# CS 245 Midterm Test Winter 2021 

- Please read all instructions carefully. In the case of any ambiguity, state any assumptions you made in your answer. You may also ask clarifying questions in a private question on Piazza, but note that the course staff may take several hours to answer these.
- There are five problems, some with multiple parts, for a total of 72 points. You have until 11:59 PM Pacific on Tuesday, Feb $16^{\text {th }}$ to work on the test.
- The test is open-book, but you are not allowed to communicate with other people to do it. This includes asking public questions on Piazza -- please only ask private questions about the test or any content covered in it. You may also use the Internet during the test, but keep in mind that many online resources might use terms differently from our course, and that directly copying an online resource is considered plagiarism and is not allowed. We don't think you will need resources other than the course materials.
- You may complete this test digitally (e.g., using the Annotate tools in Acrobat Reader), or print it, handwrite your answers legibly, and scan it using a scanner or a mobile app such as GeniusScan. In either case, ensure that the file you upload to Gradescope exactly matches the format of this document and is sharp, legible, and aligned.
- Solutions will be graded on correctness and clarity. For the long-answer problems, please show your intermediate work. Each problem has a relatively simple to explain solution, and we may deduct points if your solution is much more complex than necessary. Partial solutions will be graded for partial credit.

NAME: $\qquad$

SUID: $\qquad$

In accordance with both the letter and spirit of the Stanford Honor Code, I have neither given nor received assistance on this test. A typed signature is fine.

SIGNATURE: $\qquad$

## Problem 1: System R (14 points)

a) (4 points) From Phase 0 to Phase 1, System R changed its storage design from XRM "inversions" to B-trees. For a table with 1000 tuples each with 10 fields, which of the following metrics improved in Phase 1? (Check all that apply.)

- Time for a range query including $\sim 500$ rows

Dumber of I/O operations in a range query including $\sim 500$ rows

- Number of I/O operations in a query for a single row
- Table storage space
b) ( 6 points) For each of the following recovery features of System R, describe a failure in which the feature is NOT required to return the system to a consistent state. Use each failure type (disk/media, system, transaction) once. Briefly explain how the system would recover instead.
i. Table on the backup disk.
ii. Change $\log$ on the main disk.
iii. Shadow pages.
c) (4 points) David and Patrick recently opened a small apothecary. They set up a System R database to track their items and customers' purchases. The database has the following tables:

Items

| id | name | price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | earl grey | 4.00 |
| 2 | oolong | 5.00 |
| 3 | green | 3.50 |

## Purchases

| item_id | sale_price | timestamp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 5.00 | $2021-02-1108: 43: 20$ |
| 3 | 4.50 | $2021-02-1108: 50: 59$ |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |

i. David and Patrick often run the following SQL query to record purchases:

INSERT INTO Purchases (item_id, sale_price, timestamp) VALUES (<item_id>, (SELECT price FROM Items WHERE id = <item_id>), CURRENT_TIMESTAMP);

In System R's hierarchical locking scheme, what is the minimum set of objects that need to be locked to execute this query?
ii. Give an example of an analytical SQL query on this data (just a description is fine). How could this information be used in a future business decision? (1-2 sentences)

## Problem 2: Row and Column Stores (14 points)

Consider a table with 4 columns and 100,000 rows. The columns are an integer, variable length string, integer, and integer in that order (all integers are $\mathbf{8}$ bytes). The average string length is 20 bytes. We assume that the values in columns 0,2 , and 3 are uniformly distributed between [ 0 , 1024), and that cache lines are 64 bytes. For each question, answer assuming the average case.

Please read what the question is asking for carefully. Show your work for partial credit.

We will use the following queries.

## Query 1:

SELECT SUM(col0) + SUM(col2) FROM table

```
Query 2:
SELECT SUM(col0) + SUM(col2) FROM table
WHERE col0 < 256
```

a) (4 points) Suppose the table is stored in memory as a row store without padding. How many cache lines must be accessed to compute query 1 ?
b) ( $\mathbf{2}$ points) Suppose the table is stored in memory as a column store without compression. How many cache lines must be accessed to compute query 1 ?
c) (4 points) Suppose the table is stored in memory as a column store without compression and the primary key is column 0 (assume the table is sorted by the primary key). How many cache lines must be accessed to compute query 2? State your assumptions when answering this question.
d) (4 points) Suppose the table is stored on disk as a row store where each block is 1024 bytes and the data is stored without padding. Suppose the primary key is column 0 , the table is sorted by the primary key, and we have an in-memory index on column 0 . How many disk blocks must be accessed to answer query 2 ?

## Problem 3: Indexes (14 points)

a) ( 6 points) Considering the following $B+$ tree:


The order $n$ of the $B+$ tree is 4 . Follow the same rules taught in class of the maximum and minimum pointers or keys of the tree.

Insert elements in the following order:
INSERT(1000), INSERT(301), INSERT(735)

During all the operations, there are $\qquad$ leaf overflows and $\qquad$ non-leaf overflows.
After all the operations, the root node contains $\qquad$ keys.
b) ( $\mathbf{2}$ points) Assume there are M records for $\mathrm{B}+$ tree to index. In the first case, there are N separate exact search queries on this index. In the second case, there is a range query on this index with results spanning N leaf nodes. How many pointers in the $\mathrm{B}+$ tree will these two cases traverse separately to retrieve the results? The first case: $\qquad$ the second case: $\qquad$ .
(Fill in one of $a, b, c$ or $d$ for each case).
(a) $\Theta(\mathrm{MN})$
(b) $\Theta(N \log M)$
(c) $\Theta(N+\log M)$
(d) $\Theta(M+\log N)$
c) (6 points) Consider an extendible hashing index that uses the last i bits (instead of the first $i$ bits taught in class) of $b$ bits output by hash function to map it to a bucket. Assume each bucket can hold at most 3 keys and the original directory is empty.
Insert hashed keys in the following order:

$$
2,5,20,30,18,10
$$

After the insertion,
i. The global depth of the directory is $\qquad$ .
ii. The local depth of the bucket containing 5 is $\qquad$ .
iii. The local depth of the bucket containing 20 is $\qquad$ .
iv. The local depth of the bucket containing 10 is $\qquad$ .

## Problem 4: Compression and Query Execution (14 points)

a) ( 3 points) For each of these following statements about compression, circle whether it is true or false. If it is false, briefly explain why.
i. Data must be decompressed to perform natural joins even if the data and the predicate are compressed in the same way:

TRUE FALSE
ii. Null suppression techniques apply to both row-store and column-store databases:

TRUE FALSE
iii. Run-length encoding is not useful for row-store databases:

TRUE FALSE
b) (3 points) Suppose we are applying dictionary encoding to a column with 64 unique values. How many values can fit into the following number of bytes?
i. 2 bytes $=$ $\qquad$ values
ii. 3 bytes $=$ $\qquad$ values
iii. 6 bytes $=$ $\qquad$ values
c) (8 points) Coleman Media Inc. has decided on the following table to record TV viewership. They plan to store the data in C-store, but they need your expertise on compression schemes!

| Title | Channel | StartTime | Viewers | Date | DayOfWeek |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Log \& Order | 245 | $9: 00$ PM | $2,718,281$ | $3 / 14 / 2020$ | Saturday |
| Breaking DAG | 246 | $7: 00$ PM | $16,180,340$ | $3 / 14 / 2020$ | Saturday |

The table currently has $1,000,000$ rows. There are 1,000 channels running 24 hours a day for 7 days a week. Each channel can only play 1 TV program at a time. Each program is an hour-long and shows only start at the beginning of each hour. The number of viewers varies significantly with a peak during prime time hours like the rows above to as little as a few hundred people for the early morning hours.
i. Between null suppression and bit-vector encoding, which compression scheme would be a better fit for the DayOfWeek column? Please explain your reasoning and any assumptions.
ii. Between dictionary encoding and null suppression, which compression scheme would be a better fit for the Viewers column? Please explain your reasoning and any assumptions.
iii. Between dictionary encoding and run-length encoding, which compression scheme would be a better fit for the StartTime column in a projection sorted by Date and StartTime? Please explain your reasoning and any assumptions.
iv. Between dictionary encoding and run-length encoding, which compression scheme would be a better fit for the StartTime column in a projection sorted by Date, Channel, and StartTime? Please explain your reasoning and any assumptions.

## Problem 5: Cost-Based Optimization (16 points)

Suppose we have relations $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}), \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C})$, and $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})$. Recall that the notation $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ means that relation X has attributes A and B . We have collected the following statistics about our relations, where $T(R)$ is the number of tuples in a relation and $V(R, A)$ is the number of distinct values of attribute $A$ in a relation. Note that $A$ is a primary key of $X, B$ is a primary key of T , and C is a primary key of Z .

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{X})=400 & \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{Y})=1000 & \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{Z})=1000 \\
\mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A})=400 & \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~B})=1000 & \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{C})=1000 \\
\mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~B})=200 & \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{C})=5 & \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{D})=900
\end{array}
$$

We wish to optimize the query $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y} \bowtie \mathrm{Z})$.
a) (4 points) Cost-based optimization involves choosing the most efficient plan out of those logically equivalent to our query. Write four different relational algebra expressions that are logically equivalent to our query. None need be optimal.
b) (8 points) Size estimation is an important part of cost-based optimization. For each of the following tables, provide both its expected size and the expected number of unique values for each of its attributes (rounded to the nearest integer if appropriate), assuming that values of attribute $T$ in relation $R$ are distributed uniformly between 0 and $V(T, R)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i. } \mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y} \\
& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}): \\
& \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~A}): \\
& \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~B}): \\
& \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{C}): \\
& \text { ii. } \sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X}) \\
& \mathrm{T}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X})\right): \\
& \mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{A}\right): \\
& \mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{B}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

iii. $\sigma_{B<100 \text { AND } C<500}(X \bowtie Y)$
$T\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y})\right)$ : $\qquad$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}), \mathrm{A}\right)$ : $\qquad$
$V\left(\sigma_{B<100 \text { AND } C<500}(X \bowtie Y), B\right)$ : $\qquad$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \text { AND } \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{X} \bowtie \mathrm{Y}), \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\qquad$
iv. $\sigma_{B<100 \text { AND } C<500}(Y \bowtie Z)$
$T\left(\sigma_{B<100 \text { AND } C<500}(Y \bowtie Z)\right)$ : $\qquad$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \text { AND } \mathrm{c}<500}(\mathrm{Y} \bowtie \mathrm{Z})\right.$, B$)$ : $\qquad$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \text { AND } \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Y} \bowtie Z), \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\qquad$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Y} \bowtie \mathrm{Z}), \mathrm{D}\right)$ : $\qquad$
c) (4 points) Assume that all joins are executed as hash joins in memory after applying pushed-down selections. The hash join is executed by hashing each row of the table for which the join column is the primary key, then performing lookups with each row of the other table. For each of these query plans, how many values must be hashed? How many hash table lookups are necessary?
i. $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X}) \bowtie \sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \mathrm{AND} \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Y})\right) \bowtie_{\mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Z})$
ii. $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100}(\mathrm{X}) \bowtie\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}<100 \text { AND } \mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Y}) \bowtie \sigma_{\mathrm{C}<500}(\mathrm{Z})\right)$

