Identical Water Dynamics in Acrylamide Hydrogels, Polymers, and Monomers in Solution: Ultrafast IR Spectroscopy and Molecular Dynamics Simulations
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ABSTRACT: The dynamics and structure of water in polyacrylamide hydrogels (PAAm-HG), polyacrylamide, and acrylamide solutions are investigated using ultrafast infrared experiments on the OD stretch of dilute HOD/H2O and molecular dynamics simulations. The amide moiety of the monomer/polymers interacts strongly with water through hydrogen bonding (H-bonding). The FT-IR spectra of the three systems indicate that the range of H-bond strengths is relatively unchanged from bulk water. Vibrational population relaxation measurements show that the amide/water H-bonds are somewhat weaker but fall within the range of water/water H-bond strengths. A previous study of water dynamics in PAAm-HG suggested that the slowing observed was due to increasing confinement with concentration. Here, for the same concentrations of the amide moiety, the experimental results demonstrate that the reorientational dynamics (infrared pump–probe experiments) and structural dynamics (two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy) are identical in the three acrylamide systems studied. Molecular dynamics simulations of the water orientational relaxation in aqueous solutions of the acrylamide monomer, trimer, and pentamer are in good agreement with the experimental results and are essentially chain length independent. The simulations show that there is a slower, low-amplitude (<7%) decay component not accessible by the experiments. The simulations examine the dynamics and structure of water H-bonded to acrylamide, in the first solvent shell, and beyond for acrylamide monomers and short chains. The experiments and simulations show that the slowing of water dynamics in PAAm-HG is not caused by confinement in the polymer network but rather by interactions with individual acrylamide moieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogels are three-dimensional networks of cross-linked, hydrophilic polymers that can absorb and retain large volumes of water. The cross-links allow the gels to maintain their structures when swelling. Aside from their high water uptake, these materials have many useful properties including porosity, viscoelasticity, and stimuli-responsive properties that can be altered by modifying the chemical nature of the polymer.1,2 Polycrylamide hydrogels are an example that have found uses as a culture substrate in cell biology, a medium for gel electrophoresis,3,4 a soil conditioner in agriculture,5,6 and lenses for eyesight correction.7 More recent technologies that try to utilize the potential of hydrogels include use as artificial muscles,8 drug delivery systems,9 and self-healing materials.10 Because water makes up the vast majority of the material volume of hydrogels, it has a strong influence on their overall properties. Water itself has many unique properties due to its extensive hydrogen bond (H-bond) network. These H-bonds are continually breaking and forming due to thermal fluctuations, completely rearranging the water network on a picosecond time scale. The structure of the H-bond network and its rearrangement are significantly affected by interactions with solute molecules and interfaces, altering the macroscopic properties of the system. Water in hydrogels is modified by its interactions with the polymer network giving rise to many of the features that are important for technological applications. However, there have been few direct studies of the dynamics and structure of water in hydrogels.11−16

Ultrafast infrared (IR) nonlinear spectroscopies are techniques that are well-suited for probing water H-bond dynamics. These methods have been successful in observing water dynamics in bulk water,17−20 the solvation shell of solutes such as salts,21−23 small organic molecules,24−26 larger biomolecules,27 and water confined to nanometer length scales in mesoporous silica,28 reverse micelles,29,30 and fuel cell membranes.31 The use of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in addition to the experiments provides a very...
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detailed look at local and global dynamics, structure, and interactions. In a previous study of water dynamics in polyacrylamide hydrogels using ultrafast IR experiments, it was found that water dynamics slowed as the polymer concentration increased. To rationalize these observations, models were used to estimate the effective pore size of the gels. This is a common procedure for techniques such as gel electrophoresis, where it is useful to have information on the pore size when separating biopolymers such as proteins and DNA. These models indicated that at the higher concentrations studied, water is confined on length scales that produce dramatic slow downs in many confined water systems. However, in contrast to systems such as reverse micelles or mesoporous silica, confinement in hydrogels is not as restrictive. In hydrogels, water or solute molecules are free to move throughout the entire network. In reverse micelles, water or solute molecules can only move within the nanopool, and in the nanochannels of silica, only a particular pore can be sampled. Nonetheless, the slowdown of water dynamics in polyacrylamide hydrogels seemed appropriate given the length scales of “confinement.”

In the current work, ultrafast polarization selective pump–probe (PSPP) and two-dimensional infrared (2D IR) vibrational echo spectroscopies were performed to observe water dynamics in acrylamide solutions (AAm), linear polyacrylamide gels (PAAm), and hydrogels (PAAm-HG). In addition, MD simulations were conducted to further elucidate the influence of acrylamide on water dynamics. The experiments highlight how the water dynamics are affected by polymer structure. PAAm lacks the cross-links that provide hydrogels their structural integrity while AAm is completely devoid of the polymer structure that confines water. The IR experiments were performed on the OD stretch of dilute HOD added to the acrylamide/water systems to eliminate vibrational excitation transfer (without changing the water dynamics). The linear IR spectra of the OD stretch in bulk water and in AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG are virtually identical. The dominant polymer/water interaction is H-bonding between water and the amide moiety of acrylamide, which has both H-bond donor and acceptor sites. This functional group gives hydrogels its characteristic, hydrophilic nature. The identity of the spectra indicates that the H-bond strengths in the three acrylamide systems and in bulk water are very similar. More detail on the H-bond strengths were obtained from the PSPP experiment, which showed that water hydroxyl H-bonds to acrylamide fall within the range of pure water H-bonds but are somewhat weaker on average.

Water orientational dynamics and structural evolution (H-bond network dynamics) were measured with the PSPP and 2D IR experiments. The measurements were conducted on the three acrylamide systems for two concentrations of the amide moiety. The surprising results demonstrate that at both concentrations, the dynamics are identical for the monomer, polymer, and cross-linked hydrogel. The dynamics are slower than that of bulk water and become slower when the concentration is increased, as observed in the earlier study of water dynamics in the hydrogels. However, the results clearly demonstrate that the slower dynamics of water in hydrogels is not a confinement effect. The experimental results indicate that the interactions between water and the amide moiety are the reason for slower dynamics and not the nanoscale confinement associated with the cross-linked hydrogel.

MD simulations were performed for aqueous solutions of the acrylamide monomer and two acrylamide oligomers, a trimer and a pentamer, at various concentrations to provide molecular-level insights into the experimental findings. The results of the simulated orientational relaxation for the three systems were found to be essentially identical. Over the experimental time window, 300 fs to 6 ps, the simulations are in very good agreement with the experimental results. The simulations also show a long time scale, low-amplitude component of the orientational relaxation that cannot be observed by the experiments (~3% to 7%, depending on the sample), which are limited by the vibrational lifetime. From the simulations, key water ensembles were identified based on their interactions in the acrylamide-based systems, and their associated dynamics were obtained. These calculations provide detailed examination of the aspects of the systems that control the water orientational relaxation and why the length of the acrylamide system does not play a significant role in water dynamics.

2. EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sample Preparation. Solid acrylamide was dissolved in water to prepare precursor solutions of known concentration (AAm). PAAm was polymerized from AAm using ammonium persulfate (APS) as an initiator and N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) as a catalyst. To prepare PAAm-HG, the cross-linker, N,N′-methylenbis(acrylamide) (Bis), was also added to the solutions before polymerization. The total polymer mass concentration, T, is presented in percentage weight over volume (w/v), where the mass includes both Bis and acrylamide. The weight percentage of Bis to the total polymer weight, C, was 3.3% for the hydrogels in this study. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with a purity >99% and used as received. Experiments were performed in triplicate on fresh samples of PAAm-HG, PAAm, and AAm. Only the hydrogels were studied previously, and the samples studied here were new. The experimental values for the hydrogels (see below) fall within the error bars of the previous measurements.

To perform the ultrafast IR experiments, 5% HOD in H2O, by mole fraction, was used to prepare the precursor solutions. The HOD probe is known to be an excellent reporter of water molecular dynamics in various chemical environments. The OD stretch of HOD is a local vibrational mode distinct from the overlapping symmetric and asymmetric OH modes present in H2O and is sufficiently diluted to avoid resonant vibrational excitation transfer between molecules. For the polymer samples, 10 μL of APS was added to 1 mL of precursor solution followed by 1 μL of TEMED. Immediately after the addition of TEMED, a few drops of solution were sealed between two CaF2 windows separated by a 12 μm Teflon spacer and initially allowed to polymerize for 1 h. After this period, the gel is mostly polymerized and the cell is then completely sealed with paraffin wax. This process ensures that the gel does not dry out over the course of the experiments. To ensure the sample was completely polymerized, experiments were performed 15 h after waxing. For the AAm solutions, the cell was also waxed to prevent changes in monomer concentration due to evaporation. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was used to confirm there were no changes before and after waxing the cell as well as after the experiments.

2.2. Laser System and IR Experiments. Linear IR spectra were measured using a Thermo Scientific iSS50 FT-IR spectrometer (resolution of 0.5 cm−1) which has a sample compartment that was purged of atmospheric carbon dioxide and water. The nonlinear IR experiments were performed using a laser system that has been previously described in detail. Briefly, a Ti:sapphire oscillator/regenerative amplifier system operating at 2 kHz was used to pump an optical parametric amplifier that generates mid-IR pulses centered at ~2510 cm−1 with pulse duration of ~60 fs. To perform the time-
resolved, nonlinear experiments, the mid-IR pulse was split into multiple beams and crossed in the sample to generate the nonlinear signal. The relative timings of the pulses were controlled by precision delay stages. The signal was frequency resolved by a spectrograph that has a 32 pixel mercury cadmium telluride detector.

For the P3P experiments, the mid-IR pulse was split in intensity (90:10) to produce a pump pulse and a weaker probe pulse. The pump was linearly polarized +45° relative to the probe, which was horizontally polarized (0°). Immediately following the sample, the nonlinear signal produced was resolved either parallel (+45°) or perpendicular (−45°) to the pump and then finally resolved horizontally before detection to avoid possible polarization bias from the spectrograph. The population relaxation, \( P(t) \), and anisotropy, \( r(t) \), which is related to the second-order Legendre polynomial orientational correlation function, \( C_2(t) \), can be calculated from the parallel and perpendicular signals, \( S_p(t) \) and \( S_s(t) \), respectively, using the following equations:

\[
P(t) = \left( 2S_p(t) + S_s(t) \right) / 3
\]

\[
r(t) = \frac{S_p(t) - S_s(t)}{S_p(t) + 2S_s(t)} = 0.4C_2(t)
\]

The vibrational relaxation of the OD stretch leads to a transient, long-lived pump–probe signal due to the dissipation of vibrational energy as heat into the system. This isotropic signal was removed using a well-documented procedure to accurately measure the dynamics of interest.

For the 2D IR experiments, the mid-IR pulse was split into three excitation pulses, to induce a nonlinear vibrational echo signal, and a local oscillator, which is combined with the nonlinear signal for optical heterodyne detection. The excitation beams were spatially overlapped in the box-CARS geometry so that the signal is generated in a distinct direction. In the 2D IR experiment, the first two pulses label and store the initial frequencies of probe molecules, giving rise to the horizontal axis, \( \omega_h \), in the 2D spectra. Following a delay, \( T_e \), after the second pulse, the third pulse initiates the vibrational echo which reports the final frequencies, giving rise to the vertical axis, \( \omega_v \), in the 2D spectra. At early \( T_e \), the 2D line shape is elongated along the diagonal as the initial frequencies are significantly correlated with the final frequencies. As \( T_e \) proceeds, the frequencies become less correlated due to structural reorganization, making the 2D line shape rounder. This change in shape is used to determine the normalized frequency-frequency correlation function using the center line slope method (CLS).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Characterizing Water Structure and Amide/Water Hydrogen Bond Strengths

The OD stretch vibrational mode of HOD in bulk water has a broad spectrum because of the wide range of H-bonding configurations.
Water molecules that absorb at lower frequencies of the hydroxyl stretch have stronger and/or more H-bonds, while those that absorb at higher frequencies have weaker and/or fewer H-bonds. Solutes in aqueous solution influence the water H-bond network, changing the distribution of the H-bonds as well as their strengths and dynamics. These changes can influence the shape and position of the OD stretch absorption. For example, in some salt solutions and in confining environments, like reverse micelles and Nafion fuel cell membranes, a distinct subensemble of water molecules with weaker and/or fewer H-bonds is observed at higher frequencies. If the spectral shift is sufficiently large, curve fitting can distinguish water H-bonded to other water molecules from those bound to solutes or interfacial moieties.

The linear spectra of the OD stretch of HOD in bulk water, AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG at $T = 10\%$ and $25\%$ are shown in Figure 1. At $T = 10\%$, the four spectra are identical. At $T = 25\%$, there is a very small broadening on the red side of the spectrum for the three acrylamide systems compared to water. This broadening is caused by the presence of the ND stretching mode, which arises from hydrogen/deuterium exchange between HOD and the primary amide group of acrylamide. Aside from this, the spectra of the OD stretching mode for the monomer and polymer samples are essentially identical to the spectrum in bulk water. The amide moiety of acrylamide, which has both H-bond donor and acceptor sites, allows H-bonding interactions to occur between water and the monomer/polymers. This is an initial indication that amide/water H-bonds have similar H-bond strengths as water/water H-bonds.

The population relaxation, $P(t)$, of the OD stretch of HOD obtained from the PSPP experiment (eq 1) is sensitive to the local interactions of the water molecule. The vibrational lifetime reflects how quickly vibrational excitation dissipates from the OD mode into intramolecular and intermolecular modes. The vibrational lifetimes of the OD stretch of HOD in bulk water, AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG at $T = 10\%$ and $25\%$, as a function of frequency, are shown in Figure 2. The analysis of the PSPP observables were limited to just below the center frequency of the OD stretching mode as the $1^{-2}$ transition overlaps with the $0^{-1}$ transition at lower frequencies, complicating analysis of the data in this region. The OD stretch in bulk water has a lifetime of $\sim 1.8$ ps with a very slight frequency dependence, ranging from 1.76 to 1.82 ps, increasing toward higher frequencies. The lifetimes of the monomer and two polymer samples are fit best by single exponentials. Compared to that of bulk water, these lifetimes show much greater frequency dependence, which increases with concentration. For each concentration, the lifetimes of the three samples at a given frequency are the same within experimental error and have virtually the pure water lifetimes at the lowest frequency. The lifetimes range from $\sim 1.77$ to 1.92 ps for $T = 10\%$, and up to 2.03 ps for $T = 25\%$.

25\% there is a very small broadening on the red side of the spectrum for the three acrylamide systems compared to water. This broadening is caused by the presence of the ND stretching mode, which arises from hydrogen/deuterium exchange between HOD and the primary amide group of acrylamide. Aside from this, the spectra of the OD stretching mode for the monomer and polymer samples are essentially identical to the spectrum in bulk water. The amide moiety of acrylamide, which has both H-bond donor and acceptor sites, allows H-bonding interactions to occur between water and the monomer/polymers. This is an initial indication that amide/water H-bonds have similar H-bond strengths as water/water H-bonds.
On the basis of these calculations, it can be concluded that the frequency dependence of the single exponential lifetime is due to the presence of an amide/water H-bonding component with a similar but somewhat different lifetime compared to bulk water. Therefore, Figure 2 provides information on the strength of hydroxyl H-bonds made to acrylamide vs water. The frequency dependence observed is caused by a change in the population amplitudes of the two components of the decays. As the frequency increases, the amplitude of the water/water H-bond component (1.8 ps) becomes smaller relative to that of the amide/water component (~3.6 ps), resulting in a slower decay of what appears to be a single exponential. This indicates that the amide/water H-bond spectrum is shifted somewhat to the blue of the water/water H-bond spectrum and therefore, the amide/water H-bonds are weaker on average than water/water H-bonds. The shift is not large as shown by the spectra in Figure 1. The amide/water spectral distribution falls within the water/water distribution, and must be narrower to make the bulk water and the acrylamide/water spectra appear the same in Figure 1. As seen in Figure 2, the data on the red side of the plot has the acrylamide solutions’ lifetimes almost identical to that of bulk water, which suggests that a negligible fraction of the acrylamide/water OD absorption occurs this far to the red. The net result is that the amide/water H-bonds are on average weaker than water/water H-bonds; the distribution is narrower and shifted to the blue, but falls within the water/water distribution. These results are in agreement with previous simulations of amide/water interactions of similar organic molecules such as urea and various amino acids.37,38

3.2. Water Orientational Dynamics. The anisotropy decays of HOD in bulk water, AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG near the center frequency are shown in Figure 3. For a single ensemble of HOD molecules, the anisotropy, \( r(t) \), is proportional to the second-order Legendre polynomial orientational correlation function, \( C_2(t) \), and is given in eq 2. For the HOD molecule, this correlation function tracks the OD bond vector as it samples angular space. At \( \theta = 0 \), the orientation of the bond vector is perfectly correlated and the anisotropy gives a value of 0.4. Ultrafast inertial motions, < 100 fs, which cannot be observed in the experiments due to the pulse duration, make the data appear to start at a value slightly below 0.4.64 As time proceeds, HOD undergoes orientational randomization and eventually completely loses memory of its initial orientation, corresponding to a value of 0. In bulk water, the anisotropy can be described by a single exponential decay with a time constant of 2.6 ps.20,21

The anisotropy decays of HOD in AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG are best fit to biexponentials. The form of these decays can be analyzed using the wobbling-in-a-cone model, where the faster time constant is related to reorientation in a restricted angular space, defined by a cone of half angle, \( \theta_c \), and the slower time constant describes complete orientational relaxation.35–37

\[
C_2(t) = [S_2^2 + (1 - S_2^2)\exp(-t/t_1)]\exp(-t/t_2)
\]

where \( t_1 \) is the restricted angular diffusion correlation time, \( t_2 \) is the reorientation time associated with H-bond exchanges, and \( S_2 \) is the square of the generalized order parameter

\[
S_2 = \frac{1}{2}\cos(\theta_0)[1 + \cos(\theta_0)]
\]

It is important to recall the discussion in section 3.1 which explains that the frequency dependence of the lifetime is a result of two nonresolvable but different lifetimes for water/water and amide/water H-bonding. Thus, it might be expected that there are two distinct orientational relaxation subensembles present in the data. In this circumstance, it would be necessary to fit the anisotropy to the two-component model as has been done in previous chemical systems such as reverse micelles.29 However, fitting the anisotropy of HOD in AAm and the polymers to this model yields poor fits to the data. Water orientational relaxation occurs via jump diffusion in which an OD group undergoes a large-amplitude angular “jump” when exchanging H-bond acceptors.34 A minor component is associated with the reorientation of intact H-bonded pairs. Given that most of the H-bonds are between water molecules and that the strengths of the amide/water H-bonds fall within the range of the strengths of water/water H-bonds, it is reasonable that water reorientation will appear as a single ensemble. Thus, the wobbling-in-a-cone interpretation is more appropriate to describe the data. The details of water reorientation in acrylamide-based systems will be explicated in great detail using simulations in later sections.

In Figure 3, it is apparent that the decays are identical within the noise and slower than the bulk water orientational relaxation (green curve with fit). The purple curves are fits to the combined data of the three decays curves (concatenated fits) for \( T = 10\% \) and \( 25\% \) using the wobbling-in-a-cone model. Each data set was also fit individually and the results are given.
in Table 1. The fit parameters are identical for AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG within the small experimental error. The restricted and H-bond exchange reorientation times were observed to increase with concentration and the wobbling cone angle becomes larger with increasing concentration.

In a previous study of PAAm-HG, the slow water dynamics were attributed to increasing water confinement from the polymer structure as the concentration increased. Two models were used to estimate the pore sizes of the PAAm-HG with respect to the polymer concentration: the Ogston model, which describes the polymer structure as randomly arranged, linear polymer chains, and a cubic lattice model, which describes the structure as a uniformly cross-linked polymer network. One could consider these models as two extremes, with the real cross-linked polymer network structure lying between them. The Ogston and lattice models differed by a factor of $\sqrt{3}$ in the main term of the pore size estimation.

However, the orientational relaxation results for the three acrylamide systems presented in Figure 3 and Table 1 are truly remarkable. There are no differences in the water orientational dynamics among acrylamide monomers, polymers, or cross-linked polymers. Water in a hydrogel behaves dynamically the same as water in a solution of monomers. Neither cross-linking nor polymerization has a measurable impact on the water orientational dynamics.

The water dynamics observed here are quite different from systems of confined water such as reverse micelles, fuel cell membranes, and lamellar water structures. In very small reverse micelles, confinement leads to a dramatic slowing of the water dynamics, e.g., $\sim 30$ ps. We note that some simulations show that confinement can sometimes lead to homogeneous or nonmonotonic spatial dependence of dynamics in the confined volume. However, the polymers are different from these systems as they do not provide an interface to spatially confine the water molecules. Rather, the polymer network provides an open structure that is segmented by polymer chains. In a confined system such as reverse micelles or mesoporous silica, a water molecule cannot move from one confined space to another except on vastly long time scales. In a hydrogel, a water molecule can readily move from one pore to another. In this regard, even though the hydrogel pore sizes described by the models are in a range where confinement has a substantial effect on water dynamics in other systems, the interconnectivity of these spaces and the lack of an interface apparently makes the water orientational dynamics within the polymer network more analogous to an aqueous solution than a confined environment.

There are well-described theories for the slowing of water orientational dynamics in aqueous solutions. As mentioned above, it is well-known through simulations that water reorientation occurs via jump diffusion. In contrast to Debye rotational diffusion, the mechanism of jump diffusion involves large-amplitude, concerted angular motions through the breaking and making of H-bonds of a significant number of water molecules. For an OD group to make a jump, it simultaneously forms an H-bond with an approaching, under-coordinated water as it breaks its current H-bond, passing through a transition state where the rotating OD forms a bifurcated H-bond with both its initial and final water H-bond acceptors. In general, the mechanism is not modified if the initial H-bond acceptor is a solute molecule, but the solute can affect the rate at which H-bond exchanges occur.

The reorientation times of water near solutes are primarily determined by the number of pathways for H-bond exchange available to water molecules, which is modified by the presence of the solute (an entropic, excluded volume effect), and the H-bond strengths, an enthalpic contribution associated with the H-bond accepting properties of the solute site. A more recent study indicated that there is also slowing of the dynamics of solvent molecules around constrained or "frozen" solute molecules. This is certainly relevant to comparing the water dynamics near free monomers and polymers in solution. However, the reorientation dynamics revealed here show no evidence of this mechanism.

Simulations of water near a number of different amino acids have been performed to understand water dynamics within the hydration layer of proteins. Of notable interest are the polar, uncharged amino acids asparagine and glutamine which have the primary amide group in their side chains, much like acrylamide. For these amino acids, the H-bond strength of water to the carbonyl group of the amino acids was found to be on par with that of water/water H-bonds, as is true for acrylamide as discussed in Section 3.1. Slow water dynamics near these amino acids are primarily due to the excluded volume effect. The same mechanism is applicable to acrylamide monomers/polyomers. The number of pathways available for water to reorient near the amide group and the hydrophobic carbon chain of the acrylamide monomer is reduced. This mechanism has been used to explain experimentally measured water dynamics in concentrated urea/water mixtures and aqueous trimethylamine-N-oxide solutions.

3.3. Spectral Diffusion. The fluctuations of the vibrational frequencies of an ensemble of molecules within its inhomogeneously broadened absorption spectrum, known as spectral diffusion, are caused by the structural evolution of the medium. The vibrational frequency fluctuations are described by the frequency-frequency correlation function (FFCF). As pre-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$t_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$t_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\theta$ (deg)</th>
<th>$t_3$ (ps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Bulk water</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>AAm</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm-HG</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concatenated Fit</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>AAm</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm-HG</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
viously mentioned, the absorption spectrum of the OD stretch of HOD is inhomogeneously broadened due to the wide range of H-bond strengths and number of H-bonds. The time evolution of these structural configurations causes spectral diffusion. The 2D IR experiment provides access to the FFCF through the time dependence of the shape of the 2D spectra (see SI, Figure S5) and the normalized FFCF can be obtained using the center line slope method (CLS). Many spectra are measured in a 2D IR experiment to obtain the CLS(Tw) decay that equals, under reasonable assumptions, the normalized FFCF.

The FFCF is the probability that the vibrational probe with a frequency at time t = 0 has the same frequency at a later time, averaged over all the frequencies in the inhomogeneously broadened absorption line shape. The complete FFCF is typically described with the Kubo model

\[ \langle \delta \omega(t) \delta \omega(0) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{i}^{2} \exp(-t/\tau_{i}) \]  

where \( C_{\omega}(t) \) is the normalized FFCF, and the frequency fluctuation, \( \delta \omega(t) = \omega(t) - \langle \omega(t) \rangle \), is the difference between the instantaneous frequency at time t and the average frequency, \( \langle \omega(t) \rangle \), respectively, while \( \Delta_{i} \) and \( \tau_{i} \) are the amplitude and the time constant of the i-th decay pathway, respectively. If a pathway is in the motionally narrowed limit, \( \Delta_{i} \tau_{i} \lesssim 1 \), then it contributes to the homogeneous line width, \( \Gamma = 1/\tau_{2} \), which is the result of ultrafast fluctuations, i.e., pure dephasing processes, as well as the vibrational lifetime and orientational relaxation. The homogeneous component is given by \( \frac{1}{\tau_{1}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{2}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{fl}}} + \frac{1}{3\tau_{w}} \) where \( T_{2} \) is the total homogeneous dephasing time, \( T_{\text{fl}} \) is the pure dephasing time, \( T_{1} \) is the vibrational lifetime, and \( T_{w} \) is the orientational correlation time. Using the normalized FFCF and the linear spectrum, the homogeneous line width, \( \Gamma_{s} \), as well as the amplitudes of the frequency fluctuations, \( \Delta_{i}^{2} \), are obtained.

The CLS(Tw) decays of HOD in bulk water and AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG at T = 10% and 25% were obtained from the 2D spectra and are shown in Figure 4. The CLS(Tw) decay of bulk water fits well to a biexponential, showing that there are two major structural processes giving rise to spectral diffusion. MD simulations of bulk water have shown that the first process, which occurs in 0.4 ps, corresponds to local fluctuations in H-bond lengths, while the second process, which occurs in 1.7 ps, corresponds to structural changes of the H-bond network. Recent work has indicated that the structural changes primarily involve H-bond rearrangements (including breaking and reforming of an H-bond) between H-bond jumps as well as a small contribution from successful H-bond exchanges. In Figure 4, the CLS(Tw) decays and their fits do not start at 1 for Tw = 0 because of the homogeneous line width. It is the difference from 1 of the initial value of the curve that allows the homogeneous line width to be calculated.

The CLS decays for AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG also fit to biexponential decays with time constants that are within error of each other for each concentration. Parameters for the complete FFCF were calculated and are given in Table 2. Like the orientational relaxation (Figure 3), solutions of the monomer, the polymer, and the hydrogel have identical spectral diffusion dynamics within experimental error. The dynamical processes that give rise to spectral diffusion for water in the acrylamide solutions should be the same as those of bulk water. Thus, as observed in the previous study of the hydrogel, the time scale for local H-bond length fluctuations is unchanged while the time scale for H-bond network structural fluctuations increases with concentration (see Table 2). The curves in Figure 4 for the same concentration have the same shape but appear to have very slightly different intercepts at Tw = 0. However, the differences are small, and the order of the curve intercepts is different for the two concentrations. The small differences and the lack of consistency in the curve ordering indicates that the differences in the intercepts are experimental error. There is a notable reduction in the homogeneous line width in the three types of acrylamide solutions compared to bulk water, and the difference is larger at the higher concentration.

Since the FFCF reports on the time dependence of structural changes in the environment surrounding the HOD molecule, it is possible for the observable to report on polymer chain dynamics. Comparison between the dynamics of the monomer solution and those of the polymers show no indication of distinct polymer chain dynamics. The slower H-bond network structural fluctuations, given by \( \tau_{2} \) (see Table 2), is the same for the monomer and polymer solutions, consistent with the orientational relaxation data (Figure 3 and Table 1). The net result of the experiments is that water dynamics, i.e., orientational relaxation and spectral diffusion (structural dynamics) are insensitive to whether the solution contains acrylamide monomers, polymers, or cross-linked polymer hydrogels. The nature of this surprising result will be analyzed in detail with the simulations presented below.

### 3.4. Water Orientational Dynamics from MD Simulations

The water orientational correlation function, \( C_{\omega}(t) \), has been calculated for each of the PAAm chain lengths and concentrations. The results are shown in Figure 5 for times out to 10 ps, which is somewhat longer than the time range observable in the measurements. A comparison of the simulation decays to those from the experiment are shown in Figure 6. The ultrafast inertial component, which has time dependence that is not resolvable in the experiments, is substantially too large in the simulation. Therefore, the simulations were scaled to the data at 1.8 ps. As can be seen in Figure 6, the simulations reproduce the experimental data
quite well from 0.3 to 6 ps, the experimental time range, which is limited by the OD stretch vibrational lifetime. $C_2(t)$ over the full 50 ps time interval obtained from the simulations is given in Figure S2 of the SI.

The simulated $C_2(t)$ is well described by a single exponential fit in the range $t = 2$−10 ps and was used to extract the reorientation time, $t_2$, associated with H-bond exchanges, which are provided in Table 3. The results are in good agreement with current and previous measurements. 16 In particular, as the acrylamide concentration increases, the reorientation time increases, but there is a minimal dependence on chain length for a given concentration. For example, moving from a concentration of 5% to 40%, $t_2$ increases from 3.0 to 6.7 ps for the 1-mer and an almost identical increase, from 2.8 to 6.5 ps, is found for the 5-mer. The simulated OD reorientation times are quantitatively faster than those observed in the experiment, by ~0.4 ps in the 10% solutions and ~1.2−1.5 ps in the 25% solutions. We note that the simulations also find a slower decay component of $C_2(t)$ at times longer than 10 ps (Figure S2); the time scale of this decay does vary with chain length, but it is low in amplitude (~3% for $T = 10\%$ and ~7% for $T = 25\%$) and not observable in the experiments due to the OD vibrational lifetime. This long time decay is possibly due to a small amount of water that is restricted because of slow polymer motions. This picture would agree with the long time scale dynamics measured in recent dielectric relaxation experiments and time-dependent fluorescence Stokes shift measurements on triblock copolymer gels.76−78 These techniques can report on polymer dynamics in addition to water dynamics.

Using the calculated arrangements of the water molecules, the orientational correlation function was calculated for ODs that are H-bonded to acrylamide, in the first solvation shell but not H-bonded, and in the “bulk” (outside the first solvation shell). The results are shown in Figure SB for the $T = 10\%$ solution, and the time scales (obtained from single-exponential fits for 2−10 ps) for all concentrations are given in Table 4. From Figure SB and Table 4, it can be seen that ODs that are

### Table 2. Complete FFCF Parameters from CLS Decays and Linear Absorption Spectra of the OD Stretch of HOD in Bulk Water and AAm, PAAm, and PAAm-HG at $T = 10\%$ and 25\%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta_1$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\Delta_2$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Bulk water</td>
<td>$67 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$43 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$31 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>AAm</td>
<td>$55 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$44 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$36 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$3.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm</td>
<td>$60 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$41 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$37 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$3.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm-HG</td>
<td>$58 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$41 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$37 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>AAm</td>
<td>$50 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$39 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$42 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$4.4 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm</td>
<td>$56 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$38 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$41 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAAm-HG</td>
<td>$55 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$37 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$42 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$4.2 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Complete Reorientation Times Obtained from MD Simulations (Fit to a Single Exponential between 2−10 ps)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>1-mer ($t_2$ (ps))</th>
<th>3-mer ($t_2$ (ps))</th>
<th>5-mer ($t_2$ (ps))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$3.0 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$2.9 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$3.1 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$4.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$4.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$6.7 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$6.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$6.5 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
donating an H-bond to acrylamide exhibit the slowest reorientation times, followed by those in the first solvation shell, and the “bulk” ODs have dynamics nearly the same as in neat water. The ODs that are in the first solvation shell, H-bonded or not, display some chain-length dependence, with the reorientation time growing with the chain length. This is the opposite of the (very modest) change with chain length for the overall reorientation dynamics shown in Figure 5A and Table 3, which are slowest for the 1-mer. This is due to the larger number of first solvation shell water molecules per acrylamide unit for the 1-mer compared to the 3- and 5-mer chains. This is illustrated in Figure 7, where the numbers of H-bonded, n_{HB}, and first solvation shell, n_{first}, ODs are plotted as a function of concentration for the monomer and oligomers. The declining n_{first} with acrylamide chain length is a consequence of the greater available volume exposed to water for an acrylamide unit at the end of a polymer compared to one in the middle. The reduction in both n_{HB} and n_{first} with concentration reflects increasing overlap in the acrylamide solvation shells leading to fewer total waters interacting with each acrylamide unit; this overlap is greatest for the 1-mer.

The OD reorientation time scales for groups in the “bulk” (t_{2,bulk}) are given in Table 4 and show a modest dependence on chain length. At low concentrations (5–10%) t_{2,bulk} = 2.7–2.8 ps, which is essentially that found in neat water, 2.65 ps. There is a slight increase of this bulk time scale as acrylamide is added. This suggests that water molecules outside the first solvation shell have only modestly affected orientational dynamics at higher acrylamide concentrations.

The reorientation dynamics of these water populations observed in the simulation also have concentration dependence. As the concentration of the acrylamide molecules increases, the reorientation times increase for all observed water populations (shown in Table 4). Specifically, for the water in the first solvation shell, H-bonded or not, as the concentration increases from T = 5% to 40%, the reorientation time slows by a factor of ~2. This increase in reorientation time is a result of the excluded volume effect, previously mentioned in the text. As the concentration increases, water molecules will more likely be in overlapping solvation shells of acrylamide molecules increasing the overall slowing effect from the solute. The water that manages to remain outside of these solvation shells, observed as t_{2,bulk}, are still affected by this, but to a lesser degree.

Importantly, our results demonstrate that there are distinct populations of water molecules surrounding acrylamide molecules that exhibit different reorientation times. While the populations involving ODs near acrylamide do show some dependence on the chain length, this effectively disappears when averaging over all water molecules in the solution, in agreement with the experimental results. In the next section, we explore the origins of this behavior.

3.5. Three-State Model. The independence of water dynamics on the acrylamide chain length can be reasonably described with a simple model that assumes the overall reorientation time scale arises from three populations of OD groups, those (i) donating an H-bond to acrylamide, (ii) in the first solvation shell of acrylamide (but not donating an H-bond to it), and (iii) the remaining “bulk” waters. At low concentrations, i.e., when the first solvation shells of acrylamide molecules do not overlap, the OD reorientation time can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{t_2} = \frac{f_{\text{HB}}}{t_{2,\text{HB}}} + \frac{f_{\text{first}}}{t_{2,\text{first}}} + \frac{f_{\text{bulk}}}{t_{2,\text{bulk}}}
\]

where \( f_{\text{HB}}, f_{\text{first}}, \) and \( f_{\text{bulk}} \) are the fractions of water ODs donating an H-bond to acrylamide, in the first solvation shell (but not H-bonded to acrylamide), and outside the first solvation shell.

The fraction of water OD groups in each category can be obtained based on a geometric picture informed by the simulation results. The fraction of water ODs H-bonded to acrylamide can be calculated as

\[
f_{\text{HB}} = \frac{\text{N}_{\text{HB}}}{\text{N}_w}
\]

Table 4. Reorientation Times for Distinct Water Populations Obtained from MD Simulations (Fit to a Single Exponential between 2–10 ps)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th>t_{2,\text{HB}} (ps)</th>
<th>t_{2,\text{first}} (ps)</th>
<th>t_{2,\text{bulk}} (ps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Number of water hydroxyls (A) donating an H-bond to, n_{HB}, and (B) in the first solvation shell but not H-bonded to acrylamide, n_{first}, determined from the calculated RDFs based on criteria specified in section 2.4.
where \( N_{Am} \) is the number of acrylamide molecules, \( s \) is the number of acrylamide monomers in the molecule (i.e., the chain length), \( n_{HB} \) is the average number of ODs donating an H-bond to acrylamide sites per monomer unit, and \( N_w \) is the total number of water molecules.

To calculate \( f_{first} \), we turn to a simple model, illustrated in Figure 8, in which the acrylamide polymers are considered as one “end” group and a variable number of “middle” units. We then assume that the number of ODs in the first solvation shell of an end group, \( n_{end} \), or a middle group, \( n_{mid} \), is independent of chain length. Then, the total number of OD groups in the first solvation shell of acrylamide is \( n_{first} = N_{Am} n_{end} + (s-1) N_{Am} n_{mid} \), giving the fraction of ODs in the first solvation shell as

\[
f_{first} = \frac{N_{Am}(n_{end} - n_{mid})}{2N_w} + \frac{sN_{Am} n_{mid}}{2N_w} \tag{10}
\]

The fraction of bulk ODs is then obtained as \( f_{bulk} = 1 - f_{HB} - f_{first} \). The reorientation time averaged over all waters, eq 8, can then be written using eqs 9 and 10 as

\[
\frac{1}{t_2} = \frac{1}{t_{2,bulk}} - \frac{N_{Am}}{2N_w} (n_{end} - n_{mid}) \left( \frac{1}{t_{2,bulk}} - \frac{1}{t_{2,first}} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{sN_{Am}}{2N_w} \left[ n_{HB} \left( \frac{1}{t_{2,bulk}} - \frac{1}{t_{2,HB}} \right) + n_{mid} \left( \frac{1}{t_{2,bulk}} - \frac{1}{t_{2,first}} \right) \right] \tag{11}
\]

Here, the chain length, \( s \), appears only in the third term. However, by recognizing that
the approach of new H-bond acceptors. The choice of time scales from a high concentration partly accounts for slower dynamics in overlapping solvation shells, in which a single water molecule interacts with more than one acrylamide molecule, but still has underlying implications for the model that are observed in the next section.

3.7. Model Results: Chain Length Dependence. We can examine the predictions of the model in comparison to the simulations. First, it is useful to consider the fraction of ODs in the different populations in comparison to those directly calculated for the 1-, 3-, and 5-mer solutions. This is shown in Figure 9 where, \( f_{HB} \) and \( f_{first} \) are plotted as a function of chain length for \( T = 5 \), 10, and 25% solutions. The model assumes the fraction of ODs donating H-bonds to acrylamide is independent of chain length for a given concentration. For all concentrations, the predictions of the model are in good agreement with the direct results of the simulations. However, as discussed above, accounting for overlapping acrylamide solvation shells is critical for the description of higher concentrations. This is illustrated in Figure S4 where results from eq 13, which assumes nonoverlapping solvation shells, are compared to the full model; the two descriptions are similar, and both are accurate, for \( T = 5 \) and 10%, but the effect of shared solvation shell must be included to obtained the correct description of \( f_{first} \) for \( T = 25\% \). This effect is greater for the 1-mer molecules, which are considered end units, because it has a larger number of first solvation shell OH groups per acrylamide unit (\( n_{OH} > n_{end} \)). Note that both \( f_{HB} \) and \( f_{first} \) approach constant values as the chain length, \( s \), increases for both models considered. Thus, the model and simulation results demonstrate that the OD populations with slower dynamics depend on the chain length most strongly at the shortest chain lengths.

The time scales predicted by the model are compared to those obtained from the simulations in Figure 9. The agreement is very good for the lower concentrations (5 and 10%), but the 1-mer reorientation times are overestimated at higher concentrations where the 3- and 5-mer time scales are underestimated. The deviations between the model and the simulation results, that are magnified at higher concentrations, largely arise from the assumption of concentration- and, to a lesser degree, chain length-independent time scales for the different populations (see Table 4) that particularly modify the behavior at small chain lengths; note that correcting this simplification in the model would further decrease the magnitude of the chain length dependence. A key feature of both the model and simulations, however, is the relative independence with respect to chain length (\( s \)), illustrated in the model results for to \( s = 1 \) to 20.

The three-state model shows that the chain-length independence of water dynamics in acrylamide solutions can be understood as a local effect. The experimental and simulation results can thus be understood as arising from the slowed dynamics of the water molecules that are in direct contact with the acrylamide molecules, either by donating an H-bond or lying in the first solvation shell. It is this behavior and the lack of aggregation of the acrylamide that leads to the chain length-independent dynamics.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Here, we have reported remarkable experimental observations and explicated them with MD simulations and an analytical model. Hydrogels are known for being amazingly versatile materials despite being largely made of water. A hydrogel, i.e., certain hydrophilic, cross-linked polymers like the polyacrylamide hydrogel studied here, can hold an amazing amount of water even when the polymer mass concentration (\( T \)) is quite low. It would be reasonable to assume that water within the hydrogel is profoundly influenced by its interactions with the cross-linked polymer network. We measured the orientational relaxation and spectral diffusion of water in cross-linked polyacrylamide (hydrogels), linear polyacrylamide, and acrylamide monomers at \( T = 10\% \) and 25%. The time-dependent data were identical for the three samples within small experimental error. Water has the same dynamics whether it was in the cross-linked polymer network, or mixed in solution with the long chain polymer or the monomer, as long as the concentration of acrylamide units is maintained. The water dynamics are slower than bulk water and slow down more as \( T \) is increased. However, the influence on water is solely determined by its interactions with the acrylamide moieties, whether they exist as monomers, polymers, or cross-linked polymers. Therefore, the properties of polyacrylamide hydrogels are not caused by a unique effect on water resulting from interactions with the cross-linked polymer network.

These observations are in contrast to the interpretation of previous experiments that were conducted solely on polyacrylamide hydrogels. In this study, the slowing of the water dynamics in the hydrogels was thought to arise from the increasing effect of confinement in the polymer network. Slowing of water dynamics in confined systems such as the water nanopolars of small reverse micelles is caused by geometric confinement of water by an interface. The water pool is completely surrounded by the surface shell of the surfactant that makes up the reverse micelle and thus, a water molecule cannot diffuse from one micelle to another.
Theoretical estimates of the size of the hydrogel "pores" suggested that the size was in the range of which significant confinement effects would be seen in confined systems. However, a hydrogel is very different from a reverse micelle. The hydrogel network is composed of thin strands of polymer criss-crossing a large pool of water. The network is open, and water can diffuse throughout the hydrogel. The results presented here show there are no significant confinement effects. The effects on water dynamics are only through the interactions of water with acrylamide moieties whether they are monomers, polymers, or cross-linked polymers.

The observed slowdown of the orientational relaxation and spectral diffusion (structural dynamics) are caused solely by the disruption of the H-bond network via the presence of the solvated monomer. The availability of H-bonding partners, necessary for water to reorient in its network, is reduced by the presence of acrylamide monomer units. Linear IR spectroscopy and population relaxation measurements from the third-order PSPP experiments were used to understand the strength of H-bonding between the acrylamide monomer unit and water. Though the linear spectra of the OD stretch vibration of HOD in the monomer/polymers were identical to those of bulk water, the population dynamics indicated that the amide/water H-bonding interaction was on average weaker but within the range of water/water H-bonds.

The orientational dynamics of water in the acrylamide-based systems were further investigated using MD simulations. The acrylamide monomer and oligomers of length three and five units in aqueous solution were used to model the experiments. The simulations came reasonably close to reproducing the orientational relaxation data over the accessible time window of the experiments and the independence of the degree of polymerization. By categorizing the water molecules based on whether they were in the first solvation shell of the solute and whether they were H-bonding to amide moieties, more details were observed about the nature of the water dynamics in these systems. The reorientation times were found to be slowest for the waters that were H-bonded to the amide moiety and slower than neat water for those that were within the first solvation shell of acrylamide monomer/polymers but not H-bonded. As the proportions of each species changed with concentration, the overall dynamics, as observed in the simulations and the experiments, were modified accordingly. On the basis of the fractions of each population and their respective dynamics, a model was devised to show how the water dynamics in the experiments may be independent of polymerization. This model worked well in reproducing the water orientational dynamics at low and moderate concentrations. At the highest concentrations, the model deviated from the results of the simulation, but it was shown that this was due to quantitative changes in the reorientation time scales of the different water populations as the water content of the system decreased.

The results presented in this study provide insight into the dynamics of water in hydrogels as well as other biological and synthetic polymers. It has been shown that the water dynamics and major aspects of the water structure, which have a significant impact on the macroscopic properties of the gel, pre-exist in the monomer solution. Polymerization and chemical cross-linking, which further modifies the polymer network and its physical properties, were found to have little effect on the water present. Therefore, the properties of a hydrogel must stem from the interplay of the monomer-affected water and cross-linked polymer chains.

To gain more information, it might be possible to isolate the spectral diffusion dynamics of the water bound to one of the acrylamide acceptor sites by performing 2D IR spectroscopy on the carbonyl stretch vibration of AA/m/PAAm as has been done using isotopically labeled amino acids in peptides and proteins.

Furthermore, by observing the dynamics and structural organization of water interacting with monomers and polymers of various chemical structures, more information can be uncovered about hydrogel materials. From this study, it was found that acrylamide monomers do not perturb the water H-bond structure significantly, likely due to its relatively small size and its H-bonding characteristics. However, larger, more hydrophobic monomers will likely have a greater impact on the water structure and dynamics. Moreover, much larger structural changes can occur in hydrogels which are responsive to stimuli such as temperature or pH, such as N-isopropylacrylamide. These types of hydrogels may be able to provide further insight into polymer/water interactions in hydrogels.
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