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ABSTRACT: Redox mediators (RMs) play a vital role in some liquid electrolyte-based electrochemical energy storage systems. However, the concept of redox mediator in solid-state batteries remains unexplored. Here, we selected a group of RM candidates and investigated their behaviors and roles in all-solid-state lithium–sulfur batteries (ASSLSBs). The soluble-type quinone-based RM (AQT) shows the most favorable redox potential and the best redox reversibility that functions well for lithium sulfide (Li$_2$S) oxidation in solid polymer electrolytes. Accordingly, Li$_2$S cathodes with AQT RMs present a significantly reduced energy barrier (average oxidation potential of 2.4 V) during initial charging at 0.1 C at 60 °C and the following discharge capacity of 1133 mAh g$^{-1}$. Using operando sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy, we directly tracked the sulfur speciation in ASSLSBs and proved that the solid–polymer sulfide–sulfur reaction of Li$_2$S cathodes with RMs facilitated Li$_2$S oxidation. In contrast, for bare Li$_2$S cathodes, the solid–solid Li$_2$S–sulfur direct conversion in the first charge cycle results in a high energy barrier for activation (charge to ∼4 V) and low sulfur utilization. The Li$_2$S@AQT cell demonstrates superior cycling stability (average Coulombic efficiency 98.9% for 150 cycles) and rate capability owing to the effective AQT-enhanced Li–S reaction kinetics. This work reveals the evolution of sulfur species in ASSLSBs and realizes the fast Li–S reaction kinetics by designing an effective sulfur speciation pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Redox mediators (RMs) that are reversibly oxidized and reduced upon electrochemical cycling play an important role in achieving satisfactory performance for many electrochemical energy storage systems. In the area of lithium-ion batteries, RMs induce the reversible oxidation/reduction at a slightly higher oxidation potential than the fully charged voltage to release extra charge, which works as chemical overcharge protection agents in the liquid electrolyte to improve the safety of batteries. For next-generation batteries such as lithium–oxygen and lithium–sulfur batteries, RMs are incorporated as liquid electrolyte additives to promote charge transfer at the electrode-electrolyte interface, thus activating insulating discharged products such as lithium oxide/lithium peroxide and sulfur/lithium sulfide. So far, the studies of RMs have been focused on liquid electrolytes. It is unknown whether the RM concept can also be applied to the solid-state system since the solubility and diffusivity of RMs in a solid-state system is very limited.

All-solid-state lithium–sulfur batteries (ASSLSBs) have received enormous attention owing to their high specific capacity (1675 mAh g$^{-1}$), high safety, and low cost. Extensive efforts have been made to seek desired solid-state electrolytes for the satisfactory battery performance. In particular, solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs), mixtures of solid polymers and lithium salts, hold great promise owing to their better thermal stability than conventional liquid electrolytes.
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and better scalable processing ability than inorganic electrolytes. However, the energy density and stability achieved by polymer-based ASSLSBs to date have been far below expected due to uncontrolled active materials dissolution (lithium polysulfides, LiPSs) into SPEs and sluggish lithium−sulfur (Li−S) conversion kinetics. To solve the dissolution of LiPSs in SPEs, several inorganic fillers (e.g., TiO₂, Al₂O₃, and some lithium-ion-conducting ceramics)−incorporated SPEs and nanoscale encapsulation of sulfur electrodes have been demonstrated. Moreover, a series of lithium salts were developed to create the compact and stable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) on the lithium metal anode to prevent the polysulfide shuttling effect. Despite these improvements in ASSLSBs, studies on improving

Figure 1. Role of redox mediators (RMs) for all-solid-state lithium−sulfur batteries (ASSLSBs). (a) Proposed reaction scheme of the RMs for ASSLSBs. Effective RMs solubilized in the solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs) shuttle electrons between the Li₂S particles and the current collectors. During the charging process, RMox chemically oxidizes Li₂S to polysulfides while RMred is reduced to RMred (step A, chemical reaction). RMred diffuses toward the current collector and is oxidized to the initial state of RMox near the current collector surface (step B, electrochemical reaction). The light blue color indicates the SPEs. (b) Schematic first charge profiles of ASSLSBs with (orange line) and without RM (blue line). A high energy barrier needs to be overcome for activating bare Li₂S. Gray: Li₂S particles; yellow: sulfur.

Figure 2. Design of RMs for ASSLSBs. (a−c) Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves and chemical structure of (a) AQT, (b) AQC, and (c) LiI in PEO/LiTFSI solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs). The dotted line is the equilibrium potential of Li₂S (∼2.15 V versus Li+/Li). Scan rate, 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (d) Schematic of the fabrication process of ASSLSBs using Li₂S@RM cathodes, SPEs, and lithium metal anodes. Bare Li₂S cathodes are prepared without adding an RM additive. (e) Charge−discharge curves of Li₂S cathodes without/with RMs for the first cycle at 0.1 C. The molar ratio of Li₂S to RMs is 20:1. (f) The zoom-in charge curves of (e) to show the Li₂S activation barrier. (g) Energy efficiency and discharge capacity of Li₂S cathodes with different RMs for the first activation cycle. All the cells are operated at 60 °C.
sulfur reaction kinetics in solid-state electrolytes are still in their infancy. RMs with the function of electron shuttles are expected to promote sulfur species conversion and utilization. Furthermore, a deep understanding of the redox chemistry during discharge/charge in ASSLSBs is crucial to designing effective RMs.

Herein, we prove the efficacy of RMs in ASSLSBs and reveal the redox chemistry for Li$_2$S cathodes using operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements. By thoroughly evaluating the redox potential and the solubility of different RMs, soluble-type 1,5-bis(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)anthra-9,10-quinone (AQT) shows the best redox potential and redox reversibility in SPEs. As a result, the Li$_2$S@AQT cell shows the lowest energy barrier (average oxidation potential of 2.4 V) for the initial oxidation of Li$_2$S and exhibits a following discharge capacity as high as 1133 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C at 60 °C, which is nearly double that of the bare Li$_2$S cell (579 mAh g$^{-1}$). Using operando XAS, we revealed the redox chemistry of the Li−S reaction in ASSLSBs based on Li$_2$S cathodes. By identifying the sulfur species using the sulfur K-edge, we discovered the solid−solid Li$_2$S−sulfur conversion mechanism for the bare Li$_2$S cathode in the first charge cycle. This solid−solid conversion of the bare Li$_2$S cathodes results in a high energy barrier for activation (charge to ~4 V) and low sulfur utilization. Incorporating AQT, operando XAS demonstrates the formation of polysulfides in the first charging and the solid−polysulfide−solid conversion mechanism in ASSLSBs based on Li$_2$S@AQT cathodes. Furthermore, the AQT RM reduced polarization and improved long cycling stability and Coulombic efficiency (CE, average 98.9% for 150 cycles).

Figure 3. Operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) to understand RM chemistry in ASSLSBs. (a) Schematic of the operando all-solid-state coin cell for X-ray spectroscopic detection and electrochemical test. (b) The cell is composed of the cathode case, Li$_2$S cathode, solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs), lithium metal anode, spacer, spring, and anode case. A hole (3 × 3 mm) is drilled at the cathode case and sealed by a polyester/polyethylene (PET/PE) film (63.5 μm thick). This film serves as a transparent window for X-ray beam penetration. The whole cell is heated at 60 °C for electrochemical cycles. Operando sulfur K-edge XAS spectra of (c) bare Li$_2$S cathodes and (f) Li$_2$S@AQT cathodes in polymer-based ASSLSBs for the first charging. XAS spectra of Li$_2$S (2473.5 eV) and sulfur (2472.7 eV) shown in the bottom are used as references. The pre-edge at 2470.7 eV is from the terminal sulfur of polysulfides. (d) Li$_2$S and sulfur contents calculated from the XAS spectra in (c) during the first charge process. The corresponding charge curve shows a high energy barrier around 4 V for Li$_2$S activation. Schematics for the proposed Li−S reaction mechanism in ASSLSBs, using Li$_2$S cathodes (e) without and (h) with AQT RM. (g) Average chain length of LiPSs versus the state of charge for Li$_2$S@AQT cathodes during the first charging.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Designing Redox Mediators to Facilitate Li$_2$S Oxidation for ASSLSBs. The reaction mechanism of RMs in ASSLSBs is shown in Figure 1a, which is considered as a two-step process involving electrochemical and chemical reactions. During charging, oxidized RMs (RM$_{ox}$) chemically oxidize Li$_2$S to lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) while RM$_{ox}$ is reduced to reduced RMs (RM$_{red}$) (step A, chemical reaction). RM$_{red}$ in turn is electrochemically oxidized to the initial state of RM$_{ox}$ by giving electrons to current collectors (step B). RMs shuttle electrons between the current collectors and isolated Li$_2$S, which would have otherwise remained inactive. The charging voltage of the cell depends on the electrochemical step (step B). Therefore, we propose that the reduced charge polarization and improved sulfur utilization for ASSLSBs can be realized by choosing an appropriate RM, as shown in Figure 1b.

Two criteria are paramount for effective RMs: (1) soluble in SPEs; (2) redox potential slightly higher than that of Li$_2$S. Anthraquinone (AQ) derivatives with electron-rich benzene rings are promising redox candidates. We select two typical AQ derivatives to examine their electrochemical properties in SPEs, including AQT and anthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid (AQC). Lithium iodide (LiI), a typical redox mediator in SPEs, including AQT and anthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid (AQC). Lithium iodide (LiI), a typical redox mediator in liquid electrolytes,

$9,28,29$ is compared in SPEs as a reference. RMs are first mixed with poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO)/lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) electrolytes in acetonitrile solution (mixtures shown in Supplementary Figure 1) and then drop-cast on the carbon paper as the electrodes. Figure 2a–c show cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the RM electrodes versus lithium metal in PEO/LiTFSI SPEs. Compared with LiI and AQC, AQT showed the most favorable redox potentials, matching that of Li$_2$S oxidation and best redox reversibility.

Li$_2$S cathodes with AQT (Li$_2$S@AQT) are fabricated by infiltrating PEO/LiTFSI solution with RMs into the Li$_2$S-coated carbon paper (Figure 2d). The Li$_2$S cathode without adding RMs is used as a control. The molar ratio of Li$_2$S to RM is 20:1. ASSLSBs are fabricated with Li$_2$S cathodes, PEO/LiTFSI electrolytes, and lithium anodes. Figure 2e presents the first charge–discharge profiles of Li$_2$S cells with/without RMs at 0.1 C at 60 °C. The bare Li$_2$S cell shows a high energy barrier of 3.4 V and low-energy efficiency (less than 70%) at the initial activation cycle, because of the large charge transfer resistance from the direct oxidation of Li$_2$S.

After incorporating the RMs, the activation barrier of Li$_2$S decreases below 2.5 V (Figure 2f). Compared with AQC and LiI, Li$_2$S@AQT cells represent the lowest average oxidation potential of 2.4 V and the highest energy efficiency of 79.2% for the first cycle (Figure 2g). This agrees well with our design rationales in Figure 1. A specific capacity of 1133 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C at the first cycle is realized by the Li$_2$S@AQT cell, which nearly doubles that of the bare Li$_2$S cell (579 mAh g$^{-1}$) (Figure 2g). The reversible capacity and CE further increase when the AQT concentration increases (Supplementary Figure 2). Since adding AQT increases the mass of inactive materials to some extent, we choose the molar ratio of 20:1 in the following study. To evaluate the stability of polymer electrolytes with AQT toward lithium metal anodes, we performed the Aurbach test in CulLi cells (Supplementary Figure 3). The CE of CulLi cells with AQT is 93%, while the CE for the bare PEO/LiTFSI electrolytes is only 89%. Lithium fluoride (LiF) and lithium oxide (Li$_2$O) are detected at the SEI of deposited lithium in PEO/LiTFSI electrolytes with AQT (Supplementary Figure 4). This interphase layer helps to stabilize the electrolyte/electrode interface.

Understanding Redox Chemistry in ASSLSBs. To further understand the roles of effective RMs, proper operando characterization techniques are required. Operando XAS$^{32–34}$ can directly visualize the chemical-state evolution of the working electrode in real time, allowing us to explore the RM behavior and uncover the sulfur speciation during battery operation for ASSLSBs. Here, we for the first time perform the operando XAS measurements for Li$_2$S electrodes in polymer-based ASSLSBs. Figure 3a and b show the designed operando coin cell, which can simultaneously perform electrochemical cycle and X-ray spectroscopic measurement. To exclude the contribution of sulfur absorption from LiTFSI, we used poly(ethylene oxide)/lithium perchlorate (PEO/LiClO$_4$) as a model system to study the underlying mechanism. Commercial Li$_2$S particles (average diameter of 15 μm, SEM image shown in Supplementary Figure 5) mixed with PEO/LiClO$_4$ electrolytes are deposited on the carbon paper as the cathodes. A PEO/LiClO$_4$ (EO/Li+, 10:1)-infiltrated porous polyethylene film serves as the SPE layer, and lithium metal is the anode. A hole (3 × 3 mm) is drilled at the cathode case and sealed by a transparent polyester/polyethylene (PET/PE) film (63.5 μm thick) for X-ray beam penetration. The cell was charged to a cutoff voltage of 3.8 V at 10 μA cm$^{-2}$ at 60 °C. Figure 3c shows the operando XAS of the Li$_2$S–Li cell during the first cycle. At the open-circuit voltage (OCV), the XAS spectrum for Li$_2$S cathodes shows a feature at 2473.5 eV, indicating pure Li$_2$S at the pristine state$^{34–36}$. As the charging process proceeds, an additional peak at 2472.7 eV, assigned to the S 1s to 3p transition of elemental sulfur$^{34–36}$ appears. The intensity of the sulfur peak increases with continued delithiation. Meanwhile, no extra pre-edge feature corresponding to the terminal sulfur of polysulfides$^{34–36}$ at 2470.7 eV is observed, indicating no detectable polysulfide formation. The overpotential drop after the initial activation process is likely due to slight polysulfide formation from the chemical reaction between Li$_2$S and sulfur. However, the amount of formed polysulfides is lower than the detect limitation of XAS. To further quantify the content change of Li$_2$S and sulfur during the first charging process, the two-phase fitting for the operando XAS spectra$^{34–36}$ was conducted. The content of Li$_2$S decreases monotonously as the charge proceeds, while that of sulfur is increased (Figure 3d). Therefore, we propose that Li$_2$S is gradually consumed and converted to sulfur though a solid–solid reaction in the first charge process (Figure 3e), showing the sluggish Li$_2$S oxidation in ASSLSBs.

To understand the redox chemistry after incorporating AQT RM, we conduct operando sulfur K-edge XAS measurements for Li$_2$S@AQT electrodes. The operando XAS results with reference samples are shown in Figure 3f. The feature of elemental sulfur at 2472.7 eV shows a gradual increase, which indicates the transformation from Li$_2$S to sulfur during the charging process. Particularly, typical features of LiPSs (2470.7 eV) are observed from the XAS spectra in the red rectangle in Figure 3f, while they are not observed for bare Li$_2$S cathodes during the whole first charge process. Moreover, we also conduct the operando XAS measurement to reveal the working mechanism of AQT in the PEO/LiTFSI electrolyte (Supplementary Figure 6). The polysulfide formation is also observed.
during the first charging of the AQT-incorporated Li−S cell. A strong LiTFSI peak is shown at 2480.3 eV for all the spectra. We further calculate the average chain length of LiPSs at different charge stages based on the area ratio of main-edge peaks to pre-edge peaks. Figure 3g shows the average chain length of LiPSs \( n \) during the charging. At the beginning of charging, the value of \( n \) is around 4 and then significantly increases to 8 as the electrochemical reaction proceeds. At the end of the charging, the pre-edge feature of LiPSs disappears while the main-edge feature of the elemental sulfur (2472.7 eV) becomes more prominent. These results indicate Li$_2$S cathodes with AQT undergo a different Li−S reaction mechanism with bare Li$_2$S for the activation cycle, as proposed in Figure 3e and h. AQT has a higher redox potential (\(~2.4\) V) than Li$_2$S (\(~2.1\) V), which promotes Li$_2$S oxidation in SPEs by chemically oxidizing Li$_2$S to LiPSs. The reduced AQT diffuses to current collectors and then is electrochemically reoxidized. The redox activity of AQT is further verified by the CV test (Supplementary Figure 7). It shows reduction peaks from both AQT and Li$_2$S in the Li$_2$S@AQT cell, while only reduction peaks from Li$_2$S are in the pristine Li$_2$S cell. The oxidation peak of reduced AQT is overlapped with the broadened oxidation peak of Li$_2$S. The reaction cycle proceeds until all Li$_2$S are fully oxidized and activated. As a result, the charge voltage reflects the redox potential of AQT, which is much lower than the potential of bare Li$_2$S activation. It is consistent with the electrochemical results in Figure 2e.

Figure 4. Electrochemical performance of Li$_2$S cathodes without/with AQT RM in ASSLSBs. (a) Cycling performance and Coulombic efficiency of Li$_2$S cathodes without/with AQT at 0.1 C for 30 cycles. (b) Typical charge−discharge voltage profiles of Li$_2$S cathodes without/with AQT at the 5th cycle and the 20th cycle at 0.1 C. Nyquist plots of (c) the bare Li$_2$S cell and (d) the Li$_2$S@AQT cell before and after different cycles. Inset in Figure 4d shows the zoom-in Nyquist plot for the Li$_2$S@AQT cell. (e) Voltage profile at the second cycle of the Li$_2$S@AQT cell with a Li$_2$S mass loading (3.7 mg cm$^{-2}$) at 0.25 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 60 °C. (f) Specific capacity of the Li$_2$S@AQT cell cycled from 0.06 to 0.48 C. All cells are operated at 60 °C.

Electrochemical Performance of Li$_2$S Cathodes with AQT in ASSLSBs. We further prove the efficacy of AQT beyond the first activation cycle in ASSLSBs by testing the
cycling performance of Li₂S cathodes without/with AQT. Assembled cells are first charged to 3.8 V for Li₂S activation, then cycled from 1.6 to 2.8 V at 0.1 C. Incorporating AQT RM significantly improves the cycling stability of ASSLSBs (Figure 4a and b). The bare Li₂S cell shows the fast capacity decay (from 579 mAh g⁻¹ to 384 mAh g⁻¹) within 20 cycles at 0.1 C with a lower average Coulombic efficiency of 85%. In contrast, the Li₂S@AQT cell shows a stabilized capacity, retained at 997 mAh g⁻¹ after 20 cycles, and sustains more than 150 cycles with an average CE of 98.9% (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure 10). It outperforms most previously reported PEO-based ASSLSBs (Supplementary Table 1). The capacity decay is mainly due to increased cell polarization during cycling. No optimized processing is made for the commercial Li₂S (ball milling or encapsulation).

The enhanced cycling performance of Li₂S@AQT cells is attributed to preventing the formation of the thick sulfur/Li₂S passivation layer and decreasing the amount of soluble sulfur species in the SPEs, which are further verified by operando impedance and optical cell studies (Figure 4c,d and Supplementary Figure 11). Before cycling, the impedance spectrum of the bare Li₂S cell shows a larger semicircle than that of the Li₂S@AQT cell in the high-frequency region, indicating higher charge-transfer resistance in the bare Li₂S cell (Figure 4c and d). After cycling, the impedance of the Li₂S@AQT cell remains low and stable over 10 cycles. In contrast, the bare Li₂S cell exhibits a high and increased impedance. It is noted that the decreased impedance after the first cycle for bare Li₂S cathodes results from the enhanced contact between cathodes and SPEs. Moreover, operando optical cell measurement (Supplementary Figure 11) indicates adding AQT decreases the dissolved sulfur species in the SPEs, owing to promoted reaction kinetics and the strong binding of Li₂S/Li₂S species to AQT. After incorporating AQT, polysulfides prefer to stay on the cathode side and are rapidly oxidized/reduced during charging/discharging. Additionally, the Li₂S@AQT cell with a high mass loading of Li₂S (3.7 mg cm⁻²) was assembled and tested at 60 °C. The as-prepared Li₂S@AQT cell shows a high areal capacity of 2.3 mAh cm⁻² (Figure 4e) and a high cell-level energy density (416 Wh kg⁻¹) based on the mass of the Li₂S cathode (excluding the current collector), the PEO-based electrolyte with RMs, and the lithium metal anode. Supplementary Figure 12 displays the cycling performance of high-loading ASSLSBs with RMs at 250 mA cm⁻². The cycling exhibits a slight capacity fading for a few equilibrium cycles and remains stable with a capacity of 640 mA h g⁻¹ after 15 cycles.

Finally, Li₂S@AQT cells were cycled at different C-rates to investigate the rate performance. As shown in Figure 4f, Li₂S@AQT cells achieve a discharge capacity of 1214, 827, 577, and 406 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.06, 0.12, 0.24, and 0.48 C, respectively. It outperforms most previously reported ASSLSBs. All the above results show that AQT enables promoted reaction kinetics and stability to achieve high-performance ASSLSBs.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have successfully proved that RMs are effective in all-solid-state batteries. An anthraquinone-based redox center with a suitable redox potential, good stability, and high solubility in the SPEs was rationally designed to facilitate Li₂S oxidation. Using operando sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy, we directly tracked the sulfur speciation and revealed the redox chemistry for Li₂S cathodes in ASSLSBs for the first time. Li₂S cathodes with AQT RMs deliver a discharge capacity as high as 1133 mAh g⁻¹. The Li₂S@AQT cell demonstrates superior cycling stability (average Coulombic efficiency 98.9% for 150 cycles) and rate capability owing to the effective AQT-enhanced Li–S reaction kinetics. This study opens a new avenue for developing next-generation solid-state batteries with high energy density, high safety, and long cycle life.

**METHODS**

**Preparation of Li₂S Cathodes.** The 1 M Li₂S colloidal solution is drop-cast onto the free-standing carbon paper (Fuel Cell Store, ArCarb F50). Then, Li₂S@RM cathodes are fabricated by infiltrated PEO (MW = 300 000)/LiTFSI solution (EO to Li is 10:1) with RMs into the Li₂S-coated carbon paper and dried at 80 °C. The molar ratio of Li₂S to RMs is 20:1. Bare Li₂S cathodes are synthesized following a process similar to that of Li₂S@RM except without the addition of RMs. The mass loading of Li₂S is 0.2–0.7 mg cm⁻². All the procedures were conducted in an argon-filled glovebox.

**Operando XAS study.** Operando coin cells were adapted from the type 2032 coin cells. A hole (3 x 3 mm) is drilled at the cathode case and sealed by a PET/PE film (63.5 μm thick) for X-ray beam penetration. The working electrode is bare Li₂S or Li₂S@AQT. The counter electrode is lithium metal. PEO/LiClO₄ acted as the electrolyte to connect the two electrodes. The operando electrochemical reaction is performed with a Bio-Logic SP-50, which simultaneously collects the sulfur K-edge XAS spectra for working electrodes. The operando cell is galvanostatically charged to 3.8 V at 10 μA cm⁻² and then discharged to 1.6 V. Sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements are performed at beamline 4-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light source of SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. Data are calibrated, normalized, and further analyzed using Athena software.

**Electrochemical Performance Measurements.** ASSLSBs are assembled using Li₂S cathodes, PEO-based electrolytes (10–20 µm), and lithium metal anodes (~50 µm) in an argon-filled glovebox. As-prepared coin cells (type 2032) are tested with a Land battery testing (type 2032 coin cells. A hole (3 x 3 mm) is drilled at the cathode case and sealed by a PET/PE film (63.5 μm thick) for X-ray beam penetration. The working electrode is bare Li₂S or Li₂S@AQT. The counter electrode is lithium metal. PEO/LiClO₄ acted as the electrolyte to connect the two electrodes. The operando electrochemical reaction is performed with a Bio-Logic SP-50, which simultaneously collects the sulfur K-edge XAS spectra for working electrodes. The operando cell is galvanostatically charged to 3.8 V at 10 μA cm⁻² and then discharged to 1.6 V. Sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements are performed at beamline 4-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light source of SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. Data are calibrated, normalized, and further analyzed using Athena software.
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