ALGORITHM 583 LSQR: Sparse Linear Equations and Least Squares Problems

CHRISTOPHER C. PAIGE McGill University, Canada and MICHAEL A. SAUNDERS Stanford University

Categories and Subject Descriptors: G.1.3 [Numerical Analysis] Numerical Linear Algebra—linear systems (direct and iterative methods), G.3 [Mathematics of Computing]: Probability and Statistics—statistical computing, statistical software, G.m [Mathematics of Computing]: Miscellaneous—FORTRAN program units

General Terms Algorithms

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Analysis of variance, conjugate-gradient method, least squares, linear equations, regression, sparse matrix

1. INTRODUCTION

LSQR finds a solution x to the following problems:

Unsymmetric equations: solve
$$Ax = b$$
 (1.1)

Linear least squares: minimize
$$||Ax - b||_2$$
 (1.2)

Damped least squares: minimize
$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1.3)

where A is a matrix with m rows and n columns, b is an m-vector, λ is a scalar, and the given data A, b, λ are real. The matrix A will normally be large and sparse. It is defined by means of a user-written subroutine APROD, whose

Received 4 June 1980; revised 23 September 1981, accepted 28 February 1982

This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant A8652, by the New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research; and by U.S. National Science Foundation Grants MCS-7926009 and ECS-8012974, the Department of Energy under Contract AM03-76SF00326, PA No. DE-AT03-76ER72018, the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-75-C-0267, and the Army Research Office under Contract DAA29-79-C-0110.

Authors' addresses: C. C. Paige, School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6; M. A Saunders, Department of Operations Research, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305.

Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission.

© 1982 ACM 0098-3500/82/0600-0195 \$00 75

| | Storage | Work per iteration |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| $\overline{\text{CGLS}, \lambda = 0}$ | 2m + 2n | 2m + 3n |
| CGLS, $\lambda \neq 0$ | 2m + 2n | 2m + 5n |
| LSQR, any λ | m+2n | 3m + 5n |

Table I. Comparison of CGLS and LSQR

essential function is to compute products of the form Ax and $A^{T}y$ for given vectors x and y.

Problems (1.1) and (1.2) are treated as special cases of (1.3), which we shall write as

$$\min \|\vec{A} x - \vec{b}\|_{2}, \qquad \vec{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.4}$$

An earlier successful method for such problems is the *conjugate-gradient method* for least squares systems given by Hestenes and Stiefel [3]. (This method is described as algorithm CGLS in [6, sect. 7.1].) CGLS and LSQR are iterative methods with similar qualitative properties. Their computational requirements are summarized in Table I. In addition they require a product Ax and a product $A^{T}y$ each iteration.

In order to achieve the storage shown for LSQR, we ask the user to implement the matrix-vector products in the form

$$y \leftarrow y + Ax$$
 and $x \leftarrow x + A^{\mathrm{T}}y$, (1.5)

where \leftarrow means that one of the given vectors is overwritten by the expression shown. (A parameter specifies which expression the user's subroutine APROD should compute on any given entry.) We see that LSQR has a storage advantage if the operations (1.5) can be performed with no additional storage beyond that required to represent A. For least squares applications with many observations $(m \gg n)$, this could be useful.

The work shown in Table I is the number of floating-point multiplications per iteration, excluding the work involved in the products Ax, A^Ty . Since CGLS is somewhat more efficient, we would not discourage using that method whenever A or \bar{A} is well conditioned. However, LSQR is likely to obtain a more accurate solution in fewer iterations if \bar{A} is moderately or severely ill-conditioned.

Let $\bar{r}_k = \bar{b} - \bar{A}x_k$ be the residual vector associated with the kth iteration. LSQR provides estimates of $||x_k||_2$, $||\bar{r}_k||_2$, $||\bar{A}^T\bar{r}_k||_2$, the norm of \bar{A} , the condition number of \bar{A} , and standard errors for the components of x. The last two items require a further 2n multiplications per iteration and an additional n-vector of storage.

Subroutine LSQR is written in the PFORT subset of American National Standard FORTRAN. It contains no machine-dependent constants. Auxiliary routines required are APROD, NORMLZ, SCOPY, SNRM2, and SSCAL. The last three correspond to members of the BLAS collection [5].

2. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Algorithmic details are given in [6], mainly for the case $\lambda = 0$. We summarize these here with λ reintroduced, and show that a given value of λ may be dealt with at negligible cost. The vector norm $\|v\|_2 = (v^T v)^{1/2}$ is used throughout.

LSQR uses an algorithm of Golub and Kahan to reduce A to lower bidiagonal form. The quantities produced from A and b after k+1 steps of the bidiagonalization (procedure Bidiag 1 [6]) are

$$\beta_{1} = || b ||,$$

$$U_{k+1} = [u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{k+1}],$$

$$V_{k+1} = [v_{1}, v_{2}, \dots, v_{k+1}],$$

$$B_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \beta_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ \beta_{3} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \alpha_{k} \\ \beta_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.1)

The kth approximation to the solution x is then defined to be $x_k = V_k y_k$, where y_k solves the subproblem

$$\min \left\| \begin{bmatrix} B_k \\ \lambda I \end{bmatrix} y_k - \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 e_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|. \tag{2.2}$$

Letting the associated residual vectors be

$$t_{k+1} = \beta_1 e_1 - B_k y_k$$

$$r_k = b - A x_k$$

$$\bar{r}_k = \bar{b} - \bar{A} x_k,$$
(2.3)

we find that the relations

$$r_k = U_{k+1}t_{k+1}$$

$$A^{\mathrm{T}}r_k = \lambda^2 x_k + \alpha_{k+1}\tau_{k+1}u_{k+1}$$
(2.4)

will hold to machine accuracy, where τ_{k+1} is the last component of t_{k+1} , and we therefore conclude that (r_k, x_k) will be an acceptable solution of (1.4) if the computed value of either $||t_{k+1}||$ or $||\alpha_{k+1}\tau_{k+1}||$ is suitably small.

Bjorck [1] has previously observed that subproblem (2.2) is the appropriate generalization of $\min \|B_k y_k - \beta_1 e_1\|$, when $\lambda \neq 0$. He also discusses methods for computing y_k and x_k efficiently for various λ and k.

In LSQR we assume that a single value of λ is given, and to save storage and work, we do not compute y_k , r_k , or t_{k+1} . The orthogonal factorization

$$Q_{k} \begin{bmatrix} B_{k} & \beta_{1}e_{1} \\ \lambda I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{k} & f_{k} \\ 0 & \bar{\phi}_{k+1} \\ 0 & q_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.5)

is computed $(Q_k^T Q_k = I; R_k \text{ upper bidiagonal}, k \times k)$ and this would give $R_k y_k = f_k$, but instead we solve $R_k^T D_k^T = V_k^T$ and form $x_k = D_k f_k$.

The factorization (2.5) is formed similarly to the case $\lambda = 0$ in [6], except that two rotations are required per step instead of one. For k = 2, the factorization

proceeds according to

Note that the first λ is rotated into the diagonal element α_1 . This alters the right-hand side β_1e_1 to produce ψ_1 , the first component of q_k . An alternative is to rotate λ into β_2 (and similarly for later λ), since this does not affect the right-hand side and it more closely simulates the algorithm that results when LSQR is applied to \bar{A} and \bar{b} directly. However, the rotations then have a greater effect on B_k , and in practice the first option has proved to give marginally more accurate results.

The estimates required to implement the stopping criteria are

$$\|\bar{r}_{k}\|^{2} = \|r_{k}\|^{2} + \lambda^{2} \|x_{k}\|^{2} \approx \bar{\phi}_{k+1}^{2} + \|q_{k}\|^{2},$$

$$\|\bar{A}^{T}\bar{r}_{k}\| = \|A^{T}r_{k} - \lambda^{2}x_{k}\| \approx \left|\frac{\alpha_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}\phi_{k}}{\rho_{k}}\right|.$$

This is a simple generalization of the case $\lambda = 0$. No additional storage is needed for q_k , since only its norm is required. In short, although the presence of λ complicates the algorithm description, it adds essentially nothing to the storage and work per iteration.

3. REGULARIZATION AND RELATED WORK

Introducing λ as in (1.3) is just one way of "regularizing" the solution x, in the sense that it can reduce the size of the computed solution and make its components less sensitive to changes in the data. LSQR is applicable when a value of λ is known a priori. The value is entered via the subroutine parameter DAMP. A second method for regularizing x is available through LSQR's parameter ACOND, which can cause iterations to terminate before $\|x_k\|$ becomes large. A similar approach has recently been described by Wold et al. [9], who give an illuminating interpretation of the bidiagonalization as a partial least squares procedure. Their description will also be useful to those who prefer the notation of multiple regression.

Methods for choosing λ , and other approaches to regularization, are given in [1, 2, 4, 8] and elsewhere. For a philosophical discussion, see [7].

4. CODING APROD

The best way to compute y + Ax and $x + A^{T}y$ depends upon the origin of the matrix A. We shall illustrate a case that commonly arises, in which A is a sparse matrix whose nonzero coefficients are stored by *rows* in a simple list. Let A have

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 8, No. 2, June 1982

M rows, N columns, and NZ nonzeros. Conceptually we need three arrays dimensioned as REAL RA(NZ) and INTEGER JA(NZ), NA(M), where

- RA(L) is the Lth nonzero of A, counting across row 1, then across row 2, and so on:
- JA(L) is the column in which the Lth nonzero of A lies;
- NA(I) is the number of nonzero coefficients in the Ith row of A.

These quantities may be used in a straightforward way, as shown in Figure 1 (a FORTRAN implementation). We assume that they are made available to APROD through COMMON, and that the actual array dimensions are suitably large.

Blank or labeled COMMON will often be convenient for transmitting data to APROD. (Of course, some of the data could be local to APROD.) For greater generality, the parameter lists for LSQR and APROD include two workspace arrays IW, RW and their lengths LENIW, LENRW. LSQR does not use these parameters directly; it just passes them to APROD.

Figure 2 illustrates their use on the same example (sparse A stored by rows). An auxiliary subroutine APROD1 is needed to make the code readable. A similar scheme should be used to initialize the workspace parameters prior to calling LSQR.

Returning to the example itself, it may often be natural to store A by columns rather than rows, using analogous data structures. However, we note that in sparse least squares applications, A may have many more rows than columns $(M \gg N)$. In such cases it is vital to store A by rows as shown, if the machine being used has a paged (virtual) memory. Random access is then restricted to arrays of length N rather than M, and page faults will therefore be kept to a minimum.

Note also that the arrays RA, JA, NA are adequate for computing both Ax and $A^{T}y$; we do not need to store A by rows and by columns.

Regardless of the application, it will be apparent when coding APROD for the two values of MODE that the matrix A is effectively being defined *twice*. Great care must be taken to avoid coding inconsistent expressions $y + A_1x$ and $x + A_2^Ty$, where either A_1 or A_2 is different from the desired A. (If $A_1 \neq A_2$, algorithm LSQR will not converge.) Parameters ANORM, ACOND, and CONLIM provide a safeguard for such an event.

5. PRECONDITIONING

It is well known that conjugate-gradient methods can be accelerated if a nonsingular matrix M is available to approximate A in some useful sense. When A is square and nonsingular, the system Ax = b is equivalent to both of the following systems:

$$(M^{-1}A)x = c \qquad \text{where} \quad Mc = b; \tag{5.1}$$

$$(AM^{-1})z = b$$
 where $Mx = z$. (5.2)

For least squares systems (undamped), only the analogue of (5.2) is applicable:

$$\min \|Ax - b\|_2 = \min \|(AM^{-1})z - b\|_2, \quad \text{where} \quad Mx = z. \tag{5.3}$$

```
SUBROUTINE APROD( MODE, M, N, X, Y,
                        LENIW, LENRW, IW, RW )
С
      INTEGER
                 MODE, M, N, LENIW, LENRW
                 IW(LENIW)
      INTEGER
      REAL
                 X(N), Y(M), RW(LENRW)
С
C
      APROD PERFORMS THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS:
C
C
        IF MODE = 1, SET Y = Y + A*X
С
        IF MODE = 2, SET X = X + A(TRANSPOSE)*Y
С
С
      WHERE A IS A MATRIX STORED BY ROWS IN
С
      THE ARRAYS RA, JA, NA. IN THIS EXAMPLE,
      RA, JA, NA ARE STORED IN COMMON.
С
C
      REAL
                 RA
      INTEGER
                 JA, NA
      COMMON
                 RA(9000), JA(9000), NA(1000)
C
C
      INTEGER
                I,J,L,L1,L2
      REAL
                 SUM, YI, ZERO
C
      ZERO = 0.0
      L2 = 0
      IF (MODE .NE.1) GO TO 400
C
C
С
      MODE = 1 -- SET Y = Y + A*X.
С
      DO 200 I = 1, M
         SUM = ZERO
         L1 = L2 + 1
         L2 = L2 + NA(I)
         DO 100 L = L1, L2
            J = JA(L)
            SUM = SUM + RA(L)*X(J)
  100
         CONTINUE
         Y(I) = Y(I) + SUM
  200 CONTINUE
      RETURN
C
С
С
      MODE = 2 -- SET X = X + A(TRANSPOSE)*Y.
C
  400 DO 600 I = 1, M
         YI = Y(I)
         L1 = L2 + 1
         L2 = L2 + NA(I)
         DO 500 L = L1, L2
            J
                = JA(L)
            X(J) = X(J) + RA(L)*YI
  500
         CONTINUE
  600 CONTINUE
      RETURN
С
C
      END OF APROD
```

```
Fig. 1. Computation of y + Ax,
x + A^{T}y, where A is a sparse
matrix stored compactly by
rows. For convenience, the
data structure for A is held in
COMMON.
```

END

```
SUBROUTINE APROD( MODE, M, N, X, Y,
                         LENIW, LENRW, IW, RW )
C
                  MODE, M, N, LENIW, LENRW
      INTEGER
                  IW(LENIW)
      INTEGER
      REAL
                  X(N), Y(M), RW(LENRW)
C
      APROD PERFORMS THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS:
C
С
00000
        IF MODE = 1, SET Y = Y + A*X
        IF MODE = 2, SET X = X + A(TRANSPOSE)*Y
      WHERE A IS A MATRIX STORED BY ROWS IN
      THE ARRAYS RA, JA, NA. IN THIS EXAMPLE,
C
      APROD IS AN INTERFACE BETWEEN LSQR AND
С
      ANOTHER USER ROUTINE THAT DOES THE WORK.
С
      THE WORKSPACE ARRAY RW CONTAINS RA.
С
      THE FIRST M COMPONENTS OF IW CONTAIN NA,
С
      AND THE REMAINDER OF IW CONTAINS JA.
C
      THE DIMENSIONS OF RW AND IW ARE ASSUMED
С
      TO BE SUFFICIENTLY LARGE.
C
      INTEGER
                  LENJA, LENRA, LOCJA
C
      LOCJA = M + 1
      LENJA = LENIW - LOCJA + 1
                                                        Fig. 2 Same as Figure 1, with
      LENRA = LENRW
                                                        the data structure for A held
      CALL APROD1 ( MODE, M, N, X, Y,
                                                        in the workspace parameters.
                    LENJA, LENRA, IW, IW(LOCJA), RW )
      RETURN
C
C
      END OF APROD
      END
      SUBROUTINE APROD1( MODE, M, N, X, Y,
     *
                           LENJA, LENRA, NA, JA, RA)
С
      INTEGER
                  MODE, M, N, LENJA, LENRA
                   NA(M), JA(LENJA)
      INTEGER
      REAL
                  X(N),Y(M),RA(LENRA)
С
C
      APROD1 DOES THE WORK FOR APROD.
C
C
       INTEGER
                   I, J, L, L1, L2
      REAL
                   SUM, YI, ZERO
C
С
       < the same code as in APROD in Figure 1 >
С
С
C
       END OF APROD1
       END
```

| 1.00E-03) |
|----------------------------|
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 10 |
| 20 |
| P(|
| LEAST-SQUARES TEST PROBLEM |

| è | AMOUNT TITLE TWOOD | Ş | MOTIFORMIA | (1)A | Ä |
|---|--------------------------|----|---|------|---|
| _ | CONLIM = ITNLIM = | | ATOL = 1.00E-06 BTOL = 1.00E-06 | | |
| | 20 ROWS AND IS DAMP = | 20 | THE MATRIX A HAS THE DAMPING PARAMETER | | |

1.00E 02 80

10 COLS 1.00E-03

LEAST-SQUARES SOLUTION OF A*X = B

ł

LSQR

9.812157000E-01

RESIDUAL FUNCTION =

CONDITION NO. = 9.9995E 00

| COMPATII | 1.000E |
|----------|------------------|
| FUNCTION | 6.3410580000E 00 |
| X(1) | 0.0000000000E-01 |
| ITN | 0 |

| COND(ABAR) | |
|---|------------------|
| NORM(ABAR) | |
| COMPATIBLE INCOMPATIBLE NORM(ABAR) COND(ABAR) | 9.135E-02 |
| COMPATIBLE | 1.000E 00 |
| FUNCTION | 6.3410580000E 00 |
| X(1) | 0.0000000000E-01 |
| ITN | 0 |

| 9.135E-02 | 1.000E 00 | 6.3410580000E 00 | 0.0000000000E-01 |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|

| | 7.244E-01 7.51E-01 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| 9.135E-02 | 7.244E-01 |
| 1.000E 00 | 6.369E-01 |
| 6.3410580000E 00 | 4.0387670000E 00 |
| 0.00000000000E-01 | -6.3564250000E-01 4.0387670000E 00 |
| | |

| 1.000E 00 | | 9.135E-02 |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | | |
| 8 8 | 6.3410580000E 00 | 1.000E 00 |
| | 6.3410580000E | 9 |

| 100 | 401 1 10 A076 6 | 10 3277 6 | 00 300000000000000000000000000000000000 | 00 300000 c 10 300000c 70 7 |
|--------|------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 7.51E- | 7.244E-01 7.51E- | 6.369E-01 | 4.0387670000E 00 | -6.3564250000E-01 |
| | 9.135E-02 | 1.000E 00 9.135E-02 | 5.3410580000E 00 | 0.0000000000E-01 6.3410580000E 00 |

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | _ |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1.00E 0 | 2.42E 0 | 3.63E 0 | 5.27E 0 | 7.09E 0 | 9.03E 0 | 1.12E 0 | 1.34E 0 | 1.72E 0 | 2.44E 0 |
| 7.51E-01 | 1.10E 00 | 1.30E 00 | 1.49E 00 | 1.59E 00 | 1.70E 00 | 1.79E 00 | 1.90E 00 | 1.95E 00 | 1.96E 00 |
| 7.244E-01 | 3.349E-01 | 2.462E-01 | 1.424E-01 | 1.160E-01 | 9.273E-02 | 8.294E-02 | 5.138E-02 | 3.155E-02 | 1.283E-02 |
| 6.369E-01 | 3.675E-01 | 2.990E-01 | 2.508E-01 | 2.213E-01 | 2.036E-01 | 1.904E-01 | 1.822E-01 | 1.700E-01 | 1.548F-01 |
| 4.0387670000E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 | 1.8962160000E 00 | 1.5905200000E 00 | 1.4032960000E 00 | 1.2910880000E 00 | 1.2072930000E 00 | 1.1551220000E 00 | 1.0780640000E 00 | 9.815174000E-01 |
| -6.3564250000E-01 | -4.7282630000E-01 | -2.8075080000E-01 | 2.6825070000E-01 | 1.2649560000E 00 | 2.0648040000E 00 | 3.0031450000E 00 | 3.7526340000E 00 | 5.4443550000E 00 | 8.9918140000E 00 |
| _ | 7 | က | 7 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 0 |

| -6.3564250000E-01 | 4.0387670000E 00 | 6.369E-01 | 7.244E-01 | 7.51E-01 | 1.0 |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|
| -4.7282630000E-01 | 2.3303970000E 00 | 3.675E-01 | 3.349E-01 | 1.10E 00 2.4 | 7.7 |

| 2.42E 00 | 3.63E 00 | 5.27E 00 | 7.09E 00 | 9.03E 00 | 1.12E 01 | 1.34E 01 | 1.72E 01 | 2.44E 01 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.10E 00 | 1.30E 00 | 1.49E 00 | 1.59E 00 | 1.70E 00 | 1.79E 00 | 1.90E 00 | 1.95E 00 | 1.96E 00 |
| 3.349E-01 | 2.462E-01 | 1.424E-01 | 1.160E-01 | 9.273E-02 | 8.294E-02 | 5.138E-02 | 3.155E-02 | 1.283E-02 |
| 3.675E-01 | 2.990E-01 | 2.508E-01 | 2.213E-01 | 2.036E-01 | 1.904E-01 | 1.822E-01 | 1.700E-01 | 1.548E-01 |
| 2.3303970000E 00 | 1.8962160000E 00 | 1.5905200000E 00 | 1.4032960000E 00 | 1.2910880000E 00 | 1.2072930000E 00 | 1.1551220000E 00 | 1.0780640000E 00 | 9.8151740000E-01 |
| -4.7282630000E-01 | -2.8075080000E-01 | 2.6825070000E-01 | 1.2649560000E 00 | 2.0648040000E 00 | 3.0031450000E 00 | 3.7526340000E 00 | 5.4443550000E 00 | 8.9918140000E 00 |
| | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.49E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.49E 00 1.4032960000E 00 2.213E-01 1.160E-01 1.59E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.49E 00 1.4032960000E 00 2.213E-01 1.160E-01 1.59E 00 1.2910880000E 00 2.036E-01 9.273E-02 1.70E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.49E 00 1.4032960000E 00 2.213E-01 1.160E-01 1.59E 00 1.2910880000E 00 2.036E-01 9.273E-02 1.70E 00 1.2072930000E 00 1.904E-01 8.294E-02 1.79E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.10E 00 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.30E 00 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.49E 00 1.4032960000E 00 2.213E-01 1.160E-01 1.59E 00 1.2910880000E 00 2.036E-01 9.273E-02 1.70E 00 1.2072930000E 00 1.904E-01 8.294E-02 1.79E 00 1.1551220000E 00 1.822E-01 5.138E-02 1.90E 00 | 2.3303970000E 00 3.675E-01 3.349E-01 1.8962160000E 00 2.990E-01 2.462E-01 1.5905200000E 00 2.508E-01 1.424E-01 1.4032960000E 00 2.213E-01 1.160E-01 1.2910880000E 00 2.036E-01 9.273E-02 1.2072930000E 00 1.904E-01 8.294E-02 1.1551220000E 00 1.700E-01 3.155E-02 1.0780640000E 00 1.700E-01 3.155E-02 |

^{2.75}E 01 2.98E 01 3.13E 01 2.20E 00 2.38E 00 2.48E 00 2.017E-04 4.361E-06 9.078E-07 1.547E-01 1.547E-01 1.547E-01 9.8120520000E-01 9.8120540000E-01 9.8120550000E-01 8.9998990000E 00 8.9999290000E 00 8.9999280000E 00

11 12 13

| SOLUTION NORM (X) | 1.688187E 01 1.688184E 01 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| RESIDUAL NORM (NORMAL EQNS) | 2.206693E-06 1.083419E-05 |
| RESIDUAL NORM (ABAR*X - BBAR) | 9.812055E-01 9.812157E-01 |
| RES1 | ESTIMATED BY LSQR COMPUTED FROM X |

5 0.614104 10 0.589787 4 0.556184 9 0.375466 3 0.685644 8 0.519385 2 0.888101 7 0.565480 STANDARD ERRORS 1 2.11589 6 0.409182

5 4.99999 10 -0.204206E-05

5.99999

6.99998 2.00000

7.99997

8.99993

SOLUTION

Fig. 3. Example output from test program and LSQR on a damped least squares problem.

We note only that subroutine LSQR may be applied without change to systems (5.1)–(5.3). The effect of M is localized to the user's own subroutine APROD. For example, when MODE = 1, APROD for the last two systems should compute $y + (AM^{-1})x$ by first solving Mw = x and then computing y + Aw. Clearly it must be possible to solve systems involving M and M^{T} very efficiently.

6. OUTPUT

ITN

Subroutine LSQR produces printed output on file NOUT, if the parameter NOUT is positive. This is illustrated in Figure 3, in which the least squares problem solved is P(20, 10, 1, 1) as defined in [6], with a slight generalization to include a damping parameter $\lambda = 10^{-3}$. (Single precision was used on an IBM 370/168.) The items printed at the kth iteration are as follows.

The iteration number k. Results are always printed for the

| | first 10 and last 10 iterations. Intermediate results are |
|--------------|---|
| | printed if $m \le 40$ or $n \le 40$, or if one of the convergence |
| | conditions is nearly satisfied. Otherwise, information is printed every 10th iteration. |
| X(1) | The value of the first element of the approximate solution |
| | x_k . |
| FUNCTION | The value of the function being minimized, namely $\ \bar{r}_k\ =$ |
| | $(\ r_k\ ^2 + \lambda^2 \ x_k\ ^2)^{1/2}$. |
| COMPATIBLE | A dimensionless quantity which should converge to zero if |
| | and only if $Ax = b$ is compatible. It is an estimate of $\ \bar{r}_k\ $ |
| | b , which decreases monotonically. |
| INCOMPATIBLE | A dimensionless quantity which should converge to zero if |
| | and only if the optimum $ \bar{r}_k $ is nonzero. It is an estimate |
| | of $\ \bar{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{r}_{k}\ /(\ \bar{A}\ _{\mathrm{F}}\ \bar{r}_{k}\)$, which is usually <i>not</i> monotonic. |
| NORM(ABAR) | A monotonically increasing estimate of $\ \bar{A}\ _{F}$. |
| COND(ABAR) | A monotonically increasing estimate of $cond(\bar{A}) =$ |
| | $\ \bar{A}\ _{\mathrm{F}}\ \bar{A}^{+}\ _{\mathrm{F}}$, the condition number of \bar{A} . |

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Richard Hanson for suggestions that prompted several improvements to the implementation of LSQR.

REFERENCES

- BJORCK, Å. A bidiagonalization algorithm for solving ill-posed systems of linear equations Rep LITH-MAT-R-80-33, Dep. Mathematics, Linkoping Univ., Linkoping, Sweden, 1980.
- 2 ELDÉN, L. Algorithms for the regularization of ill-conditioned least squares problems BIT 17 (1977), 134-145.
- Hestenes, M.R., and Stiefel, E Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems. J Res. N.B.S. 49 (1952), 409-436.
- 4 LAWSON, C.L., AND HANSON, R.J Solving Least Squares Problems. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1974.
- 5 LAWSON, C.L., HANSON, R.J., KINCAID, D.R., AND KROGH, F.T. Basic linear algebra subprograms for Fortran usage ACM Trans Math Softw 5, 3 (Sept 1979), 308-323 and (Algorithm) 324-325.
- PAIGE, C.C., AND SAUNDERS, M.A. LSQR. An algorithm for sparse linear equations and sparse least squares. ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 8, 1 (March 1982), 43-71

1.

- 7. SMITH, G., AND CAMPBELL, F. A critique of some ridge regression methods. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 75, 369 (March 1980), 74-81
- 8 Varah, J.M A practical examination of some numerical methods for linear discrete ill-posed problems SIAM Rev. 21 (1979), 100-111.
- 9. Wold, S., Wold, H., Dunn, W.J., and Ruhe, A. The collinearity problem in linear and nonlinear regression. The partial least squares (PLS) approach to generalized inverses. Rep. UMINF-83.80, Univ. Umeå. Umeå. Sweden. 1980.

ALGORITHM

[A part of the listing is printed here. The complete listing is available from the ACM Algorithms Distribution Service (see page 227 for order form).]

SUBROUTINE LSQR(M, N, APROD, DAMP,

```
1
                        LENIW, LENRW, IW, RW,
                                                                                2.
     2
                        U, V, W, X, SE,
                                                                                3.
     3
                        ATOL, BTOL, CONLIM, ITNLIM, NOUT,
                                                                                4.
     4
                        ISTOP, ANORM, ACOND, RNORM, ARNORM, XNORM )
                                                                                5.
С
                                                                                6.
      EXTERNAL
                  APROD
                                                                                7.
                  M, N, LENIW, LENRW, ITNLIM, NOUT, ISTOP
      INTEGER
                                                                                8.
                  IW(LENIW)
                                                                                9.
      INTEGER
      REAL
                  RW(LENRW), U(M), V(N), W(N), X(N), SE(N),
                                                                               1Ø.
                  ATOL, BTOL, CONLIM, DAMP, ANORM, ACOND, RNORM, ARNORM, XNORM
                                                                               11.
С
                                                                               12.
С
                                                                               13.
C
      LSQR FINDS A SOLUTION X TO THE FOLLOWING PROBLEMS...
                                                                               14.
С
                                                                               15.
C
      1. UNSYMMETRIC EQUATIONS --
                                       SOLVE A*X = B
                                                                               16.
С
                                                                               17.
С
      2. LINEAR LEAST SQUARES
                                       SOLVE A*X = B
                                                                               18.
C
                                       IN THE LEAST-SQUARES SENSE
                                                                               19.
С
                                                                               2Ø.
C
      DAMPED LEAST SQUARES
                                       SOLVE
                                                   Α
                                                        )*X = (B)
                                                                               21.
C
                                               ( DAMP*I )
                                                               (\phi)
                                                                               22.
С
                                       IN THE LEAST-SQUARES SENSE
                                                                               23.
С
                                                                               24.
С
      WHERE A IS A MATRIX WITH M ROWS AND N COLUMNS, B IS AN
                                                                               25.
С
      M-VECTOR, AND DAMP IS A SCALAR (ALL QUANTITIES REAL).
                                                                               26.
С
      THE MATRIX A IS INTENDED TO BE LARGE AND SPARSE. IT IS ACCESSED
                                                                               27.
С
      BY MEANS OF SUBROUTINE CALLS OF THE FORM
                                                                               28.
C
                                                                               29.
С
                  CALL APROD( MODE, M, N, X, Y, LENIW, LENRW, IW, RW )
                                                                               3Ø.
С
                                                                               31.
С
      WHICH MUST PERFORM THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS...
                                                                               32.
С
                                                                               33.
С
                  IF MODE = 1, COMPUTE Y = Y + A*X.
                                                                               34.
C
                  IF MODE = 2, COMPUTE X = X + A(TRANSPOSE)*Y.
                                                                               35.
С
                                                                               36.
С
      THE VECTORS X AND Y ARE INPUT PARAMETERS IN BOTH CASES.
                                                                               37.
      IF MODE = 1, Y SHOULD BE ALTERED WITHOUT CHANGING X.
С
                                                                               38.
      IF MODE = 2, X SHOULD BE ALTERED WITHOUT CHANGING Y.
                                                                               39.
C
С
      THE PARAMETERS LENIW, LENRW, IW, RW MAY BE USED FOR WORKSPACE
                                                                               40.
С
      AS DESCRIBED BELOW.
                                                                               41.
C
                                                                               42.
С
      THE RHS VECTOR B IS INPUT VIA U, AND SUBSEQUENTLY OVERWRITTEN.
                                                                               43.
C
                                                                               44.
С
                                                                               45.
```

```
C
      NOTE. LSQR USES AN ITERATIVE METHOD TO APPROXIMATE THE SOLUTION.
                                                                            46.
      THE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS REQUIRED TO REACH A CERTAIN ACCURACY
C
                                                                            47.
C
      DEPENDS STRONGLY ON THE SCALING OF THE PROBLEM. POOR SCALING OF
                                                                            48.
      THE ROWS OR COLUMNS OF A SHOULD THEREFORE BE AVOIDED WHERE
C
                                                                            49.
C
      POSSIBLE.
                                                                            5Ø.
C
                                                                            51.
С
      FOR EXAMPLE, IN PROBLEM 1 THE SOLUTION IS UNALTERED BY
                                                                            52.
С
      ROW-SCALING. IF A ROW OF A IS VERY SMALL OR LARGE COMPARED TO
                                                                            53.
                                                                            54.
C
      THE OTHER ROWS OF A, THE CORRESPONDING ROW OF (A B ) SHOULD
                                                                            55.
С
      BE SCALED UP OR DOWN.
                                                                            56.
C
                                                                            57.
C
      IN PROBLEMS 1 AND 2, THE SOLUTION X IS EASILY RECOVERED
      FOLLOWING COLUMN-SCALING. IN THE ABSENCE OF BETTER INFORMATION,
                                                                            58.
C
      THE NONZERO COLUMNS OF A SHOULD BE SCALED SO THAT THEY ALL HAVE
С
                                                                            59.
С
      THE SAME EUCLIDEAN NORM (E.G. 1.0).
                                                                            60.
C
                                                                            61.
С
      IN PROBLEM 3, THERE IS NO FREEDOM TO RE-SCALE IF DAMP IS
                                                                            62.
C
      NONZERO. HOWEVER, THE VALUE OF DAMP SHOULD BE ASSIGNED ONLY
                                                                            63.
С
      AFTER ATTENTION HAS BEEN PAID TO THE SCALING OF A.
¢
                                                                            65.
С
      THE PARAMETER DAMP IS INTENDED TO HELP REGULARIZE
                                                                            66.
Ç
      ILL-CONDITIONED SYSTEMS, BY PREVENTING THE TRUE SOLUTION FROM
                                                                            67.
С
      BEING VERY LARGE. ANOTHER AID TO REGULARIZATION IS PROVIDED BY
                                                                            68.
C
      THE PARAMETER ACOND, WHICH MAY BE USED TO TERMINATE ITERATIONS
                                                                            69.
C
      BEFORE THE COMPUTED SOLUTION BECOMES VERY LARGE.
                                                                            7Ø.
C
                                                                            71.
C
                                                                            72.
C
      NOTATION
                                                                            73.
C
                                                                            74.
      _____
С
                                                                            75.
C
      THE FOLLOWING QUANTITIES ARE USED IN DISCUSSING THE SUBROUTINE
                                                                            76.
C
      PARAMETERS...
                                                                            77.
C
                                                                            78.
C
      ABAR
                                      BBAR =
                                               (B)
                                                                            79.
                    A
С
                ( DAMP*I )
                                               (\emptyset)
                                                                            80.
C
                                                                            81.
C
                                                                            82.
      R
                B - A*X
                                      RBAR = BBAR - ABAR*X
C
                                                                            83.
C
      RNORM
            = SQRT(NORM(R)**2 + DAMP**2 * NORM(X)**2)
                                                                            84.
C
                NORM( RBAR )
                                                                            85.
C
                                                                            86.
C
      RELPR = THE RELATIVE PRECISION OF FLOATING-POINT ARITHMETIC
                                                                            87.
C
                                                                            88.
                ON THE MACHINE BEING USED. FOR EXAMPLE, ON THE IBM 370,
C
                RELPR IS ABOUT 1.0E-6 AND 1.0D-16 IN SINGLE AND DOUBLE
                                                                            89.
C
                PRECISION RESPECTIVELY.
                                                                            9Ø.
C
                                                                            91.
                                                                            92.
C
      LSOR MINIMIZES THE FUNCTION RNORM WITH RESPECT TO X.
C
                                                                            93.
                                                                            94.
C
C
                                                                            95.
      PARAMETERS
C
                                                                            96.
С
                                                                            97.
С
                                                                            98.
      M
              INPUT
                         THE NUMBER OF ROWS IN A.
C
                                                                            99.
C
              INPUT
                         THE NUMBER OF COLUMNS IN A.
                                                                           100.
C
                                                                           101.
С
                                                                           1Ø2.
      APROD
              EXTERNAL
                         SEE ABOVE.
C
                                                                           103.
С
      DAMP
              INPUT
                         THE DAMPING PARAMETER FOR PROBLEM 3 ABOVE.
                                                                           104.
C
                          (DAMP SHOULD BE \emptyset. \emptyset FOR PROBLEMS 1 AND 2.)
                                                                           1Ø5.
```

| 00000000 | | | THE WORK PER ITERATION AND THE STORAGE NEEDED | 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. |
|------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| 000000 | | | THE LENGTH OF THE WORKSPACE ARRAY IW. THE LENGTH OF THE WORKSPACE ARRAY RW. AN INTEGER ARRAY OF LENGTH LENIW. A REAL ARRAY OF LENGTH LENRW. | 115. |
| C C C C | | PARAMETERS POSSIBLE UI W OR RW BE USED, A | R DOES NOT EXPLICITLY USE THE PREVIOUS FOUR , BUT PASSES THEM TO SUBROUTINE APROD FOR SE AS WORKSPACE. IF APROD DOES NOT NEED , THE VALUES LENIW = 1 OR LENRW = 1 SHOULD ND THE ACTUAL PARAMETERS CORRESPONDING TO MAY BE ANY CONVENIENT ARRAY OF SUITABLE TYPE. | 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. |
| C C C | U(M) | INPUT | THE RHS VECTOR B. BEWARE THAT U IS OVER-WRITTEN BY LSQR. | 127. 128. 129. 13ø. |
| C C C | W(N) | WORKSPACE WORKSPACE | | 131. 132. 133. |
| C C | X(N) | OUTPUT | | 134. 135. |
| 00000000 | SE(N) | OUTPUT | RETURNS STANDARD ERROR ESTIMATES FOR THE COMPONENTS OF X. FOR EACH I, SE(I) IS SET TO THE VALUE RNORM * SQRT(SIGMA(I,I) / T), WHERE SIGMA(I,I) IS AN ESTIMATE OF THE I-TH DIAGONAL OF THE INVERSE OF ABAR(TRANSPOSE)*ABAR AND T = 1 | 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. |
| C C C C | ATOL | INPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF THE RELATIVE ERROR IN THE DATA DEFINING THE MATRIX A. FOR EXAMPLE, IF A IS ACCURATE TO ABOUT 6 DIGITS, SET ATOL = 1.0E-6. | |
| C C C C | BTOL | INPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF THE RELATIVE ERROR IN THE DATA DEFINING THE RHS VECTOR B. FOR EXAMPLE, IF B IS ACCURATE TO ABOUT 6 DIGITS, SET BTOL = $1.0E-6$. | 15Ø. |
| 00000000000 | CONLIM | INPUT | CONDITION NUMBER OF THE MATRIX ABAR. ITERATIONS WILL BE TERMINATED IF A COMPUTED ESTIMATE OF COND(ABAR) EXCEEDS CONLIM. THIS IS INTENDED TO PREVENT CERTAIN SMALL OR ZERO SINGULAR VALUES OF A OR ABAR FROM COMING INTO EFFECT AND CAUSING UNWANTED GROWTH | 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. |

| С | | | | 166. |
|--------|--------|--------------|---|--------------|
| č | | | NORMALLY, CONLIM SHOULD BE IN THE RANGE | |
| C | | | 1000 TO 1/RELPR. SUGGESTED VALUE — | 168. |
| C | | | SUGGESTED VALUE | 169. |
| C | | | CONLIM = 1/(100*RELPR) FOR COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS, | |
| C C | | | CONLIM = $1/(10*SQRT(RELPR))$ FOR LEAST SQUARES. | |
| C | | NOTE. IF | THE USER IS NOT CONCERNED ABOUT THE PARAMETERS | 172. |
| č | | ATOL, BTOL | AND CONLIM, ANY OR ALL OF THEM MAY BE SET | 174. |
| č | | TO ZERO. | THE EFFECT WILL BE THE SAME AS THE VALUES | 175. |
| С | | RELPR, REL | PR AND 1/RELPR RESPECTIVELY. | 176. |
| С | | | THE EFFECT WILL BE THE SAME AS THE VALUES PR AND 1/RELPR RESPECTIVELY. AN UPPER LIMIT ON THE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS. | 177. |
| C | ITNLIM | INPUT | AN UPPER LIMIT ON THE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS. | 178. |
| C C | | | SUGGESTED VALUE | 179. |
| C | | | TINLIM = $0/2$ FOR WELL CONDITIONED SISTEMS, | 181 |
| Č | | | SUGGESTED VALUE ITNLIM = N/2 FOR WELL CONDITIONED SYSTEMS, ITNLIM = 4*N OTHERWISE. FILE NUMBER FOR PRINTER. IF POSITIVE, A SUMMARY WILL BE PRINTED ON FILE NOUT. | 182. |
| č | NOUT | INPUT | FILE NUMBER FOR PRINTER. IF POSITIVE, | 183. |
| С | | | A SUMMARY WILL BE PRINTED ON FILE NOUT. | 184. |
| C | | | | 185. |
| | ISTOP | OUTPUT | AN INTEGER GIVING THE REASON FOR TERMINATION | T80. |
| C | | 4 | V - A TC MUR WV. CM COT UNTON | 187. |
| C C | | Ψ | A = V IS THE EXACT SOLUTION. | 190 |
| C | | | $X = \emptyset$ IS THE EXACT SOLUTION. NO ITERATIONS WERE PERFORMED. | 190. |
| Č | | 1 | THE EQUATIONS A*X = B ARE PROBABLY | 191. |
| č | | _ | COMPATIBLE. NORM(A*X - B) IS SUFFICIENTLY | 192. |
| С | | | SMALL, GIVEN THE VALUES OF ATOL AND BTOL. | 193. |
| С | | | | 194. |
| C | | 2 | THE SYSTEM A*X = B IS PROBABLY NOT | 195. |
| C C | | | COMPATIBLE. A LEAST-SQUARES SOLUTION HAS | 196. |
| C | | | GIVEN THE VALUE OF ATOL. | 198. |
| č | | | orian ing value of along | 199. |
| C | | 3 | AN ESTIMATE OF COND(ABAR) HAS EXCEEDED | 200. |
| С | | | CONLIM. THE SYSTEM A*X = B APPEARS TO BE | 201. |
| C | | | ILL-CONDITIONED. OTHERWISE, THERE COULD BE AN | 202. |
| C | | | AN ERROR IN SUBROUTINE APROD. | 203. |
| C C | | 4 | THE CONATIONS AND A ADE DECRARIE | 204. |
| Č | | 7 | COMPATIBLE. NORM(A*X - B) IS AS SMALL AS | 206. |
| č | | | SEEMS REASONABLE ON THIS MACHINE. | 207. |
| С | | | | 2Ø8. |
| С | | 5 | THE SYSTEM A*X = B IS PROBABLY NOT | 209. |
| C | | | COMPATIBLE. A LEAST-SQUARES SOLUTION HAS | 210. |
| C | | | BEEN USTAINED WHICH IS AS ACCURATE AS SEEMS | 212 |
| C C | | | X = Ø IS THE EXACT SOLUTION. NO ITERATIONS WERE PERFORMED. THE EQUATIONS A*X = B ARE PROBABLY COMPATIBLE. NORM(A*X - B) IS SUFFICIENTLY SMALL, GIVEN THE VALUES OF ATOL AND BTOL. THE SYSTEM A*X = B IS PROBABLY NOT COMPATIBLE. A LEAST-SQUARES SOLUTION HAS BEEN OBTAINED WHICH IS SUFFICIENTLY ACCURATE, GIVEN THE VALUE OF ATOL. AN ESTIMATE OF COND(ABAR) HAS EXCEEDED CONLIM. THE SYSTEM A*X = B APPEARS TO BE ILL-CONDITIONED. OTHERWISE, THERE COULD BE AN AN ERROR IN SUBROUTINE APROD. THE EQUATIONS A*X = B ARE PROBABLY COMPATIBLE. NORM(A*X - B) IS AS SMALL AS SEEMS REASONABLE ON THIS MACHINE. THE SYSTEM A*X = B IS PROBABLY NOT COMPATIBLE. A LEAST-SQUARES SOLUTION HAS BEEN OBTAINED WHICH IS AS ACCURATE AS SEEMS REASONABLE ON THIS MACHINE. | 213. |
| Č | | 6 | COND(ABAR) SEEMS TO BE SO LARGE THAT THERE IS | 214. |
| Č | | | NOT MUCH POINT IN DOING FURTHER ITERATIONS, | 215. |
| С | | | GIVEN THE PRECISION OF THIS MACHINE. | 216. |
| C | | | THERE COULD BE AN ERROR IN SUBROUTINE APROD. | 217. |
| C | | 7 | THE THEOATION LIMIT THAT IM LIAC DEACHED | 218. 219. |
| C C | | , | THE ITERATION LIMIT ITNLIM WAS REACHED. | 219. 22Ø. |
| Č | ANORM | OUTPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF THE FROBENIUS NORM OF ABAR. | 221. |
| č | | - | THIS IS THE SQUARE-ROOT OF THE SUM OF SQUARES | 222. |
| С | | | OF THE ELEMENTS OF ABAR. | 223. |
| C | | | IF DAMP IS SMALL AND IF THE COLUMNS OF A | 224. |
| C C | | | HAVE ALL BEEN SCALED TO HAVE LENGTH $1.\phi$, ANORM SHOULD INCREASE TO ROUGHLY SORT(N). | 225. 226. |
| C | | | AMORE SHOULD INCREASE TO ROUGHLE SQRI(N). | 440. |

| | | Algorithms • | 20 |
|---|--|---|--|
| | | A RADICALLY DIFFERENT VALUE FOR ANORM MAY INDICATE AN ERROR IN SUBROUTINE APROD (THERE MAY BE AN INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN MODES 1 AND 2). | 227 228 229 230 |
| ACOND | OUTPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF COND(ABAR), THE CONDITION NUMBER OF ABAR. A VERY HIGH VALUE OF ACOND MAY AGAIN INDICATE AN ERROR IN APROD. | 231 232 233 234 |
| RNORM | OUTPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF THE FINAL VALUE OF NORM(RBAR), THE FUNCTION BEING MINIMIZED (SEE NOTATION ABOVE). THIS WILL BE SMALL IF A*X = B HAS A SOLUTION. | 235 236 237 238 238 |
| ARNORM | OUTPUT | NORM(ABAR(TRANSPOSE)*RBAR), THE NORM OF THE RESIDUAL FOR THE USUAL NORMAL EQUATIONS. THIS SHOULD BE SMALL IN ALL CASES. (ARNORM WILL OFTEN BE SMALLER THAN THE TRUE VALUE | 24: 24: 24: 24: 24: 24: |
| XNORM | OUTPUT | AN ESTIMATE OF THE NORM OF THE FINAL SOLUTION VECTOR X. | 24: 24: 24: 24: |
| | INES AND F | UNCTIONS USED | 25: 25: |
| | | | 25: 25: |
| LSQR BLAS | APROD NORML2 SCOPY, | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) | 25: 25: 25: 25: 25: 25: 25: |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS, MO | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) | 25: 25: 25: 25: 25: 25: 26: 26: 26: |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN PRECISION THE NUMBER OF THE LSQR AI | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS,MO ON BER OF ITE COMPUTATIO ND NORMLZ DS SCOPY, | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) IS USED ONLY IN NORMLZ) D, SQRT RATIONS REQUIRED BY LSQR WILL USUALLY DECREASE IN IS PERFORMED IN HIGHER PRECISION. TO CONVERT BETWEEN SINGLE- AND DOUBLE-PRECISION, CHANGE SNRM2, SSCAL | 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN PRECISIO THE NUM IF THE LSQR AI THE WORL | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS,MO ON BER OF ITE COMPUTATIO ND NORMLZ DS SCOPY, ABS, R | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) IS USED ONLY IN NORMLZ) D, SQRT RATIONS REQUIRED BY LSQR WILL USUALLY DECREASE IN IS PERFORMED IN HIGHER PRECISION. TO CONVERT BETWEEN SINGLE- AND DOUBLE-PRECISION, CHANGE | 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN PRECISIO THE NUM IF THE LSQR AI THE WORL | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS, MO ON BER OF ITE COMPUTATIO NO NORMLZ DS SCOPY, ABS, R APPROPRIAT | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) IS USED ONLY IN NORMLZ) ID, SQRT RATIONS REQUIRED BY LSQR WILL USUALLY DECREASE IN IS PERFORMED IN HIGHER PRECISION. TO CONVERT BETWEEN SINGLE- AND DOUBLE-PRECISION, CHANGE SNRM2, SSCAL EAL, SQRT | 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN PRECISION THE NUM IF THE OLIVE LSQR AI THE WORD TO THE A REFERENCE PAIGE, OLIVE LINE | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS, MO ON BER OF ITE COMPUTATIO ND NORMLZ DS SCOPY, ABS, R APPROPRIAT CES C.C. AND S AR EQUATIO | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) IS USED ONLY IN NORMLZ) ID, SQRT RATIONS REQUIRED BY LSQR WILL USUALLY DECREASE IN IS PERFORMED IN HIGHER PRECISION. TO CONVERT BETWEEN SINGLE- AND DOUBLE-PRECISION, CHANGE SNRM2, SSCAL EAL, SQRT | 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 |
| LSQR BLAS FORTRAN PRECISIO THE NUMI IF THE LSQR AI THE WORD TO THE A REFEREN PAIGE, G LINE ACM LAWSON, BASI ACM | APROD NORMLZ SCOPY, (SNRM2 ABS,MO ON BER OF ITE COMPUTATIO ND NORMLZ DS SCOPY, ABS, F APPROPRIAT CES C.C. AND S AR EQUATIO TRANSACTIO C.L., HAN C LINEAR A | SNRM2, SSCAL (SEE LAWSON ET AL. BELOW) IS USED ONLY IN NORMLZ) ID, SQRT RATIONS REQUIRED BY LSQR WILL USUALLY DECREASE IN IS PERFORMED IN HIGHER PRECISION. TO CONVERT BETWEEN SINGLE- AND DOUBLE-PRECISION, CHANGE SNRM2, SSCAL EAL, SQRT IE BLAS AND FORTRAN EQUIVALENTS. AUNDERS, M.A. LSQR: AN ALGORITHM FOR SPARSE INS ON MATHEMATICAL SOFTWARE 8, 1 (MARCH 1982). ISON, R.J., KINCAID, D.R. AND KROGH, F.T. LGEBRA SUBPROGRAMS FOR FORTRAN USAGE. INS ON MATHEMATICAL SOFTWARE 5, 3 (SEPT 1979), | 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 |