

# Some final questions: How can/should IA evolve to (better) address:

- Scenarios
  - including baselines/costs of inaction
  - Patchwork of policies
  - Other post-Copenhagen concerns (e.g., financial flows, impacts of policies at scale)
- Evaluating/responding to policy proposals
  - Which users should get highest priority?
  - Appropriate level of detail/complexity?
  - Assisting with policy monitoring/evaluation/refinements over time

# Some final questions: How can/ should IA evolve to (better) address:

- Deciding on investments
  - Value of scientific information/research
  - Balance between different actions
- Institutions / governance issues
  - E.g., offsets, REDD
  - Need to engage behavioral scientists?
- Is integrated assessment primarily for **understanding** or **informing**?
  - Is this even a useful distinction?

# Some final questions

- What topics/angles could we address in future Snowmass CCI/IA sessions?