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The relationship between exciton scattering induced dephasing of the ESR and the optical transitions of triplet exciton bands is examined by properly including exchange in the line shape formalism. First, a simple heuristic model demonstrates that while the optical dephasing time can be associated with the exciton scattering rate, the ESR dephasing time is more closely associated with the distribution of final states in the scattering process. The full exchange problem is then examined and a formal solution is obtained for the low power steady state ESR spectrum. Application to the 1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene triplet exciton system shows that the exciton ESR spectrum with its associated 1 \mu sec dephasing time can be reproduced using a 20 ps exciton scattering rate obtained from the optical dephasing time. Exciton scattering occurs to a small region of \( k \) space (~5% of the band) surrounding the initial \( k \) state. These results resolve the apparent conflict between the optical and ESR spectra in this system and indicate that exciton transport, while not strictly coherent, is nonetheless predominantly wave-like in character.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of exciton migration on a molecular scale and the degree of coherence in the transport process are subjects of vigorous investigation and debate. For Frenkel excitons\textsuperscript{a} a fundamental question concerns the time scale on which the exciton will remain in a wave vector state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \). If scattering from an initial state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \) to a new state \( | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \) is fast and Markovian,\textsuperscript{b} an exciton wave packet's group velocity fluctuates rapidly on the time scale of intermolecular motion and the transport occurs as a random walk between lattice sites. In the limit of slow scattering, the initial state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \) persists on a time scale long compared to intermolecular motion and transport has a wave-like component.

The dynamics of exciton scattering have been probed by a variety of spectroscopic techniques. The triplet exciton system in 1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene (TCB) single crystals has been particularly intensively studied at liquid helium temperatures. In ESR investigations of triplet spin sublevel exciton band-to-band transitions in TCB, a dephasing time of approximately 1 \mu sec was obtained, independent of the band state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \).\textsuperscript{c,e} In these studies the dephasing time was taken to imply that TCB is in the coherent limit at low temperatures. However, high resolution optical absorption measurements on the same system\textsuperscript{d} appeared to contradict these conclusions. In these experiments the optically accessible \( k = 0 \) triplet exciton band transition was found to dephase in ~20 ps, implying a scattering rate 4 to 5 orders of magnitude faster than that determined by ESR techniques. Although some degree of coherence is indicated by the optical work, the question of the detailed nature of exciton transport in this system can only be answered by resolving the apparent conflict between the optical and ESR experiments.

The resolution can be found in a careful analysis of the relationship between exciton scattering and spectroscopic dephasing times. Exchange of a species between two different states, or environments, causes the superposition associated with the spectral transition under observation to dephase, broadening the transition linewidth. This effect is well known from the classic example of the exchange of a spin between environments in which it has different resonance frequencies.\textsuperscript{d} The broadening of the observed line shape is a combined function of the exchange (scattering) rate, the rate of return to the initial state, and the difference in Larmor frequency of the spin transition in the two states.

An exciton system is a generalization of the two-state case to \( N \) states. In the former, each state forms an environment for the transition which is characterized by a unique resonance frequency. In an exciton band each state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \) is also characterized by a unique resonance frequency \( \omega_0 \). If a low-power applied field is used to probe the transition, then this type of system is equivalent to \( N \) independent two-level systems interacting only through exchange. Dephasing of a transition in any state \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \) is likewise a combined function of the rates of scattering to \( | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \), the time for return to \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \), and the differences in Larmor frequency for the transition in the different band states. The form of the scattering, given by the probability distribution of final states \( | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \) following scattering from \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \), is as important as the overall rate of leaving \( | \mathbf{k} \rangle \). Thus, the dephasing time may be quite different from the scattering time.

In the following we shall present a two-part model of the dephasing of spectroscopic transitions by exciton scattering, and we shall use it to analyze the TCB triplet exciton system. The first part employs an analytically tractable heuristic representation of an exciton band which permits direct insight into the problem. It is shown that the dephasing of optical transitions yields the exciton scattering rate and that, in contrast, ESR dephasing times do not reflect the rate of scattering but rather are more closely related to the distribution of final states in the scattering event. In the second part the full exciton band is treated in the limit of a low-
power steady-state applied field and the complete exchange equations are formally solved for any distribution of final states in the scattering between band states. These results permit the quantitative calculation via numerical methods of the steady-state spectral line shape and the direct comparison of experiment with theory.

Applied to the TCB exciton system, this analysis identified the exciton scattering time with the optical dephasing time of 20 ps. The steady-state ESR experimental line shape is reproduced with excellent agreement for several different forms of the exciton scattering distribution. However, only in the case that exciton scattering occurs primarily to nearby \(|k\rangle\) states is this theoretical agreement consistent with the 20-ps optical dephasing time and a reasonable number of states in the TCB exciton band. This result is in accord with independent stimulated spin-echo measurements on this system which indicate that spectral diffusion occurs over a few percent of the total bandwidth.

A detailed description of exciton transport in TCB emerges from these results. Exciton scattering occurs with high frequency, but each scattering event results in only a small change in the exciton wave vector. While not in the strict coherent limit, transport in this system is essentially wavelike.

II. EXCITON SCATTERING AND SPECTRAL DEPHASING

A. Heuristic model

In an exciton system with interactions along only one crystallographic axis (e.g., TCB), the wave vectors \(k\) lie on a one-dimensional periodic (inverse) lattice, i.e., a ring. Stochastic exciton scattering can be represented as a random walk on the \(k\)-space ring, where a change in the exciton state is represented by a step to a new (not necessarily adjacent) ring site. A fundamental theorem of random walks states that in a walk with a mean step rate \(k_s\) on a ring of \(N\) sites, the mean rate of return to the initial site is \(k_s = k_s/N\), independent of the form of the walk. Thus, an exciton initially in \(|k\rangle\) which is scattered to other band states \(|k'\rangle\) at rate \(k_s\) will return to \(|k\rangle\) at rate \(k_s\). This suggests a simple model for the dephasing of exciton band transitions.

Consider the exchange of an excitation between a pair of two-level systems \(|S\rangle\) and \(|B\rangle\). The system \(|S\rangle\) represents a single exciton band state \(|k\rangle\). The system \(|B\rangle\) is a "bath" which represents the remaining \(N-1\) states \(|k'\rangle\) of the band which exchange population with \(|k\rangle\). The transition frequency is \(\omega_0\) in \(|S\rangle\) but is \(\omega_0 + \Delta\) in \(|B\rangle\), where \(\Delta\) measures the average shift in Larmor frequency experienced during the time of residence in the bath. As discussed above, stochastic scattering from \(|S\rangle\) with rate constant \(k_s\) implies that the rate constant for return from \(|B\rangle\) will be \(k_s = k_s/N\). Equilibrium of population between the state and the bath thus requires a bath population of \(N\) for unit population of \(|S\rangle\).

We shall employ the Feynman, Vernon, and Hellwarth (FVH) geometrical representation of the density-matrix equation. Viewed in a frame rotating at the frequency \(\omega\) of the applied field the components of a pseudospin vector \(\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)\) contain linear combinations of the elements of the density matrix. The in-plane components \(r_1\) and \(r_2\) are equivalent to the in-plane magnetization of a spin system.

Consider the dephasing of \(|S\rangle\) in the following gedanken. The experiment begins with an applied pulse of sufficient power to rapidly establish an in-plane component and then the field remains off. With the field applied at \(\omega = \omega_0\) and along \(I\) in a frame rotating at \(\omega\), the initial conditions become \(\rho_{xy}(0) = 1\) and \(\rho_{xx}(0) = N\), with all other components equal to zero. Since the applied field is zero for \(t > 0\), the in-plane components become decoupled from the out-of-plane (population) components which may then be neglected. The equations of motion are

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\rho}_{xx} &= -k_s \rho_{xx} + k_s \rho_{yy}, \\
\dot{\rho}_{yy} &= +k_s \rho_{xx} - k_s \rho_{yy} - \Delta \rho_{yy}, \\
\dot{\rho}_{xy} &= -k_s \rho_{xy} + k_s \rho_{yx}, \\
\dot{\rho}_{yx} &= +k_s \rho_{xy} - k_s \rho_{yx} + \Delta \rho_{yy},
\end{align*}
\]

For an exciton band \(N \gg 1\), so \(k_s \gg k_{xy}\). With this condition, Eq. (1) may be solved subject to the initial conditions. We focus on the time dependence of the II component of \(|S\rangle\), \(\rho_{xy}(t)\). The decay of this initially prepared component yields the rate of phase loss in the state \(|S\rangle\) via exchange. The general result is

\[
\rho_{xy}(t) = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ [\Delta^2(k_s^2 + \Delta^2) + k_s^2 k_{xy}] e^{-\Delta^2 t} + k_s (k_s + k_s k_{xy} + \Delta^2) \right. \\
\times \left. [(k_s + k_{xy}) \cos \Delta t + \Delta \sin \Delta t] \right\},
\]

where

\[
D = (k_s^2 + \Delta^2)^2 + k_s^2 k_{xy} (2k_s^2 + k_s k_{xy} + 2\Delta^2)
\]

and \(k_s \gg k_{xy}\). Two special cases of the greatest interest are discussed below.

When the shift in Larmor frequencies \(\Delta\) is much greater than the scattering rate, i.e., \(\Delta \gg k_{xy}\), Eq. (2) reduces to an extremely simple form:

\[
\rho_{xy}(t) = e^{-\Delta^2 t}.
\]

This result means that the superposition is completely dephased by the change of environment before it can return to the initial state, and the phase loss thus occurs simply with the scattering rate. This limit can be found in optical transitions where \(\Delta\) is on the order of the exciton bandwidth, typically \(10^{16}-10^{17}\) Hz in triplet systems. Thus, optical spectra have a straightforward interpretation:

\[
T_{xy} = 1/k_s.
\]

If \(\Delta = k_s\), dephasing occurs on a similar time scale.

When the scattering rate is much greater than the Larmor shift, i.e., \(k_s \gg \Delta\), a more complicated expression is obtained,

\[
\rho_{xy}(t) = \cos \Delta t \frac{1}{k_s} + \Delta \frac{1}{k_s^2} e^{-\Delta^2 t}.
\]

The oscillatory behavior is an artifact of the oversimplified choice of a single resonance frequency \(\omega_0 + \Delta\) for
the bath. In order to more realistically model scattering in an exciton band, an average over a distribution of frequencies \( \{ \omega \} \) should be taken, reflecting the average distribution of states \( \{ k' \} \) occupied during exchange. A variety of distributions are plausible depending upon the form of scattering. A reasonable choice is a Gaussian function centered on the initial state, as might result from scattering only to nearby states. If this distribution ranges over only a small fraction of the band, an average over frequency yields
\[
\langle \tau^2 \rangle = e^{-E \langle \sigma \rangle / kT} ,
\]
where \( E \) is the standard deviation of \( \{ \Delta \} \). The limit \( kT \gg \Delta \) is applicable in ESR band-to-band transitions, where the exciton band dispersion is mirrored but greatly reduced.\(^{4}\) \( T^\text{ESR} \) cannot be obtained directly from this result. However, since the ESR linewidth, i.e., the effective bandwidth, \( B_p > E \), it is evident that dephasing of an ESR transition will occur on a time scale of \( B_p^2 \) or longer. These results suggest that \( T^\text{ESR} \) values measured in the \( k_p > \Delta \) limit reflect the frequency spread of states involved in the exchange rather than the scattering rate between them.

**B. Full-exchange problem**

The preceding heuristic model permits qualitative insights by reducing the problem to the elementary one of exchange between two different environments. In the following, quantitative results are derived from a treatment of the full exciton band exchange problem. Exchange of an exciton between \( N \) independent two-level systems will be considered, where each system corresponds to a distinct exciton band state \( |k \rangle \). A solution for the steady-state low-power spectrum is obtained.

Again we employ the FVH representation.\(^{6}\) Since a low-power coupling field is assumed, the population components \( r_k \) are decoupled from the in-plane components and are constants proportional to the populations of the corresponding states. With an applied field at frequency \( \omega \) along the \( z \) axis in the rotating frame, the sum of the \( r_k \) components of the \( N \) states yields the absorption at that frequency.\(^{10}\) The equations of motion for \( |m\rangle \), with resonance frequency \( \omega_m \), are
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_m &= -\Delta \omega_m r_m + \sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{k_{mn}}{E_{k'}} r_{k'} + \sum_{k' \neq k} k_{mn} r_{k'}^\ast , \\
\dot{r}_m &= -\omega_m r_m^\ast + \Delta \omega_m r_m + \sum_{n \neq m} \sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{k_{mn}}{E_{k'}} r_{k'} + \sum_{k' \neq k} k_{mn} r_{k'}^\ast ,
\end{align*}
\]
where the prime means that the \( j=m \) term is excluded from the sums. The rate constant for scattering from \( |m\rangle \) to \( |j\rangle \) in \( k_{mn} \), \( \Delta \omega_m = \omega_m - \omega_j \), and \( \omega_m \) is the steady-state value of \( r_m^\ast \), proportional to the equilibrium population of \( |m\rangle \). Equation (7) expresses just the \( mn \)th pair of \( N \) pairs of equations. Defining the sum of rate constants in the “loss” terms as
\[
k_{mn} = -\sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{k_{mn}}{E_{k'}} ,
\]
the full set of \( 2N \) equations can be compactly written in matrix form,
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_1 &= -\Delta \omega r_1 + K^T r_1 , \\
\dot{r}_2 &= -\omega_1 r_2 + \Delta \omega r_1 + K^T r_2 ,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( K^T \) is the transpose of the scattering matrix \( K \). The \( m \)th elements of the column vectors \( r_1, r_2 \), and \( r_m^\ast \) are \( r_m^\ast \), \( r_m^\ast \), and \( r_m^\ast \), respectively, and the matrix \( \Delta \omega \) is diagonal with \( mn \)th diagonal element \( \Delta \omega_m \).

The central term in these equations is the scattering matrix \( K \), whose elements \( k_{mn} \) embody a complete description of the exciton scattering process. For each state \( |m\rangle \) the associated diagonal element \( k_{nm} \) measures the total rate of loss from \( |m\rangle \) via all scattering paths. From the discussion surrounding Eq. (4), we associate this total loss rate with the optical dephasing time of the state in question, i.e., \( k_{nm} = -1/T^\text{opt}(m) \).

The specific form of any given scattering process determines the value of the off-diagonal elements. Exciton scattering may occur exclusively to states which are nearly isoenergetic with the initial state or to a broad distribution. At one extreme is the limit of scattering to nearest-neighbor states only. In this situation \( k_{mj} = \frac{1}{2} k_p \) for \( j = m \pm 1 \) and zero for all other \( j \neq m \). At the opposite extreme scattering to all states is equally probable, i.e., \( k_{mj} = [1/(N-1)]k_p \) for all \( j \neq m \).

Scattering in real exciton systems probably lies intermediate to these two extremes. A useful model employs a distribution in which the scattering rate falls off exponentially as the difference in wave vector between final and initial state increases, i.e., \( k_{mj} = k \exp[-D(m,j)/R] \) where \( k \) is a normalized rate constant chosen so that scattering into any \( |j\rangle \) equals scattering out of \( |j\rangle \). Since an exciton band has periodic boundary conditions, the wave vector difference \( D(m,j) = |m-j| \) when \( |m-j| \leq \frac{1}{2} N \), but \( D(m,j) = N - |m-j| \) when \( |m-j| > \frac{1}{2} N \). The parameter \( R \) is equal to the “average range” of a scattering event and characterizes the width of the exponential distribution, which can be continuously varied between the two limits discussed above. Thus, while arbitrary, this form will provide an instructive means of considering intermediate situations.

Equation (9) can be formally solved in steady state for \( r_1 \) to yield
\[
r_1(\omega) = \omega_1[\Delta \omega + K^T \Delta \omega^\ast K^\ast]^{-1} [K^T \Delta \omega^\ast] r_1^\ast ,
\]
The absorption spectrum may then be calculated by evaluating the sum of the elements of Eq. (10) at a range of frequencies.

**III. APPLICATION TO TRIPLET EXCITONS IN 1,2,4,5- TETRACHLOROBENZENE**

In order to apply Eq. (10) to a calculation of the TCB triplet exciton ESR spectrum, the elements of \( \Delta \omega, K^T \), and \( K \) must be evaluated. It is first necessary to know the number of states which comprise the exciton band, for the value of \( N \) sets the dimensionality of the matrix calculation. This number is equivalent to the mean number of molecules lying in a one-dimensional chain segment over which the excited states are not severely disrupted, suggesting that \( N \) will be of the order of the in-
verse number density of chemical impurities or major lattice defects. Since residual major impurities may remain in this system in concentrations of $10^{-10} - 10^{-7}$ mole mole, a rough estimate yields $N \sim 10^4 - 10^5$. $N$ is also employed in the one-dimensional nearest-neighbor exciton band dispersion along with the effective bandwidth of the transition to evaluate the resonance frequencies $\omega_n^\alpha$ of the states $|m\rangle$, which are used in $\Delta \omega$.

The population vector $r_n^\alpha$ may be evaluated from the Boltzmann distribution in the band, $^{4,5,12}$ Only this term contains the full bandwidth $\beta$ and the experimental temperature.

Finally, the scattering rate and its form must be chosen and incorporated into $K$. As shown earlier in Sec. II, the rate constant for leaving $|m\rangle$ is equal to the inverse of the dephasing time of the optical transition. Only $|k=0\rangle$ is optically accessible from the ground state with $T_{11}^\text{opt} = 20$ psec, but, since the ESR dephasing times are independent of the band state, it seems plausible to assume the same behavior in the optical case. Thus, it remains only to choose a specific form of the scattering distribution, and the steady-state ESR line shape may be calculated for TCB. However, to perform the full numerical solution, it would be necessary to solve a system of simultaneous equations of order $N \sim 10^4 - 10^5$. While possible in principle, it is more practical (and less costly) to perform the calculation for smaller values of $N$ and to extrapolate the observed trends.

There are thus two variable parameters in the line-shape calculation: (i) the number of band states, $N$, and (ii) the ratio of the scattering rate to the effective bandwidth, $k_s/B_g$. Given a specific choice of (i) and (ii), the ESR spectrum is calculated on a frequency scale calibrate in units of $B_g$. Comparison of the calculated to the experimental spectrum provides in effect a measurement of this quantity and thereby yields the absolute scattering rate used in the calculation. We will calculate the TCB spectrum over a range of $N$, optimizing the agreement to experiment for each choice of $N$ by varying the value of $k_s/B_g$ and then plot the absolute scattering rates $k_s$ vs $N$.

The optically detected ESR $|D_1 + |E_1 transition line shape in TCB was calculated for several different scattering models in the manner discussed above. Figure 1 displays the experimental spectrum, obtained at 3.2 K, along with a pair of theoretically calculated curves which were optimized to bracket the experimental data. The bracketing procedure allows us to place an error bar on each calculation. These curves were calculated using the nearest-neighbor ($\Delta k = 1$) scattering limit, with $N = 100$ and $k_s = 70 - 90$ psec. The agreement is excellent and typical of the fit obtained in all of the calculations. Figure 1 illustrates an important point. It is possible to reproduce the experimental data using exciton scattering times in the picosecond range when exchange is properly included.

A variety of scattering distributions was considered. In the order of increasing "average range" of scattering, the models employed were (i) nearest-neighbor; (ii) exponential, $R = 5\%$ of the exciton band; (ii) exponential, $R = 20\%$ of the exciton band; and (iv) Markovian, equal probability of scattering to any state in the band. For each of these models a set of calculations was performed in which $k_s/B_g$ was optimized for $N = 20$, 50, and 100. The relationships between these optimized scattering rates and the number of band states is shown in Fig. 2, a log-log plot of $k_s$ vs $N$. In each case the data fit a straight line with good precision, permitting extrapolation to large $N$. Consider the steepest line, labeled NN (for nearest neighbor). For a nearest neighbor scattering distribution, $N = 20$ band states requires a 2 psec scattering rate to fit the experimental ESR data, while $N = 50$ requires 320 psec. As discussed above the high-resolution optical spectrum implies a 20-psec scattering rate for TCB at these temperatures. Extrapolating the nearest-neighbor line to the 50 psec scattering line shows that nearest-neighbor scattering requires $N \approx 200$, a number slightly below the possible range. Extrapolating the exponential $R = 5\%$ distribution to the 20 psec scattering line yields $N \approx 40,000$. This value of $N$ fails in range consistent with experiment. In contrast, the longer ranged $R = 20\%$ exponential distribution requires an enormously greater number of band states, $N \approx 10^{10}$, a value which is orders of magnitude too large to be consistent with experiment. Finally, the Markovian scattering limit is impossible altogether to reconcile the ESR spectrum and the 20-psec scattering rate.

The results of these calculations demonstrate that TCB triplet exciton scattering at liquid helium temperatures occurs primarily to nearly isoenergetic states. This conclusion is necessary to reconcile the optical and ESR experiments. Independent evidence also suggests this scattering behavior. In an elegant experiment Schmidt and co-workers, $^3$ using stimulated spin-echo measurements, observed that frequency instabilities of different sizes occur at quite different rates. They inferred that spectral diffusion due to scattering of the exciton $|k\rangle$ states is limited to a few hundred kHz in a
These results show that exciton scattering is fast but that it occurs primarily to a small region of $k$ space surrounding the initial state. In this situation the exciton group velocity undergoes small rapid fluctuations but is basically constant. Exciton migration in TCB at low temperature, while not strictly coherent, is nevertheless essentially wavelike.
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