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This supplemental information is divided into sections for the classical MD, initial QM/MM, QM/MM AIMD, and QM critical point minimizations. The classical MD section includes the dihedral angle over time (Figure S1), solvent exchange of MeIm over time (Figure S2), and cluster analysis of the trajectory snapshots according to various parameters. The initial QM/MM section contains the overall absorption spectrum from classical MD snapshots decomposed by axial and equatorial geometries (Figure S4), and benchmarking for the $\omega$ parameter used for the $\omega$PBE TDDFT functional (Figure S5). The QM/MM AIMD section has a representative plot of MeIm distance from the HPTS hydroxyl group over time (Figure S6), the excitation energy of various initial conditions over time (Figures S7-8), and histogrammed excitation energy in two 500fs windows for initial conditions first minimized on S0 (Figure S9). The QM critical point section contains natural orbitals for each critical point with occupation number (Figure S10), the geometric differences between S0 and S1 for axial (AI) and equatorial (AII) geometries (Figure S11), and the geometries of the computed NEB transition state approximations (Figure S12).
1. Classical MD Trajectory

**Figure S1.** HPTS C-O-H angle, which is sensitive to the difference between axial (above 80°) and equatorial (below 80°), is plotted over time for the classical molecular dynamics trajectory. Transitions between axial and equatorial are observed rarely (twice in 100ns) and the dominant configuration is axial.
Figure S2. Plot of each methylimidazole (MeIm) that hydrogen bonds to HPTS over the course of the 100 ns trajectory. Above 4Å a particular MeIm is considered to be fully dissociated and is not plotted. MeIm's are labeled by Amber residue index from the prmtop.
2. Clustering Methods

Clustering was performed using Amber’s cpptraj program. Structures were clustered according to the radial distribution function (rdf) of the first solvation shell, hydrogen bond distance and dihedral angle of the HPTS hydroxyl group, and by RMSD of the heavy atoms using the ‘cluster’ keyword. Structures were extracted from the centroid of each cluster. For larger clusters, we included additional structures close to the centroid. In total, 6000 structures were extracted for further analysis with QM/MM.

Figure S3 shows the excitation energy plotted against the different clusters, with points colored by oscillator strength. In each case, they do not show strong correlation with average excitation energy or oscillator strength, aside from a small trend for higher energy excitations to have a lower oscillator strength.
Figure S3. Classical MD snapshots were clustered according to various metrics, including hydrogen bond strength in panel A, number of solvent molecules around HPTS in the first solvation shell in panel B, and the dihedral C-C-O-H angle of HPTS in panel C.
3. Initial QM/MM Results

Figure S4. Absorption spectra computed with QM/MM (wPBE, 6-31G**) from clustered snapshots from the classical MD trajectory. The net spectrum is shown in black. The spectrum computed from axial snapshots is shown in red, and the spectrum computed from equatorial snapshots is shown in blue. The axial structures have a broader and slightly red-shifted distribution on average compared to the equatorial, but they are not easily differentiable within the peak.
Figure S5. A representative set of ω parameter testing for the ωPBE functional. Below 0.2, a spurious low-oscillator strength state can appear involving sodium excitation as S₁, which is undesirable for our system.
4. QM/MM AIMD

Figure S6. Plot of methylimidazole (MIM) molecule distances from the HPTS hydroxyl H over time for representative axial and equatorial initial condition QM/MM AIMD trajectories on the S₁ surface. Melms are numbered by distance, where 1 is the closest Melm and 4 is the furthest. Over the course of 250 fs, the solvent between from 2.5-2.8 Å (Melm Ax/Eq 2-3) rearrange the most and show a definite trend of moving towards the HPTS hydroxyl group. In general, the solvent comes closer (Melm Ax/Eq 2-4), with the exception of the hydrogen-bound Melm which remains steady for both axial and equatorial. The solvent rearrangement is consistent over time for each.
Figure S7. Excitation energy computed over time for QMMM/AIMD for various initial conditions from the classical MD, with axial shown in red and equatorial shown in blue. The top shows the first 500 fs of the trajectory, and the bottom shows the trajectory in full.
**Figure S8.** Emission energy computed over time for QMMM/AIMD for initial conditions that were first minimized on S₀. Axial initial conditions are shown in red, and equatorial are shown in blue. The top shows the first 500 fs of the trajectory, and the bottom shows the trajectory in full.
Figure S9. Histogram of emission energy in 500 fs time windows for the axial (top) and equatorial (bottom) configurations from the initial conditions that were first minimized on S0. Histograms were computed for 20 bins.
5. QM Critical Points

**Figure S10.** Hole/ion pair orbitals for all computed minima in axial and equatorial configurations, shown here with occupation numbers.
Figure S11. Critical point geometries for axial (P) So and S1 (A1) on top and equatorial So and S1 (AII) on bottom. The labeled bonds are O-N, O-H, and H-N, where the N is from the hydrogen bonding MeIm molecule. O-N and H-N are solid black, and H-N is dashed black to denote the hydrogen bond. The labeled angles are C₂-O-H and O-H-N, where C₂ is the proximal carbon for the hydroxyl group and N is from the hydrogen bonding MeIm molecule. Angles are indicated with black triangles. The labeled dihedral is C₁-C₂-O-H, where C₂ is again the proximal carbon and C₁ is one carbon to the right along the long side of the molecule. The dihedral is labeled with a dashed line.
Figure S12. Transition state guess geometries from NEB calculations. On top is the transition state guess for the axial S1 deprotonation pathway. On the bottom are the transition state guesses for the axial to equatorial flipping mechanism on S0 (left) and S1 (right). The labeled bonds are O-N, O-H, and H-N, where the N is from the hydrogen bonding MeIm molecule. O-N and H-N are solid black, and H-N is dashed black to denote the hydrogen bond. The labeled angles are C2-O-H and O-H-N, where C2 is the proximal carbon for the hydroxyl group and N is from the hydrogen bonding MeIm molecule. Angles are indicated with black triangles. The labeled dihedral is C1-C2-O-H, where C2 is again the proximal carbon and C1 is one carbon to the right along the long side of the molecule. The dihedral is labeled with a dashed line.
**Figure S13.** Deprotonated S1 product geometry from the axial pathway. The labeled bonds are O-N, O-H, and H-N, where the N is from the newly protonated MeIm molecule. O-N and N-H are solid black, and O-H is dashed to denote the hydrogen bond. The labeled angles are C2-O-H and O-H-N, where C2 is the proximal carbon for the hydroxyl group and N is from the protonated MeIm molecule. Angles are indicated with black triangles. The labeled dihedral is C1-C2-O-H, where C2 is again the proximal carbon and C1 is one carbon to the right along the long side of the molecule. The dihedral is labeled with a dashed line.
Table S1. Set of geometry information and energies from Figure 12 in the main text. Shown are the filename for the geometry in the SI, the $S_0$ and $S_1$ energies for each geometry relative to the axial $S_0$ minimum in kcal/mol, and the $S_0$-$S_1$ gap in eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>SI filename</th>
<th>$E_{S0}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$E_{S1}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{S0/S1}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial $S_1$ Deprotonated Minimum</td>
<td>axial_s1_product.xyz</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>102.19</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial ↔ Protonated TS $S_1$</td>
<td>Ax2Deprot_TS.xyz</td>
<td>56.39</td>
<td>115.97</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial $S_1$ Minimum</td>
<td>axial_s1.xyz</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>85.77</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial $S_0$ Minimum</td>
<td>axial_s0.xyz</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>90.08</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial ↔ Equatorial TS $S_0$</td>
<td>Ax2EqS0_TS.xyz</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>120.21</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial ↔ Equatorial TS $S_1$</td>
<td>Ax2EqS1_TS.xyz</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>112.27</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial $S_0$ Minimum</td>
<td>equatorial_s0.xyz</td>
<td>25.99</td>
<td>113.00</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial $S_1$ Minimum</td>
<td>equatorial_s1.xyz</td>
<td>26.16</td>
<td>102.45</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>