

# SPARSE MATRICES IN OPTIMIZATION

Michael A. SAUNDERS

Dept of Operations Research, Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-4022, USA

Sparse Days at St Girons

St Girons, France, July 10–16, 1994

## Abstract

We review some of the standard matrix problems in numerical optimization, and the software tools that are available: LUSOL, LUMOD, MA27, MA47, MA48, etc. Direct methods are important because many similar systems have to be solved.

We then focus on some recent attempts to use Cholesky factorization on “quasi-definite” matrices of the form

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & -G \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} H_0 + \gamma^2 I & A^T \\ A & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $H$  and  $G$  are positive definite. Thus,  $PKP^T = LDL^T$  with  $D$  diagonal but indefinite. Regularization  $\gamma^2 = \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$  seems to give stability without seriously altering the problem.

For constrained least-squares problems, a few iterations of SYMMLQ or QMR might suffice, with preconditioners  $L|D|L^T$  and  $LDL^T$  respectively.

## References

- [1] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan (1979). Unsymmetric positive definite linear systems. *LAA*, 28, 85–98.
- [2] R. J. Vanderbei (1994). Symmetric quasi-definite matrices. *SIOPT*, to appear. (Ultimately *SIAM J. Optim.*, 5(1):100–113, 1995.)
- [3] P. E. Gill, M. A. Saunders and J. R. Shinnerl (1994). On the stability of Cholesky factorization for symmetric quasi-definite systems, *SIMAX*, to appear. (Ultimately *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 17(1):35–46, 1996.)

# Sparse Updates

## **LUSOL (Stanford)**

- Markowitz  $A = LU$  plus Bartels-Golub.
- Rectangular  $A$ , general rank-one updates.

## **MA48 (RAL)**

- New sparse  $LU$  package.
- No updates.

## **LUMOD (Stanford)**

- Dense  $LC = U$ .
- $C$  square. Add, drop and replace rows and cols.
- $L$  square,  $U$  triangular.

## **Bisschop and Meeraus (1977)**

- Showed how to combine things like MA48 + LUMOD.
- See Gill, et al. (1984). Sparse matrix methods in optimization, *SISSC*, 5, 562–589.

# LUMOD: $LC = U$

## Updating a Sparse Dense Factorization

### Conceptually

- Similar to  $QC = R$ .
- Easy to implement with 2-D Fortran arrays.
- Arithmetic is all *row* operations.

### Row-wise storage

$L$  square,  $U$  triangular, both in 1-D arrays:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & . & . \\ 6 & 7 & 8 & . & . \\ 11 & 12 & 13 & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & . & . \\ & 6 & 7 & . & . \\ & & 10 & . & . \\ & & & . & . \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix}.$$

Diagonal  $U_{ii}$  is in  $\mathbf{U}(k)$ ,  $k = (i - 1) * \mathbf{maxmod} + (3 - i) * i/2$ .

### Future Sparse $LU$ Packages

It helps to know if  $L$  or  $U$  is well-conditioned.

## Barrier Methods for LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b, \quad l \leq x \leq u \end{array}$$

$$A \approx 10000 \times 50000$$

### Augmented systems

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad H \geq 0, \text{ diagonal}$$

- Most authors: reduce to  $AD^2A^T$  ( $H = D^{-2}$ ).
- Fourer and Mehrotra (1991): Markowitz  $LBL^T$  on

$$\bar{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & DA^T \\ AD & \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Turner (1990): MA27 on  $K$  and  $\bar{K}$ .
- Gill, Murray, Ponceleón and Saunders (1991): MA27 on  $K$ .

## Regularized LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x + \frac{1}{2} \|\gamma x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax + \delta p = b, \quad l \leq x \leq u \end{array}$$

### Augmented systems

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H_0 + \gamma^2 I & A^T \\ A & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_0 \geq 0, \text{ diagonal}$$

### PDQ1 Version 1.0

- Gill, Murray, Ponceleón and Saunders (1991).
- Apply MA27 (Duff and Reid, 1982) to  $K$  or “reduced”  $K$ .
- Help MA27 by pivoting on some of  $H$  first.
- `Htol` =  $10^{-6}$ , `factol` = 0.01,  $\gamma, \delta = 10^{-5}$ .
- $\gamma, \delta$  have little effect on fill-in.
- Has seemed too slow compared to Cholesky on  $AD^2A^T$ .

# Quasi-definite Systems

## Vanderbei (1991)

A matrix is *Symmetric Quasi-Definite* (SQD) if a symmetric permutation has the form

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & -G \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $H$  and  $G$  symmetric positive definite.

## Theorem

If  $K$  is SQD, “Cholesky” factors  $PKP^T = LDL^T$  exist for all permutations  $P$ .

## LOQO

- Vanderbei (1992): LOQO User’s Manual.
- Indefinite Cholesky on augmented-type system.
- Choose SQD principal submatrix (delay zero diagonals).
- Remaining matrix becomes SQD.
- In general, multi-tiered ordering.
- Cancellation can give  $D_{jj} = 0$ . Change to  $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ !

## SQD Theory

### Observation (1993)

$$K \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & -I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H & -A^T \\ A & G \end{pmatrix},$$

Unsymmetric but *Positive Definite*

### Golub and Van Loan (1979)

- If  $A$  is positive definite,  $A = LU$  exists without permutations.
- If  $T$  and  $S$  are symmetric and skew-symmetric parts,  $LU$  is stable if  $\|ST^{-1}S\|/\|A\|$  is “small”.

### Gill, Saunders and Shinnerl (1994)

$$\text{“}A\text{”} = \begin{pmatrix} H & -A^T \\ A & \delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}, \quad ST^{-1}S = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta^2} A^T A & \\ & AH^{-1}A^T \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\|ST^{-1}S\| = \max\{\frac{1}{\delta^2}, \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\}$ .
- For  $Kd = r$  with  $\gamma = \delta$ :  $\text{cond}(K) \approx \frac{1}{\delta^2} \kappa_2(K)$ .

## Barrier Method for LP

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = H_0 + \gamma^2 I, \text{ diagonal}$$

### The Scene

- 20 to 40 iterations.
- Two systems  $Kd = r$  each iteration.
- With  $\gamma, \delta$  zero,  $\text{cond}(H), \text{cond}(K) \rightarrow \infty$ .
- With  $\gamma, \delta$  small,  $\text{cond}(H), \text{cond}(K)$  still grow large.

### PDQ1

- “Dangerous” pivots tols initially (small `Htol`, `factol`).
- Guard against instability:

$$\text{Require } \|r - Kd\|/\|r\| \leq 10^{-5}.$$

- Iterative refinement if necessary.
- Tighter pivot tols if necessary.

## Choices: Scaling of $K$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad H \text{ diagonal}$$

### Ill-conditioned $H$

- Some  $H_{jj} \rightarrow \infty$ ; some  $H_{jj} \rightarrow 0$ .
- $\|A\| \approx 1$ .
- Change to  $\bar{K} = SKS$ , for some  $S = \text{diag}(D, I)$ .

### Choices

- Fourer and Mehrotra; Turner:

$$D = H^{-1/2}, \quad \bar{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & DA^T \\ AD & \end{pmatrix}.$$

MA27 Factor more sparse, but  $\|AD\| \rightarrow \infty$  seems risky.

- PDQ1:

$$\text{Use } \max\{\text{diag}(H), 1\}, \quad \bar{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{H} & DA^T \\ AD & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Scale down big diagonals of  $H$ , so  $\|\bar{H}\| = 1$ ,  $\|AD\| \approx 1$ .  
Require  $\|\bar{r} - \bar{K}\bar{d}\|/\|\bar{r}\| \leq 10^{-5}$ .

Choices: Which Matrix to Factor?

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = H_0 + \gamma^2 I, \text{ diagonal}$$

**Assume  $K$  is scaled**

$$\|H\| \approx 1, \quad \|A\| \approx 1.$$

**Choices**

- `Htol` =  $10^{30}$       Factorize Full  $K$ .
- `Htol` =  $10^{-8}$       Pivot on some of  $H$  first (“Reduced K”).
- `Htol` = 0.0      Pivot on all of  $H$  (“Normal Equations”).

We prefer second choice.

## Choices: Partitioning $H$ and $A$

$$H \text{ diagonal,} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} N & B \end{pmatrix},$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} H_N & & N^T \\ & H_B & B^T \\ N & B & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Pivot on $H_N$

MA27 or MA47 see the “reduced KKT system”

$$K_B = \begin{pmatrix} H_B & B^T \\ B & -NH_N^{-1}N^T - \delta^2 I \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Conventional logic from sparse $LU$

Make sure  $H_N$  is “big” (diag  $\geq \mathbf{Htol}$ ),

$N$  is “sparse” ( $< 10$  nonzeros per column).

### Choices

- Originally:  $\mathbf{Htol} = 10^{-6}$ ,  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-10}$ .  
Needs new Analyze when partition changes.
- Now:  $\mathbf{Htol} = 10^{-8}$ ,  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$ .  
Usually just one Analyze.

## MA27 versus MA47

### MA27

- Analyze: Good.
- Factor: Initially good, but increasingly dense.

### MA47

- First seemed disappointing.
- Analyze: 20%–50% more nonzeros.
- Factor: same increase in nonzeros.
- Sometimes a little faster.

### MA47 Tree Amalgamation

- Decreases indirect addressing (but more ops).
- Two nodes are merged only if both involve less than  $k$  eliminations.
- Default  $k = 8$ .
- Compare with  $k = 2$ .
- `factol = 0.01` magnifies the effect.

# MA47, Amalgamation of Tree Nodes

KKT matrix: LP problem           PILOTJA  
               Dimension            2900  
               Entries               15000  
               Negative eigs        940

factol:       CNTL(1)               0.01  
 Tree amalg: ICNTL(6)            8 and 2

		MA27		MA47 (8)		MA47 (2)	
		Analyze 63000		Analyze 100000		Analyze 70000	
itn	Factor	ntwo	Factor	ntwo	Factor	ntwo	
1	66000	122	101000	393	72000	116	
2	67	124	102	396	73	118	
3	68	126	103	394	74	113	
4	69	131	104	389	75	116	
5	70	141	106	392	76	124	
10	83	212	119	431	90	190	
15	90	250	126	441	95	217	
20	109	341	140	468	114	279	
25	130	579	157	595	133	524	
26	133	670	159	667	135	616	
27	137000	705	162000	704	136000	668	
		Time		Time		Time	
Analyze	1	1.00		0.92		1.01	
Factor	27	31.88		38.19		38.52	
Solve	54	1.64		2.44		1.96	
Total secs		37.30		44.26		44.22	

DEC Alpha 3000/400   133MHz   OpenVMS   Fortran   real\*8  
 25-Jun-1994           \$disk1:[MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]icntl6.pilotja

# MA47, Amalgamation of Tree Nodes

KKT matrix: LP problem                   GROW22  
                   Dimension                   1400  
                   Entries                    6000  
                   Negative eigs            450

factol:           CNTL(1)                   0.01  
 Tree amalg: ICNTL(6)           8 and 2

		MA27		MA47 (8)		MA47 (2)	
		Analyze 20400		Analyze 37000		Analyze 26000	
itn	Factor	ntwo	Factor	ntwo	Factor	ntwo	
1	20400	1	37000	45	26000	1	
2	23	0	37	47	28	0	
3	31	32	40	71	33	74	
4	37	35	42	106	37	111	
5	46	86	51	80	43	85	
10	72	204	91	155	108	125	
15	87	385	118	325	120	253	
16	84	403	191	353	133	268	
17	84	423	146	402	169	379	
18	83500	437	219000	393	195000	385	
		Time		Time		Time	
Analyze	1	0.26		0.27		0.27	
Factor	18	11.39		26.26		45.04	
Solve	40	0.83		1.11		1.06	
Total secs		13.51		28.64		47.42	

DEC Alpha 3000/400   133MHz   OpenVMS   Fortran   real\*8  
 28-Jun-1994           \$disk1:[MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]icntl6.grow22

## Progress At Last!

### Fourer and Mehrotra (1993)

Implemented barrier method with their own  $LBL^T$  code for augmented systems

$$\bar{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\beta I & DA^T \\ AD & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \|AD\|_\infty.$$

- Markowitz strategy as in MA47.
- Combined Analyze/Factor as in MA28, LUSOL, etc.
- Initially `factol` =  $16^{-6}$ ,  $\alpha = 16^{-2}$ .
- Keep pivot order if  $\|r - \bar{K}d\|/\|r\| \leq 10^{-5}$ .
- Increase `factol` to  $16^{-5}$ ,  $16^{-4}$ , ...,  $16^{-1}$ .
- Decrease  $\alpha$  to  $16^{-3}$ ,  $16^{-4}$ , .....
- Most problems need only 1 or 2 orderings.

### Implications for PDQ1 with Regularization

- $16^{-6} = 10^{-7.2}$ .
- SQD theory suggests `factol` =  $10^{-30}$  first ( $LDL^T$ ).
- Stabilize with `factol` =  $10^{-8}$ ,  $10^{-7}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ , ... ( $LBL^T$ ).
- PDQ1 Version 1.0 (MA27) better with  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-6}$ .
- PDQ1 Version 2.0 (MA47) happy with  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$ .

MA27, MA47:  $LDL^T$  then  $LBL^T$   
 Full  $K$ ,  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$

LP Problem	25FV47	Size of Full K	2700
Nonzeros	13400	Negative eigenvalues	821

MA27	No. of calls	Time	MA47	No. of calls	Time
A x	154	0.23	A x	98	0.12
A'y	150	0.43	A'y	94	0.22
Form KB	30	0.36	Form KB	23	0.24
Analyze	1	0.69	Analyze	1	0.58
Factor	30	17.29	Factor	23	12.04
Solve	74	1.69	Solve	46	1.59
Total		22.33	Total		16.06

itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo	itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo
1	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	1	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
2	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	2	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
3	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	3	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
4	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	4	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
5	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	5	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
10	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	10	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
15	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	15	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
16	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	16	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
17	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	17	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
18	1.0E-30	13402	53438	53438	0	18	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
18	1.0E-08	13402	53438	54015	96	19	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
18	1.0E-07	13402	53438	55482	160	20	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
19	1.0E-07	13402	53438	55881	157	21	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
20	1.0E-07	13402	53438	56458	152	22	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
21	1.0E-07	13402	53438	58523	148	23	1.0E-30	13402	90596	90596	0
21	1.0E-06	13402	53438	67856	201						
21	1.0E-05	13402	53438	80899	282						
22	1.0E-05	13402	53438	90809	337						
23	1.0E-05	13402	53438	100660	418						
23	1.0E-04	13402	53438	108528	537						
24	1.0E-04	13402	53438	110520	565						
24	1.0E-03	13402	53438	115260	670						

17 refinements, starting at itn 13.

4 Backtracks. (Poor search direction  
 until factol = 1.0E-3)

No iterative refinement.

MA27, MA47:  $LDL^T$  then  $LBL^T$   
 Full  $K$ ,  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$

LP Problem	PILOTJA	Size of Full K	2900
Nonzeros	15000	Negative eigenvalues	940

MA27	No. of calls	Time	MA47	No. of calls	Time
A x	172	0.40	A x	120	0.25
A'y	168	0.58	A'y	116	0.38
Form K	31	0.42	Form K	28	0.33
Analyze	1	0.99	Analyze	1	0.67
Factor	31	21.55	Factor	28	21.13
Solve	83	1.91	Solve	57	2.19
Total		27.94	Total		26.76

itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo	itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo
1	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	1	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
2	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	2	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
3	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	3	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
4	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	4	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
5	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	5	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
10	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	10	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
15	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	15	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
16	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	16	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
17	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	17	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
18	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	18	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
19	1.0E-30	14996	63013	63013	0	19	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
19	1.0E-08	14996	63013	63641	86	20	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
20	1.0E-08	14996	63013	63701	88	21	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
20	1.0E-07	14996	63013	63863	108	22	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
21	1.0E-07	14996	63013	63863	107	23	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
22	1.0E-07	14996	63013	63935	110	24	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
23	1.0E-07	14996	63013	64297	126	25	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
24	1.0E-07	14996	63013	65056	140	26	1.0E-30	14996	101250	101250	0
25	1.0E-07	14996	63013	67695	166	26	1.0E-08	14996	101250	102600	301
26	1.0E-07	14996	63013	70329	177	27	1.0E-08	14996	101250	103638	312
26	1.0E-06	14996	63013	75131	249						
26	1.0E-05	14996	63013	83075	313						
27	1.0E-05	14996	63013	90623	378						

24 refinements, starting at itn 4.

1 iterative refinement.

1 Backtrack. (Poor search direction  
 until factol = 1.0E-5)

MA27, MA47:  $LDL^T$  then  $LBL^T$   
 Reduced  $K$ ,  $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$

LP Problem	PILOTJA	Size of Reduced K	1300
Nonzeros	17000	Negative eigenvalues	940

MA27	No. of calls	Time	MA47	No. of calls	Time
A x	226	0.54	A x	114	0.31
A'y	222	0.69	A'y	110	0.30
Form K	30	0.49	Form K	27	0.33
Analyze	3	2.59	Analyze	1	0.46
Factor	30	17.67	Factor	27	15.00
Solve	110	1.86	Solve	54	1.40
Total		26.25	Total		19.50

itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo	itn	factol	nz	realA	realB	ntwo
1	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	1	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74074	0
2	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	2	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
3	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	3	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
4	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	4	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
5	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	5	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
10	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	10	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
20	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	20	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74254	0
25	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	25	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74251	0
26	1.0E-30	17163	55321	55321	0	26	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74248	0
26	1.0E-08	17163	55321	56308	22	27	1.0E-30	17163	74254	74251	0
27	1.0E-08	17163	55321	56779	21						
27	1.0E-07	17021	56479	68642	110						
27	1.0E-06	16901	58392	77186	200						

53 refinements, starting at itn 1.

No iterative refinement.

# PDQ1 with MA47

## Full $K$ , Reduced $K$ , Normal Eqns

Times for First 53 Netlib LP Problems  
(Seconds on DEC Alpha 3000/400)

Regularization:  $\gamma = \delta = e^{-4}$   
 MA47 stability:  $\text{factol} = e^{-30}$  initially (LDL', D indefinite)  
                    $= e^{-8}, e^{-7}, \dots$  if needed (LBL')

	Full K	Reduced K ndense = 10	Normal eqns
AFIRO	.10	.07	.09
ADLITTLE	.20	.17	.16
SC205	.42	.28	.29
SCAGR7	.33	.24	.23
SHARE2B	.29	.23	.20
RECIPE	.44 *	.32 *	.27
VTPBASE	.72	.52	.53
SHARE1B	.60	.43	.43
BORE3D	1.02	.82	.90
SCORPION	.70	.53	.55
CAPRI	1.55	1.27	1.68 *
SCAGR25	1.23	.86	.87
SCTAP1	1.01	.67	.69
BRANDY	1.13	.89	1.08
ISRAEL	1.36	1.00	4.18
ETAMACRO	3.69	2.55	2.55
SCFXM1	1.56	1.14	1.21
GROW7	1.44 *	.99	1.09
BANDM	1.38	1.08	1.14
E226	1.56	1.25	1.18
STANDATA	2.11	1.13	1.16
SCSD1	.73	.32	.34
GFRDPNC	1.87	1.23	1.22
BEACONFD	1.25	1.17	1.76
STAIR	2.93	2.18	3.97
SCRS8	2.74	1.95	1.95
SEBA	2.45	1.57	33.07
SHELL	3.52	2.07	2.09
PILOT4	4.54	3.61	6.22
SCFXM2	3.55	2.52	2.80
SCSD6	1.60	.71	.71
GROW15	3.26 *	2.10	2.54
SHIP04S	3.19	1.45	1.44
FFFFF800	8.28	6.13	6.94

	Full K	Reduced K	Normal eqns
GANGES	5.16	4.22	5.49
SCFXM3	5.30	3.78	4.18
SCTAP2	4.98	3.47	3.53
GROW22	4.71 *	3.08	3.73
SHIP04L	4.98	2.03	1.99
PILOTWE	11.51	7.95	8.08
SIERRA	5.74	3.98	4.05
SHIP08S	4.82	2.35	2.37
SCTAP3	7.09	4.85	4.88
SHIP12S	5.72	3.02	2.97
25FV47	16.22	11.62	10.69
SCSD8	3.20	1.65	1.69
NESM	12.39	8.38	8.91
CZPROB	15.62	6.64	6.55
PILOTJA	27.00 *	19.51	27.25
SHIP08L	9.73	3.87	3.85
SHIP12L	14.04	5.67	5.69
80BAU3B	54.42	33.91	33.81
PILOTS	168.62	160.24	185.39

	86 refines	2 refines	2 refines
*	Recipe : 10, e-8 13, e-7 Grow7 : 13, e-8 Grow15 : 14, e-8 Grow22 : 14, e-8 Pilotja: 26, e-8	Recipe: 11, e-8	Capri : 18, e-8 18, e-7

Total time	9 min 46	7 min 55	9 min 12
------------	----------	----------	----------

PILOTJA			
Dimension	2900	1300	940
Nonzeros	15000	17000	93000
LDL' nonzeros	101000	74000	72000
Analyze time ( 1)	0.74	0.44	0.50
Factor time (28)	21.24	(27) 14.94	20.75
Solve time (57)	2.21	(54) 1.34	1.18

80BAU3B			
Dimension	14000	2362	2262
Nonzeros	37000	18000	24000
LDL' nonzeros	178000	74000	73000
Analyze time ( 1)	1.92	0.61	0.61
Factor time (38)	28.69	15.16	14.90
Solve time (76)	8.23	2.24	2.26

# Good Old VMS

Mike> dfp pilotja.lis;32 ;33

```

-----
File [MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]PILOTJA.LIS;32 | File [MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]PILOTJA.LIS;33
----- 20 ----- 20 -----
          Htol          1.0d+30 |          Htol          1.0d-8
----- 89 ----- 89 -----
 25 2.1E-09 9.2E-01 8.7E-01 1.6E-09 | 25 2.1E-09 9.2E-01 8.7E-01 1.7E-09
Residual |r-Kd|/|r| = 2.3E-05 | 26 2.8E-10 7.6E-01 8.3E-01 2.8E-09
Refine. New residual = 2.1E-03 | 27 6.6E-11 8.3E-01 8.0E-01 1.4E-10
factol increased to 1.0E-08 |
 26 2.7E-10 7.5E-01 8.3E-01 3.1E-09 |
 27 6.7E-11 8.3E-01 8.0E-01 1.2E-10 |
----- 139 ----- 136 -----
  1 MPS read          1  2.91 |  1 MPS read          1  2.90
  2 A prod           120  0.18 |  2 A prod           114  0.26
  3 At prod          116  0.42 |  3 At prod           110  0.42
  4 Form KB          28  0.41 |  4 Form KB           27  0.40
  5 Analyze           1  0.70 |  5 Analyze            1  0.46
  6 Factor            28 21.21 |  6 Factor            27 15.02
  7 Solve             57  2.20 |  7 Solve             54  1.30
          |
 19 Solution          1 26.84 | 19 Solution          1 19.67
----- 155 ----- 152 -----
itn  Kbsize  factol      nz  realA | itn  Kbsize  factol      nz  realA
  1   2896  1.0E-30  14996 101250 |  1   1304  1.0E-30  17163 74254
...
 26   2896  1.0E-30  14996 101250 | 26   1304  1.0E-30  17163 74254
 26   2896  1.0E-08  14996 101250 | 27   1304  1.0E-30  17163 74254
 27   2896  1.0E-08  14996 101250 |
-----

```

Number of difference sections found: 4  
Number of difference records found: 50

```

DIFFERENCES /IGNORE=(TRAILING_SPACES)/PARALLEL-
DKA300: [MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]PILOTJA.LIS;32-
DKA300: [MIKE.PDQ1.OPT]PILOTJA.LIS;33

```

## Robustness Anyone?

```

rm pilotja.lis      del pilotja.lis;
rm pilotja.*        del pilotja.*;
rm pilotja *        del pilotja *;

```

## Constrained Least Squares

$$\text{minimize } \|Ax - b\| \quad \text{subject to } Cx = d$$

### Regularize

$$\min \|D \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ I \end{pmatrix} x - D \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\|, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} I & & \\ & \gamma^{-1}I & \\ & & \delta I \end{pmatrix}$$

### Augmented System

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & & A \\ & \gamma^2 I & C \\ A^T & C^T & -\delta^2 I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{SQD} \\ \text{GO MA47!} \end{array}$$

- Assume  $\|A\|, \|C\| \approx 1$ .
- First pivot on  $\text{diag}(I, \gamma^2 I)$  if row of  $A$  or  $C$  has  $< 10$  entries.
- MA47 on remainder.
- Equivalent to  $LDL^T$  or  $LBL^T$  on full system.
- $\gamma^2, \delta^2 = 10^{-8}$  should mean 1 Factor, 1 Solve.
- Smaller  $\gamma, \delta$ : Precond SYMMLQ with  $L|B|L^T$ , or QMR with  $LBL^T$ .

Thanks to

Golub and Van Loan	positive definite $LU$
Vanderbei	quasi-definite $LDL^T$
Duff and Reid	sparse $LDL^T$ and $LBL^T$