

Expert Elicitation of Long Run Economic Growth

Modeling Uncertainty Project

Presented by Peter Christensen for Snowmass 2013

July 29, 2013

Outline

- 1 Research Question
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Uncertainty in Long Run Growth
- 4 Expert Elicitation Protocol
- 5 Timeline and Feedback



Primary Question

How can we construct a pdf for long run economic growth?

- ① What information and estimates are currently available?
- ② What are the important dimensions of uncertainty?
- ③ How should we think about dependence?

Why Uncertainty in Economic Growth?

- Productivity growth is a critical determinant of emissions and the SCC
 - PAGE (Hope 2006)
 - increase in year 2000 global GDP from PAGE95 to (\$33.3 trillion US) to PAGE2002 (\$43.6 trillion US) lead to an 82% rise in mean marginal impact of CO₂
 - DICE (Nordhaus 2007)
 - a 2-sigma increase in $g(\text{TFP})$ is associated with a 163% increase in global CO₂ emissions in 2100
 - a 2-sigma increase in productivity growth $g(\text{TFP})$ is associated with a greater than 70% increase in the social cost of carbon

Existing Estimates of Long Run Growth Rates

- ① European Union - “2050 Global Europe”
 - scenario approach
- ② PwC: “World in 2050”
- ③ HSBC: “World in 2050”
- ④ Goldman: ‘Dreaming With BRICs: The Path to 2050’

Existing Work on Uncertainty

1 known survey: Nordhaus 1995 (unpublished)

- Sent to 7 experts (economists in research institutions)
- Elicits estimates of per capita GDP (PPP)
 - ① experts report rates or magnitudes
 - ② 4 periods
 - 1990-2025
 - 2025-2050
 - 2050-2100
 - 2100-2200

What did we learn?

- ① Experts may prefer to think in terms of rates rather than levels
- ② Precise definitions
- ③ Estimates beyond 2100?
- ④ Compression at zero
- ⑤ Outliers may be important

Table : Deviations across Quartiles

	mean	sd
Q1	1.332031	.702503
median	2.20016	1.464048
Q3	3.078125	1.332533

5 Objectives of the Protocol Design

- ① Maximize Response Rate
- ② Ensure Clarity
- ③ Focus on Useful Information
- ④ Incorporate Dependence
- ⑤ Incorporate Heterogeneity in Expertise

Objective 1: Maximize Response Rate

- ① Parsimony: 4 questions
- ② Administered electronically or by mail
- ③ Potential Sample
 - Experts on Growth (regionally stratified)
 - Experts on Trade
 - Experts on Development (Yale Growth Center)
 - IAM Modeling Community
 - IGM Economic Experts Panel (Booth School of Business)

Objective 2: Ensure Clarity

- ① Parameter Definition – GDP per capita
 - defined according to IMF (PPP exchange rates)
 - average annual rate

- ② Regional Heterogeneity
 - Regional Groupings
 - World
 - United States
 - China
 - High Income (including US)
>\$30,000 per year
 - Middle Income (including China and India)
\$2,000-30,000 per year
 - Low Income:
<\$2,000 per year

- ③ Definition of regions in elicitation protocol
 - Aggregated by IMF per capita GDP (2007, PPP exchange rates)
 - shares of global GDP provided
 - list of countries provided

Objective 3: Focus on Useful Information

① Percentiles

- 25th %ile
- 50th %ile
- 75th %ile
- (10th/90th %iles)

② Temporal Intervals:

- 2010-2025
- 2025-2050
- 2050-2075
- 2075-2100

Objective 4: Incorporate Dependence

- ① Regional Dependence: Experts are asked to estimate correlations between US growth rate and other regions
 - “Assume US grows at 25th and 75th percentile rates and provide IQR for other regions”
- ② Dependence due to common information:
 - experts report use of source materials

Objective 5: Incorporate Heterogeneity in Expertise

- ① Predictions about short run growth (2014)
- ② Self Reported Measures
 - rank of expertise
 - time to complete survey

Timeline

① Design

- February 2013 - Decision to undertake TFP Elicitation
- April 2013 - Draft #1 of Elicitation

② Development

- **July 2013: Round #1 Pilot: Graduate Students**
- August 2013: Round #2 Pilot: Broader Research Community
- Remainder of 2013: Constant Pretesting

③ Deliver

- Early 2014: Finalize Instrument (digital instrument) and Sample
- March/April 2014: Administer Elicitation



Thank You