Ultrahigh–current density anodes with interconnected Li metal reservoir through overlithiation of mesoporous AlF₃ framework
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Lithium (Li) metal is the ultimate solution for next-generation high–energy density batteries but is plagued from commercialization by infinite relative volume change, low Coulombic efficiency due to side reactions, and safety issues caused by dendrite growth. These hazardous issues are further aggravated under high current densities needed by the increasing demand for fast charging/discharging. We report a one-step fabricated Li/Al₄Li₉-LiF nanocomposite (LAFN) through an “overlithiation” process of a mesoporous AlF₃ framework, which can simultaneously mitigate the abovementioned problems. Reaction-produced Al₄Li₉-LiF nanoparticles serve as the ideal skeleton for Li metal infusion, helping to achieve a near-zero volume change during stripping/plating and suppressed dendrite growth. As a result, the LAFN electrode is capable of working properly under an ultrahigh current density of 20 mA cm⁻² in symmetric cells and manifests highly improved rate capability with increased Coulombic efficiency in full cells. The simple fabrication process and its remarkable electrochemical performances enable LAFN to be a promising anode candidate for next-generation lithium metal batteries.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand from consumer electronics, electrical transportation, and grid-scale storage calls for higher energy density and lower-cost batteries (1, 2). Despite the great commercial success of lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries, the current battery chemistries based on graphite anodes and transition metal oxide cathodes cannot meet the requirements in the long run (3). Li metal, with the highest theoretical capacity (3860 mA·h·g⁻¹) and the lowest potential (−3.040 V versus standard hydrogen electrode), is an attractive choice as the ultimate anode. Moreover, it is an indispensable component in the next-generation Li–O₂ and Li–S batteries (3–7). However, critical problems of Li metal anodes remain unsolved. Specifically, a Li metal anode does not have a host confining Li inside, causing marked dimension change during Li stripping/plating (8, 9). The fragile solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) cannot withstand the resulting mechanical deformation (10), such that it keeps breaking and repairing throughout cycling, leading to thick SEI and rapid capacity fading. Meanwhile, the cracks in SEI also locally concentrate the Li-ion flux, causing uncontrollable dendrite growth (11) and consequent safety issues. Under increased current densities for fast charging/discharging, accelerated volume fluctuation aggravates all the consequent hazardous issues, resulting in more severe battery failures.

Massive efforts have been made to solve the challenges of Li metal anodes, focusing on modifications of electrolytes (12–17), SEIs (8, 18–24), and separators (25–27). Meanwhile, elaborate engineering of the anode structure itself is also an important approach. Previous work includes use of Li powder instead of Li foil (10) and the fabrication of an exquisite current collector with rigorously designed structures for Li metal deposition (28–30). Although these different attempts effectively pushed forward Li metal anode engineering technique and alleviated certain aspects of the problems, few of them were able to simultaneously maintain a constant electrode volume and eliminate dendrite growth. Recently, we proposed the concept of stable Li metal host, realized by embedding Li metal into hollow carbon spheres (31), the space between layers of graphene oxides (9), polymer fiber matrices (32), and macroporous structures (33, 34). These modifications successfully reduced volume change, suppressed dendrite growth, and achieved better cycle performance. However, these works are limited to current densities below 5 mA cm⁻². A higher working current density would cause capacity fading and instability of electrodes. Nevertheless, fast charging/discharging (current density greater than 10 mA cm⁻²) is indispensable for advanced batteries. Thus, it remains a formidable challenge to design a Li metal anode capable of operating under these high current densities.

To realize a normal operation under ultrahigh current densities, we believe that a Li metal anode would need to have the following properties: (i) an ultrastable host accommodating metallic Li to eliminate the damage on electrode and interfaces due to fast volume change during high–current density operation (essentially the whole electrode needs to have an almost zero volume fluctuation); (ii) a three-dimensional Li metal with a large electroactive surface area to effectively reduce the local current density; and (iii) an electrochemically inert, mechanically stable and highly Li-ion conducting interphase to protect the structure. Here, we developed a novel Li metal anode, which simultaneously has all the required properties. Using Al₄Li₉-LiF as a stable host, three-dimensional Li metal can be embedded into this nanocomposite (Li/Al₄Li₉-LiF) (LAFN) through a simple one-step “overlithiation” process of mesoporous AlF₃. We demonstrated that LAFN is an ideal host with near-zero volume change during stripping/plating. In addition, despite the space occupied by the skeleton, the metallic Li in the LAFN composite still offered a high specific capacity of ~1571 mA·h·g⁻¹ and a volumetric capacity of ~1447 mA·h·cm⁻³. Meanwhile, LiF formed during overlithiation serves as a protective material both electrochemically and mechanically to improve the stability of the Li metal anode. Moreover, it also promotes the homogeneity of Li-ion diffusion, which can effectively suppress dendrite growth. Because of these superiorities, an LAFN anode is capable of achieving a long cycle life with low overpotential and high capacity and is able to work stably under an unprecedented high current density of 20 mA cm⁻² for at least 100 cycles.
RESULTS

Fabrication and morphology of LAFN

Synthesis of LAFN starts from Li metal foil and AlF₃ powder. Figure 1 (D to F) shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) morphology of the pristine AlF₃ powder. It is composed of secondary particles with a diameter of ~20 μm (Fig. 1D). Each particle consists of layers of columnar AlF₃ arrays (Fig. 1, A, E, and F). These AlF₃ arrays, with a column diameter of ~40 nm and spacing of ~20 nm, provide a mesoporous framework for Li reaction and infusion, making the AlF₃ an ideal precursor for overlithiation. Hysteresis on the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm of AlF₃ powder (fig. S1) further proves the mesoporous structure. Overlithiation of this mesoporous AlF₃ framework follows reaction 1, where the alloy product is reasonably deduced through a Li-Al phase diagram (35). Existence of the products will be further proven through x-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization below. As shown in the equation, overstoichiometric Li metal reacts with mesoporous AlF₃ framework. In this way, stoichiometric Li metal reacts with mesoporous AlF₃ framework. In this way, stoichiometric Li metal is able to react with AlF₃, directly participating into the framework construction, whereas excess Li metal fills into the interspace of this framework. According to the reaction equation, mass ratio between AlF₃ and Li in a stoichiometric reaction should be 0.40:0.17 g.

Our overlithiation reaction used an overstoichiometric Li of 0.4:0.37 g. Theoretically, this ratio would give a final capacity of 1003 mA·hour g⁻¹ coming from the excess Li metal embedded inside.

\[
4\text{AlF}_3 + (21 + x)\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Al}_4\text{Li}_9 + 12\text{LiF} + x\text{Li}(\text{excess})
\]  

After putting AlF₃ and Li foil into a crucible, an elevated temperature of ~350°C and continuous stirring were applied. After Li metal melted, it could be separated into small droplets among the white powder of AlF₃ (fig. S2A). This phenomenon indicated the lithiophilic feature of the mesoporous AlF₃ framework; otherwise, lithium would become a large droplet to reduce surface area. With continuous stirring, smaller and smaller Li droplets were observed, whereas the color of AlF₃ powder gradually turned from white to gray and then to black (fig. S2, B and C), indicating the formation of an Al₄Li₉-LiF skeleton (Fig. 1B). After a proper time of stirring, the remaining tiny lithium droplets would permeate into the black powder of the Al₄Li₉-LiF skeleton, suggesting the infusion of excess lithium into the framework (Fig. 1C). After the reaction, black powders of various sizes were obtained (fig. S2D). Later on, LAFN powders were size-selected to remove very large particles (fig. S2E) and mechanically pressed into electrodes (fig. S2F). Electrode thickness could be controlled for various electrochemical testing. The pore spaces
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**Fig. 1. Morphology of AlF₃ powder and LAFN.** (A) Sketch of AlF₃ powder mesoporous framework. (B) Sketch of Al₄Li₉-LiF skeleton. (C) Sketch of LAFN. (D to F) SEM images of AlF₃ powder under different magnifications. Scale bars, 20 μm (D), 1 μm (E), and 200 nm (F). (G to I) SEM images of pristine LAFN surface, LAFN surface after stripping 5 mA·hour cm⁻² of Li metal, and LAFN surface after stripping and plating back 5 mA·hour cm⁻² of Li metal. Scale bars, 2 μm. (J to L) Magnified SEM images of (G) to (I). Scale bars, 200 nm.
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between LAFN secondary particles were still maintained, and the electrolyte was found to be very compatible with LAFN electrode (fig. S3). As a result, Li ions can be transferred into the framework and used wherever needed during cycling.

Figure 1 (G and J) shows the SEM images of LAFN powder under different magnifications. After the overlithiation process, the columnar arrays of pristine AlF₃ were converted into particles about 50 to 100 nm in diameter because of the reaction with Li metal. Meanwhile, the porous structure was maintained after the reaction, with excess Li metal infused into the host skeleton. Figure S4A shows a transmission electron microscopy image of a LAFN secondary particle. It can be observed that the secondary particle is composed of numerous small particles, in accordance with the SEM morphology. Energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping was done for Al and F (fig. S4, B to D). Al tends to concentrate in the center of the particle, whereas F spreads out in the whole area, especially on the surface of the particle. Note that the sporadic Al element observed in the outer part of the particle can be attributed to the unreacted AlF₃. Figure 1 (H and K) shows the SEM images of LAFN morphology after stripping 5 mA·h cm⁻² of metallic Li. The porous skeleton formed by Al₄Li₉-LiF nanoparticles could be obviously observed after Li stripping on the surface. After plating back 5 mA·h cm⁻² of Li, most of the metallic Li deposited back into the porous structure, with Al₄Li₉-LiF nanoparticles faintly visible underneath (Fig. 1, I and L). This Al₄Li₉-LiF nanoparticle framework with Li metal infusion structure can be further exhibited through sketch in Fig. 1C, in which the blue nanospheres represent the as-formed LiF nanoparticles, and the purple nanospheres represent the Al₄Li₉ nanoparticles. These nanoparticles stack up to form a skeleton with interconnected pathways, filled with excess metallic Li (transparent). From the reaction stoichiometry, we know that the ratio of Al to F should be 1:3, reasonably indicating a much larger amount of LiF particles than Al₄Li₉. In addition, this is also in accordance with the abovementioned EDX result, where Al₄Li₉ mostly concentrates in the center of secondary particles and is surrounded by LiF nanoparticles. This structure will be further proven later.

**Li dendrite suppression and near-zero volume change**

Morphologies of Li foil and LAFN after different symmetric cell cycles and current densities in carbonate-based electrolyte (see Materials and Methods) were observed. After one cycle of Li stripping and plating under a current density of 1 mA·cm⁻² and a capacity of 1 mA·h cm⁻², the Li foil surface was covered with numerous dendrites, tangling with each other (Fig. 2B). Meanwhile for the LAFN electrode, a smooth surface was maintained because of the confining effect and the homogeneous growth among the framework (Fig. 2C). Low-magnification SEM images can be seen in fig. S5 (A and B). After 10 cycles under a current density of 1 mA·cm⁻² and a capacity of 1 mA·h cm⁻², the dendrite on the foil surface became much thinner, which could increase the possibility of piercing the separator, causing a soft short circuit (fig. S6, A and C). However, for the LAFN electrode, no dendrite growth was observed on the surface (fig. S6, B and D). Even when we increased the applied current density to 20 mA·cm⁻², with capacity fixed at 1 mA·h cm⁻², the LAFN electrode showed no Li metal dendrites (Fig. 2E) after one cycle of stripping and plating, whereas the dendrites formed on Li foil surface curled up because of the increased heterogeneity under higher current density (Fig. 2D). Low-magnification SEM images can be seen in fig. S5 (C and D). In addition, fig. S7 shows the morphology of LAFN electrodes after 50 and 100 cycles under a current density of 1 mA·cm⁻² and a capacity of 1 mA·h cm⁻², and fig. S8 shows that of LAFN electrodes after 10 and 30 cycles under a current density of 1 mA·cm⁻² and a capacity of 3 mA·h cm⁻². Both figures further prove the exciting capability of LAFN electrodes to suppress dendrite growth even after long cycling. Furthermore, fig. S9 shows the morphology of LAFN electrode (without any prestripping) after directly plating 1 mA·h cm⁻² and 5 mA·h cm⁻² of Li metal onto it. From the uniform surface of these electrodes, we can conclude that LAFN electrodes did not only offer a skeleton for Li metal but also modified interface chemistry for Li metal plating homogeneity.

Dendrite growth is successfully suppressed owing to three vital factors. The distinctive skeleton of Al₄Li₉ surrounded by LiF nanoparticles plays a very important role. As shown in Fig. 2A, if we have a very conductive skeleton, electrons can efficiently transfer to the anode surface, and then the Li metal will be plated back onto the surface of this electrode because of the high availability of both electrons and ions, leaving the skeleton reservoir empty. Dendrite growth will also be promoted because of the uneven surface and locally concentrated Li-ion flux. However, for LAFN, the insulating LiF nanoparticles (blue) dominate the skeleton and hold Al₄Li₉ (purple) inside (Fig. 2A), effectively restraining the electron transfer to the surface. In this case, the framework is not very conductive. This promotes Li metal to be plated back inside the structure onto the underlying reserved Li, where electrons are available, gradually filling the whole skeleton. Then, dendrite growth can be suppressed to a great extent because all stripped Li metals are used to fill the skeleton again, and the nanocomposite structure can be effectively maintained during recurrent cycles. Besides, the effect of LiF itself suppressing dendrite growth has also been previously reported and proven (36–41). Li halide salts are capable of reducing the activation energy barrier for Li-ion diffusion at an electrode/electrolyte interface (14, 42). This increased Li-ion diffusivity further promotes Li ion to transfer inside the skeleton and plate onto existing Li metal instead of growing into dendrites on the surface. In addition, for Li foil, only surface Li has access to electrolyte and Li cations, whereas LAFN reduces the effective local current density and the Li cation flux around the nuclei due to the increased surface area, thus increasing the deposition homogeneity.

Volume stability of LAFN was also characterized through thickness testing of electrodes after stripping and plating metallic Li. LAFN was pressed into the electrode, the cross-sectional image of which is shown in Fig. 2F. We made an ultrathick electrode of about 760 μm, aiming at increasing the volume change effect for better observation. Figure 2G shows the cross-sectional image of an LAFN electrode after stripping 37.5 mA·h cm⁻² of Li metal inside (equivalent to ~181.93-μm-thick Li foil). The thickness shrinkage was only ~10 μm (~1.3% change). Essentially, the volume change was near zero, indicating that the electrode is capable of maintaining the skeleton structure after Li metal stripping. Later, 37.5 mA·h cm⁻² of Li metal was plated back, and Fig. 2H shows that the electrode maintained a thickness of ~760 μm very well. To guarantee the accuracy of this experiment, all three samples were cut from the same piece of LAFN electrode. The near-zero volume change of LAFN is remarkable, for it not only indicates that LAFN successfully provides a stable interconnected reservoir for Li metal for effective stripping and plating but also further confirms the capability of Li metal plating back into the skeleton instead of growing on the electrode surface, guaranteeing the structure reusability during later cycling. Compared with the previous work of graphene oxide host with ~20% volume change (9) and polymer fiber matrix with 2.4% volume change (32), the near-zero volume change here is very significant and will serve as a crucial factor for the cycling stability under ultrahigh current densities.
**LiF-protected skeleton with Li-ion conductivity**

Basic electrochemical properties were further characterized for LAFN. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was tested for assembled symmetric cells. Figure 3A shows the Nyquist plot for LAFN symmetric cells for the first 20 hours, and Fig. 3B shows that for Li foil. The high-frequency semicircles are good indicators of the charge transfer resistance on the electrode-electrolyte interfaces. Because of the unavoidable native oxide layer, Li foil showed an extremely high interfacial resistance of ~1600 ohms, whereas for LAFN, a highly reduced resistance of ~8 ohms could be observed. Impedance performances were also tested after cycling of LAFN and Li foil symmetric cells (fig. S10). For Li foil, the charge transfer resistance rapidly decreased to ~35 ohms mainly because of the broken native oxide layers as well as largely increased surface area caused by dendrite growth. Meanwhile, LAFN showed a rather stable and consistently low resistance even after 100 cycles. This low interfacial resistance offers a further improved charge transfer capability compared to graphene oxide (~30 ohms) (9). For Li foil, in contrast, continuous side reactions happen because of the exposure of Li to electrolyte, and the accumulated SEI layer with poor Li-ion conductivity leads to high resistance. These results guarantee that Li metal inside LAFN can be cycled with lower driving force for charge transferring. Furthermore, after stripping Li metal from one electrode to the other, charge transfer resistance of LAFN symmetric cells increased very slightly (fig. S11). Even when 50% of the capacity (up to 30 mA·hour cm$^{-2}$) was stripped from one electrode, the charge transfer resistance only increased from ~8 to ~13 ohms. This further indicates the stable interface properties of LAFN during cycling due to its interconnected matrix.

Although an Al$_4$L$_9$-$\text{LiF}$ skeleton occupied a large part of the nanocomposite, a high specific capacity could still be retained. After stripping Li metal inside LAFN electrode to 1 V versus Li$^+$/Li under a very low current density of ~0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$, the voltage profile (Fig. 3C) showed
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![Fig. 2. Dendrite-suppressed, volume-stable LAFN electrode.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)

**A** Sketch of ideal and nonideal plating processes due to different conductivities of the skeleton. **(B and C)** SEM images of Li foil surface (B) and LAFN surface (C) after one symmetric cell cycle under 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 1 mA·hour cm$^{-2}$. Scale bars, 5 μm. **(D and E)** SEM images of Li foil surface (D) and LAFN surface (E) after one symmetric cell cycle under 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 1 mA·hour cm$^{-2}$. Scale bars, 5 μm. **(F to H)** Cross-sectional SEM images of a pristine LAFN electrode (F), an LAFN electrode after stripping 37.5 mA·hour cm$^{-2}$ of Li (G), and an electrode after stripping and plating back 37.5 mA·hour cm$^{-2}$ of Li (H). Scale bars, 500 μm.
a total specific capacity of 1571 mA-hour g⁻¹. No second plateau can be observed, indicating that only excess Li metal contributed to this capacity. This result is even higher than the theoretical capacity of excess Li metal (1003 mA-hour g⁻¹), which can be attributed to the incomplete reaction between AlF₃ and Li. XRD was also applied to confirm this. In Fig. 3D, the green curve of pristine LAFN confirms the formation of Al₄Li₉-LiF framework and the existence of excess Li metal as well as AlF₃ that have not completely reacted with Li metal (small peaks between 50° and 60°). In addition, the blue curve shows the sample structure after stripping Li metal to 1 V versus Li⁺/Li. The amorphous halo on the left side of these curves can be attributed to the Kapton tape for sealing. Compared to pristine LAFN, delithiated sample no longer had a Li peak, while still maintaining a high Al₄Li₉ peak. This feature can be observed more easily in a magnified figure (fig. S12), in accordance with the delithiation voltage profile with no second plateau. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was also applied for pristine LAFN and delithiated LAFN to further confirm its structure (fig. S13). The lack of Al peaks on the surface of both pristine and fully stripped samples proves that Al₄Li₉ alloy is in good protection of LiF nanoparticles. After delithiation, metal Li peak also disappeared, confirming the full stripping of excess Li metal. From these results, we may reasonably deduce that all excess Li was used during the delithiation process, and the Al₄Li₉ framework was protected in good condition, possibly because of the protection of electronically insulating LiF nanoparticles. The insulating LiF confined Al₄Li₉ particles inside to maintain a stable skeleton while still offering an excellent interconnected pathway for Li metal and Li ions. This feature further revealed the superiority of overlithiation as well as the potential remarkable performance of LAFN battery systems.

**Electrochemical performance of LAFN**

Here, galvanostatic symmetric cell cycle capability was first compared between Li foil and LAFN. Figure S14 shows the voltage profile of Li foil and LAFN symmetric cells during the 1st, 10th, 50th, and 100th cycles, with current density fixed at 1 mA cm⁻² and capacity fixed at 1 mA-hour cm⁻² in carbonate-based electrolytes. For the Li foil symmetric cell, high overpotential could be seen at the beginning of charging process during the first cycle, owing to the preobserved poor ionic conductivity of SEI on Li surface. High overpotential was also observed at the beginning and end of discharging process, corresponding to the increased difficulty of Li nucleation beneath thick SEI and the usage of previously unused fresh Li beneath the surface, respectively. This effect faded with cycle number, because of the broken SEI layer on the surface of Li foil and the increased real surface area after dendrite growth. On the contrary, LAFN showed flat, smooth voltage plateaus during charging and discharging processes with a low overpotential below 40 mV, as well as better stability after 100 cycles. This superiority can be further proven in Fig. 4A, where LAFN symmetric cells offered a consistent, stable low overpotential about 35 mV during the first 100 cycles, much lower than that of Li foil counterparts, whose overpotential fluctuated between 60 and 160 mV. Actually, long-term cycle capability was also tested, and the result can be seen in fig. S15A. LAFN maintained stable cycling after 500 hours of testing, corresponding to 250 cycles, which could be seen from the enlarged figures from the 90th to 100th cycles (fig. S15B) and 230th to 240th cycles (fig. S15C). Although the overpotential increased from ~35 to ~45 mV, stable charging and discharging plateaus were maintained. Meanwhile for Li foil, marked overpotential increase could be seen after 250 hours.
Later, cycling tests were carried out under increased current densities of 3 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. S16), 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. S17), 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 4B), and 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 4C), with capacity fixed at 1 mA·hour cm\(^{-2}\). The overpotential of LAFN increased from 75 mV under 3 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 150 mV under 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\), to 240 mV under 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), and then to 400 mV under 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Meanwhile, stable, smooth cycling plateaus were maintained for the first 100 cycles. In contrast, the Li foil symmetric cell showed not only much higher overpotential but also marked fluctuation during charging and discharging processes. To be more specific, cycling plateaus during different time periods under various current densities are directly plotted for a better comparison (fig. S18). It can be easily observed that the interconnected lithium reservoir and faster kinetics of LAFN not only enabled it to work under much mitigated hysteresis, but also kept flat and smooth cycling plateaus without fluctuations caused by local heterogeneity or soft short circuit. It should be noted that 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\) is an unprecedented high current density that has rarely been used, if any, in the tests of Li metal anodes. For Li foil symmetric cells under 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a high overpotential of \(~2\) V was observed at the beginning of the first cycle (fig. S19), followed by a drop to a level even lower than that under 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), indicating a soft short circuit within the cells. In contrast, LAFN was capable of working stably under such a high current density for the first 100 cycles, with suppressed dendrite growth (Fig. 2E), verifying the potential of maintaining a stable interface and cycling performance under high current densities. In fig. S20, the voltage profile comparison is shown between LAFN and Li foil symmetric cell cycling under metabolic current densities from 0.5 to 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and back to 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\), with capacity fixed at 1 mA·hour cm\(^{-2}\). Continuous flat charging and discharging plateaus with obvious lower overpotential can be seen under all applied current densities for LAFN, manifesting its capability of working under mutative current densities for varied purposes. In addition, cycling performance under a current density of 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\), with areal capacity corresponding to that of a commercial battery (3 mA·hour cm\(^{-2}\)), was also tested (fig. S21). Li foil symmetric cells showed a high overpotential at the end of charging and discharging processes and stopped working after about 35 cycles. In comparison, LAFN symmetric cells worked normally after over 400 hours (more than 70 cycles), with stable and low voltage plateaus in each cycle, manifesting potential of commercial level cycle capability.

All these superiorities were further validated through full cell testing. Full cells using LAFN or Li foil paired with a LiCoO\(_2\) (LCO) cathode were compared through rate capability tests. Higher capacity was constantly retained using LAFN as an anode, especially at higher rates. Here, we used an LCO cathode with an active material mass of \(~3.6\) mg. Using a theoretical specific capacity of 140 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\), we can calculate that a rate of 1 C corresponds to a current density of 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\). The LCO/Li foil full cell only offered capacities of 125 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 0.2 C, 100 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 1 C, 73 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 4 C, and 5 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 10 C. In comparison, the LCO/LAFN full cell gave capacities of 140 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 0.2 C, 131 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 1 C, 113 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 4 C, and ~80 mA·hour g\(^{-1}\) under 10 C (Fig. 5A). Here, all specific capacities were calculated on the
basis of active LCO mass. LAFN can work properly under high rates, under which Li foil has already failed, further confirming the capability of LAFN working under high current densities. It should be noted that the capacity of the LCO/LAFN full cell also showed much better stability than that of LCO/Li foil full cell. The capacity of the LCO/LAFN full cell under a high rate of 10 C showed little fluctuation during the 10 cycles tested, with no trend of fading. Moreover, after resetting the rate to 0.2 C, the LCO/LAFN full cell maintained the original capacity and was very stable during the following 90 cycles, whereas the capacity of the LCO/Li foil full cell after resetting the rate back to 0.2 C was lower than that of the first cycle and kept decaying for the next 90 cycles. Cycling stability was also tested under 1 C with five cycles under 0.2 C for electrode activation (fig. S22), and higher capacity with better stability can still be observed for LAFN. These results in full cells may be related to the reduced hysteresis using LAFN as an anode. Higher overpotential could be observed for a Li foil anode at the beginning of each charging plateau (Fig. 5, B to D, dotted box, and fig. S23, A to C), owing to the sluggish kinetics at Li foil/electrolyte interface. This effect is also in accordance with symmetric cell cycling voltage profiles. As we increased the rate, this hysteresis effect was also boosted (fig. S24, A to C), corresponding to the enhanced capacity gap between LCO/Li foil and LCO/LAFN full cells. The remarkable performance of the LAFN anode with the LCO cathode further verifies its potential as industrialized Li metal anode material.

LAFN and Li foil were also paired with high–areal capacity Li_{4}Ti_{5}O_{12} (LTO; ~3.3 mA-hour cm^{-2}). LTO/LAFN cells still showed a highly increased rate capacity than LTO/Li foil cells, especially under higher rates (fig. S25A). To be noted, here, a rate of 3 C corresponds to a current density as high as 10 mA cm^{-2}. Thus, the capability of LAFN working under high current densities is further verified. LAFN also showed highly reduced hysteresis during full cell cycling, in accordance with LCO full cell results (fig. S25, B to E). Meanwhile, the most important role of the high–areal capacity LTO cells was to serve as an indirect indicator of Coulombic efficiency (CE) of the anodes. LTO itself does not provide Li during cycling, only serving as a Li reservoir. Moreover, the CE of LTO is near 100% except at initial cycles. As a result, only anodes serve as Li sources, and the loss of Li can be mostly attributed to the low CE of the anodes. We paired the high–areal capacity LTO electrode with 50-μm-thick Li metal foil (~10 mA-hour cm^{-2}) and LAFN electrode with a capacity of ~16 mA-hour cm^{-2} (thinnest electrode can be fabricated using LAFN powder) and tested their cycle life under 0.5 C. The point where specific capacity begins to fade markedly indicates the cycle number used to consume excess Li metal in LAFN or Li foil. As shown in fig. S26, capacity of LTO/Li foil cell started to fade at the 28th cycle, illustrating a CE of ~92.7%. In contrast, the capacity of LTO/LAFN cell remained almost constant even after 150 cycles, giving a CE of at least ~97.4%, showing further improvements even compared to previously reported polymer fiber matrix (32).

**DISCUSSION**

LAFN as a Li metal anode was synthesized through the overlithiation process of a mesoporous AlF_{3} framework. LAFN had a porous skeleton with an interconnected Li metal reservoir. This designing not only offered a stable host for lithium metal during stripping and plating but also took advantage of the reaction-produced insulating LiF for the electrochemical protection of the Al_{4}Li_{9} nanoparticles as well as Li cation diffusion promoter for increased Li metal plating homogeneity. These superiorities endowed LAFN with suppressed dendrite growth and near-zero volume change. As a result, LAFN showed much stable charging and discharging plateaus in symmetric cells, much higher capacity capability in full cells using LCO/LTO cathodes, and increased CE, compared to Li foil. The LAFN anode worked properly under an unprecedented high current density of 20 mA cm^{-2}, under which Li foil shorted immediately. Considering the safe and single-step fabrication procedure, we believe that LAFN may inspire designs of next-generation Li metal anode that can accommodate fast charging/discharging demands in the near future.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

LAFN fabrication

AlF₃ powder (Aldrich) was transferred into an argon-filled glove box with sub-parts per million O₂ level for later use. AlF₃ powder (0.40 g) was firstly put into a stainless steel crucible on a hot plate heated up to ~350°C. Afterward, 0.37 g of Li foil (99.9%; Alfa Aesar) was weighted, cut into small pieces, and put into the crucible. Excess lithium foil ratio could be adjusted but within 0.40 g to guarantee a uniform powder-like product. After the lithium foil melted, the AlF₃ powder and the liquid Li metal were vigorously stirred, and lithium would become increasingly smaller droplets. After the white AlF₃ powder turned to black and lithium small droplets started to wet the powder, heating was stopped and stirring was continued. After cooling down, LAFN powder was obtained. To make an LAFN electrode, the as-obtained LAFN powder was mechanically pressed, using a pellet die (Aldrich) with ~10 metric tons of pressure. Electrode thickness could be controlled through manipulation of the powder weight. All processes were done in a glove box.

Characterizations

SEM images were taken with a FEI XL30 Sirion scanning electron microscope. For the SEM characterizations on batteries after cycling, the electrodes were first extracted from the coin cells in the glove box and then washed with a mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate electrolyte dropped into Li foil and LAFN electrode. The EIS measurements were carried out on a Biologic VMP3 system. LAND 8-channel battery tester. The EIS measurements were conducted either on an Arbin 96-channel battery tester or on a Biologic VMP3 system. The EIS measurements were conducted either on an Arbin 96-channel battery tester or on a Biologic VMP3 system. The EIS measurements were carried out on a Biologic VMP3 system. LAND 8-channel battery tester. The EIS measurements were carried out on a Biologic VMP3 system. LAND 8-channel battery tester.

Electrochemical measurements on symmetric and full cells

To study the Li stripping/plating processes within a symmetric cell configuration, 2032-type coin cells (MTI) were assembled here. The anodes used here were either the abovementioned LAFN electrodes or the Li foils. To prepare LCO cathodes, lithium cobalt oxide power (MTI) was mixed with carbon black (TIMCAL) and polyvinylidene fluoride (MTI) with a mass ratio of 8:1:1, with N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as the solvent. The mass loading for LCO cathodes was ~45 mg cm⁻². To prepare high-areal capacity LTO electrodes, LTO (MTI), polyvinylidene fluoride (MTI), and carbon black (TIMCAL) were mixed in a ratio of 8:1:1, with N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as the solvent. The areal mass loading of LTO electrodes was ~24 mg cm⁻². All electrodes used had an area of ~1 cm². The LAFN electrodes used for symmetric cell testing and LCO full cell testing were ~400 μm thick, offering an areal capacity ~60 mA-hour cm⁻². The electrolyte used were 1 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) in 1:1 ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (BASF Selectlyte LP40), with 10% fluoroethylene carbonate (Novolyte Technologies Inc.) and 1% vinylene carbonate (Novolyte Technologies Inc.) as additives. Celgard 2325 (25-μm polypropylene/polyethylene/polypropylene) was used as the separator. The control bare Li cells were assembled using freshly scraped Li foil. Galvanostatic cycling was conducted either on an Arbin 96-channel battery tester or on a LAND 8-channel battery tester. The EIS measurements were carried out on a Biologic VMP3 system.

SYNTHESIS MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/3/9/e1701301/DC1

fig. S1. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm of AlF₃ powder.

fig. S2. Fabrication of LAFN.

fig. S3. XPS of LAFN.

fig. S4. Transmission electron microscopy characterization of pristine LAFN.

fig. S5. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S6. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S7. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S8. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S9. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S10. Morphology of LAFN after symmetric cell cycling.

fig. S11. EIS performance of LAFN symmetric cells after stripping different percentages of capacity from one side to the other.

fig. S12. Enlarged XRD profile of the dotted box in Fig. 3D.

fig. S13. XPS of LAFN.

fig. S14. Voltage profile of Li foil and LAFN symmetric cell during the 1st, 10th, 50th and 100th cycles, with a current density of 1 mA cm⁻².

fig. S15. Long-term cycling stability of LAFN electrode.

fig. S16. Symmetric cell cycling performance of LAFN under a current density of 3 mA cm⁻².

fig. S17. Symmetric cell cycling performance of LAFN under a current density of 5 mA cm⁻².

fig. S18. Voltage plateau comparison.

fig. S19. Symmetric cell cycling performance of LAFN under a current density of 20 mA cm⁻².

fig. S20. Symmetric cell cycling performance of LAFN under a current density of 50 mA cm⁻².

fig. S21. Symmetric cell cycling performance of LAFN under a current density of 100 mA cm⁻².


fig. S23. Enlarged voltage profile of LCO full cells.
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