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Thermoresponsive $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers as a sieving matrix for high-resolution DNA separations on a microfluidic chip

In recent years, there has been an increasing demand for a wide range of DNA separations that require the development of materials to meet the needs of high resolution and high throughput. Here, we demonstrate the use of thermoresponsive $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers as a sieving matrix for DNA separations on a microfluidic chip. The viscosities of the $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers are more than an order of magnitude lower than that of a linear polyacrylamide (LPA) of corresponding molecular weight, allowing rapid loading of the microchip. At 25°C, $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers can provide improved DNA separations compared with LPA in terms of reduced separation time and increased separation efficiency, particularly for the larger DNA fragments. The improved separation efficiency in $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers is attributed to the peak widths increasing only slightly with DNA fragment size, while the peak widths increase appreciably above 150 bp using an LPA matrix. Upon elevating the temperature to 50°C, the increase in viscosity of the $N$-alkoxyalkylacrylamide solutions is dependent upon their overall degree of hydrophobicity. The most hydrophobic polymers exhibit a lower critical solution temperature below 50°C, undergoing a coil-to-globule transition followed by chain aggregation. DNA separation efficiency at 50°C therefore decreases significantly with increasing hydrophobic character of the polymers, and no separations were possible with solutions with a lower critical solution temperature below 50°C. The work reported here demonstrates the potential for this class of polymers to be used for applications such as PCR product and RFLP sizing, and provides insight into the effect of polymer hydrophobicity on DNA separations.
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1 Introduction

Biological and biomedical sciences benefited greatly from the paradigm shift from slab gels to capillary arrays for DNA separations and sequencing, exemplified by the complete sequencing of the human genome ahead of schedule [1, 2]. Progression to the microfluidic platform has allowed for more rapid separations, smaller device footprints, and integration of sample preparation with analysis [3, 4]. As the applications for DNA separations become more diverse, there is a continual need to develop the materials necessary to meet the demand for high-resolution and high-throughput analyses [5]. The polymer solutions used as the DNA sieving matrices play a central role in improving the resolution as they are responsible for the mode of DNA migration, which contributes directly to the quality of the separation [3, 6, 7].

The separation of DNA molecules in microchannels is typically achieved by the use of a replaceable solution of...
a high-molar-mass linear acrylamide-based polymer or a cellulose-based polymer. To achieve high-resolution separations of PCR products, the polymer chains must be entangled, which results in a large increase in solution viscosity [7]. This presents a practical limitation to the system as the concomitant increase in viscosity can require much higher pressures and longer times to load a microchannel. Since borosilicate glass chip devices cannot withstand the high pressures that fused silica capillaries do, the shift from the capillary to the microchip platform has created significant interest in polymer solutions that can be loaded rapidly with the application of minimal pressure, while still providing high-resolution separations.

One strategy to overcome this challenge has been to use thermoresponsive polymer solutions to decouple the viscosity of the solution during loading from the viscosity during electrophoresis. Investigations into thermoresponsive polymer solutions have included using graft copolymers (e.g. poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)-graft-poly(ethylene oxide), or PNIPA-g-PEO [8], and poly(acrylamide)-graft-PNIPA [9]) to separate dsDNA and Pluronic™ triblock copolymers (BASF) to separate short oligonucleotides [10]. The studies that were done using PNIPA-g-PEO found that adequate sieving performance was only achieved with a high density of grafted PEO, and that sieving performance decreased above the solution’s phase transition [8].

N-Alkoxalkylacrylamide homo- and copolymers have been investigated as DNA sequencing matrices in capillaries [11]. Poly(N-ethoxylacrylamide) (pNEEA) was shown to exhibit a dramatic increase in viscosity above 36°C, while poly(N-methoxylacrylamide) (pNMEA) showed only a small increase in viscosity upon heating to 50°C. Wada et al. have reported a “re-entrant”-type thermal response for gels of pNEEA, meaning that the polymer chains are in a swollen state at both low and high temperatures and in a shrunken state at intermediate temperatures [12]. This re-swelling at a high temperature was hypothesized by Kan et al. [11] to provide a highly entangled solution through which the DNA could migrate. However, the pNEEA polymer solution resulted in poor sequencing results, while sequencing was successfully achieved with a relatively long read, pNMEA.

In this report, we provide a detailed physical characterization of a library of N-alkoxalkylacrylamide homo- and copolymers, and demonstrate the ability of this class of polymers to act as a high-resolution sieving matrix for PCR and RFLP sizing of dsDNA molecules. The use of random copolymers provides an easier synthetic pathway to a final sieving polymer than the graft copolymers reported by other groups. In comparison with a previous report using this class of polymers [11], this study provides a more complete understanding of the thermoresponsive nature of the polymers in a nondenaturating buffer, compares dsDNA separations of N-alkoxalkylacrylamide polymers with conventional linear polyacrylamide (LPA) both below and above the transition temperature of the polymers in a microfluidic chip, and finally demonstrates that high-N-methoxyethylacrylamide (NMEA)-content matrices provide better separations than LPA, which may be due to an underlying difference in the separation mechanism.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Polymer synthesis

Homopolymers and copolymers of NMEA and N-ethoxylacrylamide (NEEA) were synthesized via free-radical polymerization (Fig. 1). Nomenclature for NMEA and NEEA copolymers gives the abbreviation for the monomer with its percent of total monomer by weight (e.g. the NMEA90–NEEA10 polymer was 90% NMEA monomer by weight and 10% NEEA monomer of the total monomer in the reaction). Ultrapure NMEA and NEEA monomers (Monomer-Polymer and Dajac Labs, Feasterville, PA, USA) were dissolved at 1% w/v total monomer concentration in water in a jacketed flask connected to a recirculating water bath at 25°C. The solution was bubbled with nitrogen for 45 min prior to being initiated with 0.5 µL of 10% w/v ammonium persulphate/mL reaction volume and 0.1 µL of TEMED/mL reaction volume (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Following a 4-h reaction, the solution was poured into 100 000 Da molecular weight cut-off cellulose acetate dialysis membranes (Spectrum Labs, Gardena, CA, USA) and dialyzed against deionized water for at least 10 days with frequent water changes. LPA and poly(N-hydroxyethylacrylamide) were synthesized at 50°C at 3 and 1% w/v monomer concentration, respectively, and initiated with 4,4′-azobis(4-cyanovalelic acid) (Sigma-Aldrich). The solutions were then frozen, lyophilized, and stored dry.

Figure 1. Monomer structures: (A) acrylamide, (B) NMEA, and (C) NEEA.
2.2 Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)–multiple angle laser light scattering (MALLS)

Polymers were analyzed by tandem GPC–MALLS using a Waters 2690 Alliance Separations Module (Waters, Milford, MA) with an on-line refractometer as previously described [13, 14]. Briefly, the polymer sample was dissolved at 1 mg/mL in the mobile phase of 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, and 200 ppm NaN₃. This solution was injected into the system and fractionated by three Shodex (New York, NY, USA) OHpak columns (SB-806 HQ, SB-804 HQ, and SB-802.5 HQ) connected in series. The effluent from the GPC flows directly into a DAWN DSP laser photometer and Optilab DSP interferometric refractometer connected in series (both, Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). The data are processed using ASTRA software from Wyatt Technology.

2.3 Absorbance

Absorbance at 500 nm was measured as a function of temperature to determine the approximate lower critical solution temperatures (LCSTs) of the various polymer solutions [15]. The polymers were dissolved at 5% w/w in 1 × TTE buffer (49 mM Tris, 49 mM TAPS, 2 mM EDTA) and these solutions were characterized on a Cary 500 (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The instrument was run in double beam mode with 1 × TTE buffer in the reference vial. The temperature was increased at 1°C/min and a data point was taken every 0.25°C.

2.4 Rheology

Rheological properties of the polymers were tested on an Anton Paar Physica MCR 300 rheometer (Ashland, VA, USA). A Peltier temperature controller connected to a recirculating water bath (Julabo USA, Allentown, PA, USA) was used to provide the temperature control. Tests were performed with a cone-and-plate fixture (model CP50-1). Oscillation tests were performed at 0.1 Pa with an angular frequency of 1 s⁻¹ and data points recorded every 1°C during the temperature ramp.

2.5 Dynamic light scattering

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA) was used to characterize the approximate polymer coil size as a function of temperature. Polymer samples were dissolved at 0.5 mg/mL in distilled water and pushed through a 0.45 μm filter (GE, Piscataway, NJ, USA) to remove any particulates. The sample was given 5 min to equilibrate at each temperature and three data sets were taken at each temperature with data taken over 2 min. The data were fit to a unimodal distribution using a size distribution processor running CONTIN [15].

2.6 DNA separations

Microchip electrophoresis experiments were performed using a custom-built laser-induced fluorescence and power supply system previously described [14]. DNA separations were performed using 5% w/w polymer solutions dissolved in 1 × TTE with 5 μM EtBr (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Separations were performed in glass microchips (Micronit, Enschede, The Netherlands) with a 7.5 cm effective separation distance and a field strength of 250 V/cm. The chips were dynamically coated using a poly(N-hydroxyethylacrylamide) solution as previously described [16]. The pBR322-Mspl dsDNA digest (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) at a concentration of 1 μg/mL was separated and the traces were analyzed using PeakFit (SYSTAT, Chicago, IL, USA).

3 Results and discussion

The molar mass, z-average radius of gyration, and polydispersity index of the polymers determined by tandem GPC–MALLS are given in Table 1. The polymers were synthesized to have similar molecular weights so that differences in solution properties (e.g. viscoelastic and thermoresponsive behavior) and DNA separation performance are due to polymer chemistry with minimal impact from differences in average molecular weight. pNMEA is the most hydrophilic thermoresponsive polymer investigated here, while pNEEA is the least hydrophilic. While the monomers only differ by a single methyl group, the NMEA–NEEA composition is shown to have a significant effect on both the polymer solution properties and thermoresponsive behavior.

The viscoelastic and thermoresponsive behaviors of the polymers were investigated by absorbance, rheology, and light scattering. Turbidity measurements provide a method to determine if a solution exhibits an LCST (lower critical solution temperature), which is indicative of
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a dramatic, thermally induced volume phase transition of the polymers in solution [15]. Figure 2 shows that NEEA, NMEA10–NEEA90, and NMEA25–NEEA75 solutions all show LCST in the temperature range tested. The temperature at which the turbidity begins to increase in these solutions corresponds very closely with the sharp increase in complex viscosity shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, the increase in viscosity seen for these polymers is likely due to the polymer coils undergoing a coil-to-globule transition followed by aggregation and phase separation [15]. Figure 3 also shows that the solutions that do not undergo an LCST increase in viscosity at an elevated temperature. However, the viscosity increase of these solutions is not as sharp nor as pronounced as the solutions that undergo the LCST. DLS shows that the chain size of these polymers shrinks with increasing temperature (Fig. 4). Therefore, while these solutions are not undergoing a phase transition, the increase in viscosity of these solutions is attributed to increased hydrophobic chain interactions.

Electrophoresis of a dsDNA digest through a 5% w/w solution of each of these matrices demonstrates the potential application of this class of polymers as well as limitations on the hydrophobicity of the sieving polymer. The viscosities at room temperature, Fig. 3, of the N-alkoxylacrylamide polymer solutions are significantly lower than that of LPA, allowing for rapid, facile loading and unloading of these solutions into the microfluidic chips. Figure 5 shows the separation efficiency of each of the polymer solutions at 25°C. LPA is used as a reference due to its non-thermoresponsive behavior and its ubiquitous use in the field of DNA separations by microchannel electrophoresis. For DNA fragment sizes below approximately 150 bp, there is no significant difference in separation efficiency between LPA and N-alkoxylacrylamide polymers with NMEA compositions greater than 50%. Around 150 bp, the separation efficiency of the LPA matrix begins to drop and, with fragments having sizes larger than 200 bp, the separation efficiency of the NMEA–NEEA polymers is much
greater than that of the LPA. The three polymers with greater than 50% NEEA composition provide separation efficiencies lower than that of LPA at all DNA fragment sizes and, in particular, separations of the larger DNA fragments are significantly worse than in LPA.

The improvement in dsDNA separation efficiency using the high-NMEA-content polymers can be attributed to smaller peak widths, particularly for the larger DNA fragment sizes. Figure 6A shows the FWHM of the DNA peaks for each of the different polymer solutions. The FWHM for small DNA fragments is roughly equal for all polymer types (except for NMEA10–NEEA90). Comparing again the high-NMEA-content polymers with LPA, the FWHM for LPA begins to increase around 150 bp, leading to a significant difference in peak width for fragments that are 200 bp and longer. This peak broadening corresponds with the drop in separation efficiency. Separations with the high-NEEA-content polymers resulted in peaks widths that were comparatively large. This is attributed to the greater hydrophobicity of the polymer solutions, resulting in an increase in polymer–DNA interactions.

DNA mobilities are much higher in the N-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers than in the LPA (Supporting Information Fig. 1), resulting in shorter separation times. Lower mobilities may provide the DNA with more time to diffuse during the LPA-based separations, which may lead to wider peaks [3]. However, Fig. 6B shows the FWHM normalized to the peak migration time to account for this difference. Again, there appears to be a distinct DNA size at which the peak widths increase when using the LPA, but not when using the high-NMEA-content polymers. Differences in polymer architecture and chain entanglement can lead to differences in the migration mechanism of DNA [6, 7]. It has also been reported that a polymer matrix with an intermediate strength of entanglement can provide improved sequencing results as compared with a strongly entangled LPA solution [3]. Figure 3 shows that the N-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers do not provide an entangled network as strong as that of the LPA, resulting in a lower polymer solution viscosity. It is possible that the high-NMEA-content polymer solutions investigated here provide similar intermediate strengths of entanglement and minimal polymer hydrophobicity, which together result in smaller peak widths and improved separations for dsDNA.

Figure 7 shows a representative electropherogram of a DNA separation using LPA and NMEA. The figure shows a 20% reduction in separation time using the NMEA solution. The peaks observed when using NMEA appear to be more
Gaussian in shape, compared with the LPA traces that appear to exhibit more peak tailing. The middle region of the traces is expanded in the figure to show the importance of the higher separation efficiency that is achieved using NMEA. The arrows in the expanded view point to the 147 bp doublet that is baseline-resolved using NMEA, but only partially resolved using LPA. The peaks of the larger fragments are also clearly sharper with the NMEA polymer as expected based on the FWHM data shown in Fig. 6. These differences can be of key importance for PCR fragment or restriction fragment sizing where accurate determination of the DNA length is critical.

It is of interest here to note that the optimal polymer solution concentration may vary with the NMEA content of the polymer. Increasing the NMEA content increases the radius of gyration, as reported in Table 1. A larger radius of gyration should decrease the polymer overlap threshold concentration, $C^*$, as well as the polymer network entanglement concentration, $C_e$, as described by Chiesl et al. [7]. The mode of DNA migration through a polymer network is a function of the polymer solution concentration with respect to these characteristic concentrations ($C^*$ and $C_e$) that will differ for each polymer. Therefore, future work on investigating a range of polymer solution concentrations for the different polymer compositions can provide useful information on the optimal polymer composition and concentration for separating a specific size range of DNA.

DNA separations were also performed at 50°C to gain insight into the effects of temperature on the ability of these thermoresponsive polymers to separate DNA. Figure 8 shows the separation efficiency for solutions of LPA, NMEA, and NMEA90–NEEA10 at 50°C. Polymers with higher NEEA content either resulted in poor separations in which the DNA peaks significantly overlapped, or the solutions underwent an LCST and no peaks could be detected. The poor separation performance at 50°C is believed to be due to hydrophobic interactions between the polymer chains and DNA resulting in broad peaks. The hydrophobic content of the polymer chains has a much greater impact at elevated temperatures, even for polymers that do not possess an LCST, as shown in Fig. 4. Additionally, the difference in the separation efficiencies provided by NMEA and NMEA90–NEEA10 shows that the small increase in the hydrophobic content that did not significantly impact DNA separations at 25°C is highly detrimental to separations carried out at 50°C. Therefore, while the high-NMEA-content polymers reported here provide an excellent sieving matrix for dsDNA at 25°C, further tuning of the polymer chain architecture to achieve the balance between entanglement strength and polymer hydrophobicity may be necessary to use this class of polymers for higher-temperature DNA separations such as STR sizing and DNA sequencing.

4 Concluding remarks

We have demonstrated the ability of N-alkoxyalkylacrylamide polymers to provide enhanced dsDNA separations compared with LPA at 25°C. This improvement when using high-NMEA-content polymers is attributed to smaller peak widths, particularly for DNA molecules longer than 150 bp, even when peak widths are normalized with the migration time. Electropherograms of separations performed at 25°C show that the increase in separation efficiency using an NMEA polymer can provide baseline resolution of certain DNA fragments, which is not achieved with the LPA matrix. The improvement in separation efficiency, reduction in separation time, and ease of loading the N-alkoxyalkylacrylamide solutions reported here make them an excellent matrix for microchip separation applications such as PCR or RFLP sizing.

While the NMEA homopolymers provided a high-resolution separation at 50°C, the separation efficiencies for copolymers of NMEA and NEEA were much more sensitive
to NEEA content than at 25°C. Additionally, higher-NEEA-content polymers exhibit an LCST below 50°C, which prevents successful dsDNA separations. Tuning of the polymer matrix to balance the strength of entanglement and degree of hydrophobicity at higher temperatures may allow this class of polymers to provide enhanced separations for applications such as sequencing, similar to what was reported here at 25°C for dsDNA separations.
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