

Chapel & X10

CS315B

Lecture 12

What is Chapel?

“Chapel is a modern parallel programming language designed for productivity at scale.”

What Does That Mean?

- Pay attention to the issues in large-scale parallel programming
 - Control
 - Memory
- But have features that look like a “real” programming language
 - Types, type inference, objects, ...

History

- Main paper in 2007
 - Read the intro to this paper!
- Preceded by Cascade
 - ~2004
 - And by ZPL before that ...
- Still an active project today

Model of Control

- In a word: threads

A Few Words About Threads

- A thread is a sequential program
- Multiple threads can execute in parallel
 - All statements in a single thread execute in the specified order
 - There is no specified ordering of instructions in different threads
 - Instructions from different threads may be interleaved in any order
- And threads share state
 - One thread can write a value another thread reads

Example 1

Thread 1

$x = 0$

$y = 0$

$x = x + 1$

Thread 2

$x = 0$

$y = 0$

$y = y + 1$

Example 2

Thread 1

$x = 0$

$y = 0$

$x = y + 1$

Thread 2

$x = 0$

$y = 0$

$y = y + 1$

Example 3

Thread 1

initially $y = 0$

$y = y + 1$

Thread 2

$y = y + 1$

Example 3: Machine Level

Thread 1

initially $y = 0$

$r1 = \text{load } y$

$r1 = r1 + 1$

$y = \text{store } r1$

Thread 2

$r2 = \text{load } y$

$r2 = r2 + 1$

$y = \text{store } r2$

Example 3: Atomics

Thread 1

initially $y = 0$

`atomic{ y = y + 1 }`

Thread 2

`atomic{ y = y + 1 }`

Thread Synchronization

- Threading systems often have a wide array of synchronization primitives
 - Ways to restrict the interleavings of threads
- General philosophy
 - Allow any interleavings by default
 - Add enough synchronization to eliminate undesirable interleavings

Data Parallelism in Chapel

- Index domains, both structured and unstructured
- Parallel for loops

`forall i in I do ...`

- Legion/Regent use Chapel-style domains

Task Parallelism

- `cobegin {s1; s2}`
- Statements `s1` and `s2` may run in parallel
- Structured future-like variables for inter-thread communication
 - Variables can be either *full* or *empty*
 - A write fills the variable
 - A read empties it
 - Producer-consumer style parallelism

Nested Parallelism

- Constructs can be arbitrarily nested
- Fine to have
 - Task parallelism inside of data parallelism
 - Or vice versa

Reductions

- Built-in support for reductions and scans.
- Not integrated directly with other features
 - Really a separate facility
 - But can be used in combination with other kinds of parallelism

Locales

- *Locales* name places where computation can happen and values can be stored
- Locales are an abstract concept
 - In practice, a node would be a locale
- Note: The set of all locales is just ... a set
 - No structure
 - No topological relationships between set elements
 - Combines processors and memories in one

Data Model: Distributions

- A domain can be *distributed* among a set of locales
- Chapel supports standard distributions
 - Blocked, cyclic, blocked cyclic
 - And user-defined distributions

Alignment

- A new Chapel distribution can be defined as an *alignment* with an existing distribution
- E.g., “Layout index set B like index set A”
- Allows distributions to be derived from existing distributions
 - Compare with Legion/Regent’s dependent partitioning

Owner Computes

- Consider `forall i in I do ...`
- Default execution uses the *owner computes* rule:
 - Iteration `i` is executed on the locale that owns it
- Programmer can override:

`forall i in I do on A[i+1] do ...`

User-Defined Distributions

- Additional distributions can be defined
- Implement distribution interface
 - A lower-level API for defining distributions
- The standard distributions are also written this way
 - But there is a difference in compiler knowledge!

Example

```
const indices = {1..1000} dmapped Cyclic(startIdx = 1)
```

```
forall i in indices do
```

```
    writeln("iteration ", i, " on locale ", here.id)
```

Object-Oriented Features

- Chapel strives to look and feel like a modern object-oriented language
 - E.g., Java
- But not fully OO
 - Emphasis on arrays and pass-by-value
 - Because of importance in high-performance computing

Chapel Critique

- Machine Model
- Memory/Data
- Control
- Latency hiding

Machine Model

- Designed for a world of clusters
- Locales are essentially a flat collection
 - Fine if the locales are nodes that are peers on a network
 - Reality is now more complex due to accelerators and other heterogeneity within a node
 - e.g., NUMA

Memory/Data Model

- Model of machine memory is very simple
- Unified with computation
 - No mechanism for talking about different memories accessible from the same processor
 - No mechanism for talking about hierarchy

Data Model

- Lots of support for manipulating, partitioning index spaces
 - A good idea, widely adopted
 - Can also define and use subspaces
 - Sparse index space support is not fully worked out
- Note: The index space itself is mapped, not the data
 - Allows multiple arrays with the same index space to be trivially partitioned the same way

Data Model (Cont.)

- Emphasis on where the data is placed
 - And can only have one placement
- No (?) facilities for expressing movement of data
 - Data movement is implicit, in that if a thread on a locale needs a value from another locale the needed messages are generated automatically
 - Data movement at the granularity of individual requests

Control

- Locales are virtualized processors
 - And memories
- Fine to have multiple threads per processor
 - No guarantee of exclusive access
- Ability to run multiple threads/locale is also the latency hiding mechanism
- Various synchronization mechanisms we didn't discuss
 - Present in all threading models

X10

- Surprisingly similar to Chapel!
- Not really fair ...
 - There are real differences in the designs
 - But not so much at the level of today's lecture
- X10 is
 - Thread-based
 - Provides data parallel and task parallel constructs
 - Has a flat model of compute/memory locations called *places*

X10: What's Different

- Java-based
 - More emphasis on integration into an existing language
 - More emphasis on being object-oriented
- Garbage-collected
 - A huge difference
 - Only serious HPC effort that uses GC
 - Every local JVM collects its own heap on a node
 - Make sure inter-node references are tracked
 - So that data pointed to on remote nodes isn't collected!