Enhanced two-photon processes in single quantum dots inside photonic crystal nanocavities
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We show that the two-photon transition rates of quantum dots coupled to nanocavities are enhanced by up to several orders of magnitude relative to quantum dots in bulk host. We then propose how to take advantage of this enhancement to implement coherent quantum-dot excitation by two-photon absorption, entangled photon pair generation by two-photon spontaneous emission, and single-photon generation at telecom wavelengths by two-photon stimulated and spontaneous emission.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) coupled to photonic crystal (PC) cavities have shown their potential for quantum-information science. Experiments have demonstrated creation of single photons in the near infrared,1,2 Purcell enhancement of QD spontaneous emission rates,3 and polariton state splitting in strong-coupling regime.4–6 However, many important challenges remain in the QD-PC cavity systems. Among them is the generation of indistinguishable single photons, preferably at telecom wavelengths. This challenge results from the fact that a single incoherently excited QD has to undergo phonon-assisted relaxation to its lowest excited state so the emitted single photons have different temporal profiles.7,8 For this reason, the maximum demonstrated indistinguishability between photons emitted from a single incoherently excited QD has been around 81%.9 This problem would be resolved by exciting QDs directly on resonance and 90% indistinguishability has been recently reported from such a system in a micropillar cavity.10 Coherent excitation is also of great interest for quantum-information science because it also enables manipulation of QD states. However, this type of excitation experiments face the difficulty of separating signal and probe photons that have the same wavelength and are thus constrained in terms of cavity geometry and strength of resulting cavity QED effects. Another challenge for the QD-PC cavity system is the generation of entangled photon pairs.11 Although photon pairs can be created from biexciton decay cascade in a QD, the pairs are not entangled in polarization because the intermediate exciton states are split by anisotropic electron-hole exchange interactions,12,13 which has to be compensated in order to recover entanglement.14,15

Two-photon absorption (TPA) and Two-photon emission (TPE) in QDs coupled to PC cavities can solve these challenges. TPA allows coherent excitation of the QDs when the frequencies of the two photons are tuned such that their sum matches the transition frequency of the QDs and at the same time allows for the frequency separation of the signal and probe photons. On the other hand, TPE from an excited QD allows the generation of entangled photon pairs on demand at telecom wavelengths. Recently, TPE in both optically pumped bulk GaAs and electrically pumped GaInP/AlGaInP quantum wells have been demonstrated.16 However, TPE in QDs has not been observed so far because two-photon transition rates are much slower than single-photon rates as the former involve virtual intermediate states. For the same reason, a typical QD TPA experiment requires an ensemble of QDs as well as pulsed laser to provide enough excitation power.17,18

Here, we propose to use PC cavities to enhance the TPA and TPE rates in a single QD (Fig. 1). We show that such enhancement leads to significant reduction in the two-photon excitation power requirement so that continuous-wave lasers are sufficient for exciting a single QD. At the same time, the enhancement makes QD-PC cavity systems a promising candidate for observing TPE from a single QD and for generating entangled photons by this process. These effects have not been studied in atom-cavity systems so far because they typically exhibit much smaller Purcell factors and similarly much smaller enhancements of two-photon processes.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Setup for enhanced two-photon absorption experiment: two laser beams with frequencies \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) are injected to a double-mode cavity. (b) Enhanced two-photon absorption and single-photon generation in a QD with a lateral electric field applied to it in the lateral \(x\) direction. The lateral electric field shifts apart the hole valence band and the electron conduction band and therefore breaks the parity selection rule. (c) Enhanced two-photon spontaneous emission in a QD as a reverse process of two-photon absorption. The one-photon excited electron recombines with the hole and generates entangled photon pair via two-photon spontaneous emission.
II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

A. Two-photon absorption

We first consider the case of TPA: the QD is excited from a ground state with frequency $\omega_e$ to an excited state with frequency $\omega_e + \omega_p$ by two photons of frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ [Fig. 1(b)]. According to time-dependent perturbation theory, the transition rate for this process is

$$\gamma_{TPA} = \frac{2\Omega_{eff}}{\hbar} |\langle \omega_e | \phi_{12} | \omega_1 - \omega_2 \rangle|^2,$$

where $\Omega_{eff}$ is the Rabi frequency, $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant, $|\phi_{12}\rangle$ is the effective Rabi oscillation rate has a quadratic dependence on $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. In these two expressions, $\Omega_{eff}$ is the Rabi transition rate between the ground (intermediate) state and the intermediate (excited) state driven by the laser with frequency $\omega_e$. By substituting the different Rabi rates, we obtain the TPA rates in bulk and double-mode cavity (with resonance frequencies $\omega_{1c}$ and $\omega_{2c}$, respectively),

$$\gamma_{TPA}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}} = \frac{n^2 \omega_0}{\pi} \frac{P_1}{2 \hbar^2 \rho_{eff} A_{c}^2} \left| \eta \frac{P_2}{2 \hbar^2 \rho_{eff} A_{c}^2} M_{12}^2 \delta(\omega_d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \right|$$

and

$$\gamma_{TPA}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}} = \frac{n^2 \omega_0}{\pi} \frac{P_1}{2 \hbar^2 \rho_{eff} A_{c}^2} \left| \eta \frac{P_2}{2 \hbar^2 \rho_{eff} A_{c}^2} M_{12}^2 \delta(\omega_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \right|.$$

In these two expressions, $n$, $e_0$, and $c$ are the refractive index, free space permittivity, and speed of light, respectively, $P_1$, $A_c$, and $\eta$ are the power, spot area, and incoupling efficiency of the laser beam with frequency $\omega_e$, while $Q_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ are the quality factor and mode volume of cavity mode with frequency $\omega_{1c}$. $\phi_{12}$ characterizes the frequency mismatch between $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$: $\phi_{12} = \frac{\omega_{1c} - \omega_{2c}}{\gamma_{TPA}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}}}$. $M_{12}$ is defined as

$$M_{12} = \sum_k \left[ \frac{d_{gk}^{\phi_{12}} |\psi_{gk1}|^2}{\omega_k - \omega_e - \omega_1} + \frac{d_{gk}^{\phi_{12}} |\psi_{gk2}|^2}{\omega_k - \omega_e - \omega_2} \right],$$

where $d_{gk}^{\phi_{12}} (d_{gk})$ is the transition dipole moment between the ground (intermediate) state and the electric field maximum within the cavity: $\psi_{gk1} = \frac{E_{g}(r)}{\rho_{eff}(r)}$, $\psi_{gk2} = \frac{E_{g}(r)}{\rho_{eff}(r)}$, $E_{g}(r)$ is the electric field at the QD location, $|\psi_{gk1}|^2$ is the maximum field strength in the cavity, and $\rho_{eff}(r)$ is the polarization of the field. In the nondegenerate TPA, the absorption rate has a linear dependence on $P_1$ and $P_2$. In the degenerate case, $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ and the absorption rate has a quadratic dependence on power, as expected. In general for the same excitation laser power and laser frequencies resonant with cavity mode frequencies, the rate enhancement is $\gamma_{TPA}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}} / \gamma_{0} = G_1 G_2$, where $G_1 = \eta_2 Q A_{c} \lambda / (\pi V n)$, and $G_2 = \eta_2 Q A_{c} \lambda / (\pi V n)$. Then, a single-mode cavity enhances the degenerate TPA by factor which scales as $(Q/V)^2$.

Two-photon excitation of QDs holds several advantages over conventional one-photon excitation. By tuning excitation laser frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ such that their sum matches the quantum-dot transition frequency $\omega_d$, we can coherently excite a QD and therefore create a source of indistinguishable single photons on demand. This is because we eliminate a 10–30 ps time jitter in emitted single photons which results from off-resonant excitation and phonon-assisted carrier decay from the higher excited states to the first excited state. Two-photon excitation also presents a solution for the separation of signal and probe photons in resonant QD excitation because the excitation and emission wavelengths are different. Finally, TPA offers a convenient tool to coherently excite and manipulate a QD for quantum information processing.

B. Two-photon emission

Similarly, for two-photon spontaneous emission (TPSE) [Fig. 1(c)], we compare emission rate $\Gamma_0^{TPSE}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ of a QD in bulk semiconductor host with emission rate $\Gamma_{TPSE}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ of a QD in a double-mode cavity centered at $\omega_{1c}$ and $\omega_{2c}$,

$$\Gamma_{0}^{TPSE} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{n \omega_0^3}{\pi c^2} \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_2} \right| \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_1} \right| \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_2} \right| \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_1} \right| \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_2} \right| \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma_{0}^{TPSE}}{\partial \omega_1} \right|.$$

Unlike TPA in which one selects the two photon frequencies, QD TPE occurs in a spectrum of $\omega_1 + \omega_2$. Therefore the emission rate is expressed per $\omega_2$ or $\omega_1$.

Physically, TPSE occurs as the result of the interaction between the excited QD and the vacuum states of the electromagnetic field with modes of frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. As mentioned before, the TPSE spectrum of a single QD is broad because there is a series of $\omega_1, \omega_2$ satisfying energy conservation. Furthermore, the TPSE is slower than the one-photon emission because virtual states are required for it. The combination of broad spectrum and low transition rate results in an overall low signal intensity, and therefore TPSE is generally difficult to detect.

However, the TPSE rate is enhanced when the QD is placed inside a cavity because the cavity modifies the photon density of states in free space into a Lorentzian distribution and also localizes field into small volume which leads to stronger interaction. The TPSE spectrum is thus narrower as it is enhanced around the cavity mode frequencies. The two-photon enhancement in a double-mode cavity relative to the bulk medium can be seen by taking the ratio of Eqs. (3)

$$\frac{\Gamma_{TPSE}^{\omega_{1c},\omega_{2c}}}{\Gamma_0^{TPSE}} = F_1 F_2$$

with $F_i = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{n r} \right)^3 \frac{Q_d}{V_i}$, where $F_i$ is the Purcell factor and $\lambda_i = 2\pi c/\omega_i$.

Experimentally, single-mode cavities with $Q$’s exceeding $10^2$ and mode volumes below cubic optical wavelength have...
been demonstrated, and doubly resonant cavities with similar \(Q\)’s and mode volumes are possible.\(^\text{22}\) Therefore, we would expect the maximum TPSE enhancement factor to be \(10^3\) in single-mode cavities and \(10^8\) in double-mode cavities. In practice, the single-photon Purcell factor is typically 10–100 owing to the spatial mismatch (\(\phi’/s \neq 1\)) and/or the spectral mismatch (\(\phi’/s \neq 1\)).\(^\text{1}\) Therefore, we expect the TPSE enhancement to be in the range from 100 to \(10^3\). Second, in photonic crystal cavities only the emission rates with frequency pair (\(\omega_1, \omega_2\)) matching the cavity frequencies (\(\omega_{c1}, \omega_{c2}\)) are enhanced while emission rates with other frequency pairs are greatly suppressed. Thus, the cavities offer a good control over the frequencies of emitted photons. Third, inside a double-mode cavity with degenerate polarizations for both modes, the two emitted photons are entangled in polarization.

Finally, we derive the two-photon stimulated emission (TPSTE) rate in a double-mode cavity stimulated by a laser beam with power \(P_2\), coupling efficiency \(\eta_2\), and frequency \(\omega_2\)

\[
\Gamma_{\omega_1,\omega_2}^{\text{TPSTE}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \frac{2Q_1 \phi_1}{\pi \hbar^2 \epsilon_0 V_1} \right] \left[ \frac{\eta_2 P_2 \phi_2}{2 \hbar^2 \omega_2 \pi^2 \epsilon_0 V_2} \right] M_{12}^2. \tag{4}
\]

Equation (4) shows that the TPSTE rate is linearly dependent on the stimulation laser power and the rate is increased by a factor of \(\eta_2 P_2 \pi/(4 \hbar \omega_2)\) compared to the TPSE rate. This additional enhancement makes the QD a selective single-photon emitter at \(\omega_1\). In other words, if a QD is resonantly excited via one-photon process at \(\omega_d\) and also driven by a laser with frequency \(\omega_2\), it would generate single photons at frequency \(\omega_1 = \omega_d - \omega_2\).

### III. EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

Our system of interest for demonstration of the proposed experiments is an InAs QDs embedded in two-dimensional GaAs PC cavities [Fig. 1(a)]. Consider QDs with \(s\) shell-\(s\) shell transition wavelength of \(\lambda_s=925\) nm. For cavity-enhanced degenerate TPA, the two excitation photons have the same wavelength of 1850 nm. As an example, we choose a linear three-hole defect (L3) cavity with fundamental mode at 1850 nm [Fig. 2(b)] with the following parameters (simulated by finite-difference time-domain method): hexagonal lattice constant \(a=537\) nm (\(a/\lambda=0.29\)), slab thickness \(d=242\) nm (\(d/a=0.45\)), and hole radius \(r=161\) nm (\(r/a=0.30\)). The nearest two holes along the defect are each shifted outward by \(s=81\) nm (\(s/a=0.15\)), which result in a cavity quality factor exceeding 21 000 and a mode volume approximately 0.75(\(\lambda/\pi\))^3. This mode locates in the band gap of the photonic crystal band diagram [Fig. 2(a)].

For the proposals we described above, it is important to have a transition that is accessible by both one-photon and two-photon processes. However, two-photon transitions have different selection rule from one-photon transitions. Particularly for QDs, \(s\) shell-\(s\) shell and \(p\) shell-\(p\) shell-two-photon transitions are forbidden but \(s\) shell-\(p\) two-photon transitions are allowed due to parity. To achieve two-photon \(s\) shell-\(s\) shell transitions, we propose the application of lateral electric fields to break the parity symmetry in wave functions [Figs.
1(b) and 1(c)]. Recent experiments have demonstrated the Stark shift of QD transitions in bulk\textsuperscript{23} as well as in photonic crystal cavities.\textsuperscript{24,25} Following Refs. 23 and 26, we model the QD as a particle in a finite well along its growth axis and a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator perpendicular to its growth axis. We denote the electron (hole) effective mass and oscillator frequency as \( m^* \) and \( \omega \) (\( m^*_h \) and \( \omega_h \)). For the one-photon \( s \)-shell \( s \)-shell transition, the lateral electric field \( E \) reduces this transition dipole moment with expression \( d_e^{(c)} = e\mathbf{r}_c \exp(-\Delta x^2/(4\lambda^2)) \), where \( \mathbf{r}_c \) is the transition moment between the valence and the conduction bands, \( \Delta x = eE[(m^*_e\omega^2)^{-1} + (m^*_h\omega_h^2)^{-1}] \) is the separation of the electron and hole wave-function centers, and \( \lambda = \hbar/(2m^*_e\omega) \) is the oscillator length. For the two-photon \( s \)-shell \( s \)-shell transition, the predominant intermediate states are the conduction and valence \( p \)-shell states. Assuming \( |k\rangle \) = conduction \( p \)-shell, we have \( |\psi_k\rangle = e\mathbf{r}_c |\Delta x/\lambda\rangle \exp(-\Delta x^2/(4\lambda^2)) \) and \( |\psi_p\rangle = e\mathbf{r}_c |\Delta x |\exp(-\Delta x^2/(4\lambda^2)) \). The case of \( |k\rangle \) = valence \( p \)-shell gives the same product of transition dipole moments.

Figure 3 shows the enhanced degenerate TPA effective Rabi rate \( \Omega_{\text{eff}}/2\pi \) [Eq. (1)] and one-photon spontaneous emission rate \( \Gamma_{\text{OPSE}}/2\pi \) as a function of lateral electric field. The simulation parameters are \( m^*_h = 2m^*_e = 0.11m_0 \) (\( m_0 \) is the electron rest mass), \( h\omega = 2\hbar\omega_h = 12 \) meV,\textsuperscript{26} and \( |\mathbf{r}_c| = 0.6 \) nm.\textsuperscript{27} We use conservative parameters of \( Q_1 = Q_2 = 10^4 \), \( V_0 = 0.75(\lambda/\hbar n)^3 \), \( \phi^\prime = 0.5 \), and \( \psi^\prime = 0.5 \) (corresponding to a QD-cavity spectral mismatch of half cavity line width). A QD positioned in a 925 nm cavity with these parameters experiences a Purcell enhancement of 31. A continuous-wave laser light with wavelength of 1850 nm and power of \( P_1 = P_2 = 10 \) \( \mu \)W is coupled into the cavity with efficiency \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 5 \% \). The low-lying coupled modes are used for the experiments where the input laser beam is coupled to the cavity in the direction perpendicular to the chip.\textsuperscript{6,28} However, it must be pointed out that PC cavities coupled to fiber tapers or PC waveguide can achieve a coupling efficiency of 70–90 \% (Refs. 29 and 30) and therefore reduce the needed external excitation power. For enhanced TPA and subsequent single-photon generation, we operate in the regime where \( \varepsilon < 0.5 \) V/\( \mu \)m with \( \Omega_{\text{eff}} < \Gamma_{\text{OPSE}} \) to prevent Rabi oscillations between the ground and excited states.

In addition to the application of a static electric field, a modulation of electric field can change the parity selection rules dynamically. For example, we can keep the electric field on at approximately 0.75 V/\( \mu \)m to enable the \( s \)-shell \( s \)-shell excitation via TPA (Fig. 3 blue arrow) but then turn off the field to permit a rapid single-photon emission (Fig. 3 red arrow). This way we can achieve maximum transitions rates in both excitation and photon emission. For an estimation of the modulation speed, we need to switch the electric field on a time scale that is faster than the recombination rate for an excited QD, which is 100 ps to 1 ns. Today’s commercial function generators can easily achieve this speed.

For a QD inside a cavity with the parameters given above, the total two-photon spontaneous emission rate reaches its maximum of 16 kHz at \( \varepsilon = 0.75 \) V/\( \mu \)m, which might be detected by lock-in amplification. To demonstrate two-photon stimulated emission, the photon frequencies need to be non-degenerate. We select two-photon wavelengths as \( \lambda_1 = 1550 \) nm and \( \lambda_2 = 2300 \) nm, conveniently coinciding with the telecom band (suitable for propagation down the fiber) and emission from existing GaSb lasers, respectively. We scale up our L3 cavity design mentioned in the previous paragraph and choose hexagonal lattice constant \( a \approx 667 \) nm, slab thickness \( d = 300 \) nm, and hole radius \( r = 200 \) nm to achieve a fundamental mode [Fig. 2(b)] resonance at 2300 nm with a quality factor of 21 000. The other mode at 1550 nm is a guided resonance with \( a/\lambda = 0.43 \), quality factor \( Q \approx 200 \), and mode volume \( V = 6.4(\lambda/\hbar n)^3 \) [Fig. 2(c)]. This guided resonance locates at the TE band at the \( \Gamma \) point of the band diagram [Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 3 shows the cavity-enhanced TPSTE rate of 1550 nm photons as a function of lateral electric field calculated with these parameters. The maximum emission rate occurs at \( \varepsilon = 0.75 \) V/\( \mu \)m. Although the emission rate is below 1 MHz, we emphasize that this signal might be detected by lock-in amplification and that the 925 nm single photons emitted by the QD do not serve as a background for the TPE detection due to wavelength difference.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have derived the expressions for enhancement of two-photon absorption, two-photon spontaneous emission, and two-photon stimulated emission from a single QD in an optical nanocavity. We have presented a simple cavity design to achieve QD degenerate two-photon absorption with power as low as 10 \( \mu \)W. This allows us to employ enhanced two-photon absorption to coherently excite quantum dots and enable generation of indistinguishable single photons on demand. We have also presented a design to achieve enhanced nondegenerate two-photon emission, which can be employed to generate single photons.

Although we focus on semiconductor QDs as an illustration for cavity-enhanced TPA and TPE in this paper, we can use the same approach to excite and manipulate other emitters such as 637 nm nitrogen-vacancy centers by laser beams.
in the 1550 nm telecom range. The cavity-enhanced TPA and TPE can even enable construction of hybrid quantum networks containing different emitters just by changing the frequency of one of the excitation lasers.
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