

## Class 10: Agenda and Questions

## 1 Announcements

- Midterm on Wednesday!
- No class the Monday after that (Presidents' Day)
- HW5 will be due Friday 2/20.

## 2 Warm-Up

**Group Work**

In class, we said that the “second moment method” was to using the fact that

$$\Pr[X = 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}$$

for all real-valued random variables  $X$ . There's another version that says that for any non-negative random variable  $X$ ,

$$\Pr[X > 0] \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

Is one of these stronger than the other? Are they incomparable?

**Bonus** question: prove this second version!

## 3 Recap/Questions?

Any questions from the minilectures and/or the quiz (second moment method and LLL)?

## 4 Practice with the LLL

Recall the  $k$ -SAT problem. There are  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We consider clauses that look like  $(x_{i_1} \vee x_{i_2} \vee \overline{x_{i_3}} \vee \dots \vee x_{i_k})$ ; that is, a clause is the OR of  $k$  literals.

**Group Work**

Let  $\varphi$  be a  $k$ -CNF formula, so that each clause has  $k$  distinct variables in it. Apply the

LLL to get a statement like the following:

Suppose that each variable is in at most  $t$  clauses of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is satisfiable.

(You should try to get  $t$  to be as large as possible. It's not hard to see that the statement above is true if, say,  $t = 1$ , but you should get a value of  $t$  that grows with  $k$ .)

## 4.1 More Practice with LLL and Mutual Independence

Here's an example where the mutual independence requirement is a bit trickier to think about. Consider a set of  $m$  equations over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(1)} x_j &\equiv b^{(1)} \pmod{17} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(2)} x_j &\equiv b^{(2)} \pmod{17} \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(m)} x_j &\equiv b^{(m)} \pmod{17}\end{aligned}$$

where:

- For all  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and all  $r = 1, \dots, m$ , the coefficients  $a_j^{(r)} \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 16\}$  are not all zero; and
- for all  $r = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $b^{(r)} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$ .

Suppose that each variable  $x_j$  appears in at most 4 of the  $m$  equations. (That is, for each  $j$ ,  $a_j^{(r)} = 0$  for all but four values of  $r$ .)

### Group Work

With the setup above, prove that there exists an assignment to the variables such that *none* of the equations are satisfied.

**Hint:** Recall that because 17 is prime, for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, 16\}$  and any  $b \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ , the equation  $ax \equiv b \pmod{17}$  has a unique solution for  $x \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ .

**Hint:** It might be helpful to go back to the definition of mutual independence when arguing about the value of  $d$  when applying the LLL. Remember that  $A$  is mutually independent of events  $\{B_1, \dots, B_\ell\}$ , if for any set  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ ,  $\Pr[A \mid \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j] = \Pr[A]$ . Write down what that means in this context!

**Hint:** A bigger hint is given on the last page...

## 5 (If time) Practice with the second moment method

In a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , say that a vertex  $v$  is **isolated** if it has no neighboring vertices.

### Group Work

Let  $G \sim G_{n,p}$  be a random graph where each edge is present independently with probability  $p$ , where  $p = \frac{c \ln n}{n}$  for some constant  $0 < c < 1$ .

1. Use the Second Moment Method to show that, with probability at least  $1 - o(1)$ , there is some isolated vertex in  $G$ .

For this exercise, feel free to use the approximation  $e^{-x} \approx 1 - x$  when  $x$  is small as an equality without worrying about it.

Feel free to use either the second moment method we saw in the mini-lecture videos, or the alternate form from the warm-up. (Either will work).

**Hint:** Consider the random variable  $X$  that is the number of isolated vertices in  $G$ .

**Hint:** When computing the variance of  $X$ , you may want to consider the following question: given two distinct vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$ , what is the probability that both  $u$  and  $v$  are isolated?

**Extra hint** for second group work: You can take  $d = 3$ . Why?