (k #f)
    #f))

open-file is called 10 times, close-file is called 10 times.
(b) (call/cc
  (lambda (k)
    (with-open-file "123.txt"
      (lambda (file)
        (k (read-file file))))))

open-file is called 1 times, close-file is called 0 times.

(c) (let* ((count 0)
               (k #f))
    (with-open-file "123.txt"
      (lambda (file)
        (read-file file)
        (call/cc (lambda (k1) (set! k k1))))
      (set! count (+ count 1))
      (if (< count 10)
          (k #f)
          #f))

open-file is called 1 times, close-file is called 10 times.

(d) (let* ((count 0)
               (k #f))
    (call/cc (lambda (k1) (set! k k1)))
    (with-open-file "123.txt"
      (lambda (file)
        (read-file file)
        (set! count (+ count 1))
        (if (< count 10)
            (k #f)
            #f)))))

open-file is called 10 times, close-file is called 1 times.
In the following questions, we explore how we can guarantee `with-open-file` executes a matching close for every open even in the presence of `call/cc`. Fill in the blank boxes to make each expression:

- Maintain matching pairs of calls to `open-file` and `close-file`, i.e. `close` is only called on open files and all open file objects are eventually closed.
- Guarantee that `read-file` is only ever called with an open file object.

If the expression already satisfies the above properties, fill in `#f`. The reference answer contains one line of code for each blank box.

Hint: the following expressions correspond one-to-one with the expressions we considered in the first part of this problem. The only modification is that we replace some captured continuations `k` with “wrapped” continuations `(lambda (v) #f (k v))`, so that you can run custom setup/cleanup code when these “wrapped” continuations are invoked.

(e) (let* ((count 0)
           (k #f))
      (call/cc (lambda (k1)
                 (set! k (lambda (v)
                             #f
                             (k1 v))))))
      (with-open-file "123.txt"
        (lambda (file) (read-file file)))
      (set! count (+ count 1))
      (if (< count 10)
          (k #f)
          #f))

(f) (call/cc
     (lambda (k)
      (with-open-file "123.txt"
        (lambda (file)
          (close-file file)
          (k v))
        (read-file file))))
(g) (let* ((count 0)
    (k #f))
  (with-open-file "123.txt"
    (lambda (file)
      (read-file file)
      (call/cc (lambda (k1)
               (set! k (lambda (v)
                 (set! file (open-file "123.txt")))
               (k1 v))))))
  (set! count (+ count 1))
  (if (< count 10)
    (k #f)
    #f))

(h) (let* ((count 0)
    (k #f))
  (call/cc (lambda (k1) (set! k k1)))
  (with-open-file "123.txt"
    (lambda (file)
      (read-file file)
      (set! count (+ count 1))
      (if (< count 10)
        ((lambda (v)
          (close-file file)
          (k v))
         #f)
        #f))))
4. **Monads & Haskell** (14 points)

(a) T/F: the following function types are equivalent to “\(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d\)”: 

- i. **T**  
  \(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d\) (example)

- ii. **F**  
  \(a \rightarrow ((b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow d)\)

- iii. **T**  
  \((a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d))\)

- iv. **F**  
  \(((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c \rightarrow d))\)

- v. **F**  
  \((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d)\)
(b) Recall the following identity for do-notation:

\[ \text{do } a \leftarrow e_1 \\
\quad e_2 \]

is equivalent to

\[ e_1 >>= \lambda a \rightarrow e_2 \]

Convert the following Haskell expression from do-notation to use (>>=) and return: Recall that a lambda is declared in Haskell through the syntax (\arg1 \arg2 \arg3 \rightarrow \ldots)

\[
\text{convertMe } f \ y \ g \ h \ m \ k = \text{do } x \leftarrow f \ y \\
\quad \text{let } z = g \ x \ y \\
\quad \text{in if } z \\
\quad \quad \text{then do } h \\
\quad \quad \quad m \ x \\
\quad \quad \text{else return ()} \\
\quad n \leftarrow \text{return } x \\
\quad n \ k
\]

\[
\text{convertMe } f \ y \ g \ h \ m \ k = (f \ y) >>= (\lambda x \rightarrow \\
(\text{let } z = g \ x \ y \\
\quad \text{in if } z \text{ then } (h >>= (\lambda _\rightarrow m \ x)) \\
\quad \quad \text{else return ()}) \\
\quad >>= (\lambda _\rightarrow \\
(\text{return } x) >>= (\lambda n \rightarrow n \ k)))
\]
5. **Applicative vs. Monad** (24 points)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{putStrStderr} & : \text{String} \rightarrow \text{IO ()} \quad \text{-- prints a string to stderr} \\
\text{putStrStderr} \ s & = \text{hPutStr stderr } s \\

\text{putStrStdout} & : \text{String} \rightarrow \text{IO ()} \quad \text{-- prints a string to stdout} \\
\text{putStrStdout} \ s & = \text{hPutStr stdout } s \\
\text{not} & : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\
\text{not True} & = \text{False} \\
\text{not False} & = \text{True}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{trueVal} & : \text{IO Bool} \\
\text{trueVal} & = \text{putStrStdout } \text{"trueVal,"} \ >> \ \text{return True} \\

\text{falseVal} & : \text{IO Bool} \\
\text{falseVal} & = \text{putStrStdout } \text{"falseVal,"} \ >> \ \text{return False} \\

\text{trueBranch} & : \text{IO Char} \\
\text{trueBranch} & = \text{putStrStdout } \text{"trueBranch,"} \ >> \ \text{return 'T'} \\

\text{falseBranch} & : \text{IO Char} \\
\text{falseBranch} & = \text{putStrStdout } \text{"falseBranch,"} \ >> \ \text{return 'F'} \\

\text{cond} & : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{a} \rightarrow \text{a} \rightarrow \text{a} \\
\text{cond True t f} & = t \\
\text{cond False t f} & = f
\end{align*}
\]

-- prints a char to stderr (remember that String is an alias for [Char])
\[
\text{printResult} & : \text{Char} \rightarrow \text{IO ()} \\
\text{printResult} \ x & = \text{putStrStderr } [x]
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{class Functor m} & \text{ where} \\
\text{fmap} & : (\text{a} \rightarrow \text{b}) \rightarrow \text{m a} \rightarrow \text{m b}
\end{align*}
\]

-- infix shorthand for fmap
\[
(\langle\$\rangle) : \text{Functor m} => (\text{a} \rightarrow \text{b}) \rightarrow \text{m a} \rightarrow \text{m b}
\]
\[
(\langle\$\rangle) = \text{fmap}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{class Functor m} & \Rightarrow \text{Applicative m} \text{ where} \\
(\langle\*\rangle) & : \text{m (a} \rightarrow \text{b)} \rightarrow \text{m a} \rightarrow \text{m b} \\
\text{pure} & : \text{a} \rightarrow \text{m a}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{class Applicative m} & \Rightarrow \text{Monad m} \text{ where} \\
(\langle\*\rangle) & : \text{m a} \rightarrow (\text{a} \rightarrow \text{m b}) \rightarrow \text{m b} \\
\text{return} & : \text{a} \rightarrow \text{m a}
\end{align*}
\]

Implement the following functions in a way that satisfies the given type signature and example result. You may only use core language constructs of Haskell (lambdas, if-then, etc.), i.e., no external functions outside of those defined above. No solutions require let-in or where clauses, so these should also not be used. If the solution is the same as a
previous part (for example, part 3), write “same as part 3”. If no such function can be implemented, write “not possible”. To improve readability, everything that matches part 1 has been marked in light gray in subsequent parts.

```
part1 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: applicative default
(part1 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part1 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part1 cc tt ff = cond <$> cc <*> tt <*> ff
```

```
part2 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: monad default
(part2 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part2 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part2 cc tt ff = Same as part 1
```
part3 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b
description: applicative fixed return
(part3 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"
(part3 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part3 cc tt ff = (\_ _ ff' -> ff') <$> cc <*> tt <*> ff

part4 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b
description: monad fixed return
(part4 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"
(part4 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part4 cc tt ff = Same as part 3
part5 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b
description: applicative conditional side effect
(part5 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part5 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part5 cc tt ff = Not possible

part6 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b
description: monad conditional side effect
(part6 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part6 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part6 cc tt ff = cc >>= (\c -> cond c tt ff)
part7 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: applicative fixed return & conditional side effect
(part7 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"
(part7 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part7 cc tt ff = Not possible

part8 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: monad fixed return & conditional side effect
(part8 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"
(part8 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part8 cc tt ff = Not possible
part9 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: applicative no cond
(part9 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part9 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part9 cc tt ff = Not possible

part10 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: monad no cond
(part10 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part10 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part10 cc tt ff = Not possible
part11 :: Applicative m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: applicative conditional side effect order
(part11 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part11 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part11 cc tt ff = Not possible

part12 :: Monad m => m Bool -> m b -> m b -> m b
description: monad conditional side effect order
(part12 trueVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "trueVal,trueBranch,falseBranch,"
-- stderr: "T"
(part12 falseVal trueBranch falseBranch) >>= printResult
-- stdout: "falseVal,falseBranch,trueBranch,"
-- stderr: "F"

part12 cc tt ff = cc >>= (\c -> if c then (tt >>= (\t -> ff >>= (\_ -> return t))) else (ff >>= (\f -> tt >>= (\_ -> return f))))