Charge Rearrangement and Screening in a Quantum Point Contact
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Compressibility measurements are performed on a quantum point contact (QPC). Screening due to mobile charges in the QPC is measured quantitatively, using a second point contact. These measurements are performed from pinch-off through the opening of the first few modes in the QPC. While the measured signal closely matches a Thomas-Fermi-Poisson prediction, deviations from the classical behavior are apparent near the openings of the different modes. Density functional calculations attribute the deviations to a combination of a diverging density of states at the opening of each one-dimensional mode and exchange interaction, which is strongest for the first mode.
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The simplest of mesoscopic systems is a point contact, a narrow constriction between two electron reservoirs. Conductance measurements through such a quantum point contact (QPC) reveal steps in units of \( G_0 = 2e^2/h \). The physics of these steps is well understood: for a QPC adiabatically connected to the reservoirs, the transmission coefficient of each mode is either zero or one. The number of such modes increases as the width of the QPC is increased, leading to a series of quantized steps in conductance according to the Landauer formula. Such conductance measurements, however, also reveal our limited knowledge of the physics of QPCs at low densities. As a QPC is just being opened up, its conductance passes around \( 0.7 \times G_0 \), before rising to the first full-channel plateau. This “0.7 structure” has been one of the prime puzzles in mesoscopic physics [1]. Longer 1D wires show a similar, and likely related, structure at \( 0.5 \times G_0 \) [2]. These features have been variously attributed to spontaneous spin polarization in the QPC [3–5], to Luttinger liquid behavior [6], or to the formation of a localized moment at the QPC [7–9], together with the resulting Kondo effect.

To shed more light on the physics of QPCs near pinch-off, we report here measurements of the compressibility of electrons in the channel of the QPC. To measure compressibility, one applies a potential to an electrode on one side of a structure of interest and measures the potential on the other side. Compressibility measurements have helped elucidate how charge carriers arrange themselves in two dimensions when kinetic energy is dominated by interactions, whether in the quantum Hall regime [10] or at low density and high effective mass [11]. Augmented by local electrostatic detectors, such measurements have produced striking images of how individual carriers localize in these same regimes [12]. Compressibility measurements using nanofabricated electrostatic detectors such as QPCs have also become a standard tool to probe transitions between charge states of a quantum dot or two coupled quantum dots [13–15]. In this Letter we present analogous measurements, using a QPC as an electrostatic detector but in this case measuring the charge configuration of a second QPC instead of a quantum dot (cf. Ref. [16]).

Compressibility of perfect 1D systems is a textbook problem. The diverging density of states should give strong compressibility at low carrier density. In a multimode 1D system, one would expect that a similar signature should occur at the opening of each mode. In contrast, both experiment and numerical simulation of our short 1D wire show that the enhancement in compressibility at the opening of the first mode is significantly different from that at the opening of higher modes. In retrospect, this might have been expected because at the opening of the first mode the total density is small and thus exchange effects are also important. In addition, the distinctive “0.7” transport feature might have had a counterpart in compressibility. As it turns out, the simulated compressibility feature associated with the 0.7 regime was too weak to observe experimentally with our current sensitivity.

The devices in this experiment were fabricated on a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure, containing a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) 70 nm below the surface. The electron density \( n_s = 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and mobility \( \mu = 2.3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V s} \) at 4.2 K. A schematic of the measured devices is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(a). Two QPCs, with lithographic widths of 330 and 350 nm, respectively, are separated by an 80 nm wide gate. Although the device is symmetric in design, the two QPCs play fundamentally different roles in our experiment: the left-hand QPC serves as a detector, sensitive to charge rearrangements in the right-hand QPC. For clarity, we will henceforth refer to the right-hand QPC as “the QPC” and the left-hand QPC as “the detector.” Our data support the assumption that the primary interaction between the two QPCs is electrostatic. Two nominally identical devices, each containing a QPC and a detector, were measured in a \(^3\text{He} \) cryostat with 300 mK base temperature. The
extensive measurements taken on one QPC-detector pair are presented in this Letter; consistent behavior was also found after thermal cycling to room temperature. Measurements of another nominally identical QPC-detector pair were used to confirm qualitative features of the data presented here.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the linear and nonlinear differential conductance, respectively, of a QPC with the classic signatures of a 0.7 structure. The charge detector signal [Fig. 1(c)] is measured as the QPC is opened from pinch-off through the third plateau. The full range of \( V_{QPC} \) is broken into 14 shorter measurements and the detector readjusted for each, to keep the detector conductance in its sensitive, near-linear regime. Each of the 14 traces in Fig. 1(c) covers a 100 mV range in \( V_{QPC} \), with consecutive traces overlapping by 50 mV. To reduce noise we averaged each trace over 40 measurements. No striking features are visible in these raw data.

To analyze the data more carefully, we perform two transformations. First, we assign to each \( V_{QPC} \) an effective voltage \( V_{\text{eff}} \) that would produce the same change in the detector conductance if it were applied to the detector gate. This mapping is based on the measured response of the detector \( G_{\text{det}}(V_{\text{det}}) \), Fig. 1(c) (inset). The result of this transformation is shown in Fig. 1(c) (right axis). Second, the derivative \( D = dV_{\text{eff}}/dV_{QPC} \) eliminates the offsets between consecutive traces. This quantity describes the relative coupling of the detector to \( V_{QPC} \) and \( V_{\text{det}} \), and thus provides a quantitative measure of the screening of \( V_{QPC} \) by mobile charges in and around the QPC.

The derivatives of the 14 measurements shown in Fig. 1(c) are plotted in Fig. 1(d). The overlapping ranges of each curve agree, allowing us to extract a continuous \( D(V_{QPC}) \). The QPC conductance is superimposed on the measurement of \( D \) in Fig. 1(d). Three important features are observed. First, \( D \) increases steadily as \( V_{QPC} \) becomes more negative. Second, the slope of \( D \) becomes larger immediately beyond pinch-off of the QPC (\( V_{QPC} \leq -0.95 \) V). Third, each steep rise in the QPC conductance is accompanied by a slight dip in \( D \), indicated with dashed lines in Fig. 1(d).

These features may be qualitatively understood as follows. The first and second features are caused by the reduction in screening of \( V_{QPC} \) by the 2DEG, as \( V_{QPC} \) is made more negative and pushes the 2DEG away from the QPC. This recession becomes more rapid after pinch-off. The explanation of the dips associated with the opening of each mode is less straightforward. Intuitively, the divergence of the 1D density of states of the electrons in the QPC at the entry of each additional subband should be accompanied by an enhancement of the screening and a dip in \( D \). Less obviously, enhanced screening at pinch-off could also result from the exchange interaction between conduction electrons.

To understand the features in \( D \) both qualitatively and quantitatively, we first simulate the device numerically, using Stopa’s SETE code [17]. The simulation calculates self-consistently the effective potential and the density in the QPC as a function of voltages on the electrostatic top gates, but does not include quantum corrections associated with the 1D constriction in the QPC [18]. Inputs to the simulation include the 2DEG growth parameters, the geometry and voltages of the three gates, and the temperature. The potential landscape of the device is calculated for a range of settings of \( V_{QPC} \) and \( V_{\text{det}} \).
In Fig. 2(a) we plot $D_{\text{SETE}}$ extracted from the simulated data using a procedure analogous to that described for $D$ above. The quantitative match between the simulated $D_{\text{SETE}}$ and the measured $D$ is striking. In the simulation, as in the measurement, the kink in $D_{\text{SETE}}$ occurs exactly at pinch-off [19]. This confirms the association of the change in slope with an electrostatic effect of emptying the point contact’s saddle potential.

Both the measured and the simulated derivatives are linear above and below the kink at pinch-off. As seen in Fig. 2(a), the simulation is closely approximated by two lines intersecting at the pinch-off voltage. Figure 2(b) has analogous lines to approximate the data above and below pinch-off and hence to emphasize the fact that the data drop below these guide lines at the transitions between conductance plateaux. The absence of such dips in the simulation, which includes only the classical electrostatic effect of the gates on the 2DEG, supports the argument that the modulations are caused by quantum mechanical effects such as a nontrivial 1D density of states or exchange interaction.

The data in Fig. 2(b) show a pronounced dip at pinch-off. Understanding the source of this dip and the smaller dips associated with opening of successive subbands requires a quantum mechanical calculation. Since such a calculation of the full three-dimensional system is very demanding, we perform a density functional theory (DFT) simulation of a device in which the QPC is modeled by a constriction in a long quantum wire that is wide enough to carry four spin-degenerate modes [20,21]. In the inset of Fig. 2(c), the quantum wire is defined by gates marked $V_c$ and the constriction by gates marked $V_{\text{QPC}}$. We further modify the dimensions and electron density to make the computation feasible. The width and the length of the simulated QPC are 250 and 200 nm, respectively, and the 2DEG is 70 nm below the surface. The donor density is $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. The screened potential $V_{\text{det2}}$ is detected 200 nm beneath the center of the QPC. We simulate the device for a range of $V_{\text{QPC}}$ such that the QPC has from zero to three open subbands—the thin solid line in Fig. 2(c) shows the conductance. Screening of $V_{\text{QPC}}$ by the QPC affects the value of $D_{\text{DFT}} = dV_{\text{det2}}/dV_{\text{QPC}}$. We use the local density approximation (LDA) for the exchange-correlation energy of the electrons in the 2DEG, using the known effective mass and dielectric constant for GaAs. Because of the modified geometry and the different electron density, the DFT simulation cannot reproduce our measured $D$ quantitatively. However, the simulation clearly shows a dip whenever a new subband opens. In agreement with the measured data, the dip at the opening of the first subband is more pronounced than those for the second and third subbands. To estimate the importance of exchange and correlation, we eliminate them by making the Hartree approximation [dotted curve in Fig. 2(c)] [22]. The dips are much weaker in this approximation (they disappear entirely in the Thomas-
Fermi approximation) and all dips are of similar size, demonstrating that the larger dip at pinch-off in the LDA calculation is dominated by exchange-correlation effects. This is not surprising, since the exchange-correlation contribution to total energy at low carrier density is larger than the kinetic contribution: for quasi-1D systems, these two contributions go as $n^{3/2}$ and $n^3$, respectively, where $n$ is the linear density of electrons. The prominence of the dip at pinch-off relative to those for higher modes in the experiment [Fig. 2(b)] is thus evidence of the importance of exchange-correlation effects to charge distribution in a QPC. For higher modes, kinetic energy plays an important role: lowering kinetic energy by doubling effective mass [dashed curve, Fig. 2(c)] reduces dip area by 40%.

To see whether spin rearrangement within the QPC should be detectable by our compressibility measurements, we have also performed a DFT simulation using the local spin density approximations (LSDA) in which the density of electrons with spin-up is not restricted to be the same as that for spin-down. In this case the simulated detector signal has an additional small dip (Fig. 3, dot-dashed curve), marking the subtle rearrangement of electron density associated with the formation of a quasibound state at the center of the QPC. This smaller dip would be a signature of the formation of a magnetic moment responsible for the Kondo effect. Only one broad dip is discernible in the experimental data, precluding a clear experimental statement about spin rearrangement.

In conclusion, we have used a charge-sensitive detector to measure the charge rearrangement in a QPC as it passes through pinch-off. The broad features in the detector signal closely match the predictions of a classical electrostatic simulation of the device. However, the charge redistribution at the entry of 1D subbands creates a series of dips in the detector signal. DFT calculations provide a good qualitative match to measurement and indicate that the first dip is dominated by exchange interaction between electrons. The other dips are associated with the divergence of the density of states at the opening of each subband. Similar DFT calculations which further allow for the formation of local (spin-degenerate) magnetic polarization serve as the basis for the local moment Kondo scenario for 0.7 structure [9]. Our measurements are consistent with both LDA and these LSDA calculations, since in the calculations the spin rearrangement is accompanied by only a slight charge rearrangement.
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18. The simulation solves Schrödinger and Poisson equations in the growth direction at each point on a grid in the plane of the 2DEG. Then it calculates the density self-consistently using a Thomas-Fermi approximation.
19. Pinch-off in the simulation is defined as the point where the conduction band edge is equal to the Fermi energy exactly at the saddle point.
22. The exclusion of exchange and correlation affects the density far away from the QPC. To partly compensate for this effect we shifted the Hartree curve so that it matches the DFT result far beyond pinch-off.