History

- Charm++ designed in the early 1990's
  - Based on Charm from the late 1980's
- Parallel machines of the time were
  - Custom architectures, fading in importance
  - Networks of commodity workstations
    - Much cheaper
    - Eventually became the dominant compute platform

History (Cont.)

- This is the environment that led to the rise of MPI
  - Two-level programming model
  - On-node managed with standard programming
  - Off-node managed by message passing
- Charm++ has a similar top-level design
  - With a focus on integrating object-oriented features

Chares

- The basic unit of computation and parallelism in Charm++ is a chare
- An object
  - A set of entry methods
    - Take a single message argument
  - Entry methods can be invoked by other chares
Message Passing Model

• A chare responds to one message at a time
  - Chares are single-threaded
  - Entry point methods always run to completion
    - No interrupts

• Flexibility in which message is handled next
  - When multiple entry point methods could be invoked, configurable policies determine choice
  - E.g., messages can have priorities

Chare Classes

• Chares are special in Charm++

```cpp
char MyChareType {
    entry MyChareType(args);
    entry void MyMethod(args);
}
```

• The Charm++ preprocessor/compiler generates C++ classes and methods from this spec

Creating Chares

• Chares can be created individually
  ```cpp
  Cproxy_X x = X::ckNew(args);
  ```

• To create a chare on a specific processor:
  ```cpp
  Cproxy_X x = X::ckNew(args,proc);
  ```

What Are Proxies?

• Handles on remote objects
  - The chare itself is in some unknown location, usually not local
  - The programmer iteracts with proxy objects

• To invoke a method on a chare, invoke the method on its local proxy

• Proxies are an artifact of being embedded in C++
  - Could be avoided in a language with its own syntax/semantics
Method Invocation on Chares

chareProxy.EntryMethod(args)

- Asynchronous, does not block
  - Calling thread continues
- And one-sided, no explicit acknowledgment

Creating Chares

- Chares can be created individually
- More commonly, chare arrays are used
  
carray = ClassName::cknew(numElements)
carray[0].entry(msg)

Advantages of Chare Arrays

- Easy to create lots of chares
  - Which are automatically distributed around the machine
- Easy to name chares
  - A chare can easily refer to its neighbors, a distinguished chare, etc. using array indices

Hello World, Version 1

helloArray = Hello::cknew(numElements);
helloArray[0].sayHi(-1);

... Hello {
  void Hello::sayHi(int from) {
    printf("Hello from %d\n", thisIndex);
    if thisIndex < (numElements - 1)
      thisProxy[thisIndex + 1].sayHi(thisIndex);
  }
...
**Hello World, Version 2**

```c
Main {
    helloArray = Hello::cknew(numElements);
    helloArray.sayHi(-1);

    void done() {
        if (++doneCount >= numElements) CkExit();
    }

    Hello {
        void Hello::sayHi(int from) {
            printf("Hello from %d\n", thisIndex);
            mainProxy.done();
        }
    }
}
```

**Chare Arrays vs. MPI**

- Chare arrays provide an MPI-like model
- Message passing
- Collective operations
  - E.g., reductions
  - Global names for elements of the collection

**Reductions**

```c
int myInt = 1;
contribute(sizeof(int), &myInt, CkReduction::sum_int);
```

- `contribute` is a built-in method on chare arrays
- All members of a chare array must call `contribute`
- `contribute` can also be used as a barrier:
  ```c
  contribute()
  ```

**Comments on Control in Charm++**

- Because message sends and receives are asynchronous, programs tend to be written in an event-driven style
  - Many entry point methods, each doing a small part of a larger task
- This leads to difficult-to-understand control flow
  - Hard to reason about order in which different entry points are executed
Structured Dagger

- A mechanism for showing/enforcing intended order of entry point calls

```cpp
chare ComputeObject {
  entry void start() {
    when first(T x)
    when second(T y)
    doPair(x,y)
  }
  entry void first(T i);
  entry void second(T j);
}
```

Another Problem ...

- Charm++ is based on message passing in C++
- Most C++ things are objects
- So we’ll want to send objects in messages ...

PUP

- PUP = pack/unpack
- A serialization/deserialization framework
  - One declaration of both

```cpp
void T::pup(PUP::er &p) {
  p|field1;
  p|field2;
  ...
}
```

But ...

- No in order message delivery
- All messages are one-sided
  - Chare does not block on a message send
- Not limited to one array of chares
- Location of chares is transparent
  - And can change (e.g., for load balancing)
Read Only Data

• Can declare read only data
  - With global name, globally accessible

```c
readonly Type ReadonlyVariable;
```

• `readonly` is really "write once"
  - In main chare

• An important facility
  - Underlying system makes sure read-only data is available everywhere

Load Balancing

• Because the location of a chare is kept abstract, it is possible to migrate chares

• Charm++ has built-in load balancing
  - Runtime moves chares
  - Uses the chares' PUP methods
  - Many load balancing policies
  - And users can write their own

Load Balancing (Cont.)

• To balance load, need chares > processors

• Called over partitioning
  - Create more units of work than processors
  - If one processor is too heavily loaded, move some of its units of work to a lightly loaded processor

• Good if compute cost is linear in data size
  - Not so good if compute cost is superlinear

Other Mapping Policies

• User can set policies for

  • Initial assignment of chares to processors
  • Migration of chares
    - i.e., load balancing
  • Locality
    - Affinity of chares to each other

  • Reminiscent of dynamic mapping decisions in Regent
Critique of Charm++

- Consider:
  - Programmability
  - Control model
  - Data model

Programming

- Race conditions
  - No shared memory, so no traditional races
  - But easy to miss needed synchronization
  - E.g., have all chares in a local stencil calculation contributed?

- Deadlocks
  - Easy to get with out-of-order message handling

- Tradeoff
  - Can improve performance by being more asynchronous
  - But take the risk of introducing concurrency issues

Memory Management

- Programmer is responsible for managing message allocation & deallocation
- No way for the runtime to know when a program is finished with a message
- Programmer must manage all other memory explicitly as well
  - Like MPI

Control

- Parallelism expressed at the level of chares
  - One level of parallelism
  - Well suited to clusters of sequential processors

- Ability to express hierarchy unclear
  - Early versions of Charm++ had hierarchy
  - Now in the "experts only" feature list
Data

- Minimal facilities for describing structure of data
  - Chare arrays are the main mechanism
  - Note they unify control & data decomposition

- No support for defining multiple views of data
  - Can be done, but programmer must do it "by hand", and system will not take advantage of it

- Support for locality in load balancing/scheduling policies
  - But nothing higher level

Summary

- Charm++
  - Minimalist view: Object-Oriented MPI
  - But can do more

- Mature
  - Well engineered - "just works"
  - Many ports
  - Good documentation
  - Significant applications and libraries
  - Some applications run on very large machines