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SUMMARY

The diversity of cardiac lineages contributes to the heterogeneity of human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived cardiomyocytes (CMs). Here, we report the generation of a hiPSC TBX5Clover2 and NKX2-5TagRFP double reporter to delineate cardiac lineages and isolate lineage-specific subpopulations. Molecular analyses reveal that four different subpopulations can be isolated based on the differential expression of TBX5 and NKX2-5, TBX5+NKX2-5+, TBX5+NKX2-5−, and TBX5−NKH2-5−, mimicking the first heart field, epicardial, second heart field, and endothelial lineages, respectively. Genetic and functional characterization indicates that each subpopulation differentiates into specific cardiac cells. We further identify CORIN as a cell-surface marker for isolating the TBX5+NKH2-5+ subpopulation and demonstrate the use of lineage-specific CMs for precise drug testing. We anticipate that this tool will facilitate the investigation of cardiac lineage specification and isolation of specific cardiac subpopulations for drug screening, tissue engineering, and disease modeling.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiogenesis is orchestrated by temporally and spatially regulated expression of cardiac transcription factors, leading to specification of multiple lineages (Buckingham et al., 2005). During heart development, cardiac progenitor cells (CPCs) of the first heart field (FHF) marked by TBX5, NKX2-5, and HCN4 contribute to the left ventricle (Buckingham et al., 2005; Sper trunk et al., 2013), whereas CPCs of the second heart field (SHF) marked by NKX2-5, ISL1, and MEF2C develop into the right ventricle and atria (Domian et al., 2009). Moreover, the epicardial lineage marked by TBX5, TBX18, and WTI further differentiates into cardiomyocytes (CMs), smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells (ECs), and fibroblasts (Cai et al., 2008). It should be noted that NKX2-5+ atrial and ventricular subtypes are distinct from the NKX2-5− nodal subtype that is specified by TBX18 (Wiese et al., 2009), TBX5 (Puskarcik et al., 2010), and SHOX2 (Espinoza-Lewis et al., 2009). These findings suggest that combinatorial expression of cardiac transcription factors is essential for determining cardiac lineages and differentiated cell types.

TBX5 and NKX2-5 are two important cardiac transcription factors that control many aspects of heart development. They can interact physically to cooperatively activate cardiac gene expression in atrial and ventricular CMs (Brunneau et al., 2001), whereas they seem to be mutually exclusive in nodal CMs. The expression of NKX2-5 needs to be repressed by coordinated interactions between TBX5 and SHOX2 to determine nodal cell fate (Espinoza-Lewis et al., 2009; Puskarcik et al., 2010). These studies further corroborate that fine-tuned regulation of cardiac transcription factor expression is essential for cell fate determination.

The ability of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) to differentiate into CMs has reshaped our approaches to studying heart development. In vitro cardiac differentiation of hiPSCs can recapitulate many cellular aspects of cardiac lineage specification and commitment (Birket et al., 2015). Moreover, hiPSC-CMs represent a heterogeneous pool of ventricular, atrial, and nodal CMs, indicating multiple coexisting cardiac lineages. The nature of heterogeneity allows us to delineate different cardiac lineages and isolate lineage-specific cardiovascular cells.

In this study, we identified four discrete subpopulations using a TBX5Clover2 and NKX2-5TagRFP hiPSC double reporter. Our
findings show that the TBX5+NKX2-5+ (G+R+) subpopulation is close to the FHF lineage and mainly differentiates into ventricular CMs, whereas the TBX5+NKX2-5− (G−R−) subpopulation exhibits epicardial lineage characteristics and contributes to nodal CMs. The TBX5−NKX2-5+ (G−R+) subpopulation mimics the SHF lineage and primarily differentiates into atrial CMs. Lastly, the progeny of the TBX5−NKX2-5− (G−R−) subpopulation has EC properties. Transcriptome analysis of each subpopulation identifies CORIN as a cell-surface marker for enriching the G+R+ subpopulation. Furthermore, we demonstrate that lineage-specific CMs provide a useful model for precise drug testing. Overall, this study provides a unique tool for isolation and application of human lineage-specific cardiovascular cells.

RESULTS

Generation of the hiPSC TBX5\textsuperscript{Clove2} and NKX2-5\textsuperscript{TagRFP Dual Reporter}

To delineate cardiac lineages, we developed a hiPSC double reporter expressing Clove2 and TagRFP from the loci of TBX5 and NKX2-5, respectively, using CRISPR-Cas9 (Figures 1A and S1A–S1H; Table S1). The Clove2 or TagRFP element was placed upstream of the TBX5 or NKX2-5 start codon, and translation was mediated via an internal ribosome entry site (IRES). The protein level of TBX5 and NKX2-5 in hiPSC-CMs was comparable between the reporter and parental line (Figure S1I). The expression kinetics of Clove2 and TagRFP mirrored the expression of TBX5 and NKX2-5, respectively (Figure S1J). On day 6 of differentiation, ~55% of cells were TBX5+ (G+), and the remaining 45% were TBX5− (G−) (Figures 1B and 1C). From day 7 onward, four discrete subpopulations were identified: G+R+, G+R−, G−R+, and G−R− (Figures 1B and 1D).

**TBX5\textsuperscript{Clove2} and NKX2-5\textsuperscript{TagRFP hiPSCs Reveal Distinct Cardiac Lineages**

We first analyzed the expression of lineage markers to identify each subpopulation. TBX5 was upregulated over 60-fold in both G+R+ and G+R− subpopulations (Figure 1E; Table S2), NKX2-5 expression was upregulated over 5- and 10-fold in G+R+ and G+R− subpopulations, respectively. The significant enrichment of TBX5 and NKX2-5 in designated subpopulations confirmed the fidelity of the reporter. All three colored subpopulations (i.e., G+R+, G+R−, and G−R+) underwent a cardiogenic lineage path with upregulation of GATA4 and TNNT2. Interestingly, HCN4, a marker for FHF and nodal CMs (Stieber et al., 2003), was over 5-fold higher in G+R+ and G+R− subpopulations (Figure 1E; Table S2), whereas SHF markers MEF2C and ISL1 were upregulated in the G−R− subpopulation. WT1 and TBX18, the epicardial lineage markers, were highly expressed in the G+R− subpopulation. Additionally, the expression of PECAM1 and KDR was higher in G+R+ and G−R− subpopulations. These results reveal that each subpopulation is defined by a set of lineage marker genes, suggesting that our hiPSC double reporter can isolate four different lineage subpopulations.

We then cultured G+R+, G+R−, and G−R− subpopulations to day 35 to investigate their derivatives (Figure 1F). Both TBX5 and NKX2-5 were still upregulated in the designated subpopulations, further confirming the fidelity of the reporter. HAND1 was significantly upregulated in the G+R+ subpopulation, although the expression of HAND2 was not different among the three subpopulations. Interestingly, ventricular CM markers (MYL2 and IRX4) were significantly upregulated in the G+R+ subpopulation compared with the G+R− and G−R− subpopulations.

Epicardial cells can form tight junctions identified by the presence of ZO1 along the cell membrane (Austin et al., 2008). Immunostaining of G+R− cells exhibited that ZO1+ cells were also WT1+, validating their epicardial phenotype (Figure S2A). Flow cytometry analyses showed that 72% of G+R− cells were WT1+, whereas 92% of hiPSC-derived epicardial cells (hiPSC-Epi) were WT1+ (Figures S2B and S2C). WT1 expression was lower in G+R− cells than in hiPSC-Epi, but TBX18 expression was not different (Figure S2D). Interestingly, nodal cell ion channel genes (HCN1, HCN4, and KCNJ3) were highly expressed in the G+R− subpopulation (Figure 1F). Cardiac transcription factors, including SHOX2 and TBX3, which play a significant role in specifying nodal cells (Espinoza-Lewis et al., 2009; Hoogaars et al., 2007), were also enriched in the G+R− subpopulation. However, the expression of TBX2, a marker for the atrioventricular node (AVN) (Aanhaanen et al., 2009), was not different among subpopulations. Atrial markers, including NR2F2, MYL7, and PITX2 (Devalla et al., 2015), were significantly upregulated in the G−R+ subpopulation, although ~78% of G−R+ cells were cardiac troponin+ (cTn+T) (Figure S2E). It should be noted that the expression of TBX1, a marker for the anterior SHF (Paige et al., 2015), was low in the G−R+ subpopulation, and TBX1+-hiPSC-CMs were scarce (Churko et al., 2018) (Figures S2F and S2G). Moreover, upregulation of TCF21, ACTA2, MYH11, and PECAM1 in the G−R− subpopulation suggested a mixture of fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, and ECs in its derivatives, but the G−R− subpopulation was only enriched for smooth muscle cell and EC markers (Figures 1F and S2H).

During heart development, gene expression shows dynamic changes that define distinct cardiac progenitors (Kokkinopoulou et al., 2015). We also found that some cells in the G+R+, G−R−, and G−R+ subpopulations showed a dynamic change in the expression of TBX5 or NKX2-5 (Figure S2I). For example, ~10% of day 8 G+R− cells initiated NKX2-5 expression to become G+R+ cells on day 12, whereas ~40% G+R− cells turned off TBX5 expression to become G−R− cells. However, from days 8 to 12, almost all G−R− cells retained their identity.

The G+R+ Subpopulation Differentiates into Ventricular CMs

To further reveal the influence of TBX5 and/or NKX2-5 on cell fate, we next characterized functional properties of each subpopulation. We utilized a fluorescent voltage sensor, ASAP2 (Chamberland et al., 2017), to record action potentials (APs). The combination of brightness and voltage sensitivity of ASAP2 enabled us to distinguish the AP morphology of all three CM subtypes: ventricular, atrial, and nodal (Figure 2A). Interestingly, only ventricular and atrial AP morphology was captured in the G+R+ subpopulation, with 90% being ventricular. However, three CM subtypes were distinguished in the non-sorted hiPSC-CMs (Figure 2B). To confirm the fidelity of ASAP2, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. Consistent with optical imaging, the major subtype of G+R+ CMs was ventricular (93%), and no nodal cells were identified (Figures 2C and 2D). These results provide strong evidence that ASAP2 faithfully recapitulates
Figure 1. Isolation of Cardiac Subpopulations from TBX5<sup>Clover2</sup> and NKX2-5<sup>TagRFP</sup> hiPSC-Derived Cells

(A) Representative images show G+R+ (yellow color), G+R– (asterisk), and G–R+ (arrow) subpopulations. Scale bars, 100 μm.

(B) Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) plots show separation of subpopulations. Numbers in the quadrants represent the respective percentage of subpopulations.

(C and D) Percentage of subpopulations isolated on day 6 (C) and days 7–10 (D) from 5 to 16 independent experiments.

(E) Gene expression in individual subpopulation isolated on day 10 (n = 3).

(F) Gene expression in G+R–, G–R+, and G+R+ derivatives on day 35 (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (mean ± SEM).

See also Figure S1 and Tables S1, S2, and S4.
Figure 2. Functional Characterization of Individual Subpopulations

(A) Optical imaging of action potentials (APs) using ASAP2 shows “ventricular-like” (top), “atrial-like” (middle), and “nodal-like” (bottom) hiPSC-CMs between days 35 and 40.

(B) Percentage of subtypes in G+R+ and non-sorted hiPSC-CMs. Numbers indicate the recorded cell number.

(C) Representative whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of G+R+ and non-sorted hiPSC-CMs.

(D) Percentage of subtypes in G+R+ and non-sorted hiPSC-CMs by patch clamp. Numbers indicate the recorded cell number.

(E) Maximum diastolic potential (MDP).

(F) Expression of ion channel genes (n = 3).

(legend continued on next page)
the AP morphology of hiPSC-CMs and is suitable for subtype phenotyping. Additionally, immunostaining also demonstrated that the majority of G+R+ CMs had a ventricular subtype (Figure S3A).

We also found that G+R+ ventricular CMs displayed a more negative maximum diastolic potential (MDP) (Figure 2E). To further probe the molecular contribution to this phenotype, we analyzed the expression of key ion channel genes and found a higher expression of KCNJ2 encoding the Kir2.1 inward-rectifier potassium (I\textsubscript{K1}) channel in G+R+ CMs (Figures 2F–2H). Moreover, G+R+ CMs showed a higher contraction velocity and contraction deformation distance than non-sorted hiPSC-CMs (Figures 2I and 2J), suggesting that purified G+R+ CMs possess functional advantages over the heterogeneous hiPSC-CMs.

We next examined the metabolic status of CMs by measuring mitochondrial oxygen consumption. We observed a significant increase in mitochondrial respiration in G+R+ CMs under basal and pyruvate conditions (Figures S3B–S3E), but not under the glucose condition (data not shown). More importantly, when palmitate, a type of fatty acid, was used as the substrate, G+R+ CMs showed a significantly higher oxygen consumption rate than non-sorted hiPSC-CMs (Figures 2K and 2L). These results indicate that G+R+ CMs favor mitochondrial respiration and use fatty acid more efficiently than non-sorted hiPSC-CMs.

Nodal and Atrial CMs Are the Major Subtypes Derived from G+R- and G–R Subpopulations, Respectively

Lineage analysis identified that the sinoatrial node is derived from TBX18+SHOX2+NKX2.5- progenitors (Mohan et al., 2017). As the G+R- subpopulation is defined as NKX2.5- with an enriched expression of TBX18 and SHOX2, we hypothesized that G+R- CMs would have a nodal subtype. Indeed, ~80% of G+R- CMs had a typical nodal CM AP morphology. However, 90% of G+R- CMs had an atrial subtype (Figures 2M and 2N). Furthermore, G+R- and G–R+ CMs exhibited distinct AP parameters from G+R+ CMs (Figures 2O–2Q). Importantly, the percentage of CM subtypes and AP properties of day 60 CMs remained similar to that at the earlier stage (~day 35) in each subpopulation (Figures S3F–S3I and 2M–2Q). In sum, these results provide strong evidence that different lineage subpopulations lead to differentiation of specific subtypes that are determined by differential expression of TBX5 or NKX2.5.

The G–R- Subpopulation Differentiates into ECs

EC markers (VWF, PECAM1, CD144, KDR, and NOS3) were upregulated in the G–R- subpopulation compared with the G+R+ subpopulation, although the purity and expression level of those markers were lower than those of bona fide ECs (Figures 2R and 2S). When placed on Matrigel in the presence of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), G–R- cells formed a tube-like structure (Figure 2S). To further validate their in vivo angiogenesis potential, G–R- cells were implanted subcutaneously (s.c.) in non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD SCID) mice for 4 weeks, and immunostaining of tissue sections showed vessel formation (Figure 2T). These data suggest that the G–R- subpopulation contains functional ECs.

Transcriptome Profiling Shows Inter-subpopulation Differences

To achieve a detailed understanding of the genetic programs modulated by TBX5 and/or NKX2.5 during lineage divergence, we next performed RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analysis on purified subpopulations. Principal-component analysis (PCA; Figure 3A) and unsupervised hierarchical clustering (Figure S4A) revealed a closer correlation in G+R+ and G–R- subpopulations with more overlapped genes (Figure 3B; Table S3). Analyses of changes in gene ontology (GO) terms between subpopulations allowed us to understand the TBX5 and/or NKX2.5-dependent regulation of gene expression (Figures 3C–3J and S4A). A unique gene group associated with protein synthesis (cluster 1) was upregulated in the G+R- subpopulation (Figures S4A, 3E, and S3I). Concordant with the high proliferation capacity of epicardial cells (G+R-) and ECs (G–R-), a group of genes associated with the cell cycle and division were upregulated (cluster 2) (Figure S4A), suggesting that NKX2-5 plays a repressive role in proliferation. The transcriptomic profiles of G+R+ and G–R- representing the FHF and SHF lineages, respectively, were not distinct, indicating the dominant role of NKX2-5 in regulating cardiac differentiation and contraction at the early stage (Figures 3C, 3D, and S4A). GO term analyses predicted that multiple cardiac transcription factors, including ISL1, the MEF2 family, and the GATA family, bound to genes enriched in clusters 3 and 4, confirming the fate of cardiac lineages of the G+R+ and G–R- subpopulations (Figure S4B). We also identified a group of genes (cluster 6) that were upregulated in the G–R- subpopulation (Figures 3F, 3J, and S4A). GO term analyses indicated that TBX5- and NKX2.5-independent expression of genes was associated with organ development and vasculature development. Additionally, motif enrichment analyses indicated that the GATA family bound to the promoters of these genes in cluster 6, which is in line with previous findings that GATA transcription factors promoted endothelial differentiation in avian (Kamei et al., 2011) and that ECs were differentiated from NKX2-5- cardiac progenitors (Giacomelli et al., 2017). Taken together, these data indicate that individual subpopulations not only have differential gene
expression profiles but also exhibit distinct transcriptional regulatory networks.

Identification of a Cell-Surface Marker for the G+R+ Subpopulation

As functional analyses show advantages of G+R+ CMs, we next sought to identify a cell-surface marker for isolating the G+R+ subpopulation. We discovered one gene called CORIN encoding a type II transmembrane serine protease (Figure 3B; Table S3), which can enrich the G+R+ subpopulation. qPCR analysis confirmed the significant upregulation of CORIN in the G+R+ subpopulation (Figure 4A). Moreover, the expression of CORIN in primary cardiac fibroblasts (CFs), induced fibroblasts (hiPSC-Fs), and hiPSC-ECs was negligible (Figure 4A). Flow cytometry analyses showed that the majority of cells in the day 30 CORIN+ subpopulation were MLC2v+ (Figure 4B). We conducted additional analyses of human fetal tissues to confirm the dominant expression of CORIN in the heart (Figure 4C). The dynamic expression of CORIN showed that its expression was first detectable at the cardiac progenitor stage and continued to increase until day 30 post-differentiation (Figures 4D and 4E). We then used CORIN to sort hiPSC-CMs derived from unmodified hiPSCs on day 10 (Figure 4F). Indeed, CORIN+ cells showed upregulation of TBX5 and NKX2-5 compared to CORIN−/− cells (Figure 4G). Furthermore, electrophysiological properties of day 30 CORIN+ CMs, including the beating rate, AP amplitude, and AP duration (APD), were comparable to those of G+R+ CMs (Figures 4H–4J). Overall, these results demonstrate the feasibility of using CORIN to isolate the TBX5+NKX2-5+ subpopulation.

Application of Lineage-Specific CMs in Precise Drug Testing

We next investigated whether lineage-specific CMs were applicable to assess subtype-specific drug effects. Ivabradine, a “funny” current (I_f) inhibitor, has been used as a heart-rate-reducing drug. Ivabradine (1 μM) significantly prolonged APD 50 by 38% in G+R+/− CMs, but not in G+R+ CMs (Figures 4K and 4L). Notably, despite ivabradine increased APD 70 and APD 90 in G+R+ CMs, its effect on G+R−/− CMs was greater than G+R+ CMs (31% versus 21% in APD 70, and 37% versus 29% in APD 90)(Figure 4L). This greater effect on APD in G+R−/− CMs led to a larger concurrent reduction in the beating rate in G+R−/− CMs (45%) than G+R+ CMs (20%) (Figure 4M). Strikingly, a higher dose of ivabradine (9 μM) abolished G+R−/− CM beating, although it prolonged APD and reduced beating rate in G+R+ CMs (Figures 4L and 4M). Carbachol (CCH) is a cholinergic agonist that enhances I_KAChr through interaction with acetylcholine (ACh) receptors, leading to a negative chronotropic effect. Although ACh receptors are expressed in all three CM subtypes, nodal cells tend to show higher densities of I_KAChr than ventricular CMs (Protze et al., 2017). CCH had a more pronounced effect on the beating rate and APD in G+R−/− CMs than G+R+ CMs (Figures 4K–4M).
Figure 4. Application of the TBX5\textsuperscript{Clover2} and NKX2-5\textsuperscript{TagRFP} hiPSC Reporter

(A) Expression of CORIN in isolated subpopulations, hiPSC-derived endothelial cells (hiPSC-ECs), hiPSC-derived fibroblasts (hiPSC-Fs), and primary cardiac fibroblasts (CFs) (n = 3).

(B) Flow cytometry of CORIN and MLC-2v in day 30 G+R+ CMs.

(C) Expression of CORIN in human fetal tissue.

(D and E) Dynamic expression of CORIN during cardiac differentiation in the reporter (D) and unmodified (E) hiPSC-CMs from three independent differentiations.

(F) Representative flow cytometry plot of day 10 live cells shows CORIN+ (blue box) and CORIN− (black box) cells.

(legend continued on next page)
To test whether G–R+ CMs also act as a preclinical model for precise drug testing, we examined the effect of vernakalant (a drug used for cardioversion of recent-onset atrial fibrillation) on G–R+ CMs (Saveleva et al., 2014). Vernakalant significantly prolonged APD to a greater extent in G–R+ CMs than G+R+ CMs, leading to a significant decrease in the beating rate in G–R+ CMs, but not G+R+ CMs (Figures 4N–4P). Vernakalant also caused arrhythmias in 9% of G–R+ CMs, but not in G+R+ CMs, suggesting that G–R+ CMs are more susceptible to vernakalant-induced AP effects (Figures 4N and 4Q).

In summary, these findings corroborate that G+R– and G–R+ CMs not only belong to nodal and atrial subtypes, respectively, but also bear the potential for precise testing of the potency and safety window of new drugs targeting specific CM subtypes.

**DISCUSSION**

Recent decades have seen significant progress in understanding control of cardiogenesis that establishes the foundation for generating cardiac lineage cells from hiPSCs. In this study, we delineated human cardiac lineages using a hiPSC TBX5<sup>Clover2</sup> and NKX2-5<sup>TAQRFPR</sup> double reporter. We isolated four different cardiac lineage subpopulations during cardiac differentiation with distinct molecular and functional features.

We identified a TBX5+NKX2-5+ subpopulation marked by FHF markers (TBX5, NKX2-5, and HCN4) and predominantly differentiated into ventricular CMs. Overexpression of Tbx5 in mouse embryonic stem cells favored the development of the FHF (Herrmann et al., 2011). Single-cell RNA-Seq analysis of mouse embryonic day 11.5 (E11.5) CMs showed a higher expression of Tbx5 in left ventricular CMs compared with their right counterparts, confirming that Tbx5 is an important regulator of the FHF lineage (Delaunder et al., 2016). These lines of evidence suggest that TBX5+NKX2-5+ CMs most likely represent left “ventricular-like” CMs.

The high expression of KCNJ2 in TBX5+NKX2-5+ ventricular CMs underscored the low MDP. Animal studies found that the expression of Kir2.1 and the corresponding IK1 current density was higher in left ventricular CMs than right ventricular CMs (Sa-mie et al., 2001; Warren et al., 2003), further indicating that TBX5+NKX2-5+ ventricular CMs are functionally closer to left ventricular CMs. The identification of CORIN as a cell-surface marker for the TBX5+NKX2-5+ subpopulation allows for the isolation of lineage-specific ventricular CMs for preclinical studies. One recent study also identified a cell-surface marker, CD235a, to monitor the mesoderm that differentiates into ventricular CMs (Lee et al., 2017). As noted in their study, it is unknown whether CD235a+ mesoderm generates left or right ventricular CMs or a mixture of both. Here, we demonstrate that TBX5+NKX2-5+ CMs appear to be genetically and functionally similar to left ventricular CMs.

We also identified two other cardiogenic lineage subpopulations: TBX5+NKX2-5− and TBX5−NKX2-5+. The dominant CM subtype in the TBX5−NKX2-5+ subpopulation was atrial, but these cells displayed a slower beating rate than atrial CMs in the other subpopulations, as well as atrial CMs generated by modulating the retinoic acid pathway (Lee et al., 2017). This distinct phenotype may be attributed to the relatively high expression ratio of NKX2-5 to TBX5, which is known to regulate ion channel expression associated with AP and beating rate (Arnolds et al., 2012). Despite these differences, consistent with a previous study (Devalla et al., 2015), we found that TBX5−NKX2-5+ atrial CMs exhibited a greater sensitivity to vernakalant-induced changes in APs. We were surprised to detect only a small number of ventricular CMs in the G–R+ subpopulation, given that the SHF lineage contributes to the right ventricle and atria in vivo. It is worth noting that within the SHF, progenitors giving rise to the atria and inflow tract are considered posterior SHF that is marked by only ISL1, whereas TBX1+ISL1+ precursor cells develop into the right ventricle and outflow tract (Paige et al., 2015). The low expression of TBX1 in the G–R+ subpopulation is in line with the dominant differentiation of atrial CMs. It remains unclear why only posterior SHF is induced under our standard differentiation condition, but the absence of 3D microenvironmental cues could explain the discrepancy between in vitro differentiation and in vivo heart development.
We found that the TBX5+NKX2-5+ subpopulation was highly enriched with epicardial lineage markers and primarily differentiated into nodal CMs. These findings conform to studies showing that activation of TBX5 and repression of NKX2-5 are required for epicardium and nodal cell fate determination (Witty et al., 2014). Our results are also consistent with recent findings that pacemaker-like CMs are derived from the NKX2-5+ population (Prozete et al., 2017). The TBX5+NKX2-5+ nodal CMs have similar molecular features such as the upregulated expression of SHOX2, TBX5, and KCNJ3 and electrophysiological properties compared with nodal CMs generated using the direct differentiation protocol (Prozete et al., 2017).

In summary, we present here an approach for the deconvolution of human cardiac lineages and the prospective isolation of lineage-specific cardiovascular cells. This is the first demonstration of the ability to identify four distinct cardiovascular lineage subpopulations, providing insights into the genetic regulation of human cardiac lineage specification and paving the way toward preclinical applications.
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-cTnT</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab45932 RRID: AB_956386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-α-SMA</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab7817 RRID: AB_262054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-MLC-2V</td>
<td>Synaptic systems</td>
<td>310111 RRID: AB_887738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Kir2.1</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab109750 RRID:AB_10858446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-ZO1</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>33-9100 RRID:AB_2533147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CD31</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>3528S RRID:AB_2160882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-WT1</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab89901 RRID:AB_2043201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-VE-Cadherin</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>2500S RRID:AB_2077969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORIN-Alexa 647</td>
<td>Bioss Antibodies</td>
<td>bs-7685R-A647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-GAPDH</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>MA5-15738-HRP RRID:AB_2537659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD31-Alexa 488</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>BD558068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-TBX5</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab137833; RRID: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-NKX2-5 (A-3)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>sc-376565; RRID: AB_11149571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial and Virus Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP2 lentiviral vector</td>
<td>Gift from Michael Lin’s lab</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human fetal tissue</td>
<td>Wu lab tissue bank</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential 8 medium</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>A1517001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPMI no glucose</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>11875-020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPMI</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>11875-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B27 supplement minus insulin</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>A1895601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B27 supplement</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>17504-044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGM-2 BulleKit</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
<td>CC-3162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIR 99021</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>S2924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWR-1</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>S7086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrigel matrix</td>
<td>Corning</td>
<td>354277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced DMEM/F12</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>12634028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlutaMAX</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>35050061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>A8960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentle cell dissociation reagent</td>
<td>STEMCELL Technologies</td>
<td>07174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accutase</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>A6964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation/Permeabilization Solution Kit</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>BD 554714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>PeproTech</td>
<td>100-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bFGF</td>
<td>PeproTech</td>
<td>100-18B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivabradine</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>SML0281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernakalant</td>
<td>AdooQ BioScience</td>
<td>A15275-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbachol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>C4382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-27632 (ROCK inhibitor)</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>S1049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB431542</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>S1067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puromycinin</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>A11138-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneticin</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>10131035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piggyBac transposase mRNA</td>
<td>Transposagen Biopharmaceuticals</td>
<td>PBx-m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Joseph Wu (joewu@stanford.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Primary human samples
The protocols used in this study were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Stanford University. The written consent was obtained from all the donors involved in this study. Fetal tissues including brain, heart, kidney, liver, stomach, skeletal muscle were acquired from a male aborted fetus at 16.4 weeks of age.

Human iPSCs and culture condition
hiPSCs from healthy donors were obtained from Stanford Cardiovascular Institute Biobank. hiPSCs were routinely maintained in the E8 medium (GIBCO, Life Technologies) and grown on Matrigel-coated (Fisher Scientific) 6-well plates. Confluent cells were expanded into 6-well plates at a 1:12 ratio after a 6-min incubation with gentle cell dissociation reagent (STEMCELL Technologies) at 37°C. Cells were maintained in the E8 medium supplemented with 10 μM Y-27632, ROCK inhibitor (Fisher Scientific) for 24 hr after re-plating, and the medium was then switched to E8 medium. A medium change was performed every 24 hr. Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing
To establish the TBX5Clover2/NKX2-5TagRFP hiPSC double reporter line, two gRNAs were designed and cloned into the PX458 plasmid (Addgene). Clover2 or TagRFP was placed upstream of TBX5 and NKX2-5 start codon, respectively, followed by IRES to allow
expression of target transcription factor genes. The fragments of 5’ homology arm of TBX5 or NKX2-5 targeting vectors and adjunct half Clover2 or TagRFP sequences were synthesized as GeneArt® Strings DNA fragments (Life Technologies). Oligonucleotides were cloned into the PB-MV-PGK-Puro-TK (or PB-MV-PGK-Neo-TK) vector (Transposagen) facilitated by NotI and BsiWI restriction sites. The fragments of 3’ homology arm of targeting vectors and adjunct half Clover2 or TagRFP sequences were synthesized as described above, and cloned to vectors using NsiI and Ascl restriction sites. Both CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids and targeting vectors were delivered into hiPSCs by Lipofectamine® 3000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies). Subsequently, cells with targeting vector integration were selected by puromycin (0.25 µg/mL) (Life Technologies) and Geneticin (400 µg/mL) (Life Technologies). Targeted clones were identified using a PCR screening strategy. To excise the selection cassette, transient expression of piggyBac transposase was performed by transfection of excision-only piggyBac transposase mRNA (Transposagen Biopharmaceuticals) using Lipofectamine® MessengerMAX™ (Life Technologies), followed by negative selection using gancyclovir (2 µM) (Sigma Aldrich). The established clones were genotyped by PCR and sequencing.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Differentiation of hiPSCs to CMs**

For monolayer-based CM differentiation, cells were passaged at a 1:6 ratio, and grown for three days to 85% confluence. The medium was replaced with RPMI supplemented with B27 without insulin (Life Technologies) and 8 µM CHIR-99021 (Selleck Chemicals) and cells were transferred to a humified incubator with 5% CO2 and 21% O2 at 37°C. Forty eight hours later, the medium was changed to RPMI-B27 without insulin for 24 hr, and then to RPMI-B27 without insulin supplemented with 5 µM IWR-1 (Selleck Chemicals) for 48 hr. On day 5, the medium was changed back to RPMI-B27 without insulin for 48 hr, and then switched to RPMI-B27 for 72 hr. On day 11, the medium was transiently changed to RPMI-B27 without D-glucose (Life Technologies) for 72 hr to allow metabolic purification of CMs. Cells were then dissociated after a 6-min incubation with Accutase (Sigma Aldrich) at 37°C followed by seeding into 6-well plates. A second metabolic purification was performed 48 hr after re-plating as described above. After the second purification, CMs were continuously cultured in RPMI-B27 until further use for experiments. The CMs that underwent metabolic purification were composed of the non-sorted population.

**Differentiation of hiPSCs to endothelial cells (ECs)**

hiPSCs were split at a 1:14 ratio, and grown for 4 days to 75% confluence. Media was changed to RPMI-B27 without insulin as described above, supplemented with 6 µM CHIR99021 for two days. Media was then replaced by RPMI-B27 without insulin, supplemented with 2 µM CHIR99021 for two more days, followed by 24 hr of RPMI-B27 without insulin. On day 5 of endothelial differentiation, the medium was changed to EGM2 (Lonza), supplemented with 50 ng/mL VEGF, 20 ng/ml BMP4, and 20 ng/ml FGFb for 7 days. On day 12, cells were dispersed using TrypLE (5 min, at 37°C) and the CD144-positive population was sorted using MACS columns and CD144-conjugated magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech), as per the manufacturer’s instructions. After selection, cells were seeded on 0.2% gelatin-coated plates, and maintained in EGM2 media. ECs were used for further experiments.

**Differentiation of hiPSCs to epicardial cells and fibroblasts**

The differentiation of hiPSCs to epicardial cells was followed using the previously published protocol with modifications (Bao et al., 2017). Briefly, cells were passaged at a 1:6 ratio, and grown for three days to 85% confluence. The medium was replaced with RPMI supplemented with B27 without insulin and 6 µM CHIR-99021. Forty eight hours later, the medium was changed to RPMI-B27 without insulin for 24 hr, and then to RPMI-B27 without insulin supplemented with 5 µM IWR-1 (Selleck Chemicals) for 48 hr. On day 5, the medium was changed back to RPMI-B27 without insulin for 24 hr. On day 6, cells were dissociated with Accutase and re-plated at a 1:12 split in an epicardial differentiation medium containing Advanced DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher Scientific) with 2.5 mM GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 100 µg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with 10 µM Y-27632 for 24 hr. On days 7 and 8, the medium was replaced with the epicardial differentiation medium with 6 µM CHIR-99021. On day 9, the medium was changed to the epicardial differentiation medium supplemented with 2 µM SB431542 (Selleck Chemicals) every other day. Flow cytometry and gene expression analysis of WT1+ cells were conducted on day 30. The differentiation of epicardial cells into fibroblasts was followed by the previous protocol (Bao et al., 2017). Briefly, hiPSC-epicardial cells were treated with 10 ng/ml bFGF for 6 days.

**Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy imaging**

hiPSC-CMs grown on Matrigel-coated glass coverslips (Warner Instruments) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in phosphate buffer saline (PBS; pH 7.4) for 1 hr at room temperature. Cells were then blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 0.2% Triton X-100, followed by an overnight incubation with primary antibodies to Cardiac troponin (cTnT) (Abcam; ab45932), MLC-2v (Synaptic Systems; 310111), ZO1 (ThermoFisher Scientific; 33-9100), WT1 (Abcam; ab89901), and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (Abcam; ab7817) at a 1:100 dilution in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA at 4°C. Cells were washed three times with 0.2% Tween-20 PBS solution for 5 min each, and then incubated for 1 hr at room temperature in the dark with goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 and 594 secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) (1:200, diluted in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA). Cells were washed again three times as described above, mounted with ProLong® Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI (Life Technologies; P36935) on Superfrost Plus slides.
Flow cytometry and cell sorting

hiPSC-derived cells (from day 5 to day 10 post-differentiation) were dissociated with Accutase as described above, filtered through a 35-μm cell strainer snap cap, and collected in a 5 mL FACS tube (Corning). Live cells were sorted on a BD Biosciences FACS Aria II instrument fitted with a 100 μm nozzle using FACSDiva software. Live cells were gated on the basis of forward scatter and side scatter. Cells were sorted into RPMI B27 with 10 μM Y-27632 and 5% KnockOut serum replacement (ThermoFisher Scientific). Flow cytometry gates were set to minimize cross-contamination by neighboring subpopulations. For experiments of genetic and functional characterization, cells without metabolic purification were sorted on day 10, followed by re-plating on 12-well plates. Colored (G+R+, G+R−, and G−R+) cells were cultured in the RPMI-B27 medium, and G-R- cells were cultured in EGM-2 (Lonza) supplemented with 50 ng/mL VEGF, 20 ng/ml BMP4, and 2 ng/ml FGFb for later characterization.

Flow cytometry analyses of fixed cells were conducted following BD staining protocol. Briefly, cells were fixed in BD Cytofix/Cytoperm (Fisher Scientific) for 20 min at 4°C. Primary antibodies were labeled in BD Perm/Wash buffer with 1: 200 dilution for 30 min at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were labeled BD Perm/Wash buffer with 1: 250 dilution on ice for 30 min, unless conjugated primary antibodies [CORIN Alexa-647 (Bioss Antibodies, bs-7685R-A647) and CD31 Alexa 488 (ThermoFisher Scientific, BD558068)] were used. Flow cytometry analyses were conducted with the BD Biosciences FACS Aria II instrument fitted with a 100 μm nozzle using FACSDiva software. For live cell sorting experiment, hiPSC-CMs were dissociated into single cell suspension and incubated with CORIN Alexa-647 in HBSS (Fisher Scientific) with 5% FBS and 10 μM Y-27632 on ice for 30 min. Cells were sorted as described above.

Lentiviral vector infection and optical imaging of ASAP2

hiPSC-CMs were seeded on Matrigel-coated 35 mm glass bottom dish with 20 mm micro-well (Cellvis) and infected with ASAP2 lentivirus with the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of three in a RPMI-B27 medium. The ASAP2 lentivector was a gift from Michael Lin’s laboratory and lentiviruses package was conducted by VectorBuilder. The medium containing lentivirus was replaced with fresh RPMI-B27 24 hr after infection. Optical imaging of ASAP2 was performed five days after infection. Cells were maintained in Tyrode’s solution at 37°C during recording. ASAP2 was excited at 488 nm and emission was collected over 510 nm. Line scan images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a 20 × objective (NA: 0.8). Line scan images were processed to enhance brightness for presentation purpose using ImageJ. Raw imaging data were analyzed using a custom-written MATLAB program. ASAP2 data were presented as -ΔF/ΔF.

Whole cell patch-clamp recordings

hiPSC-CMs from days 35 to 40 were conducted on Matrigel-coated glass coverslips (Warner Instruments) for patch-clamp recordings. These recordings were conducted using an EPC-10 patch-clamp amplifier (HEKA). Glass pipettes with 3-4 MΩ resistance were prepared using thin-wall borosilicate glass (A-M System) with a micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument). For recording, coverslips containing CMs were transferred to a RC-26C recording chamber (Warner Instruments) mounted on the stage of an inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti, Nikon). Action potentials (APs) were recorded from CMs superfused with Tyrode solution at 37°C during recording. ASAP2 was excited at 488 nm and emission was collected over 510 nm. Line scan images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a 20 × objective (NA: 0.8). Line scan images were processed to enhance brightness for presentation purpose using ImageJ. Raw imaging data were analyzed using a custom-written MATLAB program. Ventricular and atrial subtypes were classified using a ratio of (APD30–40/APD70–80). Ventricular-like APs had a ratio (APD30–40/APD70–80) of > 1.5 compared with the atrial-like ratio of ~1.1; thus APs with a ratio > 1.5 were categorized as ventricular like (Ma et al., 2011).

Seahorse extracellular metabolic-flux assay

Day 35 hiPSC-CMs were plated at 30,000 per well in Synthemax II-coated Seahorse plates in RPMI-B27. The bioenergetic responses of cells were measured with the Seahorse Bioscience XF96 flux Analyzer following instruction in the XF cell Mito Stress Test Kit User Guider. Baseline oxygen consumption rate (OCR) measurements were performed first, followed by injection of oligomycin to a final concentration of 5 μM. After three measurements, FCCP was injected to a final concentration of 5 μM and three measurements were conducted. Lastly, rotenone and antimycin A were injected to reach a final concentration of 2 μM followed by three measurements. CMs were subjected to four conditions: basal assay medium (DMEM only), D-glucose (5 μM), palmitate (167 μM), or pyruvate (1 mM). OCR was normalized to live cell count using a PrestoBlue dye according to manufacturer’s protocol and quantified on a TECAN Pro1000 machine (Stanford High-Throughput Bioscience Center).

Phase-contrast video image-based contraction assays

Phase-contrast cell motion movies of CM contraction were captured using a high-speed digital CMOS camera (KP-FM400WCL, Hitachi Kokusai Denki Engineering) mounted on an inverted microscope (Eclipse Ti, Nikon). Video images of day 35 hiPSC-CMs...
in 12-well plates (37°C and 5% CO₂) were recorded using SI8000C View Software (Sony) as sequential phase-contrast images with a 10 × objective (NA: 0.3) at a frame rate of 75 frame per second (fps) and a resolution of 1024 × 1024 pixels. Data analysis was performed using SI8000C Analyzer software (Sony).

**Immunoblotting**

Protein samples were prepared using RIPA lysis and an extraction buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific; 89900) supplemented with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher Scientific; 78440). Each sample was subjected to electrophoresis on 4%–12% NuPAGE Bis–Tris gradient gels (Life Technology; NP0335), and proteins were transferred to mini nitrocellulose membranes (Biorad; 1704158) using a semi-dry-based transfer system (Bio-Rad). Membranes were incubated overnight with rabbit Kir2.1 antibody (Abcam; ab109750, 1:1000), rabbit TBX5 antibody (Abcam; ab137833, 1:500), or mouse NKX2-5 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-376565, 1:1000), followed by incubation for 1 hr with anti-rabbit (Abcam; ab6721, 1:1000) or anti-mouse (Abcam; ab6789, 1:1000) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody. The housekeeping protein GAPDH was blotted by incubating 1 hr with GAPDH-loading control antibody conjugated with HRP (ThermoFisher Scientific; MA5-15738-HRP, 1:10,000). Signals were detected by chemiluminescence (ThermoFisher Scientific; 34580). Blot intensity was quantified using Image Lab 5.2.1 (Biorad).

**In vitro tube formation assay**

To assess the angiogenesis ability of TBX5–NKX2-5– cells *in vitro*, day 35 1 × 10⁴ TBX5–NKX2-5– cells were seeded in wells pre-coated with Matrigel using an EGM-2 medium containing 50 ng/ml VEGF. Tube-like structures were imaged after 24 hr incubation.

**In vivo angiogenesis assay**

To investigate the angiogenesis *in vivo*, day 35 1 × 10⁶ TBX5–NKX2-5– cells were mixed in 200 μL growth factor-reduced Matrigel with 20 ng/ml VEGF (PeproTech; 100-20). The cell mixture was subcutaneously injected into NOD SCID mice. Matrigel plugs were removed from mice after 4 weeks, then mounted in an OCT-embedding compound and kept in –80°C. Tissue sections were cut using a cryostat and stained with human CD31 (Cell Signaling; 3528S) and VE-Cadherin antibody (Cell Signaling; 2500S) for imaging analysis.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).** Total RNA was extracted and purified using an RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN; 74134). The quantity and quality of RNA were determined using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript™ cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad; 1708890) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The qPCR was performed using TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (Fisher Scientific; 4304437) and Taqman probes (Life Technologies) (see Table S4) on a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 20 μL reaction. Relative mRNA levels were normalized to those of 18S mRNA in each reaction. Three biological replicates per group were used for qPCR.

**RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq)**

Each subpopulation was isolated on day 7.5, and RNA was extracted as described above. Library preparations were conducted using NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (New England Biolabs) and subjected to sequencing on a Hiseq 4000 platform (Novogene).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data were analyzed and graphed using Prism (GraphPad). Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Comparisons were conducted via one-way ANOVA test followed by multiple comparisons (Tukey post hoc analysis), or via an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test with significance defined by p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***) and p < 0.0001 (****).

**RNA-Seq Analysis**

RNA-Seq reads were aligned to human reference genome hg19 by Tophat2 (Kim et al., 2013). FeatureCounts was applied to assign the reads to genes based on GENCODE genome annotation (version 19) (Liao et al., 2014). The differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis (adjusted p < 0.01) was implemented by DESeq in R. Hierarchical clustering was applied on DEGs by R. Functional enrichment analysis was implemented using Bioconductor GeneAnswers (https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GeneAnswers.html).

Motif enrichment analysis was implemented by Homer (Heinz et al., 2010). GO pathway enrichment analysis was implemented using a previously described method (Xue et al., 2014). The sequences around the promoters of genes in each cluster were extracted (upstream: 400 bp, downstream: 100 bp), and only transcription factors that were significantly enriched (FDR < 0.00001) in any cluster were included.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

The accession number for the RNA-Seq data generated in this paper is GEO: GSE102202.