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Background: Recent evidence indicates that individuals who are homozygous for the short (s) allele in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene have higher rates of depression and other psychiatric disorders as a function of exposure to increasing levels of stressful life events than do individuals who have one or two copies of the long (l) allele. Despite the reliability of this association, the mechanism by which this polymorphism confers risk for psychopathology in the presence of stress is not understood. This study was designed to examine the formulation that individuals who are homozygous for the s allele are characterized by a greater biological reactivity to stress than are their counterparts who have one or two copies of the l allele.

Methods: Girls at high (n = 25) and low (n = 42) risk for depression by virtue of the presence or absence of a family history of this disorder were genotyped and exposed to a standardized laboratory stress task. Cortisol levels were assessed before the stressor, after the stressor, and during an extended recovery period.

Results: Girls who were homozygous for the s allele produced higher and more prolonged levels of cortisol in response to the stressor than did girls with an l allele.

Conclusions: These findings indicate that the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism is associated with biological stress reactivity, which may increase susceptibility to depression in the face of stressful life events.
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Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most common and debilitating of all psychiatric disorders (1). The high chronicity and recurrence of depression, combined with its significant prevalence, personal loss, and societal costs make it imperative that we identify factors that are involved in the onset of this disorder. Consistent findings that a family history of depression is one of the strongest predictors of the heritability of depression. Indeed, there is now considerable evidence from twin, adoption, and pedigree investigations, and from genomewide linkage studies, indicating that there is a significant genetic contribution to MDD (2). It is important to note, however, that the majority of individuals with a positive family history of depression do not develop the disorder. Thus most contemporary theories concerning the role of genes in the onset of depression do not postulate that genes affect depression directly; rather, they are explicitly diathesis-stress theories, positing that a genetic vulnerability interacts with major life stressors to produce depression (3). Given the importance of the serotonin system in depression and the effectiveness of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the treatment of this disorder, it is not surprising that investigators examining this formulation have focused on the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene (SLC6A4) and, in particular, on a polymorphism in the promoter region of this gene (5-HTTLPR). The short (s) and long (l) alleles in the 5-HTTLPR have been shown to affect transcriptional rates of the 5-HTT gene (4). Perhaps most notably, Caspi et al. (5) recently found that individuals with one or two copies of the s allele of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism exhibited higher levels of depressive symptoms, higher rates of diagnosable depression, and more suicidality as a function of exposure to increasing levels of stressful life events than did individuals who were homozygous for the l allele.

Several investigators have now replicated Caspi et al.’s (5) results (6–8). Despite growing evidence that the 5-HTT gene moderates the association between life stress and depression, however, the mechanisms underlying this moderation are not well understood. Findings from animal research suggest that one possible mechanism involves the construct of stress reactivity. Li et al. (9), for example, found that mice with diminished or absent function of the 5-HTT gene exhibited greater increases in the stress hormone adrenocorticotropin (ACTH) in response to stress than did their control littermates. Indirect support for the involvement of stress reactivity also comes from studies with humans. Kendler et al. (10) found that individuals with two s alleles in the 5-HTTLPR were more likely to become depressed in response to “common, low-threat events” and hypothesized that this genetic polymorphism produces an increased sensitivity to the impact of stressful events. Perhaps reflecting this “sensitivity,” investigators have found that, compared with individuals who have at least one l allele in the promoter region of the 5-HTT gene, individuals homozygous for the s allele exhibit greater amygdala activation in response to fearful stimuli (11,12).

Consistent with this “stress reactivity” formulation, investigators have found cortisol, a reliable indicator of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis functioning and stress reactivity not only to have a hereditary component (13) but also to be elevated in 40%–60% of adults diagnosed with MDD (14). Indeed, hypercortisolemia has been postulated to lead to hippocampal neuronal loss, which in turn has been posited to be involved in the pathogenesis of depression (15). Given the research just described, it is possible that depressed individuals, many of whom are likely to be 5-HTTLPR “s-carriers” (5), are characterized by hypercortisolemia not only because they have
been exposed to a greater number of stressful life events than are nondepressed persons (16) but also because they are biologically more reactive to stressors. Indeed, the results of a recent meta-analysis indicate that MDD patients have higher cortisol levels following exposure to a stressor than do nondepressed individuals (17). The formulation that s-carriers are more biologically reactive to stress than are individuals who are homozygous for the l allele may explain both Caspi et al.’s (5) finding of an increased likelihood of developing depression in response to stressful events among s-carriers and Kendler et al.’s (10) finding of the importance of low-threat events in predicting the onset of depression.

This study was designed, in part, to examine this formulation. To ensure that we had participants in this study who might go on to develop an episode of depression, we assessed genotype and stress reactivity in young girls at high and low risk for this disorder by virtue of a the presence or absence of a family history of recurrent depression (18). On the basis of the literature just reviewed, we predicted that girls who were homozygous for the s allele 5-HTTLPR polymorphism would exhibit greater and more prolonged cortisol production in response to a laboratory stressor than would girls with one or two l alleles.

Methods and Materials

Participants

Participants were 67 girls aged 9 to 14 with no current or past Axis I disorder. Forty-two girls had biological mothers with no current or past Axis I disorder (low-risk daughters), and 25 girls had biological mothers with a history of recurrent episodes of MDD during their daughter’s lifetime (high-risk daughters). Participants were recruited through advertisements posted in numerous locations within the local community (e.g., Internet bulletin boards, university kiosks, supermarkets) and through the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences at Stanford University. The mothers’ responses to a telephone interview provided initial selection information. This phone screen established that both mothers and daughters were fluent in English and that daughters were between 9 and 14 years of age. This telephone interview was also used to identify mothers who were likely either to have no psychiatric history or to meet criteria for recurrent depression during their daughter’s lifetime, and daughters who were likely to have no past or current psychiatric disorder. Those mother and daughter pairs who were considered likely to be eligible for participation were invited to come to the laboratory for more extensive interviews.

Assessment of Depression and Psychopathology

Interviews.

All mothers and daughters were administered structured clinical interviews by different trained interviewers to diagnose the presence of at least two distinct episodes of depression in the MDD mothers since the birth of their daughters (Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR [SCID]) (19) and a lifetime absence of psychopathology in the daughters (Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia [K-SADS]) (20) and in the control mothers (SCID). Both the daughters and the mothers were administered the K-SADS to assess the daughters’ functioning, and both informants had to report an absence of any past or current Axis I disorder in the daughter. To assess interrater reliability, an independent trained rater who was blind to group membership randomly evaluated 10% of the SCID and K-SAD-PL interviews. In all cases, diagnoses of former depressive episodes in mothers, and absence of any current or previous Axis I disorder in the girls matched the diagnosis made by the original interviewer, k = 1.00. Eligible participants were invited to come back to the lab within 1 week of their interview session to take part in the stress task, and saliva samples for the DNA analyses were taken.

Questionnaires.

Daughters completed the 10-item version of the Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI-S) (21), a self-report measure of depressive symptomatology for children between the ages of 8 and 17. Mothers completed the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI) (22), a 21-item self-report measure of the severity of depressive symptoms.

Verbal Intelligence

The vocabulary section of the verbal subtest of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—third edition (23) was administered to the daughters to ensure that any group differences in response to the stressor are not a function of differences in intellectual ability.

Cortisol Collection and Stress Task

Participants refrained from eating and drinking (except water) 1 hour before arrival at the laboratory and during the experimental procedures. Participants were first instructed to rest and relax for 30 min. They were allowed to read magazines and listen to music, and saliva samples were collected just before they were given instructions for the task. They then underwent a 15-min laboratory session during which they were stressed by an experimenter and salivary cortisol was collected at regular intervals. More specifically, daughters completed a 3-min serial subtraction task in which they were instructed to begin at 400 and count backward by sevens as quickly and accurately as possible. If they made a mistake, they were interrupted by the experimenter and were told to start over. Daughters who moved quickly and easily through this procedure were stopped and told to start over at 4000 and count backward by 17s. Following this task, daughters were administered the 12-min Ewart Social Competence Interview (24), a semistructured interview developed to induce emotional stress and arousal in adolescents by discussing details of stressful life situations.

Four saliva samples were collected from each girl using salivettes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) during the laboratory stress paradigm: one sample immediately before task instructions and three samples at 15, 30, and 45 min post-onset of stressor. These collection times are based on meta-analytic findings indicating that peak cortisol response occurs 21–40 min following the onset of an acute stressor and that complete recovery to baseline values occurs within 41–60 min (25). Following the laboratory stressor (i.e., during collection of the final two samples), participants watched a neutral videotape about Denali National Park. On average, the first sample was collected at 12:15 pm; groups did not differ in their collection times, t(65) < 1. Saliva samples were stored in a freezer chest until they were transferred to a −20°C freezer located at the General Clinical Research Center at Stanford University, where they were maintained until radioimmunoassays were performed. Samples were assayed together to control for interassay error, and control samples were included to evaluate variability.

A minimum of .2 mL of liquid saliva was absorbed into a small cotton roll and expressed through a plastic tube into a sterile vial (Salivette Sarstedt without the additives device). Cortisol was assayed by luminescence immunoassay reagents using a commercial kit from Immuno-Biological Laboratories (Hamburg, Germany).
Genotyping
To genotype the daughters, saliva was collected using the Oragene Kit (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada), an all-in-one system for the collection, preservation, transportation, and purification of DNA from saliva. DNA extracted by this method is of high quality and allows for a high success rate of genotyping (26); indeed, the DNA yield in our laboratory is 20 μg and higher. Oligonucleotide primers flanking the 5-HTT-linked polymorphic region (27) and corresponding to the nucleotide positions −1416 to −1397 (5′-GGT GCC GCT CTG AAT GC) and −910 to −888 (5′-GAG GGA CTG AGC TGG ACA ACC AC) of the 5-HTT gene 5′-flanking regulatory region were used to generate 484-bp or 528-bp fragments. The polymerase chain reaction products were electrophoresed through 5% polyacrylamide gel (Acrylamide/bis-Acrylamide ratio 19:1) at 60 V for 60 min.

Results

Participant Characteristics
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the high-risk (RSK) and low-risk (CTL) groups are presented in Table 1. There were no significant group differences in age, t(65) < 1, proportion of girls who were postmenarchal, χ²(1,67) < 1, WISC vocabulary scores, t(57) = 1.56, ethnicity, χ²(1,67) < 1, or mothers’ education, χ²(1,66) = 1.76, all ps > .05; the CTL group had a higher percentage of married mothers, χ²(1,66) = 12.58, p < .01. The RSK girls obtained slightly but significantly higher scores on the CDI-S than did the CTL girls, t(61) = 3.87, p < .01; it is important to note, however, that both groups were far below the recommended cutoff score of 8 (21). In addition, although they did not meet diagnostic criteria for current MDD, the mothers of the RSK girls obtained significantly higher scores on the BD-II than did the mothers of the CTL girls, t(62) = 4.17, p < .01. Finally, although none of the mothers had a current diagnosis of an Axis I disorder, six of the mothers in the RSK group were diagnosed with a past disorder besides MDD: two with obsessive-compulsive disorder, one with posttraumatic stress disorder, one with panic disorder and social phobia, and one with specific phobia/bulimia nervosa.

Genotype and Risk for Depression
The 5-HTTLPR allele frequencies for the low-risk and high-risk groups are presented in Table 2. The frequency of the s allele in Caucasian populations is approximately .40; the genotype frequencies are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Although it appears that a higher proportion of the RSK than the CTL girls have at least one copy of the s allele, the two groups of daughters do not differ significantly in their genotype distribution, χ²(2) = 1.91 p > .05. Consequently, we combined participants in the low- and high-risk groups in examining the effects of genotype on stress reactivity.

Genotype and Stress Reactivity
Several outlier cortisol samples at baseline and during the stress session positively skewed the data, even after logarithmic and other types of transformations. We therefore excluded these outlying samples from the analysis. Outliers were defined as values that were above 1.5 times the interquartile range for a given collection point. The data were analyzed using mixed-design analyses of variance with group and collection time as the main factors. We controlled for age effects and effects of time of day that the stress task was administered, as well as for demographic and clinical variables. Additional analyses were conducted using the incremental area under the curve (AUCi), an index measuring increase in hormone levels from baseline within a series of measurements (31).

We examined patterns of stress reactivity as a function of genotype (Figure 1). Daughters who are homozygous for the s allele showed a marked increase in cortisol production during and following exposure to the stressor. In contrast, daughters with at least one copy of the l allele exhibited a slight decrease in cortisol production over the course of the stress session. This differential pattern of responding is reflected in a significant interaction of genotype and time in the linear trend, F(2,63) = 4.30, p < .05, and in the quadratic trend, F(2,63) = 3.51, p < .05. Importantly, this interaction remained significant after controlling for mothers’ marital status and BDII scores, participants’ ages and CDI scores, and time of day at which the stress task was administered. These genotype-associated differences in cortisol response and recovery are further supported by a significant difference in incremental area under the curve (AUCi), an index measuring increase in cortisol levels from baseline within a series

![Table 1. Demographic Information for the Low-Risk and High-Risk Participants](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Risk</th>
<th>High Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daughter Age, M (SD)</td>
<td>11.76 (1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpubertal, %</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother Age, M (SD)</td>
<td>44.10 (5.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian, %</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married, %</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Education, %</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter CDI, M (SD)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother BDII, M (SD)</td>
<td>2.41 (3.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughter WISC, M (SD)</td>
<td>48.23 (8.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDI, Child Depression Inventory; BDII, Beck Depression Inventory; WISC, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Full Sample (N)</th>
<th>Low Risk (N)</th>
<th>High Risk (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s/s</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s/l</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l/l</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three genotype groups did not differ with respect to demographic variables. Although we did not match groups specifically with respect to time during the menstrual cycle at which cortisol was assessed, the three genotype groups did not differ in the proportion of girls who were pre- and postmenarcheal, χ²(4,67) < 1, and results of studies with humans are mixed with respect to the possible association of cortisol reactivity with phase of the menstrual cycle (28-30). Given that the three genotype groups also did not differ with respect to time of day at which the cortisol was collected, F(2,63) < 1, it is unlikely that time of assessment during the menstrual cycle could explain differences in cortisol reactivity among the three genotype groups.
Discussion

The pattern of findings reported here both provides an explanation for the results of studies documenting associations among genotype, exposure to stress, and probability of depression and extends our understanding of the relation between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and stress reactivity. Investigators have known for decades that exposure to severe life stressors increases the likelihood of subsequent depression (35). We also know, however, that many individuals who experience life stress do not develop depression. Addressing this issue, there is now a growing literature demonstrating higher rates of depression among individuals with at least one copy of the s allele of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism with increasing exposure to stressful life events than among individuals who are homozygous for the l allele. In particular, Kendler et al. (10) found that individuals with two copies of the s allele were more likely than were their counterparts with two l alleles to become depressed in response to “common, low-threat events.” Although Kendler et al. hypothesized, on the basis of these data, that this genetic polymorphism produces an increased sensitivity to the impact of stressful events that in turn increases the likelihood of depression, they could not explicitly examine this formulation with their data.

The findings presented in this article demonstrate for the first time in a sample of young individuals that biological stress reactivity may be a critical mechanism underlying the association between the serotonin transporter gene and exposure to stressful events in increasing risk for depression. Although investigators have recognized the relation between the serotonin system and depression, only recently have they focused on the link between serotonin and stress. In fact, several lines of evidence now suggest that the serotonin system plays an important role in regulating HPA axis activity. For example, researchers have now documented involvement of serotonin neurotransmission in both activation and feedback control of the HPA axis (36). More specifically, investigators have demonstrated in animals that serotonin activates the HPA axis by stimulating corticotropin releasing factor, triggering ACTH release, and stimulating corticosteroid secretion (37). Indeed, serotonin has been found to enhance the negative feedback control of cortisol (38). Moreover, as we noted earlier, mice with the serotonin transporter gene knocked out have been found to exhibit increased HPA axis response to acute stress (9). Finally, Barr et al. (39) examined the interactive influence of variation in the serotonin transporter gene promoter region and rearing condition on endocrine responses to stress in infant rhesus macaques. These investigators found that animals with one copy of the s allele raised by peers had higher levels of ACTH during separation than did both l/l animals and animals with an s allele that were raised maternally, indicating that serotonin transporter gene variation affects HPA axis activity, and that the influence of 5-HTTLPR on hormonal responses during stress is modulated by early experience.

It is noteworthy that although daughters with s/l and l/l allele polymorphisms in this study were both less reactive to stress than were homozygous s carriers, they did not differ significantly from each other; that is, the s/l daughters were not more reactive than were their l/l counterparts. Studies of the interaction of genotype with a history of severe life stress have generally found a step function in which having one s allele is associated with greater risk for depression than is having two l alleles, and being homozygous for the s allele confers the highest risk (5). This is the first study to examine cortisol levels in response to a laboratory stressor as a function of genotype. It may be that, in contrast to cumulative severe life events, a single laboratory stressor is too mild, transient, and circumscribed to elicit cortisol production in l/l and s/l individuals but sufficient to provoke a cortisol response in s/s participants. Thus, individuals who are homozygous for the s allele may have a lower threshold for cortisol production in response to stress than do their peers. Indeed, this formulation is consistent with Kendler et al.’s (10) finding that individuals with two copies of the s allele were more likely than were their counterparts with two l alleles to become depressed in response to what they referred to as “common, low-threat events.” Studies designed to manipulate stress level parametrically would be valuable in examining this formulation more explicitly.

In closing, we have demonstrated in this study that biological stress reactivity is a plausible mechanism underlying the association between genotype and exposure to life stress in predicting the onset of depression. A notable strength of this study is that the results of measurements (31), $F(2,63) = 4.26, p < .05$, reflecting the elevated cortisol production in response to stress in daughters who were homozygous for the s allele.

\[\text{Figure 1. Stress reactivity by genotype.}\]
are not confounded with a history of depression; indeed, none of the participants had experienced any previous Axis I disorder. It will be important to follow this sample to examine the utility of genotype, family history, and stress reactivity in predicting the development of disorder. Our results underscore the importance of the relation between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and HPA axis functioning in leading to emotional disturbance. It is critical, therefore, that investigators continue to work to elucidate the nature of this association so that we can develop programs and procedures that may prevent the occurrence of psychiatric disorders in high-risk individuals.
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