Neutron scattering study of the interplay between structure and magnetism in \( \text{Ba(Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{2}\text{As}_2 \)
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Single-crystal neutron diffraction is used to investigate the magnetic and structural phase diagrams of the electron-doped superconductor \( \text{Ba(Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{2}\text{As}_2 \). Heat-capacity and resistivity measurements have demonstrated that Co doping this system splits the combined antiferromagnetic and structural transition present in \( \text{BaFe}_{2}\text{As}_2 \) into two distinct transitions. For \( x=0.025 \), we find that the upper transition is between the high-temperature tetragonal and low-temperature orthorhombic structures with \( T_{\text{TO}}=99.5 \, \text{K} \) and the antiferromagnetic transition occurs at \( T_{\text{AF}}=93 \pm 0.5 \, \text{K} \). We find that doping rapidly suppresses the antiferromagnetism, with antiferromagnetic order disappearing at \( x=0.055 \). However, there is a region of coexistence of antiferromagnetism and signatures of superconductivity obtained from thermodynamic and transport properties. For all the compositions studied, we find two anomalies in the temperature dependence of the structural Bragg peaks from both neutron scattering and x-ray diffraction at the same temperatures where anomalies in the heat capacity and resistivity have been previously identified. Thus for \( x=0.025 \), where we have shown that the lower anomaly occurs at \( T_{\text{AF}} \), we infer that there is strong coupling between the antiferromagnetism and the crystalline lattice which may persist to larger \( x \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the Fe-pnictide superconductors1 offers a new opportunity to investigate the superconductivity and its mechanism in a new class of materials. The pnictide superconductors share some features of the high-\( T_c \) cuprate superconductors: the superconductivity occurs in the proximity of an antiferromagnetic (AF) compound and can be induced by doping. However, there are also significant differences; for example, the parent antiferromagnets are metallic.2 The two most widely studied systems are those based on \( \text{RFeAsO}_x \)F\(_y\) (with \( \text{R}=\text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Pr}, \text{and La} \)) (Refs. 1, 3, and 4) and \( \text{AFe}_2\text{As}_2 \) (\( \text{A}=\text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba} \))5–10 known as “1111” and “122,” respectively. The 122 system can be doped at the \( \text{A} \) (Ref. 11) or Fe (Refs. 6–8 and 10) sites to achieve superconductivity.

The determination of the phase diagram as a function of doping in the Fe-pnictide systems is an important step to understand the superconductivity. However, there appears to be significant differences between the various systems. The general tendency is for doping to suppress orthorhombic (O) structure and antiferromagnetic order12,13 present at low temperatures and for superconductivity to emerge. However, the manner in which this transition occurs appears to vary between systems. In \( \text{CeFeAsO}_x \)F\(_y\), the magnetic order is suppressed before superconductivity develops and superconductivity exists both in the orthorhombic and tetragonal (T) structures;14 whereas in \( \text{LaFeAsO}_x \)F\(_y\), the orthorhombicity and magnetic order disappear abruptly13,15 just as the superconductivity develops. In this paper, we use neutron scattering to investigate the magnetic and structural phase diagrams of the electron-doped superconductor \( \text{Ba(Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{2}\text{As}_2 \).6–10 This material has risen to prominence recently because high-quality single crystals can be prepared which show two anomalies in the heat capacity \( C(T) \) and resistivity \( \rho(T) \) for small Co doping.7–9 Here we use neutron and x-ray scattering to identify the nature of the transitions and determine the phase diagram. In contrast to observations in \( \text{LaFeAsO}_x \)F\(_y\) and \( \text{CeFeAsO}_x \)F\(_y\), we find that the orthorhombic transition and magnetic order persist to higher dopings and coexist with signatures of superconductivity obtained from thermodynamic and transport properties.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of \( \text{Ba(Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{2}\text{As}_2 \) with \( x=0.025, 0.045, \) and 0.051 were grown by a self-flux method.8 The crystals were platelet with masses up to 15 mg and dimensions up to \( 4 \times 3 \times 0.3 \, \text{mm} \). Crystals from the same batches have been characterized by resistivity, heat capacity, Hall effect, and susceptibility.8 Neutron-diffraction measurements were made on three crystals. Neutron Laue measurements showed these crystals to be single grain. The single-crystal neutron-diffraction data presented in this paper were collected using the D10 four-circle diffractometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin. We used an incident wavelength of \( \lambda=2.364 \, \text{Å} \) and a two-dimensional (2D) area detector. A graphite filter was used to reduce \( \lambda/2 \) contamination in the incident beam. \( \text{BaFe}_{2}\text{As}_2 \) undergoes a tetragonal-orthorhombic (T-O) \( (\text{T}/\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fmmm}) \) structural transition at 134 K.5 The low-temperature orthorhombic phase is described by the \( \text{Fmmm} \)
space group with \( b < a < c \). Because of the small difference in the \( a \) and \( b \) lattice parameters for the doped \( \text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)\text{As}_2 \) samples studied here, we do not directly resolve Bragg peaks from different orthorhombic domains in the present experiment. We therefore use the tetragonal \( I4/mmm \) space group to label reciprocal space except where stated. The transformation between the two descriptions for \( T\approx T_{\text{TO}} \) is \( h_0 = h_T + k_T, k_0 = h_T - k_T, \) and \( l_0 = l_T. \) The tetragonal lattice parameters at \( T=200 \) K were: \( a=3.959 \) Å and \( c=12.955 \) Å \( (x=0.025) \); \( a=3.955 \) Å and \( c=12.95 \) Å \( (x=0.045) \); and \( a=3.955 \) Å and \( c=12.95 \) Å \( (x=0.051) \). High-resolution x-ray diffraction has also been performed on beamline 2-1 at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, using an incident x-ray energy of 11.7 keV. Samples are powdered from single crystals of the same compositions.

III. RESULTS

Previous studies of specific heat and neutron scattering on \( \text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2 \) have shown that this parent compound shows a single magnetic/structural phase transition at \( T \approx 134 \) K.\(^{5,16-18} \) The structural transition corresponds to a \( (I4/mmm \rightleftharpoons Fmmm) \) transformation\(^{5} \) and the magnetic transition is to a AF ordered state\(^{16,17,19} \) with magnetic moments pointing along the orthorhombic \( a \) axis and aligned antiferromagnetically along the \( a \) and \( c \) axes and ferromagnetically along the \( b \) axis\(^{16,17,19} \) (see Fig. 1). In contrast to \( \text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2 \), the parent compound of the 1111 series, \( \text{LaFeAsO} \) shows two transitions with decreasing temperature. The structural TO transition is followed by the AF transition. Interestingly, Co substitution on the Fe sites splits the single transition in \( \text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2 \) into two transitions which can be tracked across the \( \text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)\text{As}_2 \) phase diagram using heat-capacity \( C(T) \) and resistivity \( \rho(T) \) measurements.\(^{7,8} \)

The positions of the anomalies determined from heat capacity and resistivity\(^{8} \) are shown in Fig. 2.

In order to identify the nature of the phases delineated by \( C(T) \) and \( \rho(T) \), we investigated three different compositions of \( \text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)\text{As}_2 \). The magnetic structure established in Refs. 16, 17, and 19 gives rise to magnetic reflections at positions such that the orthorhombic indices are \( h_0=\text{even}, \) \( k_0=\text{odd}, \) and \( l_0=\text{odd}. \) We measured four inequivalent magnetic reflections. Figures 1(a)–1(c) show scans through the \( (1/2,1/2,1)_T \) position, which corresponds to the \( (101)_0 \) magnetic reflection for the three different cobalt dopings. Figure 1(a) shows scans through the \( (1/2,1/2,1)_T \) position for the \( x=0.025 \) sample with \( T=10, \) \( 100, \) and \( 180 \) K. Our data are slightly contaminated by unfiltered \( \lambda/2 \) neutrons which scatter from the \( (1 1 2) \) nuclear Bragg peak. This scattering produces a small temperature-independent peak at the same spectrometer position \( (\omega,2\theta) \) as the \( (1 2 1,2 1) \) magnetic peak. The peak can be seen for \( T=100 \) and \( 180 \) K. At low temperatures \( (T=2 \) K), we observe additional scattering [see Fig. 1(a)] due to antiferromagnetic order. Our limited data set is consistent with the magnetic structure reported in Refs. 16, 17, and 19, and we do not observe incommensurate peaks as suggested by recent NMR measurements.\(^{20} \) Figure 3(c) shows the temperature dependence of the integrated intensity of the \( (1/2,1/2,1) \) peak. Fitting a mean-field form to the order parameter near the antiferromagnetic transition temperature \( T_{\text{AF}} \), we obtain \( T_{\text{AF}}=93 \pm 0.5. \) Thus the lower \( T_{\text{AF}} \) transition previously identified\(^{8} \) in \( C(T) \) and \( \rho(T) \) [reproduced in Fig. 3] is the antiferromagnetic transition. An estimate of the low-temperature ordered moment can be obtained by normalizing the data to nuclear Bragg reflections. Using 27 reflections and assuming the magnetic structure determined in Refs. 16, 17, and 19, we determine the ordered moment to be \( m=0.35 \pm 0.1 \) \( \mu_B. \)

Our neutron data can also be used to identify the temperature \( (T_{\text{TO}}) \) at which TO structural transition occurs. The small difference between the \( a \) and \( b \) lattice parameters in the orthorhombic phase means that we are unable to resolve the \( (202)_0 \) and \( (022)_0 \) peaks due to the different orthorhombic domains (for example, as in Ref. 21). However, the TO phase transition can be detected through the temperature dependence of the intensity, \( I(T) \), and width, \( \Gamma(T) \), of the \( (112)_T \) and \( (220)_T \) Bragg peaks. The Bragg-peak intensities are not directly proportional to the order parameter of the TO transition because of formation of domains and extinction; however, they are nevertheless a probe of structural changes. Figure 3(b) shows the temperature dependence of the integrated intensity of the \( (112)_T \) Bragg peak measured by neu-
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FIG. 2. (Color online) The phase diagram for Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ determined from neutron scattering, $C(T)$ and $\rho(T)$ (Ref. 8). The TO structural transition is clearly observed by neutron scattering, heat capacity, and resistivity. Neutron scattering shows a magnetic Bragg peak for $x=0$ (Refs. 16, 17, and 19), 0.025, and 0.045. The inset shows the doping dependence of the ordered moment based on the present work and Refs. 16, 17, and 19. $T_{\text{AF}}$ is identified directly from the $T$ dependence of the AF Bragg peak for $x=0.025$ and indirectly from the lower kinks in Fig. 4 for $x=0.045$ and 0.051. Phase labels are $O$, $T$, SC (superconducting), and AF. Lines are guides to the eyes.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Identification of the phase transitions in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ ($x=0.025$). (a) $T$ dependence of the width of the (112) powder line determined from x-ray diffraction. (b) $T$ dependence of integrated intensity (blue circles) of the (112)$_T$ nuclear peak determined from $\omega$=2$\theta$ scans. Inset shows the Bragg profile $T=10$ and 120 K. The solid black line is the heat capacity $C(T)$ from Ref. 8. (c) $T$ dependence of the integrated intensity (red circles) of the magnetic (1/21/21)$_T$ Bragg peak. Solid line is $-d\rho/dT$ (Ref. 8).

FIG. 4. (Color online) $T$ dependence of the integrated intensity of (220) and (112) nuclear Bragg peaks for the more highly doped $x=0.045$ and $x=0.051$ samples. The kinks appear to correspond to TO and AF transitions. The insets show example Bragg profiles for $T>T_{\text{TO}}$ (dotted line) and $T<T_{\text{AF}}$ (solid line).

This is shown in Fig. 3(a). In addition to the kink at the TO transition, we observe a second kink at $T_{\text{AF}}$. This kink is observed both in the neutron and the x-ray measurements. The existence of the second kink suggests that the antiferromagnetic transition affects the crystal lattice. Two possible mechanisms for the change in neutron intensity are: (i) a sudden change in the first temperature derivative of the order parameter associated with the structural transition at $T_{\text{AF}}$; (ii) a change in the orthorhombic domain structure causing extinction release. Both mechanisms require coupling between the antiferromagnetic order and the lattice.

We also investigated samples with $x=0.045$ and $x=0.051$. Figure 1(b) shows that for $x=0.045$ there is a small increase in scattering on lowering the temperature from 180 to 10 K. However, for $x=0.051$ no increase in scattering is observed on lowering the temperature from 180 to 10 K. The $T_{\text{AF}}$ and $T_{\text{TO}}$ anomalies in $\rho(T)$ persist for the $x=0.045$ and $x=0.051$ samples. Thus, we conclude that both compositions order magnetically but the ordered moment of the $x=0.051$ sample is probably below the threshold for detection of the present experiment. As with the $x=0.025$ data, we estimate the ordered moment for each doping by normalizing the data to a set of nuclear Bragg reflections and assuming the magnetic structure of BaFe$_2$As$_2$.16,17,19 The results are shown in the inset to Fig. 2. In addition to studying the magnetic scattering from the more highly doped $x=0.045$ and 0.051 samples, we also investigated the (112) and (220) nuclear Bragg peaks. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the integrated intensity $I(T)$. In the case of the $x=0.045$ and 0.051 samples, we did not collect the $T$ dependence of the AF Bragg peak and therefore do not directly identify $T_{\text{AF}}$ as the onset of magnetic order. However, the anomalies in $\rho(T)$ and $I(T)$ track each other with $x$ and are plotted in Fig. 2. If the lower anomaly continues to correspond to the onset of AF order, our data suggest that the coupling of the antiferromagnetism to the lattice persists at least until $x=0.051$. 
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IV. DISCUSSION

In Fig. 2 we collect together the positions of the anomalies determined by neutron scattering, heat capacity, and resistivity. The inset shows the doping dependence of the ordered moment from this work ($x \approx 0.025$) and Refs. 16, 17, and 19 for $x=0$. For $x=0$, it is well known that BaFe$_2$As$_2$ shows a combined antiferromagnetic/structural transition$^{3,16-18}$ which is believed to be second order or weakly first order. Upon doping this transition is split into two separate anomalies$^{7,8}$ which can be seen in heat capacity and resistivity. Here we have identified (for $x=0.025$) the upper anomaly as the TO structure transition and the lower one as antiferromagnetism. The inset to Fig. 2 shows how the ordered antiferromagnetic moment is suppressed with doping. The present data are consistent with the moment disappearance at the same doping as the upper anomaly as the TO structure transition and the lower anomaly as the antiferromagnetic/spin density wave transition. We find that doping rapidly suppresses the antiferromagnetism, with antiferromagnetic order disappearing at $x \approx 0.055$. However, there is a region of coexistence for antiferromagnetism and superconductivity obtained from thermodynamic and transport properties. Measurements of the $T$ dependence of the intensity and width of the structural Bragg peaks show that coupling to the lattice plays an important part in the antiferromagnetic transition for $x = 0.025$. This coupling may persist to higher dopings.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, in order to elucidate the phase diagram of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, we have performed neutron and x-ray diffraction studies on three samples with different Co concentrations. Magnetic neutron diffraction is used (for $x=0.025$) to identify the upper anomaly ($T_a$) seen in heat-capacity, resistivity and other bulk measurements$^{7,8}$ as the tetragonal-orthorhombic structural phase transition and the lower ($T_p$) anomaly as the antiferromagnetic (spin density wave) transition. It has been found that antiferromagnetism, with antiferromagnetic order disappearing at $x \approx 0.055$. However, there is a region of coexistence for antiferromagnetism and signatures of superconductivity obtained from thermodynamic and transport properties. Measurements of the $T$ dependence of the intensity and width of the structural Bragg peaks show that coupling to the lattice plays an important part in the antiferromagnetic transition for $x = 0.025$. This coupling may persist to higher dopings.
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