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Abstract

A slide-to-lecture-video search system is implemented on Android tablet. The search system gets video clips from the SCPD database. Previous research on Fisher Vector and Residual Enhanced Visual Vector are combined to generate compact index for each database image. An augmented database of clean slide images is used to exploit the temporal redundancy of videos. Original database of video keyframes and augmented database of slides are compared and experiments show using augmented database can achieve significant memory reduction and precision increase.

1. Introduction

As the usage of computers and the internet penetrates deeper into peoples’ lives, universities are following the trend offering their courses online. Platforms like Coursera and Udacity are offering large amounts of courses from universities as well as great tech companies. Stanford University also has its own online lecture video database called ClassX. It is developed by Stanford Center for Professional Development and it achieves lecture videos from classes in the school of engineering. The problem in these databases is that it is hard to search for the time when professor was talking about a particular concept, equation, etc. In this project I developed a way to search for video chunks by taking a photo of a slide using mobile devices. Given photo of a slide, whether printed or displayed on a screen, the application returns the URL and time stamp for the corresponding video chunk in which the slide is shown.

The image-to-video search problem has been addressed by Sivic and Zisserman [7] a decade ago. A video is represented by a sequence of keyframes at specific frame rate. Therefore, image-to-video search is built on content based image retrieval. Bag-of-Words model [6] and Vocabulary Tree [4] are widely used for this task today. For large-scale image retrieval, it is important to build compact index for database images and reduce memory usage. It is desirable to fit the database indices in the RAM of a mobile device, so that users can process queries on their own device instead of sending their query to the server. This lowers the requirement for a strong server, reduces latency due to network data transmission, and protects user privacy. Recent progress in this direction includes the Vector of Locally Aggregated Descriptors (VLAD) [3], the Compressed Fisher Vector (CFV) [5], and the Residual Enhanced Visual Vector (REVV) [2]. In this project, I combined the thoughts in CFV and REVV to build compact indices for database images.

An important feature for videos is their temporal redundancy. Much progress is made in the direction to exploit the temporal redundancy of videos to aggregate local features and compress database files [1]. In the case of lecture videos, an easy way to exploit the temporal redundancy is to introduce an augmented database consisting of clean lecture slide images converted from pdf or powerpoint files. With the help of augmented database, the slide-to-lecture-video search becomes a two-step process: match the photo of a slide against the augmented database images, and link the database image to its corresponding video and time stamp with a preprocessed annotation file. This method reduces the memory requirement by a significant amount, and boosts retrieval precision.

This report is organized as follows. In Section 2, I present the training of codebook and construction of database indices, which combines the original CFV and REVV papers [3] [5]. In Section 3, implementation of the practical slide-to-lecture-video mobile search system is introduced. Experimental results are shown in Section 4 to characterize the performance of this search system. Section 5 discusses some existing problems and future work to address these problems. The project is concluded in Section 6.

2. Design of compact index for database image

Figure 1 shows the pipeline for generating a compact index for query image and comparing it against database indices. I will explain each block in detail in the following
subsections.

2.1. Dimension Reduction

During codebook training, covariance matrix is calculated from all SIFT descriptors extracted from training images. Each descriptor is then reduced to a 32 dimension vector using Principle Component Analysis. When building database image indices and query image index, the same dimension reduction is applied to every descriptor. This dimension reduction would speed up the training process, and would help reduce the size of database image indices.

2.2. Word Residual Aggregation

Let us denote the set of descriptors extracted from an image as $X = \{x_t, t = 1..T\}$. $T$ is the number of descriptors, with a typical value of a few hundreds or thousands. We assume that the $x_t$’s are i.i.d random vectors generated from distribution $p$. The Fisher Kernel framework assumes that $p$ is a Gaussian mixture model (GMM): $p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_ip_i(x)$. Each Gaussian $p_i$ can be viewed as a visual word and $N$ is the vocabulary size. $w_i$ is the mixture weight which reflects the percentage of descriptors that are quantized to this visual word. $\mu_i$ is the mean vector of the Gaussian $p_i$, which can be viewed as the word centroid. $\Sigma_i$ is the covariance matrix of the Gaussian $p_i$. It is assumed that the covariance matrices are diagonal and we denote by $\sigma^2_i$ the variance vector. The GMM $p$ is trained on a large number of images using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE).

After the GMM is obtained from training, we want to build indices for database images. Each descriptor $x_t$ is first soft assigned to visual words. $\gamma_t(i)$ is the probability that $x_t$ belongs to Gaussian $p_i$.

$$\gamma_t(i) = \frac{w_ip_i(x_t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_jp_j(x_t)} \quad (1)$$

Word residuals $x_t - \mu_i$ for one visual word $p_i$ are aggregated in the following manner:

$$F_i^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_i}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_t(i) \frac{x_t - \mu_i}{\sigma_i} \quad (2)$$

Here the vector division $(x_t - \mu_i)/\sigma_i$ is calculated elementwise. The aggregated vector for each visual word is then concatenated and L2 normalized to form the index for image. This aggregation discounts the contribution of descriptors which are close to word centroids (with respect to its variance) thus have small $(x_t - \mu_i)/\sigma_i$, or are soft assigned with high probability to a visual word with large weight $w_i$. Both above cases indicate that the descriptor is frequent in training dataset, therefore its contribution to discriminating between images is small. This weighting is similar to the tf-idf weighting in text retrieval, where frequent words have small contributions towards representing the document.

2.3. Power law

In order to reduce the influence of large values in the vector before L2 normalization, power law should be applied elementwise to suppress large values. Power value $\alpha = 0.5$ is used from heuristics.

2.4. Binarization

The index for image constructed so far is high-dimensional and dense. It would consume much memory, and computing L2 distance between indices would be slow.

Therefore, it is helpful to binarize the index elementwise to 1 or -1 according to their sign. As the Fisher Vector for each visual word is 32 dimensional, it can be packed to a 32-bit unsigned integer.

2.5. Calculate Weighted Correlation

To calculate the similarity between a pair of indices, we calculate the correlation:

$$\frac{1}{N_qN_d} \sum_{i \in I_q \cap I_d} C(S_{q,i}, S_{d,i}) \quad (3)$$

Here $S_{q,i}$ and $S_{d,i}$ are the binarized Fisher Vector at the $i$ th visual word for query image and database image, respectively. $C(S_{q,i}, S_{d,i}) = d_{PCA} - 2H(S_{q,i}, S_{d,i})$ and $H$ is the Hamming distance which can be quickly computed with bitwise XOR and POPCNT. $I_q$ and $I_d$ are the sets of visual words that query image and database image has visited, respectively. $N_q = \sqrt{d_{PCA}|I_q|}$, $N_d = \sqrt{d_{PCA}|I_d|}$ are normalization factors. To make the correlation more discriminatory between matching and non-matching image pairs, We apply a weight to the correlation for each visual word. The weight would highlight large correlation, because larger correlation indicates higher possibility of a match. We define the weight $w(C) = P(\text{match}|C)$, which can be calculated by training with annotated matching and non-matching image pairs. The
similarity score changes to

\[ \frac{1}{N_q N_d} \sum_{i \in I_q \cap I_d} w(C(S_{q,i}, S_{d,i}))C(S_{q,i}, S_{d,i}) \]  

(4)

3. Mobile search system implementation

Figure 2 shows the pipeline for the practical mobile slide-to-lecture-video search system. The codebook training and database index generation are done on the server. The matches from clean slides to video information (url and time stamp) are manually annotated for 233 slides for demonstration. It could also be obtained by pairwise matching clean slides and video keyframes on the server given enough preprocessing time. The trained codebook, database index file, annotation file and all database image feature files are downloaded to the tablet. The query is processed on tablet, and the application would open a browser to show the returned matching video.

3.1. Training

The training dataset consists of 7304 images. Some sample images from the training dataset is shown in figure 3. They are natural images of buildings, people, natural view, etc. 11642 matching pairs are annotated as well as 11642 non-matching pairs. The most stable 300 SIFT features are extracted from each image to ensure that codebook training is not degraded by noisy features. 512 visual words are trained on this dataset.

3.2. Database

Indices for 955 slide images are generated. The slides are from CS155 class in spring quarter 2013-14. Some sample images are shown in figure 4. Aside from text contents, the slides also contain figures, flowcharts and images. The index file for 955 images is 2.4MB in size.

3.3. On Device Processing

An Android application is developed and tested on NVIDIA SHIELD tablet. Upon starting the application, codebook data and database index file are loaded into memory. The openCV cameraview handler would manage and render preview frames from the device’s camera. The user could then move the tablet and fill the cameraview with a slide that he would like to search. When the user touches the screen, openCV cameraview handler would pass the preview frame from Java coded front-end to C++ coded native functions. The native code then extract SIFT feature using the opencv2.4.9 library. After that, index for the query image is generated and compared to database indices to return a ranked list of candidate matches. Top ten matching database images are then compared to the query image using RANSAC. L1 norm is used instead of L2 norm to match SIFT features in order to speed up computation. Related functions are from NVIDIA tegra-optimized opencv2.4.8 library. Finally, the database image with the highest number of inliers is regarded as the correct
match, and its corresponding video URL and time stamp is retrieved from the annotation file. These information are returned back to the Java front-end. Since I haven’t figure out a way to control video player embedded in the webpage, I choose to show the video time stamp in a dialog popped from the application, and then open the web browser to show the matching video clip. The user can move the time bar to the time indicated by previous dialog.

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Effectiveness of Augmented Database

In this section, we compare the retrieval performance of searching a photo of a slide against the original database consisting of video keyframes, versus searching against the augmented database consisting of clean slide images. The query set is made up of 98 photos of slides, half of them are taken for printed slides and the other half are taken for slides shown on computer screen. Some photos have rotation and perspective changes, but they don’t contain background clutter. A codebook of 512 visual words is used for both experiments. In the first experiment, database images are video keyframes extracted at 1 frame per second. The database has a total of 88720 images. After comparing query index with database indices, the top 300 keyframes are passed to RANSAC, and reranked by the number of inliers. The retrieval is successful if the top keyframe is within the correct video clip. Precision at one in this case is only 18%. In the second experiment, database images are 955 clean slide images. After comparing query index with database indices, the top 4 slide images are passed to RANSAC, and reranked by the number of inliers. The retrieval is successful if the top slide is within the correct video clip. Precision at one in this case is only 90%. In addition, database index file is only 2.4MB compared to the 227MB index file for original database. It is a 100X memory saving.

4.2. Varying size of codebook

In this section, we compare the retrieval performance with different sizes of codebooks. The query set is made up of 98 photos of slides as mentioned in the previous section. Codebook with 128, 256, 512, and 1024 visual words are experimented. The augmented database of slide images is used and precision at one is calculated for each case with varying short list size. The result is shown in figure 5. From this figure, it is obvious that as the short list size increase, precision would increase. This is not surprising since we are using geometric verification to rerank candidate matches. Because geometric verification would almost certainly find the true match if it is within the short list, the possibility of finding the true match would increase with growing short list. Comparing the retrieval performance for different number of visual words, a larger vocabulary would lead to higher precision, especially when the short list size is small. However the performance tend to saturate when the number of visual words increases from 512 to 1024. This could be because the database is rather small. Considering the fact that database index file size and search time would grow linearly with the number of visual words, there is a speed/time-precision trade off in choosing a proper codebook size. Since our database is small, memory and speed are not important problems for our mobile application, we choose to use 512 visual words in our mobile search system.

4.3. Robustness under Background Clutter

I created another query set which has 30 images of slides with various background clutter. Types of clutter include other slides, other non-slide text, and non-text objects. Samples of query images can be found on the left side of figure 7. The system is tested on this dataset in the same way as described in the previous section. Precision at one is calculated for various vocabulary size and short list size. The result is shown in figure 6.

Comparing figure 6 with figure 5, the precision has dropped significantly. For short list smaller than 5 images, the precision has dropped to below 30%. Analyzing the result for different types of clutter, the system is more robust to non-text clutter than text clutter, and there is no obvious difference between slide text clutter and non-slide text clutter. A possible reason for the precision decrease is that descriptors extracted from clutter text get mixed up with descriptors from our region of interest during residual aggregation, and makes the index less like the index of original slide. descriptors from non text clutter are less likely to be assigned to the same visual word as descriptors from text patches, thus would have less influence on the index. Some trial into solving this problem of background clutter is discussed in section 5.
5. Discussion and Future Work

As mentioned in the previous section, the mobile slide-to-lecture-video search system is not very robust for background clutter, especially text clutter. Investigation into this direction is limited by the time of this project. As a first step, I am able to segment the printed paper or computer screen from other non-text background by detecting white pixels in the input photo. The algorithm first converts color image into grayscale image by taking the minimum of three color channel values. Taking the minimum puts penalty on object that are bright but have hue. Then the grayscale image is sent to detect MSER or to binarization using threshold. Some results using threshold binarization are shown in figure 7.

More processing is needed to segment the slide region we want out of other texts. This can be done by first finding the direction of text lines by Hough transform and rectifying the image, and then projecting the binary image to horizontal and vertical direction to find blank margins around the slide. Due to limitation of time this is not implemented well to achieve satisfying results.

Another possibility to improve the performance of slide-to-lecture-video search is to train the codebook on similar text images instead of natural images. In generating index for images, descriptors that appear often in the training set have lower weight and don’t contribute much towards the index. Therefore, training the codebook on natural image would highlight text regions in building index for database images and query images. However, text patches are repetitive and not discriminatory enough, we may want to highlight the figures and images which are more unique in identifying slides. Therefore, I should try to collect another training dataset of text images to see if performance of the search system can be improved.

Test is also done in taking photos of only part of a slide. Preliminary results show that the system could retrieve the right video when non-trivial amount of slide is shown (more than two lines of text). Systematic test can be done to investigate the robustness and limitation in matching parts of a slide to lecture videos.

6. Conclusion

In this project, I have combined the previous research on Fisher Vectors and Residual Enhanced Visual Vector to generate compact indices for database images to achieve efficient slide-to-lecture-video search. In order to exploit the temporal redundancy of videos, an augmented database of clean slide images is used instead of the database of video keyframes. Using augmented database has led to 100X memory reduction and has boosted the retrieval precision from 18% to 90%. An Android Application is implemented and tested on NVIDIA SHIELD tablet.
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