

1 Outline

1.1 Big picture

Recall that we are studying information-theoretic lower bounds. For statistical decision problems, we wish to give a lower bound on the (minimax) risk, and then find a procedure that satisfies the minimax risk. **If we have a lower bound and a procedure that achieves the lower bound, then we understand the problem.** In general, it is difficult to find procedures that are exactly minimax optimal, so we settle for problems that are approximately minimax optimal.

Recall that for any prior Q the minimax risk is larger than the Bayes risk:

$$R_M \geq R_B(Q).$$

Le Cam's method and Fano's method are techniques for lower bounding the Bayes risk for certain choices of the prior Q .

1.2 Today

Today we will look at the following

- An estimator that approximately achieves the minimax lower bound in sparse regression.
- A tail bound for the standard Gaussian
- An application of Stein's unbiased risk estimator (SURE)

2 Sparse regression example

2.1 Minimax lower bound

Recall from section 4.3 of the lecture notes that we derived a following lower bound for the minimax risk of the sparse regression problem.

Theorem 1 (Minimax risk for sparse regression). *Fix a design matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, we let $\mathbf{P}_\theta = \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{A}\theta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$, where $\theta \in \Theta_0(k)$ belongs to the set of k -sparse vectors (vectors with at most k non-zero entries). If $A_{av}^2 \equiv \sum_{i \leq n, j \leq d} A_{ij}^2 / (nd)$, then we have*

$$R_M(\Theta_0(k)) \geq C_0 \frac{k \sigma^2}{n A_{av}^2} \log(d/k). \quad (1)$$

2.2 Achieving the lower bound with soft-thresholding

In this section, we will show that we can achieve the minimax lower bound in a simple case ¹. Let $\mathbf{A} = I_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and fix $\sigma^2 = 1$. We will consider the family of soft-thresholding estimators:

$$S_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} x - \lambda & \text{when } x > \lambda \\ 0 & \text{when } |x| \leq \lambda \\ x + \lambda & \text{when } x < -\lambda \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_\lambda(x) = \{S_\lambda(x_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,d} \quad (\text{i.e. soft-threshold each coordinate})$$

Notice that this is a nonlinear estimator. It shrinks values toward zero and sets values smaller than λ equal to zero.

We will now compute the risk of this estimator under squared error loss. Since $\hat{\theta}(x)_i$ depends only on x_i , it suffices to consider the case $d = 1$.

$$R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), \theta) = \int (\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\theta + z) - \theta)^2 \phi(z) dz$$

Notice that the first term of the integrand is:

$$(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\theta + z) - \theta)^2 = \begin{cases} (z + \lambda)^2 & z + \theta < -\lambda \\ \theta^2 & |z + \theta| < \lambda \\ (z - \lambda)^2 & z + \theta > \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating with respect to θ under the integral sign gives:

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 2\theta P(|\theta + Z| \leq \lambda) \leq 2\theta.$$

We conclude that the risk is symmetric and increasing for $\theta > 0$.

Next, we apply SURE. Let $g_\lambda(x) = \hat{\theta}_\lambda(x) - x$. Then $\frac{\partial g_\lambda}{\partial x} = -I_{|x| \leq \lambda}$. Plugging this into SURE:

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(x) - \theta)^2 = \mathbb{E}_\theta[1 - 2\frac{\partial g_\lambda}{\partial x} + g_\lambda(x)^2] = 1 - 2P_\theta(|x| \leq \lambda) + g_\lambda(x)^2 \rightarrow 1 + \lambda^2 \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow \infty$$

This implies that

$$R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), \theta) \leq R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), 0) + \min(\theta^2, 1 + \lambda^2).$$

We now consider the risk at $\theta = 0$

$$R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), 0) = \int (\hat{\theta}_\lambda(z))^2 \phi(z) dz = 2 \int_\lambda^\infty (z - \lambda)^2 \phi(z) dz = 2(1 - \Phi(\lambda)) - 2\lambda\phi(\lambda).$$

Proposition 2.1 (Mill's tail bound). *Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Let ϕ be the standard normal density. For $t > 0$*

$$(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t^3})\phi(t) \leq P(Z \geq t) \leq \frac{1}{t}\phi(t).$$

Proof. The proof is deferred to a future section. □

Using this proposition, we have that

$$R(\hat{\theta}_\lambda(\cdot), 0) \leq 2\frac{1}{\lambda}\phi(\lambda).$$

¹See section 2.7 of Iain Johnstone's *Gaussian Estimation*

Choose $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{2\log(d)}$. Then

$$R(\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_0}(\cdot), 0) \leq 2 \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \phi(\lambda_0) \leq \frac{1}{d}.$$

$$R(\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_0}(\cdot), \theta) \leq 1/d + (2\log(d) + 1) \min(\theta^2, 1)$$

Now consider the problem with general d . Using the above calculation, we have

$$R(\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_0}(\cdot), \theta) \leq 1 + (2\log(d) + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \min(\theta_i^2, q) \leq 1 + (2\log(d) + 1)k \approx 2k \log(d)$$

We conclude that the soft thresholding estimator is approximately minimax for this problem:

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_0}(\cdot), \theta)}{R_M(\Theta_k)} = C < \infty.$$

3 Mill's tail bound for a Gaussian

Proof. 2.1 We will only show the upper bound.

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \geq t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(y+t)^2/2} dy \\ &= \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/2 - yt} dy \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-yt} dy \\ &= \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t\sqrt{2\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

□