NMR Evidence for Inhomogeneous Nematic Fluctuations in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$
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We present evidence for nuclear spin-lattice relaxation driven by glassy nematic fluctuations in isovalent P-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ single crystals. Both the $^{75}$As and $^{31}$P sites exhibit a stretched-exponential relaxation similar to the electron-doped systems. By comparing the hyperfine fields and the relaxation rates at these sites we find that the As relaxation cannot be explained solely in terms of magnetic spin fluctuations. We demonstrate that nematic fluctuations couple to the As nuclear quadrupolar moment and can explain the excess relaxation. These results suggest that glassy nematic dynamics are a common phenomenon in the iron-based superconductors.
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The iron-based superconductors continue to attract broad interest not only because of the presence of unconventional high-temperature superconductivity, but also because of their unusual normal state behavior [1]. As in other unconventional superconductors the superconductivity emerges at the boundary of antiferromagnetism, suggesting an important role for antiferromagnetic fluctuations in the superconducting pairing mechanism [2,3]. Recent years, however, significant attention has focused on the presence of nematic order that breaks the $C_4$ point group symmetry of the lattice at the tetragonal to orthorhombic structural transition, as well as the large nematic susceptibility in the tetragonal paramagnetic regime [4–8]. Elastoresistance measurements indicate that the static nematic susceptibility diverges near optimal doping in several pnictide families [9,10]. Similar conclusions are drawn from both elastic constant measurements [11] and Raman spectroscopy [12–14]. An open question, therefore, is whether there is a connection between the nematic fluctuations and the unconventional superconductivity in these materials [6,15,16].

Experimentally, the nematic fluctuations appear to be strongly coupled to the spin degrees of freedom. The shear modulus and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $T_1^{-1}$ are strongly temperature and doping dependent, but scale with one another in Co- and K-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [17,18]. Assuming that the dominant channel for $T_1^{-1}$ is via the hyperfine coupling to the Fe spins, this empirical relationship implies that the lattice and spin fluctuations have a common origin. Further evidence for a coupling between these order parameters has emerged from neutron scattering studies, which reveal that $C_4$ symmetry is broken for the spin fluctuations in the high-temperature phase of uniaxial-strained Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [19]. Other neutron scattering experiments have uncovered an enhancement of spin fluctuations in both LaFeAsO and Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ between the structural transition and antiferromagnetic transition temperatures [20]. In contrast, the iron chalcogenide FeSe undergoes a nematic phase transition despite the absence of long-range magnetic order down to the lowest temperatures. Although nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements do not observe significant low-energy magnetic fluctuations above the nematic transition [21,22], neutron scattering indicates the presence of sizable spin fluctuations at moderate energies [23,24].

Direct evidence for the nematic fluctuations has remained elusive. NMR studies of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$M$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ ($M$ = Co, Cu) uncovered the presence of glassy spin dynamics extending up to 100 K, with a doping and temperature response that matches that of the nematic susceptibility [25,26]. The glassy behavior possibly originates from quenched disorder, which can act as a random local field on the fluctuating nematic order [10,27]. In such a case, magnetoelastic coupling ensures that random variations in the local value of the nematic order parameter also affect the local spin fluctuations measured by NMR [17].

In order to investigate the presence of nematic fluctuations directly, we have investigated the NMR properties of both the $^{75}$As and $^{31}$P in single crystals of BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$. Isovalent substitution of P for As in BaFe$_2$As$_2$ gives rise to superconductivity with a phase diagram that is similar to that of the electron- or hole-doped system [28,29]. These nuclei present a unique opportunity because they are located at the same crystallographic site, but the $I = 3/2$ $^{75}$As nucleus experiences a quadrupolar interaction whereas the $I = 1/2$ $^{31}$P...
nucleus does not. Both nuclei are sensitive to magnetic hyperfine fluctuations of the Fe spins; however, As is also sensitive to fluctuations of the local electric field gradient (EFG). Nematic fluctuations couple directly to the EFG and give rise to an extra channel for relaxation at the As. We carefully analyze the relaxation of both nuclei, and conclude that quadrupolar fluctuations are indeed contributing to the relaxation of the As, giving rise to a maximum in the ratio $75T_1^{-1}/31T_1^{-1}$ at the structural transition temperature $T_s$. We also observe inhomogeneous dynamics that result in stretched exponential spin-lattice relaxation for both nuclear species. The amount of dynamical inhomogeneity is similar to previous NMR observations in both Co- and Cu-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [25,26] and LaFeAsO [30,31] compounds.

Single crystals were synthesized via a self-flux method and characterized via transport measurements. The P concentration $x$ was determined via microprobe analysis for other samples from the same and similar growth batches [32]. The spin-lattice relaxation rates of $^{75}$As and $^{31}$P were measured in two BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ crystals with $x = 0.26$ (underdoped, $T_s = T_N = 45$ K) and $x = 0.33$ (optimally doped, $T_c = 31$ K) as a function of temperature via a standard inversion recovery pulse sequence. The crystals were aligned with the external field $H_0 = 11.7285$ T oriented perpendicular to the $c$ axis, and the magnetization recovery was fit to the appropriate normal modes recovery function modified by a stretching exponent $\beta$, as described in Ref. [25].

Figure 1 shows $(T_1T)^{-1}$ as a function of temperature for both nuclei. At high temperatures $(T_1T)^{-1}$ is roughly constant, indicating metallic Kondo behavior. In the underdoped crystal $(T_1T)^{-1}$ goes through a peak at $T_N$ reflecting critical slowing down of the spin fluctuations. In the optimally doped crystal $(T_1T)^{-1}$ continues to increase down to $T_c$. These results are consistent with previously published data in polycrystalline samples [28,29]. The relaxation rates of the two nuclei scale roughly with one another, but there are important differences that emerge at low temperature, as discussed below.

The stretching exponent $\beta$, shown in Fig. 1, is a measure of the degree of dynamical inhomogeneity in the material [25,26]. $\beta = 1$ indicates homogeneous relaxation whereas $\beta < 1$ indicates a distribution of local relaxation rates [33]. Both crystals and both sites become dynamically inhomogeneous below $\sim 100$ K, reaching down to $\beta = 0.6$ for the underdoped sample. Similar behavior was observed in other iron pnictides [30,31]. Surprisingly, the degree of inhomogeneity does not appear to be reduced in the P-doped system as compared to the Co-doped system, despite the fact that the former is cleaner than the latter [10] (comparisons of $\beta$ in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ and BaFe$_2$–Co$_x$As$_2$ are available in the Supplemental Material [34]). The presence of inhomogeneous strain distributions in the tetragonal phase may be responsible for the distribution of relaxation rates that we observe. Our results suggest that the strain distribution is similar for doping at either the Fe or As sites.

We now turn to the relationship between the As and the P relaxation rates. Figure 2(a) shows $75T_1^{-1}/31T_1^{-1}$ as a function of temperature. This ratio is nearly constant and $\sim 1.3$ above approximately 60 K, indicating a common relaxation mechanism for both sites. However, below this temperature the ratio increases with decreasing temperature and reaches a maximum value of $\sim 2$ in both the underdoped and optimally doped crystals. The strong temperature dependence of this ratio reflects either a change in the antiferromagnetic fluctuations, or an additional relaxation mechanism present at the As site.

Spin fluctuations give rise to dynamical hyperfine fields causing nuclear spin relaxation. In order to properly extract
the contribution of antiferromagnetic fluctuations to the relaxation rate, it is crucial to know the components of the hyperfine tensor $\mathbf{B}$ at both the As and the P. The hyperfine interaction is given by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hyp}} = \sum_{i \in \text{nn}} \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}(r_i)$, where $\mathbf{S}(r_i)$ is the electronic spin of the Fe, and $\mathbf{I}$ is the nuclear spin of either the $^{31}\text{P}$ or $^{75}\text{As}$ [36]. By comparing the Knight shift and magnetic susceptibility, Kitagawa et al. found $75 B_{aa} = 75 B_{bb} = 0.66 \, \text{T}/\mu_B$, and $75 B_{cc} = 0.47 \, \text{T}/\mu_B$ [36]. In BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, our measurements of the Knight shift [34] indicate that $31 B_{aa}/75 B_{aa} = 0.40 \pm 0.02$ in agreement with a previous study [29].

It is also important to consider the off-diagonal component $B_{ac}$, which gives rise to the internal field at the antiferromagnetic wave vector. Previous measurements revealed that $75 B_{ac} = 0.43 \, \text{T}/\mu_B$ [36]. To determine this component in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ we measured the angular dependence of the magnetic splitting of the central line in the antiferromagnetic phase of the underdoped sample. Figure 3 shows spectra of both the As and the P for various orientations of the crystal. The internal field $H_{\text{int}}$ gives rise to two separate resonances. The P resonances are given by $31 f = 31 g(1 + k)(H_{\text{int}} + \text{H}_{\text{int}} \cos \theta)$, where $k$ is the Knight shift and $\theta$ is the angle between $\hat{c}$ and $\mathbf{H}_0$. For the As, there is an additional shift due to the quadrupolar interaction: $75 f = 75 g(1 + k)(H_{\text{int}} + \text{H}_{\text{int}} \cos \theta) + \Delta Q(1 - \cos^2 \theta)(1 - \cos^2 \theta)$, where $\Delta Q = \frac{4}{3} e^2 Q V_{zz}/75 g H_{\text{int}}, Q$ is the quadrupolar moment, and $V_{zz}$ is the largest component of the EFG tensor at the As [37]. Fitting the angular dependent spectra, we extract the internal fields $75 H_{\text{int}} = 0.45 \pm 0.01 \, \text{T}$ and $31 H_{\text{int}} = 0.100 \pm 0.001 \, \text{T}$; yielding $31 B_{ac}/75 B_{ac} = 0.226 \pm 0.007$. It is noteworthy that the transferred hyperfine couplings to the P are less than those to the As, which probably reflects the fact that the $4p$ orbitals at the As are more extended. Previous studies of the hyperfine couplings at the As and P sites in other compounds have found a similar ratio [38].

With the knowledge of the hyperfine couplings, it is now possible to compute the magnetic component of the spin-lattice relaxation rate:

$$W_0 = \gamma^2 k_B T \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} F_{\alpha \beta}(q) \frac{\text{Im} \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(q, \omega)}{\hbar \omega},$$

where $F_{\alpha \beta}(q)$ are the form factors (given in the Supplemental Material [34]), $\chi_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(q, \omega)$ is the dynamical magnetic susceptibility, and $\alpha, \beta = \{a, b, c\}$ [39]. For purely magnetic fluctuations, $T_{\chi}^{-1} = 2 W_0$. Because the hyperfine coupling ratios $31 B_{aa}/75 B_{aa}$ and $31 B_{ac}/75 B_{ac}$ are not the same, the form factors $F_{\alpha \beta}(q)$ for the two sites do not simply scale with one another. As a result, the ratio $T_{\chi}^{-1}/T_{\chi}^{-1}$ will depend on the detailed $q$ dependence of $\chi_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(q, \omega)$, which can change with temperature. To estimate the effect of antiferromagnetic correlations on the $T_{\chi}^{-1}$ ratio, we use Eq. (1) and the dynamical magnetic susceptibility

$$\chi_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(q, \omega) = \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ 1 + \left| q - Q_j \right|^{2\xi/\omega_{Q}} - i \omega/\omega_{Q} \right],$$

where $\xi$ is the antiferromagnetic correlation length, $\omega_{Q}$ is the characteristic spin fluctuation frequency, and $\chi_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(Q_j)$ is the value of the susceptibility at the ordering wave vectors $Q_1 = \{\pi/\alpha, 0\}$ and $Q_2 = \{0, \pi/\alpha\}$ [19,40]. The inset of Fig. 2(a) shows the calculated $T_{\chi}^{-1}$ ratio as a function of correlation length, with the following assumptions: (i) $31 B_{ac}/75 B_{ac} = 31 B_{aa}/75 B_{aa}$, (ii) $B_{ab}$ is negligible, and (iii) $\chi_{\alpha \beta}^{Q}(Q_1)$ is the same for all values of $i$ and $\alpha$ (isotropic fluctuations). This quantity changes only slightly with $\xi$, varying between 1.19 ($\xi = 0$) to 1.40 ($\xi = \infty$), as shown by the gray area in Fig. 2(a). For the underdoped sample, the experimental ratio exceeds this prediction below the structural transition, reaching up to $\sim 2$ at $T_N$. The experimental ratio for the optimally doped sample reaches the same value just above $T_c$. It is clear that magnetic fluctuations alone cannot explain this large increase, suggesting that there is an additional relaxation channel affecting the As site.

Since the $^{75}\text{As}$ has spin $I = 3/2$ it is susceptible to relaxation by fluctuations of the EFG through the quadrupolar coupling. Quadrupolar spin-lattice relaxation of nuclear spins with $I > \frac{1}{2}$ is described by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_Q(t) = (e Q/4 l(2I - 1) - \sum_{j=1,2} V_j(t) T_{2k})$, where $T_{2k}$ are the spherical tensor operators, $V_0 = V_{zz}, V_{\pm 1} = V_{xx} \pm iV_{yy}, V_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2}(V_{xx} - V_{yy}) \pm iV_{xy}$, and $V_{\alpha \beta}$ are the components of the EFG tensor [37]. The simultaneous presence of both magnetic and quadrupolar fluctuations gives rise to three relaxation channels for the nuclear spins, one purely magnetic and two quadrupolar [41]. The magnetic relaxation is described by Eq. (1), and the quadrupolar relaxation rates are given by $W_{1/2} = (e Q/4 l)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| V_{1/2}(t) V_{-1/2}(0) \right| e^{i\omega \tau} \, d\tau$. There are two components to the EFG at the As, one from the lattice orthorhombic distortion and the other from unequal populations of the As 4P$_{\alpha \beta}$ orbitals, such that $V_{\pm 1} = 0$ and $V_{\pm 2} = V_{xx} \pm V_{yy}^*$. From the definition, we see that $V_{1/2} + V_{-1/2}$ has $B_{2g}$ symmetry, whereas $i(V_{1/2} - V_{-1/2})$ has $B_{4g}$ symmetry (in the coordinate system of the crystallographic tetragonal unit cell). Thus, the former couples
directly to the nematic order parameter. Using the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, we can express the quadrupolar relaxation rate in terms of the dynamical nematic susceptibility $\chi_n$:

$$W_2 = \left( \frac{e Q}{\hbar} \right)^2 k_B T \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sum_q \frac{\text{Im} \chi_n(q, \alpha)}{\hbar \omega}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Note that $\chi_n$ is defined in terms of the EFG, and that there is no form factor for the nematic fluctuations because the on-site orbital occupations are the dominant contribution to the EFG. The nematic fluctuations order at $q = (0, 0)$ with Curie-Weiss behavior, and the existence of a Fermi surface implies Landau damping [5,9]. We estimate the magnitude of $W_2$ by considering the static EFG at the As site. In the orthorhombic phase, $V_{z2}$ develops a finite value reflecting the static nematic order [36]. If we assume that the lattice, orbital, and spin degrees of freedom have a similar power spectrum, then the ratio of the quadrupolar to magnetic relaxation rates is $W_2/W_0 \sim (e Q V_2/\gamma \hbar)^2$, where $V_2$ and $\hbar$ are the root mean square of the EFG and hyperfine field fluctuations, respectively. Previous field-dependent studies in BaFe$_2$CoAs$_2$ found $\hbar \sim 40$ G [26]. $e Q V_2/\gamma \hbar$ reaches a static value of 2.6 MHz in the orthorhombic phase of the parent compound [36], and a value of $\sim 0.3$ MHz at the structural transition in BaFe$_2$(As$_{0.98}$Po$_{0.02}$)$_2$ [43]. We estimate that $W_2/W_0$ can reach a maximum of $\sim 8.4$ at $T_s = T_N$; thus, it is clear that both the magnetic and nematic fluctuations are of comparable magnitude and can contribute to the spin-lattice relaxation of the As. We conclude that the enhanced temperature dependent ratio seen in Fig. 2(a) reflects the presence of nematic fluctuations.

Note that in the presence of both quadrupolar and magnetic fluctuations, the two relaxation rates $W_0$ and $W_2$ become entangled and the exact form of the magnetization recovery becomes complex [41]. Attempts to fit the recovery data to a modified relaxation form with $W_2$ as a floating parameter do not necessarily lead to a better quality of fit as measured by the $\chi^2$ value. Such fits have poor precision because both relaxation rates $W_0$ and $W_2$ follow a broad distribution function; thus, the relaxation curve is stretched. This distribution is evident in the P relaxation, which has no quadrupolar relaxation channel but still exhibits stretched recovery. Thus, the difference $\Delta(T, T)^{-1} = \kappa^{(1)}_{T=0} - \kappa^{(1)}_{T=1}$, where $\kappa = 1.31$ is the high temperature ratio of the As to P relaxation rates, is not simply proportional to $W_2$. Yet, in order to assess qualitatively the contribution from $W_2$, we can still focus on this quantity, plotted in Fig. 2(b), since $W_2 = 0$ would imply $\Delta(T, T)^{-1} = 0$. It is clear from Fig. 2(b) that critical nematic fluctuations are present in both the underdoped and optimally doped samples. In the underdoped crystal, the tetragonal-orthorhombic phase transition $T_s$ coincides with $T_N$, and the nematic fluctuations diverge at this phase transition [44]. The solid lines through the data points are best fits to the expression $\Delta(T, T)^{-1} = A/(T - T_0)\gamma$, where for the underdoped crystal $n = 1.4 \pm 1.1$ and $T_0 = 41.5 \pm 5.7$ K. In the optimally doped sample, the data reveal that nematic fluctuations are present in the tetragonal phase down to $T_c$. The best fit through the data points yields $n = 1.5 \pm 1.9$ and $T_0 = 13 \pm 33$ K. Although the exact relationship between $\Delta(T, T)^{-1}$ and $W_2 \propto \chi_n$ is not known, it is interesting to note that this result is consistent with elastoresistance measurements, which indicate an enhanced $\chi_n$ near a putative quantum critical point [10]. In contrast to torque magnetometry and optical measurements, our results show no evidence for a phase transition above $T_N$; however, the critical fluctuations persist well above the structural transition [45,46].

An alternative explanation for the behavior of the $T_s$ ratio in Fig. 2(a) is that the spin fluctuations are locally suppressed at the P sites. In this case, the As relaxation would not be enhanced by nematic fluctuations, but rather the P relaxation rate would be suppressed. A recent comparison of the NMR of $^{63}$Cu and $^{75}$As in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ revealed a slightly reduced relaxation at the Cu site [47]. However, the form factor and hyperfine couplings to the Cu are different than to the As, which could explain the difference. Furthermore, $e Q < 75 Q$, it is possible that this difference reflects the reduced quadrupolar interaction at the Cu sites. Thus, our results indicate that $75(T, T)^{-1}$ always contains a contribution from nematic fluctuations. The extent to which these fluctuations affect the expected Curie-Weiss behavior $75(T, T)^{-1} \propto (T - T_N)^{-1}$ near a magnetic transition remains to be further investigated. For instance, in systems with split nematic and magnetic transitions, such as NaFeAs and Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, $75(T, T)^{-1}$ seems to display no additional peaks at $T_s$, suggesting that $W_2$ may be subleading compared to $W_0$, at least in those materials.

In summary, we present evidence for critical quadrupolar fluctuations that break $C_4$ symmetry, which accompany the onset of static nematic order. Interestingly, recent theoretical work has shown that nematic fluctuations promote superconductivity in multiple superconducting channels, and that they can especially boost $T_c$ if another interaction selects one of these different channels [6,15]. The intertwined character of the magnetic and nematic fluctuations revealed by our data suggests that this mechanism may be relevant in the iron pnictides. The presence of inhomogeneity, as manifested in the glassy dynamics, could also play an important role, as random strain suppresses long-range nematic order while possibly extending the regime of fluctuations.
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