An iconic approach to communicating climate change

¹Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, UK ²Climatic Research Unit, UK ³University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK ^{*}email: s.o-neill@uea.ac.uk

Project summary

This research investigates the use of climate 'icons' to promote public engagement with climate change. Such icons already exist, e.g. the melting of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) or potential Thermohaline Circulation (THC) shutdown – but these 'expert led' icons may not engage nonexperts. Using icons chosen by non-expert publics, and presenting climatic information on imaginable timescales and in knowable spatial dimensions provides saliency and encourages engagement with climate change.

Stage 1 Investigate what makes a salient icon with 3 culturally and spatially diverse participant groups Stage 2 Investigate climate impacts on each icon for a middle range emissions scenario (SRES A1B) and to an imaginable timescale (2050)

Stage 3 Explore non-experts cognitive and affective responses to expert and non-expert icons

An 'icon' is a tangible entity which will be impacted by climate change, considered worthy of respect, which the viewer can relate to feel empathy for

1. Icon selection

Focus groups were held with LEAD International Fellows and with Norwich school parents, and an online survey was conducted with forum members of <u>ClimatePrediction.net</u>. Three axis were apparent in icon selection: spatial scale, and pragmatic and intangible reasoning (links to analytic/experiential system, Slovic *et al.* 2004).

A suite of three expert and three non-expert icons were selected for stage two. The expert icons of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS), ocean acidification and the Thermohaline Circulation (THC) emerged from the Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change conference held in Exeter, UK (2005).

The non-expert icons were flooding in the Norfolk Broads, UK; sea level rise and flooding in London, UK, and Arctic sea ice melt and impacts on polar bears.

2. Icon modelling

The Norfolk Broads icon was modelled using the Thames LISFLOOD-FP, a quantitative flood inundation model (Dawson et al., 2005). Flooding impacts on London were realised through the Tyndall Coastal Simulator model (Dawson et al., submitted). Impacts were visualised using the ArcMap Geographic Information System.

Impacts on polar bear populations were investigated through an expert survey of the Polar Bear Specialist Group, part of the IUCN Species Survival Commission (see O'Neill, submitted).

An information sheet was developed for each icon, containing summary results of climate impacts in the form of a narrative, image and map.

3. Icon evaluation

A public pre/post-test workshop was carried out to explore cognitive and affective responses to the non-expert and expert icon treatment.

Icons are a useful tool in climate change communication: after viewing the icons, participants agreed more strongly that if they came across climate information, they would look at it (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test, T = 212, P < 0.01, n = 142).

Participants were most drawn to the non-expert icons Norfolk Broads (24%) and polar bears (23%), with reasoning such as 'it shows people how climate change will directly impact on their lives'. Participants were least drawn to the expert icons ocean acidification (11%) and WAIS (7%), often because these icons were 'too technical', or because there was 'nothing to really connect people with the problem'. This finds resonance with similar research (see Moser and Dilling, 2007).

References: Dawson, R., Hall, J., Bates, P.D. & Ncholls, R.J. (2005) Quantified Analysis of the Probability of Flooding in the Thames Estuary under Imaginable Worst-case Sea Level Res Scenarios. Water Resources Development, 21(4), 577-91 Dawson, R.J., Dickson, M.E., Ncholls, R.J., Hall, J.W., Walken, M.J.A., Stansty, P., Molvesch, M., Richards, J., Zhou, J., Millgan, J., Jordan, A., Pearson, S., Rees, J., Bates, P.D., Koukoulas, S. & Watkinson, A. (2006) Integrated analysis of risks of coastal flooding and eff erosion under scenarios collinget ter Change clammited Moser, S.C. & Dilling, L. (2007). *Creating a Climate for Change communicating Climate Change and Facilitating Social Change Cambridge University Press, New York* O Nelli, S.J., Okohon, T.J., Hulme, M., Lorazoni, I., Watkinson, A. (2007). Epot assessment of the uncertainfiles of polation dynamics under dimanes change. Journal of Applied Ecology (submitted) Slovic, P., M. L. Finucane, et al. (2004). "Risk as Analysis and Risk as Feerings: Some Thoughts about Affect, Reason, Risk, and Rationality." *Risk Analysis* 24, pp. 311-322

