

### 13.1 - Optimizing Convex Least Squares Cost

Recall the least squares convex optimization problem:

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

The gradient is:

$$\nabla f(x) = A^T(Ax - b)$$

The gradient descent update rule is:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu A^T(Ax_t - b)$$

In this case, the step size is fixed:

$$\mu_t = \mu$$

The optimal value (which minimizes the objective function) is  $x^*$ . Hence, by convexity:

$$\nabla f(x^*) = A^T(Ax^* - b) = 0$$

The error at each time step is defined as:

$$\Delta_t = x_t - x^*$$

So, it follows that:

$$\Delta_{t+1} = \Delta_t - \mu A^T(Ax_t - b) = \Delta_t - \mu A^T(Ax_t - b) + \mu A^T(Ax^* - b) = \Delta_t - \mu A^T A \Delta_t$$

Should we run the gradient descent algorithm for M iterations:

$$\Delta_M = (I - \mu A^T A)^M \Delta_0$$

Should we take the Euclidean norm of both sides, we can take advantage of the fact that the operator norm coincides with the largest singular value:

$$\|\Delta_M\|_2 \leq \sigma_{\max}((I - \mu A^T A)^M) \|\Delta_0\|_2$$

Since we are dealing with a symmetric matrix:

$$\sigma_{\max}((I - \mu A^T A)^M) = \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |1 - \mu \lambda_i(A^T A)|^M$$

Where  $\lambda_i$  is the i-th eigenvalue in decreasing order.

We now make the following definitions:

$\lambda_-$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $A^T A$

$\lambda_+$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $A^T A$

Now, it follows that:

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,d} |1 - \mu \lambda_i(A^T A)| = \max(|1 - \mu \lambda_-|, |1 - \mu \lambda_+|)$$

The optimal step size would be chosen to minimize the above (in order to best minimize the error). In other words:

$$\mu_{opt} = \min_{\mu \geq 0} \max(|1 - \mu \lambda_-|, |1 - \mu \lambda_+|)$$

It also worthwhile to note that both values in the pair would have to be equal when  $\mu$  is optimal. Hence:

$$\mu_{opt} = \frac{2}{\lambda_+ + \lambda_-}$$

Now we can go about finding the convergence rate of the gradient descent algorithm:

$$\max(|1 - \mu \lambda_-|, |1 - \mu \lambda_+|) = \frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{\lambda_+ + \lambda_-}$$

Now (after we substitute the above):

$$\|\Delta_M\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{\lambda_+ + \lambda_-}\right)^M \|\Delta_0\|_2$$

Depending on the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$ , we have different convergence rate. If  $A^T A$  has identical eigenvalues, we have one-step convergence. However, should the largest eigenvalue  $\gg$  smallest eigenvalue, we have slow convergence.

We now define the condition number:

$$\kappa := \frac{\lambda_+}{\lambda_-}$$

Hence:

$$\|\Delta_M\|_2 \leq \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^M \|\Delta_0\|_2$$

Say we initialize at  $x_0 = 0$ , and we desire an accuracy  $\|\Delta_M\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ . We would then need our number of iterations  $M$  to be:

$$M \log\left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right) + \log \|x^*\|_2 \leq \log(\epsilon)$$

$$M = O\left(\frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1}\right)}\right)$$

It is worthwhile to note that  $\log\left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1}\right) \approx \frac{2}{\kappa - 1}$  for large  $\kappa$ . So (for large  $\kappa$ ) the computation cost is:

$$M = O\left(\kappa \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

### 13.2 – Momentum

We now modify the gradient descent update rule to:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu_t \nabla f(x_t) + \beta_t (x_t - x_{t-1})$$

The additional term is known as momentum. It is related to the discretization of the second order ODE (modelling the motion of a body in a potential field given by  $f$ ):

$$\ddot{x} + a\dot{x} + b\nabla f(x)$$

Momentum is also called accelerated gradient descent, or the heavy-ball method. It can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= \beta_t p_{t-1} - \nabla f(x_t) \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t + \alpha_t p_t \end{aligned}$$

In this case,  $p_t$  is the search direction. This update rule has short term memory. We also typically set  $p_0 = 0$ .

### 13.2.1 – Momentum for Least Squares

Recall from 13.1 that:

$$\Delta_{t+1} = \Delta_t - \mu A^T A \Delta_t$$

Since there is one-time step memory, consider:

$$V_t := \|\Delta_{t+1}\|_2^2 + \|\Delta_t\|_2^2$$

It is worthwhile to note that  $V_t$  upper bounds error (Lyapunov Analysis):

$$\|\Delta_t\|_2^2 \leq V_t$$

### 13.2.2 – Convergence Analysis

Recall the least squares problem, update rule and error definition once more:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t - \mu_t \nabla f(x_t) + \beta_t (x_t - x_{t-1}) \\ \Delta_t &= x_t - x^* \text{ where } x^* = A^\dagger b \end{aligned}$$

Notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= Ax^* + b^\perp \\ \nabla f(x_t) &= A^T A \Delta_t \\ \text{since } \nabla f(x^*) &= A^T (Ax^* - b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can use those equations to write:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{t+1} \\ \Delta_t \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_t - \mu_t \nabla f(x_t) + \beta_t (x_t - x_{t-1}) - x^* \\ \Delta_t \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)I - \alpha A^T A & \beta I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_t \\ \Delta_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We now iterate for  $i = 1, \dots, M$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{M+1} \\ \Delta_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)I - \alpha A^T A & \beta I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^M \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_M \\ \Delta_{M+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Similar to before, we take l2 norms:

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{M+1} \\ \Delta_M \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \sigma_{\max} \left( \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)I - \alpha A^T A & \beta I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^M \right) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_M \\ \Delta_{M+1} \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

#### 13.2.2.1 – Spectral Radius

Say there is a  $d$  by  $d$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ . Then, the spectral radius is defined as:

$$\rho(M) := \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |\lambda_i|$$

Lemma:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\max}(M^k)^{1/k} = \rho(M)$$

### 13.2.2 – Convergence Analysis

Now we return to convergence analysis. Let  $\lambda_i$  denote the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  for  $i=1, \dots, d$ .

Lemma:

The eigenvalues of:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)I - \alpha A^T A & \beta I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

are given by the eigenvalues of 2 x 2 matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta) - \alpha \lambda_i & -\beta \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $i=1, \dots, d$ .

We set  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to minimize the spectral radius:

$$\alpha = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_+} - \sqrt{\lambda_-}}{\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-}}$$

The spectral radius then becomes:

$$\rho \left( \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)I - \alpha A^T A & \beta I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_+} - \sqrt{\lambda_-}}{\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-}}$$

The convergence result becomes:

$$\| \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{M+1} \\ \Delta_M \end{bmatrix} \| \leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_+} - \sqrt{\lambda_-}}{\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-}} \right)^M \| \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_M \\ \Delta_{M+1} \end{bmatrix} \|^2$$

This is better than regular gradient descent as the complexity is:

$$\sqrt{\kappa} n d \log \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right)$$

As opposed to (when  $\beta=0$ ):

$$\kappa n d \log \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right)$$

### 13.3 – Newton's Method

Recall the second order Taylor's approximation:

$$f(y) \approx f(x_t) + \nabla f(x_t)^T (y - x_t) + \frac{1}{2} (y - x_t)^T \nabla^2 f(x_t) (y - x_t)$$

Say we want to minimize the approximation. The update rule is:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \mu_t (\nabla^2 f(x_t))^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)$$

The complexity (for minimizing  $f(Ax)$  where  $A$  is  $n \times d$ ) is  $O(nd^2)$  to form the Hessian and  $O(d^3)$  to invert. Alternatively,  $O(nd^2)$  for factorizing the Hessian. It is also worthwhile to note that Newton's method converges for in one step (when the step size is 1).