
**American National Election Study, 2000:
Pre- and Post-Election Survey**

Nancy Burns, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone,
Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000:
PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY

(ICPSR 3131)

Principal Investigators

Nancy Burns, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone,
Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies
University of Michigan
Center for Political Studies

Second ICPSR Version
February 2002

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Burns, Nancy, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies. AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY [Computer file]. 2nd ICPSR version. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies [producer], 2001. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2002.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Nancy Burns, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY (ICPSR 3131)

SUMMARY: This study is part of a time-series collection of national surveys fielded continuously since 1952. The election studies are designed to present data on Americans' social backgrounds, enduring political predispositions, social and political values, perceptions and evaluations of groups and candidates, opinions on questions of public policy, and participation in political life. The 2000 National Election Study (NES) entailed both a pre-election interview and a post-election reinterview. A freshly drawn cross-section of the electorate was taken to yield 1,807 cases. Because the study includes a carefully designed mode experiment, the data represent two presidential studies in 2000, side by side. The core study preserves the past commitment to probability area sampling and face-to-face interviewing: 1,000 respondents were interviewed prior to the election and 694 were reinterviewed face-to-face after the election. Supporting the core study, random-digit dial sampling and telephone interviewing were used: 803 respondents were interviewed by phone prior to the election and 862 respondents were interviewed by phone after the election. As such, the experiment examines the differences between the two modes and provides a preview of what shifting to telephone interviewing will mean for the NES time-series. The content of the 2000 election study reflects its dual purpose as a traditional presidential election year time-series data collection and as a mode study. Many of the substantive themes included in the 2000 questionnaires are a continuation of past topics. Interest in politics and the election was examined through questions regarding interest in the political campaigns, concern about the outcome, attentiveness to the media's coverage of the campaign, and information about politics. Respondents' knowledge of candidates and the political parties was ascertained through questions evaluating the presidential candidates and placement of presidential candidates on various issue dimensions, knowledge of the religious background of the major presidential and vice-presidential candidates, partisanship and evaluations of the political parties, and knowledge of and evaluation of United States House and United States Senate candidates. Respondents were also asked about their political participation (in the November general election and in other forms of electoral campaign activity), and their choice for president, the United States House, and the United States Senate, as well as second choice for president. Respondents were also queried about

the Clinton legacy and knowledge of George Bush Sr. and his administration. Additional items focused on respondents' perceptions of personal and national economic well-being, their positions on social welfare issues (including government health insurance, federal budget priorities, and the role of government in the provision of jobs and a good standard of living), campaign finance and preference for divided government, social issues (including gun control, abortion, women's roles, the rights of homosexuals, the death penalty, school vouchers, environmental policy), racial and ethnic stereotypes, affirmative action, attitudes toward immigrants, and views on the nation's most important problem. Respondents' values and political predispositions (including moral traditionalism, political efficacy, egalitarianism, humanitarianism, individualism, and trust in government), views on fairness in elections, satisfaction with democracy, and the value of voting were also assessed. Other questions addressed social altruism, social connectedness, feeling thermometers on a wide range of political figures and political groups, affinity with various social groups, and detailed demographic information and measures of religious affiliation and religiosity. Several new concepts were also addressed in the 2000 study and include measures of social trust derived from perceptions of the trustworthiness of neighbors and coworkers. Voter turnout was also investigated with expanded response categories to help respondents be more accurate in determining whether they did in fact vote in November 2000. The concept of political knowledge was also addressed with new instructions encouraging respondents to take their best guesses when answering the political knowledge questions. The 2000 study also incorporated a social network battery, based entirely on the perceptions of survey respondents regarding the characteristics of their identified discussants. Two brief but reliable measures of cognitive style, the need for cognition and the need to evaluate, were also included in this study. Another important feature of the 2000 NES is the mode experiment, which supplies the ability to compare interviews taken in person with interviews taken over the phone. This carefully designed mode experiment, driven by theoretical and practical interest, allows scholars to test the consequences of survey mode on data quality and reliability. The 2000 study incorporates numerous experiments that examine the effects of mode: 7-point scales and branching, response order, "don't know" filters, and social desirability. Demographic variables include gender, race, employment status, and length of residency in the community.

UNIVERSE: All United States citizens of voting age on or before Election Day (November 6, 2000), residing in housing units other than on military reservations in the 48 coterminous states.

SAMPLING: National multistage area probability sample.

NOTE: (1) The SAS transport file was created using the SAS CPORT procedure. (2) The codebook and data collection instrument are provided as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 1 data file (ASCII) + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements + data collection instruments (PDF)

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: CONCHK.PR/MDATA.PR/UNDOCCHK.PR/REFORM.DOC

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements with SAS transport file and SPSS export file

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 1,807

Variables: 1,887

Record Length: 3,094

Records Per Case: 1

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES:

2000 PRE- AND POST-ELECTION STUDY

CODEBOOK

Center for Political Studies
Institute for Social Research
The University of Michigan

Burns, Nancy, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies. NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES, 2000: PRE-/POST-ELECTION STUDY [dataset]. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies [producer and distributor], 2001.

These materials are based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant numbers SBR-9707741, SBR-9317631, SES-9209410, SES-9009379, SES-8808361, SES-8341310, SES-8207580, and SOC77-08885, as well as the Russell Sage Foundation under grant number 82-00-01, and the University of Michigan.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the funding agencies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS

>> 2000 GENERAL INTRODUCTION
>> 2000 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 2000 STUDY DESIGN, CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
>> 2000 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN
>> STUDY POPULATION
>> DUAL FRAME SAMPLE DESIGN
>> FTF SAMPLE DESIGN - MULTI-STAGE AREA PROBABILITY
>> AREA SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES
>> 2000 NES RDD (RANDOM DIGIT DIAL) SAMPLE
>> 2000 NES RDD SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES
>> 2000 NES POST-ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE OUTCOMES
>> 2000 NES DATA - WEIGHTED ANALYSIS
>> 2000 NES ANALYSIS WEIGHTS - CONSTRUCTION
>> 2000 NES PROCEDURES FOR SAMPLING ERROR ESTIMATION
>> NOTES ON CONFIDENTIAL VARIABLES
>> 2000 FILE STRUCTURE AND NOTE ON "DATASET NUMBER" AND "VERSION NUMBER"
>> 2000 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 2000 PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 2000 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE DOCUMENTATION

V000001 - V000003 Identification and weights
V000004 - V000125 Pre administrative, sampling, etc.
V000126 - V000262 Post administrative, candidate, etc.
V000301 - V001027 PRE: SURVEY VARIABLES
V000905 - V001027 PRE: DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES
V001028 - V001041j Pre interviewer observation
V001042 - V001123 Pre randomization description
V001201 - V001751g POST: SURVEY VARIABLES
V001743a- V001751g Post interviewer observation
V001752 - V001810 Post randomization description

APPENDICES

MASTER CODES
>> NOTES ON SAMPLING VARIABLES
>> CENSUS DEFINITIONS
>> 2000 TYPE OF RACE
>> 2000 CANDIDATE NUMBER
>> 2000 ETHNICITY/NATIONALITY
>> 2000 ICPSR STATE AND COUNTRY CODES
>> 2000 RELIGION
>> 2000 OCCUPATION
>> 2000 INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (1990 CENSUS)
>> 2000 PARTY-CANDIDATE
>> 2000 MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
>> 2000 PARTY DIFFERENCES

>> 2000 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEYS

During the early spring of 2001 the National Election Studies staff prepared a comprehensive version of the 2000 American National Election Study. The number of cases in this file, 1807, includes all respondents from the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election surveys. 1881 variables are produced by default using the data definition files provided with the raw data for creation of SAS and SPSS system files.

The codebook contains documentation for variables beginning with identification variables which provide the NES VERSION NUMBER (version number of the data file), NES DATASET NUMBER (number of this dataset), and ICPSR study number.

>> 2000 STUDY DESCRIPTION FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY

The 2000 American National Election Study was conducted by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of Nancy Burns and Donald R. Kinder. Ashley Grosse was the Director of Studies for the National Election Studies and oversaw the study from early planning stages through release of the 2000 data collection. She was assisted by Patricia Luevano, Laurie Pierson, and Chuck Kierpie. This is the twenty-sixth in a series of studies of American national elections produced by the Center for Political Studies and the Survey Research Center, and it is the twelfth traditional time-series study to be conducted under the auspices of National Science Foundation Grants (SBR-9317631, SES-9209410, SES-9009379, SES-8808361, SES-8341310, SES-8207580, SOC77-08885 and SES 9707741) providing long-term support for the National Election Studies. Since 1978, the National Election Studies have been designed by a national Board of Overseers, the members of which meet several times a year to plan content and administration of the major study components. Board members during the planning of the 2000 National Election Study included Larry Bartels, Chair (Princeton University), Nancy Burns, ex officio (University of Michigan), Charles Franklin (University of Wisconsin), John Mark Hansen (University of Chicago), Robert Huckfeldt, (Indiana University), Donald Kinder, ex officio (University of Michigan), Jon A. Krosnick, (Ohio State University), Arthur Lupia (University of California, San Diego), Wendy Rahn (University of Minnesota), Virginia Sapiro (University of Wisconsin), W. Phillips Shively (University of Minnesota), Laura Stoker (University of California, Berkeley). As part of the study planning process, a special planning committee was appointed, a pilot study conducted, and stimulus letters sent to members of the scholarly community soliciting input on study plans. Board member Robert Huckfeldt chaired the Planning Committee for the 2000 National Election Study which included from the Board: Larry Bartels (Princeton University), Nancy Burns (University of Michigan), Charles Franklin, (University of Wisconsin), John Mark Hansen (University of Chicago), Donald Kinder (University of Michigan), Jon A. Krosnick (Ohio State University), Arthur Lupia (University of California, San Diego), Virginia Sapiro (University of Wisconsin), Laura Stoker (University of California, Berkeley), and five other scholars from the community, Steven Ansolabehere (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Janet Box-Steffensmeier (Ohio State University), Clem Brooks (Indiana University), Darren Davis (Michigan State University), and Donald Green (Yale University), and Ashley Grosse (NES Director of Studies).

Two pilot studies were carried out prior to the 2000 Election Study for the purpose of developing new instrumentation and the methodological investigation of concepts previously measured in NES surveys. The 1998 Pilot Study, one of the most innovative pilots to date, was the first pilot to be fielded during an election season. The timing allowed NES to test instrumentation that is exclusively related to the electoral context. The pilot study focused on the three high-profile gubernatorial contests in California, Illinois, and Georgia. Several new measures that were piloted include: media usage; social context and communication; need for evaluation; group mobilization; public mood; tone of campaign; awareness of campaign issues; and whether R owns stock. Also, a significant portion of the interview was devoted to the methodological investigation of concepts previously measured in NES surveys. Among those were: campaign participation; media use; feeling thermometers as measures of awareness; vote intention; and political knowledge.

In March of 2000, NES fielded a Special Topic Pilot Study, funded by the Russell Sage Foundation, to develop and refine a series of new measures on social trust. Additionally, new items were tested in the areas of trust in elections, civic engagement, need for cognition, and social desirability. New measures were developed for domain specific trust involving neighbors and co-

workers. Results indicated that these new measures gauge trust reliably, that neighborhood and workplace trust are related to but distinct from general social trust, and they contribute independently to participation in politics. These items were included in the 2000 Election Study.

Data from the 1998 and 2000 NES pilot studies are available through the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (respectively, ICPSR 2693 and ICPSR 2936).

Results from these pilot studies were used by the Planning Committee in formulating recommendations to the Board about study content for the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election Survey. Copies of the Pilot Study Reports are available on the NES Website (www.umich.edu/~nes), or may be obtained by contacting the NES project staff.

NES Project Staff
Center for Political Studies
Room 4026
Institute for Social Research
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor MI 48106-1248
nes@umich.edu
<http://www.umich.edu/~nes>

>> 2000 STUDY DESIGN, CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

STUDY DESIGN

The 2000 National Election Study entailed both a pre-election interview and a post-election re-interview. A freshly drawn cross section of the electorate was taken to yield 1807 cases. The 65 minute pre election survey went into the field September 5th, nine weeks before election day. The 65 minute post election study, unique to the time series in that no president elect was named for several days, went into the field the day after the election, November 8th, and remained in the field until December 18th.

Because of the study's most innovative feature, a carefully designed mode experiment, the data represent two presidential studies in 2000, side by side. The core study preserves our past commitment to probability area sampling and face to face interviewing: 1006 respondents interviewed prior to the election and 694 were re-interviewed face to face after the election. Supporting the core study, we used the efficiencies of RDD sampling and telephone interviewing: 801 respondents were interviewed by phone prior to the election and 862 respondents were interviewed by phone after the election. As such, the experiment will define sharply the differences between the two modes and allow us to learn what a shift to telephone interviewing will mean for the NES time-series. Further details of the administration of the surveys are given in "Study Administration," below.

STUDY CONTENT

Substantive themes

The content for the 2000 Election Study reflects its double duty, both as the traditional presidential election year time-series data collection and as a mode study. Substantive themes represented in the 2000 questionnaires include:

- * interest in the political campaigns; concern about the outcome; and attentiveness to the media's coverage of the campaign
- * information about politics
- * evaluation of the presidential candidates and placement of presidential candidates on various issue dimensions
- * knowledge of the religious background of the major Presidential and Vice-Presidential candidates
- * partisanship and evaluations of the political parties
- * vote choice for President, the U.S. House, and the U.S. Senate, including second choice for President
- * political participation: turnout in the November general election; other forms of electoral campaign activity
- * personal and national economic well-being
- * positions on social welfare issues including: government health insurance; federal budget priorities, the budget surplus, and the role of the government in the provision of jobs and good standard of living
- * position on campaign finance and preference for divided government
- * positions on social issues including: gun control, abortion; women's roles; the rights of homosexuals; the death penalty; school vouchers; environmental policy
- * Clinton legacy
- * knowledge of George Bush Sr. and his previous administration
- * fairness in elections; satisfaction with democracy; and the value of voting
- * racial and ethnic stereotypes; opinions on affirmative action; attitudes towards immigrants
- * opinions about the nation's most important problem

- * values and predispositions: moral traditionalism; political efficacy; egalitarianism; humanitarianism individualism; trust in government
- * social altruism and social connectedness
- * feeling thermometers on a wide range of political figures and political groups; affinity with various social groups
- * social networks, shared information and expertise on politics
- * detailed demographic information and measures of religious affiliation and religiosity.

Several new concepts addressed in the 2000 study:

SOCIAL TRUST: Over the last decade, research on social trust has exploded. In order to allow NES to contribute to this research effort, we developed a series of new measures that approach the problem from a new angle. With supplementary funding from the Russell Sage Foundation, we developed measures addressed not to the trustworthiness of people in general, but to the trustworthiness of neighbors and co-workers. Our 2000 Special Topic Pilot Study showed that the new measures gauge trust reliably, that neighborhood and workplace trust are related to but distinct from general social trust, and that they contribute independently to participation in politics. We included these measures in the 2000 NES, again, with support from the Russell Sage Foundation. Together with an expanded set of questions on participation in civic life that are also part of the 2000 study, we expect to see a wide range of exciting new investigations on trust and participation.

VOTER TURNOUT: A particularly vexing problem for NES has been over-reporting of voter turnout. Over the years we have sponsored a series of investigations trying out possible remedies, without much success. But now it seems that we may have a solution in hand, based on the source monitoring theory of recall. The notion here is that some people may remember having voted sometime in the past but confuse the source of that memory, accidentally misassigning it to the most recent election, when it actually derives from a prior election. We are therefore implementing a new item, with expanded response categories to help respondents be more accurate in determining whether they did in fact vote in November of 2000.

POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: The 2000 study also sees a slight change in the way political knowledge is measured. In the past, we have encouraged respondents to say they "don't know" the answer to our information questions, partly to avoid embarrassment. But research shows that this differentially encourages "don't know" responses from some people who may actually know the correct answer but lack the confidence to say so. As a consequence, the standard way of putting these questions may underestimate levels of knowledge. In the 2000 study we are therefore encouraging respondents to take their best guesses when answering the political knowledge questions.

SOCIAL NETWORKS: The reality of citizenship is that individuals seldom go it alone when they engage in political activities. Preferences, choices, and levels of engagement are contingent on the location of individuals within particular social settings. The 2000 study incorporates a social network battery. The battery is based entirely on the perceptions of survey respondents regarding the characteristics of their identified discussants.

COGNITIVE STYLE: The 2000 NES includes two brief but reliable measures of cognitive style: need for cognition and need to evaluate. The first differentiates among people in the care they give to thinking through problems; the second differentiates among people in their tendency to evaluate objects as good or bad. Both are associated with extensive literatures in psychology, which led to their audition in the 1998 NES Pilot Study. Because of their success there in clarifying turnout, knowledge about politics, voter decision-making, and more, they were added to the 2000 NES.

SURVEY MODE: Perhaps the most important single feature of the 2000 NES is a mode experiment, which supplies the ability to compare interviews taken in person (as we've taken them for the past fifty years) with interviews taken over the phone. This carefully designed mode experiment, driven by theoretical and practical interest, allows scholars to test the consequences of survey mode on data quality and reliability. Moreover, it allows the community to assess the impact of what such a change in mode would mean for the NES time series. The 2000 study incorporates numerous experiments to look at the effects of mode on: 7 pt. scales and branching, response order, don't know filters, and social desirability.

Congressional Ballot Cards and Incumbent Bias

In 2000, NES redesigned the Congressional ballot card used in face to face interviewing in an attempt to combat overreport for incumbents. The ballot redesign was based on the research of Box-Steffensmeier, Jacobson, and Grant, (later published in POQ, 2000). Moreover, the change in ballot form was intended to eliminate the measurement error in vote report that has concerned numerous scholars (Wright 1993; Gow and Eubank 1984; Jacobson and Rivers 1993; and Jackson and Carsey 2001). Based on three experiments during the 1996 elections - the Ohio Union Study, the National Black Election Study, and the Texas Post Election Study, NES concluded that a modification to the 1982 style ballot was in order.

The new ballot cards are intended to give respondents two cues in recalling their vote - party identification and name of candidate. Based on the findings of Box-Steffensmeier et al., party is the predominant cue in the revised ballot. To randomly distribute that cue, each respondent had two ballots printed for the interview - one with the Republican listed first, and one with the Democrat listed first. Based on a randomly generated number, interviewers were instructed via CAPI to show the respondent the gold or the blue card. Examples of the redesigned ballot cards are available on the 2000 Election Study Page: <http://www.umich.edu/~nes/studyres/nes2000/nes2000.htm>.

In another effort to combat incumbent bias, the vote report question was placed earlier in the interview than in previous studies to avoid any possible contamination from thermometers, which ask R to rate their member of Congress.

Features of a CAI questionnaire

Using the capabilities of computer-assisted interviewing (CAI) in the 2000 NES enabled the introduction of several features that are not feasible using a paper-and-pencil questionnaire. The most significant of these for users of this data are: randomization within batteries or sequences of questions; application of half-sampling to some questions; and random order of presentation of blocks of questions. Randomization within batteries refers to presenting, in a randomly determined order, a series of questions about the same objects (or people). An example would be the questions about the respondent's likes and dislikes of the four main Presidential candidates where the names of Gore, Bush, Buchanan, and Nader were inserted randomly as the first, second, third or fourth person to be asked about in this series. Randomization of names/objects in this way avoids ordering effects that might be obtained if, for example, the candidates were always asked about in the same order in every series of questions where a parallel question is asked about each of the three. Questions where randomization of order within a series was in force are clearly identified in the codebook. Randomization Variables, which allow the user to identify the order of presentation, are provided for all instances of randomized presentation. A few questions, primarily open-ended questions, were half-sampled, so that a randomly selected half of respondents were asked the question. Finally, an order experiment,

where a sequence of closed-ended questions was asked early in the interview for a random half of respondents and late in the interview for the other half, was included as part of the mode comparison experiment described below. For both of these features, the relevant codebook entries contain explanatory notes. All random selections were programmed into the computer application of the questionnaire and occurred automatically and independently of other circumstances of the interview. CAI eliminates the preparation of a paper and pencil version which would previously have been published in the codebook.

Candidate information (names, gender and candidate codes) were "pre-loaded" into the application to be used during the interview. The pre-loaded information is included in the released data. However, since paper candidate lists are no longer utilized as field materials, there is no "Candidate List" appended to this codebook, although the term 'Candidate List' continues to be used in the codebook as a reference to the candidate information available to the interviewer (CAPI preload).

STUDY ADMINISTRATION: MODE EXPERIMENT

NES election studies are traditionally based on personal, face to face interviewing rather than telephone interviewing in order to preserve the quality of sampling and survey response. Given questions that have been raised within the research community about the relatively high expense of face-to-face interviewing compared with the more widely used telephone mode, the NES Board of Overseers authorized a series of efforts to investigate possibilities for maximizing the use of telephone interviewing. The 1996 and 1998 election studies included smaller mode experiments to test the consequences of mode on survey quality and reliability. The design and administration of the mode experiment in 2000 was guided by the work of a blue ribbon committee and the commission of two reports (available at <http://www.umich.edu/~nes/>) comparing face to face with telephone surveys. The issues included sample coverage, non-response, item non-response, social desirability bias, and satisficing. Several experiments were designed in the 2000 NES to gather more evidence on those effects. Those experiments are labeled in the question tags by the letter "E".

Question wording experiments for mode effects

In assessing possible mode effects, the NES Board of Overseers along with the 2000 Planning committee implemented a number of experiments to analyze response order effects, satisficing, and other possible fatigue effects of phone interviewing.

The experiments, placed almost exclusively in the pre-election survey are: G6, G7, G8, G9, G10, H1, H2,H4, H11, H12, L3, L6, M4, P1, and K2 in the post-election survey. Question tags identify experimental questions with the letter "E". The table below specifies the type of experiment, concept and question number, and the altered wording.

Concept =====	Experiment =====
Liberal/Conservative - G6, G7, G8, G9, G10	Branching vs. scale format

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much
 Do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative or extremely conservative?
 Do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate or haven't you thought much about this? Strong or not strong?

Economy - H1

Response order effects

...gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse
...worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better

Economic Conditions - H2

Response order effects

...or gotten easier for people to find enough work
...or gotten harder for people to find enough work

Economic Expectations - H4

Response order effects

...to get better, stay about the same, or get worse
...to get worse, stay about the same, or get better

Policy Positions on Imports - H11

Don't know effects by mode

...placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
...Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Isolationism - H12

Agree/Disagree format

...Do you agree or disagree with this statement
...stay at home or try to solve problems

Govt v. Private Health Care - L3

Response order effects

Some people feel that there should be a govt insurance plan....suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals...

Affirmative Action - L6

Balancing and mode effects

Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?
Should companies that have discriminated ... or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Tradeoff: Environment v. Jobs - M4

Don't know effects by mode

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much?

Women's Rights - P1

Don't know effects by mode

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much?
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Political Knowledge - K2

Don't know effects by mode

The first name is Trent Lott. What job or political office does he now hold?
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
The first name is Trent Lott. What job or political office does he now hold?
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?]

Telephone wording

Because the questions asked by NES over the last fifty years have been administered in person, the question text, that we are careful not to alter, reflects the context of that traditional face to face interview. To understand what such a change in mode would mean to the time series we

implemented the RDD study with a questionnaire that reflected the necessary changes in mode. The overlap between those questions is approximately 75%. Where questions were to be read differently, question tags are identified with the letter "T".

Pre-election study: administration

Interviewing for the pre-election survey began on September 5, 2000 and concluded on November 6, 2000. A total of 1807 interviews were conducted prior to the election - 1006 face to face and 801 by telephone. The average length of interview was 68.1 minutes - 70.5 minutes in face to face interviews and 65.1 minutes in telephone interviews. The overall response rate was 61.2% - 64.8 for the face to face interviewing and 57.2 for the telephone interviewing.

In an effort to improve response rates, respondents received a pre-notification packet by two day mail, which included a brochure on the study, and a "Monte Blanc" style pen with the University of Michigan seal, and a letter notifying them we would be contacting them and would offer them payment for their time - 20 dollars. Toward the end of the study, NES staff became concerned that the production goals would not be met by election day. This concern motivated a number of interventions: refusal conversion training for interviewers having difficulty, refusal conversion packets mailed by two day mail, and interviewer incentives, and increased respondent incentives. Interviewers were given ten dollars for every interview conducted after 10/26/01, and respondent incentives were increased from \$20 to \$40. To take account of those changes, variable V000139a identifies those cases where interviewers received an incentive per completed case, and variable V00016 identifies those cases where R received the increased incentive.

Post-election study: administration

In an effort to cut rising costs while in the field, two segment areas of the face to face sample were randomly selected to receive post interviews by telephone. By randomly selecting forty-seven segments for telephone post interviews, 200 cases were removed from the strict mode experiment.

Respondents again received a prenotification letter. Respondents were informed that they would receive \$20 dollars as payment for their time. Incentives were not increased for those who had received \$40 in the pre-election.

Interviewing began on November 8, 2000 and concluded on December 18, 2000. A total of 1555 interviews were conducted after the election - 693 face to face and 862 by telephone. The average length of interview was 63.7 minutes - 66.6 minutes in face to face interviews and 61.4 minutes in telephone interviews. The overall response rate was 86% - 86.1 face to face, and 85.8%.

The day after the election, it remained unclear who would be President and issues of fairness were increasingly being raised. To take advantage of this historical moment NES promptly included additional content on the fairness of the election, the importance of one's vote, and whether R was satisfied with democracy.

Evaluation of problems in study implementation

Two implementation problems arose in the post-election field randomization problem. The first involves randomization and the second involves the mode treatment. On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the seed used to generate randomization in the instrument application was not properly

assigned within the CAPI program. Consequently, interviews conducted prior to the correction of this error (or, for interviews started before and completed after correction of this error, portions of interviews) did not have randomization functioning for interview logic. Cases conducted without randomization in the logic were administered as if only 1 choice were available at each point where logic was intended to make a random selection among two or more choices: most of these cases have an identical choice made at each point where randomization was to have been effected. The Form description variables V000127a and V000127b and the randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the Post randomizations affected.

The second problem involves the 200 FTF Pre cases randomly selected to be switched to Phone administration in the Post (see above "Post-election study: assignment to telephone mode"). Post interviews were completed for 168 of these cases. Among these 168 Post interviews, 5 were mistakenly administered by interviewers face-to-face instead of by phone. These 5 cases are flagged in the Post administration variable describing mode (V000126) as code 7; note that in 3 of these 5 cases, the IWR actually identified the case as Phone at the start of the interview (although it was being administered face-to-face), and telephone logic was followed by the CAPI survey instrument as the interview was conducted: telephone versions of questions were produced for the interviewer to administer. In the 4th case, the interviewer identified the case at the start of the interview as a face-to-face interview, and FTF logic was used.

RESPONSE RATES

The final result codes for the face to face and telephone sample were used to calculate the two response rates below. The pre-election face to face response rate (the ratio of completed interviews to the total number of potential respondents) for the study was 64.8%. The pre-election telephone response rate was 57.2%. The overall re-interview response rate in the post election interviewing was 86%. The response rate in the face to face mode was 86.1% and for telephone it was 85.8%.

2000 Election Study: Response Rates

Face to Face	completed interviews	response rate	cooperation rate
Pre-election	1006	64.8%	86.4%
Post-election	693	57.2%	96.9%
Telephone			
Pre-election	801	57.2%	77.4%
Post-election	862	85.8%**	95.5%
Summary			
Pre-election	1807	61.2%	82.1%
Post-election	1555	86.0%	96.1%

The field and study staff implemented a number of strategies to bolster response rates, including respondent incentives, interviewer incentives, carefully written appeals to respondents sent express mail, special non-response training for interviewers, and extensive refusal conversion attempts. Most of these strategies were implemented during the pre-election study. The post-election study, which occurred during a unique time for the country, was marked by the willingness of our respondents to be re-interviewed. The overall refusal rate (the proportion of all cases in

which a respondent refuses to do an interview to the total eligible respondents contacted) for the post election study was 4%.

**The 200 cases from the face to face sample that were assigned for telephone interviewing in the post had a response rate of 84.5% The response rate for all the cases minus the 200 "reassigned mode" cases is 86.3%.

>> 2000 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN

STUDY POPULATION

The study population for the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election Study is defined to include all United States citizens of voting age on or before the 2000 Election Day. Eligible citizens must have resided in housing units in the forty-eight coterminous states. This definition excludes persons living in Alaska or Hawaii and requires eligible persons to have been both a United States citizen and eighteen years of age on or before the 7th of November 2000.

>> DUAL FRAME SAMPLE DESIGN

The 2000 NES is a dual frame sample with both an area sample and an RDD component. The RDD frame provides coverage of telephone households while the area sample provides full coverage of all U.S. households including those without telephones. Each of these sample designs will be described in the following sections. The 2000 NES data set contains 1006 area sample cases and 801 telephone sample cases.

>> FTF SAMPLE DESIGN - MULTI-STAGE AREA PROBABILITY

The area sample is based on a multi-stage area probability sample selected from the Survey Research Center's (SRC) 1990 National Sample design. Identification of the 2000 NES sample respondents was conducted using a four stage sampling process--a primary stage sampling of U.S. Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) or New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs) and non-MSA counties, followed by a second stage sampling of area segments, a third stage sampling of housing units within sampled area segments and concluding with the random selection of a single respondent from selected housing units. A detailed documentation of the 1990 SRC National Sample, from which the 2000 NES sample was drawn, is provided in the SRC publication titled 1990 SRC National Sample: Design and Development.

The 2000 NES sample design called for an entirely new cross-section sample to be drawn from the 1990 SRC National Sample; no panel component was included in 2000. The 1990 SRC National Sample is a multi-stage area probability sample. The 2000 NES sample was drawn from both the 1990 SRC National Sample strata (MSA PSUs) and the 1980 SRC National Sample strata (non-MSA PSUs). The modification of the 1990 design in which the 1980 strata definitions were used for the non-MSA counties fully represents the non-MSA domain of the 48 contiguous states. This modification was made for cost and interviewing efficiency reasons related to the availability of interviewers in these areas who work on some of SRC's large panel studies. The following sections will focus on the 1990 SRC National Sample design.

Selection Stages for the 2000 NES FTF Sample: 1990 SRC National Sample

Primary Stage Selection

The selection of primary stage sampling units (PSUs) for the 1990 SRC National Sample, which depending on the sample stratum are either MSAs, New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs), single counties, independent cities, county equivalents or groupings of small counties, is based on the county-level 1990 Census Reports of Population and Housing (1). Primary stage units were assigned to 108 explicit strata based on MSA/NECMA or non-MSA/NECMA status, PSU size, Census Region and geographic location within region. Twenty-eight of the 108 strata contain only a single self-representing PSU, each of which is included with certainty in the primary stage of sample selection. The remaining 80 nonself-representing strata

contain more than one PSU. From each of these nonself-representing strata, one PSU was sampled with probability proportionate to its size (PPS) measured in 1990 occupied housing units.

The full 1990 SRC National Sample of 108 primary stage selections was designed to be optimal for surveys roughly three to five times the size of the 2000 NES. To permit the flexibility needed for optimal design of smaller survey samples, the primary stage of the SRC National Sample can be readily partitioned into smaller subsamples of PSUs such as a one-half sample or a three-quarter sample partition. Each of the partitions represents a stratified subselection from the full 108 PSU design. The 2000 NES sample of 44 PSUs is a stratified random subsample of PSUs from the "A" half-sample partition of the 1990 SRC National Sample. Because of the small size of this NES sample, both the number of PSUs (selected primary areas) and the secondary stage units (area segments) in the National half-sample were reduced by subselection for the 2000 NES sample design. The 18 self-representing areas in the 1990 SRC National half-sample were all retained for the 2000 NES sample (8 of these remained self-representing in the 2000 NES and 10 represent not only their own MSA but their "pair" among the twenty additional self-representing primary areas of the full 1990 SRC National Sample design). Nineteen of the 26 nonself-representing half-sample MSAs and 7 of the 14 half-sample non-MSAs were retained by the subselection for the 2000 NES sample (or 26 of 40 NSR PSUs).

Table 1 identifies the 44 PSUs in the 2000 NES sample by MSA status and Region and also indicates the number of area segments used for the 2000 NES sample (see next section on second stage selection).

Table 1: PSU Name and Number of Area Segments in the 2000 NES Sample Showing 1990 SRC National-Sample Stratum and MSA Status.

National Sample PSU	National Sample PSU Name	# of 2000 NES Segments
Eight Largest Self-representing PSUs		
120	New York, NY MSA	12
190	Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA MSA130	12
130	Chicago, IL MSA	9
121	Philadelphia, PA-NJ MSA	7
131	Detroit, MI MSA	6
150	Washington DC-MD-VA MSA	6
110	Boston, MA NECMA	6
171	Dallas and Ft Worth, TX CMSA	6
Ten Remaining Largest MSA PSUs		
170	Houston, TX MSA	6
191	Seattle-Tacoma, WA CMSA	6
141	St Louis, MO-IL MSA	6
152	Baltimore, MD MSA	6
122	Nassau-Suffolk, NY MSA	6
194	Anaheim-Santa Ana, CA MSA	6
132	Cleveland, OH MSA	6
154	Miami-Hialeah, FL MSA	5(2)
181	Denver, CO MSA	6
196	San Francisco, CA MSA	6

	Nonsell-representing MSAs: Northeast	

211	New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA	6
213	Manchester-Nashua NH NECMA	6
220	Buffalo, NY MSA	6
226	Atlantic City, NJ MSA	6
	Nonsell-representing MSAs: Midwest	

230	Milwaukee, WI MSA	6
434	Saginaw, MI MSA	6
239	Steubenville-Wheeling, OH (3)	6
240	Des Moines, IA MSA	6
	Nonsell-representing MSAs: South	

250	Richmond-Petersburg, VA MSA	6
255	Columbus, GA-AL MSA	6
257	Jacksonville, FL MSA	6
258	Lakeland, FL MSA	6
260	Knoxville TN MSA	6
262	Birmingham, AL MSA	6
273	Waco, TX MSA	6
274	McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX MSA	6
	Nonsell-representing MSAs: West	

280	Salt Lake City-Ogden etc, UT MSA	6
292	Fresno, CA MSA	6
293	Eugene-Springfield, OR MSA	6
	Nonsell-representing Non-MSAs: Northeast	

464	Gardner, MA	6
	Nonsell-representing Non-MSAs: Midwest	

466	Decatur County, IN	6
470	Mower County, MN	6
	Nonsell-representing Non-MSAs: South	

474	DeSoto Parish, LA	6
477	Chicot County, AR	6
480	Montgomery County, VA	6
	Nonsell-representing Non-MSAs: West	

482	ElDorado County, CA	6
	Total Number of Segments	279

(1) Office of Management and Budget (OMB) June 1990 definitions of MSAs, NECMAs, counties, parishes, independent cities. These, of course, differ in some respects from the primary stage unit (PSU) definitions used in the 1980 SRC National Sample so will not be strictly comparable to the 1996 NES Panel PSUs--particularly in New England where MSAs were used as PSUs in the 1980 National Sample and NECMAs were used as PSUs in the 1990 National Sample.

(2) One selected segment (023) was in a former trailer park that had no housing units to be listed in January 1996. All had been destroyed in 1992 by hurricane Andrew and there were no plans to rebuild.

(3) In the 1990 SRC National Sample, U.S. Census Region boundaries were maintained for purposed of stratification at the Primary State of selection. Since some MSA definitions cross Region boundaries, such MSAs were split and the MSA counties recombined in ways that maintained the Region boundary. This PSU actually contains the Ohio counties from both the Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV MSA (Jefferson County, OH) and the Wheeling, WV-OH MSA (Belmont County, OH) and although it is made up of MSA counties -- it is not a cohesive MSA by OMB 1990 definition.

Second Stage Selection Area Segments

The second stage of the 1990 SRC National Sample, used for the 2000 NES sample, was selected directly from computerized files that were extracted for the selected PSUs from the 1990 U.S. Census summary file series STF1-B. These files (on CD Rom) contain the 1990 Census total population and housing unit (HU) data at the census block level. The designated second-stage sampling units (SSUs), termed "area segments", are comprised of census blocks in both the metropolitan (MSA) primary areas and in the rural areas of non-MSA primary areas. Each SSU block or block combination was assigned a measure of size equal to the total 1990 occupied housing unit count for the area. SSU block(s) were assigned a minimum measure of 72 1990 total HUs per MSA SSU and a minimum measure of 48 total HUs per non-MSA SSU. Second stage sampling of area segments was performed with probabilities proportionate to the assigned measures of size (PPS).

For the 2000 NES sample the number of area segments used in each PSU varies. In the self-representing (SR) PSUs the number of area segments varies in proportion to the size of the primary stage unit, from a high of 12 area segments in the self-representing New York and Los Angeles MSA PSUs, to a low of 6 area segments in the smaller self-representing PSUs such as Cleveland, Miami-Hialeah or Nassau-Suffolk MSAs. All nonself-representing (NSR) PSUs were represented by 6 area segments each. A total of 279 NES area segments were selected as shown in Table 1.

Third Stage Selection Housing Units

For each area segment selected in the second sampling stage, a listing had been made of all housing units located within the physical boundaries of the segment. For segments with a very large number of expected housing units, all housing units in a subselected part of the segment were listed. The final equal probability sample of housing units for the 2000 NES sample was systematically selected from the housing unit listings for the sampled area segments.

The 2000 NES sample design was selected from the 1990 SRC National Sample to yield an equal probability sample of 2269 listed housing units. This total included 1972 housing units for the main sample and three reserve replicates of 99 cases each. Table 2 below shows the assumptions that were used to determine the number of sample housing units. The overall probability of selection for 2000 NES cross-section sample of households was $f=0.00002116$ or 0.2116 in 10,000. The equal probability sample of households was achieved for the 2000 NES sample by using the standard multi-stage sampling technique of setting the sampling rate for selecting housing units within area segments to be inversely proportional to the PPS probabilities used to select the PSU and area segment (Kish, 1965).

Fourth Stage Selection - Respondent Selection

Within each sampled 2000 NES occupied housing unit, the SRC interviewer prepared a complete listing of all eligible household members. Using an objective procedure described by Kish (1949) a single respondent was then

selected at random to be interviewed. Regardless of circumstances, no substitutions were permitted for the designated respondent.

>> AREA SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES

The 2000 National Election Study sought a total of 1000 in-person interviews. It was estimated that this would require a NES sample draw of 1972 housing units. This assumed an occupancy/growth rate of 0.83, an eligibility rate of 0.94 and a response rate of 0.65. These assumptions were based on the 1998 NES field experience. The overall 2000 NES area sample design specifications, assumptions and outcomes are set out in Table 2, below. A sample of 2269 listed housing units was actually selected for the 2000 NES study. This allowed for three reserve replicates of 99 cases each. There was no panel component in 2000.

A comparison of the 2000 NES sample outcome figures to the design specifications and assumptions in Table 2 shows that the actual occupancy, eligibility, and response rates were very close to the expected rates. The actual response rate for the Post-Election Telephone sample was 0.86, which was slightly higher than the assumed rate of 0.85.

Table 2: 2000 NES Area Sample Pre and Post-Election Design Specifications and Assumptions Compared to Sample Outcome.

	2000 NES Pre-Election Design Specification	2000 NES Pre-Election Sample Outcome	2000 NES Post-Election Design Specification	2000 NES Post-Election Sample Outcome
Completed Interviews	1000	1006	847	693
Response Rate	0.65	0.64	.85	0.86
Eligible Sample Households	1538	1564	1000	805 (4)
Eligibility Rate	0.94	0.95		
Occupied Households	1634	1639		
Occupancy/growth Rate	0.83	0.82		
Total Sample Lines	1972	1986		

(4) Initial sample lines (FTF and Phone) are different from the Pre-Election completed interviews because of the switch in mode for randomly selected sample cases.

>> 2000 NES RDD (RANDOM DIGIT DIAL)SAMPLE

The RDD telephone component of the 2000 NES is a stratified equal probability sample of telephone numbers. The sample is not clustered. The telephone numbers were selected from a commercial listed one hundred series sampling frame consisting of every possible phone number that can be generated by appending the 2-digit numbers 00 - 99 to the set of hundred banks that have at least two listed household telephone numbers. Hundred banks are the first eight digits of a phone number - area code, exchange, and the next two digits. Each hundred bank defines a set of 100 possible phone numbers. Directory listings are used to define the set of listed hundred series. However both listed and unlisted telephone numbers can be selected from the sampling frame. A small amount of noncoverage of telephone numbers results from household numbers that are in hundred banks with 0 or 1 listed residential numbers. These telephone households as well as non-telephone households are covered by the area sample component.

An initial sample of 8500 telephone numbers was selected from the listed frame for the coterminous 48 states. These numbers were pre-screened by the vendor to remove most business and non-working phone numbers. After pre-screening, 5760 or 67.8% of the 8500 telephone numbers were returned as potentially working residential numbers. The potentially working phone numbers were matched against a file of directory listings to append address information so that Congressional Districts could be assigned. Before sample selection, the telephone numbers were stratified by the competitiveness of the Congressional race (5 levels), whether or not the race was open, and by Census Division. A half sample was systematically selected from the stratified file. An initial sample of 2349 cases was selected from the random half sample and the remaining telephone numbers were assigned to 5 reserve replicates of 106-107 numbers each. The reserve replicates were available for use in case the working rate or response rate were lower than expected.

>> 2000 NES RDD SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES

The 2000 National Election Study sought a total of 861 telephone interviews. It was estimated that this would require a NES sample draw of 2349 telephone numbers assuming a working rate (after pre-screening) of 0.65, an eligibility rate of 0.94, and a response rate of 0.60. The eligibility rate was based on the 1998 NES experience. Working rate and response rate assumptions were based on the Survey Research Center's recent experience with RDD samples. The overall 2000 NES RDD sample design specifications, assumptions and outcomes are set out in Table 3, below. A comparison of the 2000 NES RDD sample design specifications and assumptions to the outcome figures in Table 3 indicates that, although the actual eligibility rate was higher than assumed, both the working rate and response rates were lower than specified in the sample design assumptions. This resulted in fewer interviews being taken in the Pre-Election study. The actual response rate for the Post-Election telephone sample was 0.86, which was higher than the assumed rate of 0.75.

Table 3: 2000 NES Telephone Sample Design Specifications and Assumptions Compared to Sample Outcome.

	2000 NES Pre-Election Design Specification	2000 NES Pre-Election Sample Outcome	2000 NES Post-Election Design Specification	2000 NES Post-Election Sample Outcome
Completed Interviews	861	801	645	862
Response Rate	0.60	0.56	.75	0.86
Eligible Sample Households	1435	1418	861	1002 (5)
Eligibility Rate	0.94	0.96		
Occupied Households	1527	1475		
Working Rate	0.65	0.63		
Total Sample Lines	2349	2349		

(5) Initial sample lines (FTF and Phone) are different from the Pre-Election completed interviews because of the switch in mode for randomly selected sample cases.

>> 2000 NES POST-ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE OUTCOMES

Of the 1807 respondents interviewed in the Pre-Election Study, 1555 completed Post-Election interviews for an overall response rate of 0.86. FTF interviews were attempted with 805 of the 1006 persons interviewed FTF in the Pre-Election study and 693 FTF interviews were obtained for a FTF response rate of 0.86. Approximately 200 FTF cases were transferred to telephone interviewing for the Post-Election study in order to reduce field costs. This was accomplished through a systematic random sample of approximately 20 percent of the area segments. Telephone interviews were attempted with 1002 (201 FTF in the Pre-Election study and 801 Telephone in Pre-Election study) respondents in the Post-Election study. 862 telephone interviews were obtained for a response rate of 0.86.

>> 2000 NES DATA - WEIGHTED ANALYSIS

The 2000 NES data set includes a person-level analysis weight, which incorporates sampling, nonresponse and post-stratification factors. Analysts interested in developing their own nonresponse or stratification adjustment factors must request access to the necessary sample control data from the NES Board.

>> 2000 NES ANALYSIS WEIGHTS - CONSTRUCTION

Household Selection Weight Component

 The joint household selection weight is the same for both the RDD and the area sample. This weight is an inflation factor equal to 34195.298. It is equal to the inverse of the joint probability of selection, which is the sum of the RDD and the area sample probabilities minus their product. It was not possible from the data available to reliably identify the area sample respondents who did not have telephone service. The 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates that 5.5% of U.S. households do not have telephone service. The household selection weight component therefore slightly underestimates respondents who live in households that cannot be reached through the RDD sample frame.

Person-Level Sample Selection Weight Component

 The dual frame sample design for the 2000 NES results in a probability sample of U.S. households. Within sample households a single adult respondent is chosen at random to be interviewed. Since the number of eligible adults VARIES from one household to another, the random selection of a single adult introduces inequality into respondents' selection probabilities. In analysis, a respondent selection weight should be used to compensate for these unequal selection probabilities. The person-level selection weight is the product of the joint household selection weight and the within household selection weight. The within household selection weight is equal to the number of eligible persons in the household and is capped at 3. The use of the respondent selection weight is strongly encouraged, despite past evaluations that have shown these weights to have little significant impact on the values of NES estimates of descriptive statistics.

Nonresponse Adjusted Selection Weight

 The base weight equals the product of the joint selection weight and the household level nonresponse adjustment factors. Nonresponse adjustment factors were constructed at the household level separately for the area sample and the RDD sample. Nonresponse adjustment cells for the 2000 NES sample were formed by crossing MSA status by the four Census regions (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West). A nonresponse adjustment factor equal to the inverse of the response rate in each cell was applied to the interview cases. Tables 4 and 5 show the response rates and nonresponse adjustment factors for the area and RDD samples.

Table 4. Computation of Nonresponse Adjustment Weights -- 2000 NES Area Sample.

PSU Type	Census Region	Response Rate (%)	Nonresponse Adjustment Factor
MSAs	Northeast	55.28	1.809
	Midwest	62.86	1.591
	South	61.87	1.616
	West	67.82	1.474
Non MSAs	Northeast	61.54	1.625
	Midwest	65.71	1.522
	South	79.55	1.257
	West	83.33	1.200

Table 5 Computation of Nonresponse Adjustment Weights -- 2000 NES RDD Sample.

PSU Type	Census Region	Response Rate (%)	Nonresponse Adjustment Factor
MSAs	Northeast	43.94	2.276
	Midwest	62.08	1.611
	South	58.72	1.703
	West	53.56	1.867
Non MSAs	Northeast	50.00	2.000
	Midwest	67.90	1.473
	South	62.70	1.595
	West	67.86	1.474

Post-stratification factor

The 2000 NES weights are post-stratified to 2000 CPS March Supplement proportions for six (6) ages by four (4) education categories. Table 6 shows the weighted estimates and proportions for the 24 cells for the 2000 CPS and the 2000 NES. The post-stratification adjustment is computed by dividing the CPS weighted total by the 2000 NES total weighted by the nonresponse adjusted selection weight. The final two columns show the NES weighted totals using the final post-stratified analysis weight and the resulting percents, which match the CPS percents.

Final Analysis Weights

The final analysis weight (FINAL_WT) is the product of the household level non-response adjustment factor, the number of eligible persons, and a person-level post-stratification factor. The final analysis weight for the 2000 NES sample (FINAL_WT) is scaled to sum to 1807, the total number of respondents. This weight is trimmed at the 1st and 99th percentiles and then re-scaled to match the 2000 CPS proportions for the 24 age by education cells.

Post-Election Attrition Weight

The 1555 Post-Election cases were post-stratified to 2000 CPS March Supplement proportions for six (6) ages by four (4) education categories (the same categories used for post-stratifying the Pre-Election cases). The post-stratification compensates for differential non-response by age group and education level. Response rates for the Post-Election Study ranged from a high of 100 percent for persons 70 or older with a college degree or higher to a low of 76 percent for persons age 30 - 39 who did not graduate from high school. The panel attrition weight for the Post-Election Study, POST_WT, is the product of the Pre-Election FINAL_WT and the post-stratification factor formed by dividing the CPS proportion by the weighted NES proportion for each of the 24 age by education cells. The weight is scaled to sum to the number of cases, 1555.

Table 6: 2000 NES Sample Weight: Post-stratification Factors.

Age Group	Education Level	n	2000 CPS Est in 000s (6)	2000 CPS %	Prelim 2000 NES wtd Est in 000s	Post-strat Adjust centered	NES wtd n	Final NES wtd %
18-29	<High School Graduation	22	6,411.4	3.438	2,490.3	2.574	62.08	3.44
	High School Graduate	88	12,223.7	6.555	9,628.2	1.270	118.53	6.56
	Some College	103	14,524.8	7.789	11,424.0	1.271	140.81	7.79
	College Graduate	68	6,666.9	3.575	6,990.0	0.954	64.73	3.58
30-39	<High School Graduation	21	3,242.8	1.739	1,780.1	1.822	31.48	1.74
	High School Graduate	108	12,543.8	6.727	10,873.1	1.154	121.56	6.73
	Some College	121	10,759.0	5.769	11,727.6	0.917	104.32	5.77
	College Graduate	146	10,786.4	5.784	14,122.3	0.764	104.36	5.78
40-49	<High School Graduation	22	3,478.8	1.865	2,277.5	1.527	33.74	1.87
	High School Graduate	101	13,087.2	7.018	9,899.0	1.322	126.84	7.02
	Some College	129	11,548.5	6.193	13,551.0	0.852	111.85	6.19
	College Graduate	137	11,327.1	6.074	14,505.2	0.781	109.74	6.07
50-59	<High School Graduation	123	3,300.1	1.770	2,192.9	1.505	32.04	1.77
	High Graduate	93	9,364.1	5.022	9,558.1	0.980	90.70	5.02
	Some College	96	7,449.2	3.995	10,185.6	0.731	72.12	3.99
	College Graduate	110	7,984.6	4.282	11,542.5	0.716	77.40	4.28

60-69	<High School Graduation	35	4,136.4	2.218	3,429.9	1.206	40.20	2.22
	High School Graduate	61	7,201.9	3.862	6,060.7	1.188	69.77	3.86
	Some College	49	3,886.6	2.084	4,280.8	0.908	37.58	2.08
	College Graduate	49	3,880.8	2.081	4,688.9	0.828	37.53	2.08
70 +	<High School Graduation	58	7,298.9	3.914	5,033.8	1.450	70.63	3.91
	High School Graduate	73	7,994.7	4.287	6,327.7	1.263	77.51	4.29
	Some College	48	4,073.3	2.184	3,811.1	1.069	39.41	2.18
	College	46	3,303.4	1.771	4,071.8	0.811	32.07	1.77
	Totals	1807	186,470.0	100.0	180,100.0		1807.0	100.0

(6) Because U.S. citizenship is required for NES eligibility, the CPS counts used for stratification include only U.S. citizens.

>> 2000 NES PROCEDURES FOR SAMPLING ERROR ESTIMATION

The 2000 NES sample design is based on a stratified multi-stage area probability sample of United States households. Although smaller in scale, the NES sample design is very similar in its basic structure to the multi-stage designs used for major federal survey programs such as the Health Interview Survey (HIS) or the Current Population Survey (CPS). The survey literature refers to the NES, HIS and CPS samples as complex designs, a loosely-used term meant to denote the fact that the sample incorporates special design features such as stratification, clustering and differential selection probabilities (i.e., weighting) that analysts must consider in computing sampling errors for sample estimates of descriptive statistics and model parameters. This section of the 2000 NES sample design description focuses on sampling error estimation and construction of confidence intervals for survey estimates of descriptive statistics such as means, proportions, ratios, and coefficients for linear and logistic linear regression models.

Standard analysis software systems such SAS and SPSS assume simple random sampling (SRS) or equivalently independence of observations in computing standard errors for sample estimates. In general, the SRS assumption results in underestimation of variances of survey estimates of descriptive statistics and model parameters. Confidence intervals based on computed variances that assume independence of observations will be biased (generally too narrow) and design-based inferences will be affected accordingly.

Sampling Error Computation Methods and Programs

Over the past 50 years, advances in survey sampling theory have guided the development of a number of methods for correctly estimating variances from complex sample data sets. A number of sampling error programs which implement these complex sample variance estimation methods are available to NES data

analysts. The two most common approaches to the estimation of sampling error for complex sample data are through the use of a Taylor Series Linearization of the estimator (and corresponding approximation to its VARIance) or through the use of resampling variance estimation procedures such as Balanced Repeated Replication (BRR) or Jackknife Repeated Replication (JRR). New Bootstrap methods for variance estimation can also be included among the resampling approaches. See Rao and Wu (1988).

1. Taylor series linearization method:

When survey data are collected using a complex sample design with unequal size clusters, most statistics of interest will not be simple linear functions of the observed data. The linearization approach applies Taylor's method to derive an approximate form of the estimator that is linear in statistics for which variances and covariances can be directly and easily estimated (Woodruff, 1971). SUDAAN and Stata are two commercially available statistical software packages that include procedures that apply the Taylor series method to estimation and inference for complex sample data.

SUDAAN (Shah et al., 1996) is a commercially available software system developed and marketed by the Research Triangle Institute of Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (USA). SUDAAN was developed as a stand-alone software system with capabilities for the more important methods for descriptive and multivariate analysis of survey data, including: estimation and inference for means, proportions and rates (PROC DESCRIPT and PROC RATIO); contingency table analysis (PROC CROSSTAB); linear regression (PROC REGRESS); logistic regression (PROC LOGISTIC); log-linear models (PROC CATAN); and survival analysis (PROC SURVIVAL). SUDAAN V7.0 and earlier versions were designed to read directly from ASCII and SAS system data sets. The latest versions of SUDAAN permit procedures to be called directly from the SAS system. Information on SUDAAN is available at the following web site address: <http://www.rti.org>.

Stata (StataCorp, 1997) is a more recent commercial entry to the available software for analysis of complex sample survey data and has a growing body of research users. Stata includes special versions of its standard analysis routines that are designed for the analysis of complex sample survey data. Special survey analysis programs are available for descriptive estimation of means (SVYMEAN), ratios (SVYRATIO), proportions (SVYTOT) and population totals (SVYTOTAL). Stata programs for multivariate analysis of survey data currently include linear regression (SVYREG), logistic regression (SVYLOGIT) and probit regression (SVYPROBT). Information on the Stata analysis software system can be found on the Web at: <http://www.stata.com>.

2. Resampling methods:

BRR, JRR and the bootstrap comprise a second class of nonparametric methods for conducting estimation and inference from complex sample data. As suggested by the generic label for this class of methods, BRR, JRR and the bootstrap utilize replicated subsampling of the sample database to develop sampling variance estimates for linear and nonlinear statistics. WesVar PC (Brick et al., 1996) is a publicly available software system for personal computers that employs replicated variance estimation methods to conduct the more common types of statistical analysis of complex sample survey data. WesVar PC was developed by Westat, Inc. and is distributed along with documentation free of charge to researchers from Westat's Web site: <http://www.westat.com/wesvarpc/>. WesVar PC includes a Windows-based application generator that enables the analyst to select the form of data input (SAS data file, SPSS for Windows data base, dBase file, ASCII data set) and the computation method (BRR or JRR methods). Analysis programs contained in WesVar PC provide the capability for basic descriptive (means, proportions, totals, cross tabulations) and regression (linear, logistic) analysis of complex sample survey data. WestVar Complex Samples 3.0 is the

latest version of WestVar PC that is licensed and distributed by SPSS. Information on the latest developments can be obtained at <http://www.spss.com>.

These new and updated software packages include an expanded set of user friendly, well-documented analysis procedures. Difficulties with sample design specification, data preparation, and data input in the earlier generations of survey analysis software created a barrier to use by analysts who were not survey design specialists. The new software enables the user to input data and output results in a variety of common formats, and the latest versions accommodate direct input of data files from the major analysis software systems. Readers who are interested in a more detailed comparison of these and other survey analysis software alternatives are referred to Cohen (1997).

Sampling Error Computation Models

Regardless of whether linearization or a resampling approach is used, estimation of variances for complex sample survey estimates requires the specification of a sampling error computation model. NES data analysts who are interested in performing sampling error computations should be aware that the estimation programs identified in the preceding section assume a specific sampling error computation model and will require special sampling error codes. Individual records in the analysis data set must be assigned sampling error codes that identify to the programs the complex structure of the sample (stratification, clustering) and are compatible with the computation algorithms of the various programs. To facilitate the computation of sampling error for statistics based on 2000 NES data, design-specific sampling error codes will be routinely included in all public-use versions of the data set. Although minor recoding may be required to conform to the input requirements of the individual programs, the sampling error codes that are provided should enable analysts to conduct either Taylor Series or Replicated estimation of sampling errors for survey statistics.

Table 7 defines the sampling error coding system for 2000 NES sample cases. Two sampling error code variables are defined for each case based on the sample design primary stage unit (PSU) and area segment in which the sample household is located.

Sampling Error Stratum Code (Variable 000097). The Sampling Error Computation Stratum Code is the variable that defines the sampling error computation strata for all sampling error analysis of the NES data. Each self-representing (SR) design stratum is represented by one sampling error computation stratum. Pairs of similar nonself-representing (NSR) primary stage design strata are "collapsed" (Kalton, 1977) to create NSR sampling error computation strata. Since there was an uneven number of nonself-representing MSA and non-MSA strata used in the 2000 NES, and since it was felt that a nonself-representing MSA PSU should be paired with a non-MSA PSU, one of each of these PSUs stands alone within its Sampling Error Stratum Code.

For the 1990 SRC National Sample design controlled selection and a "one-per-stratum" PSU allocation are used to select the primary stage of the 2000 NES national sample. The purpose in using controlled selection and the "one-per-stratum" sample allocation is to reduce the between-PSU component of sampling VARIation relative to a "two-per-stratum" primary stage design. Despite the expected improvement in sample precision, a drawback of the "one-per-stratum" design is that two or more sample selection strata must be collapsed or combined to form a sampling error computation stratum. Variances are then estimated under the assumption that a multiple PSU per stratum design was actually used for primary stage selection. The expected consequence of collapsing design strata into sampling error computation strata is the

overestimation of the true sampling error; that is, the sampling error computation model defined by the codes contained in Table 7 will yield estimates of sampling errors which in expectation will be slightly greater than the true sampling error of the statistic of interest.

SECU - Stratum-specific Sampling Error Computation Unit code (Variable 000097) is a half sample code for analysis of sampling error using the BRR method or approximate "two-per-stratum" Taylor Series method (Kish and Hess, 1959). Within the SR sampling error strata, the SECU half sample units are created by dividing sample cases into random halves, SECU=1 and SECU=2. The assignment of cases to half-samples is designed to preserve the stratification and second stage clustering properties of the sample within an SR stratum. Sample cases are assigned to SECU half samples based on the area segment in which they were selected. For this assignment, sample cases were placed in original stratification order (area segment number order) and beginning with a random start entire area segment clusters were systematically assigned to either SECU=1 or SECU=2.

In the general case of nonself-representing (NSR) strata, the half sample units are defined according to the PSU to which the respondent was assigned at sample selection (with the exception of the two unpaired NSR strata mentioned above). That is, the half samples for each NSR sampling error computation stratum bear a one-to-one correspondence to the sample design NSR PSUs. The particular sample coding provided on the NES public use data set is consistent with the "ultimate cluster" approach to complex sample variance estimation (Kish, 1965; Kalton, 1977). Individual stratum, PSU and segment code variables may be needed by NES analysts interested in components of VARIance analysis or estimation of hierarchical models in which PSU-level and neighborhood-level effects are explicitly estimated.

Table 7 shows the area sample sampling error stratum and SECU codes to be used for the paired selection model for sampling error computations for any 2000 NES analyses. Strata 01 through 26 reflect the half sample 1990 National Sample design used for the 2000 NES area sample. It can be seen from this table that the three-digit 2000 SE code is comprised of, first, the two-digit SE Stratum code followed by the one-digit SECU code. The RDD sample cases are assigned to Strata 27 through 66. The RDD sample is a stratified unclustered design. In order to reflect the stratification of the RDD frame, the sample was sorted by area code within metropolitan status within Census Division prior to the assignment of sampling error stratum and SECU codes. The sorted file was then divided into groups of 20 adjacent cases to form the strata. Within each stratum, cases were assigned alternately to each of the pair of SECUs, 10 cases per SECU. This assignment of sampling error stratum and SECU codes allows for design effects to be estimated for the complete NES data set as well as separately for the RDD and area sample components.

Table 7: 2000 NES Election Study Sampling Error Codes.

SE Stratum	SECU	SE Code	PSU	Segment #s	Total Rs
01	1	011	120	015, 031, 047, 063, 079, 099	11
	2	012	120	007, 023, 039, 055, 071, 087	11
02	1	021	190	007, 023, 039, 055, 071, 087	11
	2	022	190	016, 031, 047, 063, 079, 095	13
03	1	031	130	011, 028, 044, 060	8
	2	032	130	004, 020, 036, 052, 068	15

04	1	041	121	002, 018, 034, 050	10
	2	042	121	010, 026, 042	6
05	1	051	131	016, 032, 047	11
	2	052	131	008, 024, 040	10
06	1	061	150	007, 023, 039	11
	2	062	150	015, 031, 047	8
07	1	071	171	010, 026, 042	6
	2	072	171	002, 018, 034	7
08	1	081	110	004, 020, 036	6
	2	082	110	012, 028, 044	5
09	1	091	170	011, 027, 031, 039	17
	2	092	154 170	003, 007, 011, 015, 019 007, 019	13
10	1	101	122	008, 012, 015, 024, 028, 032	18
	2	102	152	004, 012, 016, 020, 028, 032	13
11	1	111	141	004, 008, 016, 020, 024, 032	12
	2	112	132	001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021	18
12	1	121	191	001, 005, 009, 017, 021, 025	27
	2	122	181	001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021	20
13	1	131	194	004, 008, 016, 020, 024, 032	17
	2	132	196	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	15
14	1	141	220	001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021	40
	2	142	226	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	24
15	1	151	211	004, 007, 011, 015, 020, 023	9
	2	152	213	004, 008, 012, 016, 020, 024	17
16	1	161	230	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	45
	2	162	434	002, 304, 306, 008, 010, 011	23
17	1	171	239	001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021	14
	2	172	240	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	20
18	1	181	262	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	48
	2	182	255	004, 008, 012, 016, 020, 024	17
19	1	191	257	004, 008, 012, 016, 020, 024	23
	2	192	258	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	15
20	1	201	273	003, 007, 011, 015, 019, 023	18
	2	202	274	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	14
21	1	211	260	003, 007, 011, 015, 019, 023	14
	2	212	250	003, 007, 011, 015, 019, 023	21
22	1	221	292	001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 022	20
	2	222	293	003, 007, 011, 015, 019, 023	20
23	1	231	464	303, 305, 306, 309, 311, 312	32
	2	232	480	301, 302, 303, 305, 306, 307	39
24	1	241	466	301, 302, 304, 305, 306, 308	26
	2	242	470	301, 302, 303, 305, 306, 307	43

25	1	251	474	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308	40
	2	252	477	302, 303, 304, 306, 307, 308	26
26	1	261	280	002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022	34
	2	262	482	301, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308	45
Total:					1006

Generalized Sampling Error Results for the 2000 NES

To assist NES analysts, the PC SUDAAN program was used to compute sampling errors for a wide-ranging example set of proportions estimated from the 2000 NES election Survey data set. Sampling errors were computed for the complete NES data set as well as separately for the area sample and RDD sample components. For each estimate, sampling errors were computed for the total sample and for fifteen demographic and political affiliation subclasses of the 2000 NES sample. The results of these sampling error computations were then summarized and translated into the general usage sampling error tables provided in Tables 8 - 10. The mean value of deft, the square root of the design effect, was found to be 1.098 for the combined sample, 1.076 for the area sample component, and 1.049 for the RDD sample component. The design effects were primarily due to weighting effects (Kish, 1965) and did not vary significantly by subclass size. Therefore the generalized variance tables are produced by multiplying the simple random sampling standard error for each proportion and sample size by the average deft for the set of sampling error computations.

Incorporating the pattern of "design effects" observed in the extensive set of example computations, Tables 8 - 10 provide approximate standard errors for percentage estimates based on the 2000 NES. To use the tables, examine the column heading to find the percentage value which best approximates the value of the estimated percentage that is of interest. Next, locate the approximate sample size base (denominator for the proportion) in the left-hand row margin of the table. To find the approximate standard error of a percentage estimate, simply cross-reference the appropriate column (percentage) and row (sample size base). Note: the tabulated values represent approximately one standard error for the percentage estimate. To construct an approximate confidence interval, the analyst should apply the appropriate critical point from the "z" distribution (e.g., z=1.96 for a two-sided 95% confidence interval half-width). Furthermore, the approximate standard errors in the table apply only to single point estimates of percentages not to the difference between two percentage estimates.

The generalized variance results presented in Tables 8 - 10 are a useful tool for initial, cursory examination of the NES survey results. For more in depth analysis and reporting of critical estimates, analysts are encouraged to compute exact estimates of standard errors using the appropriate choice of a sampling error program and computation model.

Table 8: Generalized Variance Table.
 2000 NES election Survey - Combined Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

```

=====
For percentage estimates near:
Sample n    50%        40%        30%        20%        10%
            or 60%    or 70%    or 80%    or 90%
=====
100         5.49         5.38         5.03         4.39         3.29
200         3.88         3.80         3.56         3.10         2.33
300         3.17         3.10         2.90         2.54         1.90
400         2.74         2.69         2.52         2.20         1.65
500         2.45         2.40         2.25         1.96         1.47
600         2.24         2.20         2.05         1.79         1.34
700         2.07         2.03         1.90         1.66         1.24
800         1.94         1.90         1.78         1.55         1.16
900         1.83         1.79         1.68         1.46         1.10
1000        1.74         1.70         1.59         1.39         1.04
1100        1.66         1.62         1.52         1.32         0.99
1200        1.58         1.55         1.45         1.27         0.95
1300        1.52         1.49         1.40         1.22         0.91
1400        1.47         1.44         1.34         1.17         0.88
1500        1.42         1.39         1.30         1.13         0.85
1600        1.37         1.34         1.26         1.10         0.82
1700        1.33         1.30         1.22         1.06         0.80
1800        1.29         1.27         1.19         1.04         0.78
    
```

Table 9: Generalized Variance Table.
 2000 NES election Survey - Area Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

```

=====
For percentage estimates near:
Sample n    50%        40%        30%        20%        10%
            or 60%    or 70%    or 80%    or 90%
=====
100         5.38         5.27         4.93         4.30         3.23
200         3.80         3.73         3.48         3.04         2.28
300         3.10         3.04         2.85         2.48         1.86
400         2.69         2.63         2.46         2.15         1.61
500         2.40         2.36         2.20         1.92         1.44
600         2.20         2.15         2.01         1.76         1.32
700         2.03         1.99         1.86         1.63         1.22
800         1.90         1.86         1.74         1.52         1.14
900         1.79         1.76         1.64         1.43         1.07
1000        1.70         1.67         1.56         1.36         1.02
    
```

Table 10: Generalized Variance Table.
2000 NES election Survey - RDD Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

```

=====
                For percentage estimates near:
Sample n      50%          40%          30%          20%          10%
                or 60%        or 70%        or 80%        or 90%
=====
100           5.24          5.14          4.80          4.19          3.14
200           3.71          3.63          3.40          2.96          2.22
300           3.03          2.96          2.77          2.42          1.82
400           2.62          2.57          2.40          2.10          1.57
500           2.34          2.30          2.15          1.88          1.41
600           2.14          2.10          1.96          1.71          1.28
700           1.98          1.94          1.82          1.58          1.19
800           1.85          1.82          1.70          1.48          1.11
=====

```

References

Alegria, M., Kessler, R., Bijl, R., Lin, E., Heeringa, S.G., Takeuchi, D.T., Kolody, B. (2000). To appear in *The Unmet Need for Treatment*. Proceedings of a Symposium of the World Psychiatric Association, Sydney, Australia, October, 1997.

Binder, D.A. (1983), "On the variances of asymptotically normal estimators from complex surveys," *International Statistical Review*, Vol. 51, pp. 279-292.

Brick, J.M., Broene, P., James, P., & Severynse, J. (1996). "A User's Guide to WesVar PC." Rockville, MD: Westat, Inc.

Cochran, W.G. (1977). *Sampling Techniques*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Cohen, S.B. (1997). "An evaluation of alternative PC-based software packages developed for the analysis of complex survey data," *The American Statistician*, Vol. 51, No. 3, pp. 285-292.

Goldstein, H. (1987). *Multi-level Models in Educational and Social Research*. London: Oxford University Press.

Kalton, G. (1977), "Practical methods for estimating survey sampling errors," *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute*, Vol. 47, 3, pp. 495-514.

Kish, L. (1949). "A procedure for objective respondent selection within the household," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 44, pp. 380-387.

Kish, L. (1965), *Survey Sampling*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Kish, L., & Frankel, M.R. (1974), "Inference from complex samples," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B*, Vol. 36, pp. 1-37.

Kish, L., Groves, R.M., & Krotki, K.P. (1975). "Sampling errors for fertility surveys." Occasional Paper No. 17. Voorburg, Netherlands: World Fertility Survey, International Statistical Institute.

Kish, L., & Hess, I. (1959), "On variances of ratios and their differences in multi-stage samples," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 54, pp. 416-446.

LePage, R., & Billard, L. (1992), *Exploring the Limits of Bootstrap*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Mahalanobis, P.C. (1946), "Recent experiments in statistical sampling at the Indian Statistical Institute," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, Vol. 109, pp. 325-378.

McCullagh, P.M. & Nelder, J.A. (1989). *Generalized Linear Models*, 2nd Edition. Chapman and Hall. London.

Rao, J.N.K & Wu, C.F.J. (1988.), "Resampling inference with complex sample data," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 83, pp. 231-239.

Rosenstone, Steven J., Kinder, Donald R., Miller, Warren E., & the National Election Studies 1994 Sample Design: Technical Memoranda, 1994 Election Study pp. 882-905 in Rosenstone, Steven J., Kinder, Donald R., Miller, Warren E., & the National Election Studies, *AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1994: ELECTION SURVEY (ENHANCED WITH 1992 AND 1993 DATA)* (Computer file). Conducted by University of Michigan Center for Political Studies. 2nd ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies, and Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (producer), 1995. Ann Arbor MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (distributor), 1995.

Rust, K. (1985). "Variance estimation for complex estimators in sample surveys," *Journal of Official Statistics*, Vol. 1, No. 4.

SAS Institute, Inc. (1990). *SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 6, Fourth Ed., Vol. 2*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.

Shah, B.V., Barnwell, B.G., Biegler, G.S. (1996). *SUDAAN User's Manual: Software for Statistical Analysis of Correlated Data*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute.

Skinner, C.J., Holt, D., & Smith, T.M.F. (1989). *Analysis of Complex Surveys*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

SPSS, Inc. (1993). *SPSS for Windows: BASE System User's Guide, Release 6.0*. Chicago, IL: SPSS Inc.

Stata Corp. (1997). *Stata Statistical Software: Release 5.0*. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.

Wolter, K.M. (1985). *Introduction to Variance Estimation*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Woodruff, R.S. (1971), "A simple method for approximating the variance of a complicated estimate," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 66, pp. 411-414.

Yamaguchi, K. (1991). *Event History Analysis*. Applied Social Research Methods Series, Vol. 28. Newbury Park, CA/London: Sage Publications. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) June 1990 definitions of MSAs, NECMAs, counties, parishes, independent cities.

>> NOTES ON CONFIDENTIAL VARIABLES

Starting with the 1986 Election Study, NES has released occupation codeVARIABLES in somewhat less detail than in years past. The full release of this dataset will include a two-digit code with 71 categories corresponding to Census Bureau occupational groupings. Those who need the full occupation code for their research should contact the NES project staff for information about the conditions under which access may be provided. Similarly, the National Election Studies have not included information for census tracts or minor civil divisions since 1978. Beginning this year, we have omitted county name. This new procedure was implemented to protect the anonymity of respondents living in sparsely populated counties. Permission to use the more detailed geographic information for scholarly research may be obtained from the Board of Overseers. More information about this is available from NES project staff. Coding of the new religious denomination variable is in some cases based on an alphabetic "other, please specify" variable. This variable is restricted for reasons of confidentiality, but access may be provided to legitimate scholars under established NES procedures.

OPEN-ENDED MATERIALS

Traditionally, the National Election Studies have contained several minutes of open-ended responses (for example, the candidate likes and dislikes). These questions are put into Master Codes by the SRC coding section. Other scholars have developed alternative or supplemental coding schemes for the questions (for example, the levels of conceptualization, released as ICPSR 8151). The Board of Overseers wishes to encourage these efforts but in ways which respect the NES and SRC obligation to protect the privacy and anonymity of respondents. Circumstances under which individuals may have access to transcribed versions of these questions have been worked out and those interested should contact the NES project staff for further details.

>> 2000 FILE STRUCTURE AND NOTE ON "DATASET NUMBER" AND "VERSION NUMBER"

The data file for the AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION STUDY is constructed with a single logical record for each respondent. There are 1881 variables for 1807 respondents.

NES "Dataset number"

In early 1999, each unique dataset in the NES archive was assigned a "Dataset number". Dataset numbers for datasets from all archived NES studies are included in the NES "VERSION TABLE" described below.

"Versions" of NES datasets

The term "dataset" used by NES refers to the following associated components:

- 1- ASCII data file (.dat file)
- 2- SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas, .sps files)
- 3- Codebook files (.cbk file(s)) ^^

Components of the initial release of a dataset will be identified as version 01. According to this system, a corrected component of a specific dataset is called a new "VERSION" of that component and is assigned a new "Version Number."

Because the initial release of a dataset is sometimes followed by corrections to one or more components, a labeling method has been implemented to identify the release version of the dataset component(s). In practice, the version labeling will allow the analyst to easily verify if he or she has the most up to date component(s) for that dataset.

The version number of a particular component file is written as the first information in the machine-readable component file:

- 1) In the ASCII data file (.dat file), the version number of that data file is written in each record in columns 1-2.
- 2) In the SAS and SPSS data definition files, the version number of the file** is written in the very first line as a comment similar to the following:
* Version 01 SAS DATA DEFINITION FILE ;
or:
* Version 01 SPSS DATA DEFINITION FILE
- 3) In the codebook file**, the version number is written as the first line similar to the following:
VERSION 01 CODEBOOK

NES Dataset "Version Table"

The NES Web site (www.umich.edu/~nes) includes an NES Dataset "Version Table" which can be used to identify the latest version of component files for released NES datasets.

^^NOTE: A codebook usually comprises 3 files, an 'intro' file, variable file, and appendix file

**NOTE: Since SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) are identified together as a single component, a new "version" of either signifies a new "version" of both, even if only one data definition file required correction. The "Note" field in the NES VERSION TABLE will indicate if only one file has actually been corrected.

Similarly, since most codebooks are released as 3 files, a correction to any one of the codebook files results in a new "version" of all 3 codebook files at once. Again, the "Note" field in the NES VERSION TABLE will indicate if only one codebook file has actually been corrected. (All 3 codebook files will include the version number in the first line of the machine-readable file, as indicated above.)

>> 2000 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for VARIABLE name).

Line

```
1 =====
2 VAR 480026      NAME-NOT AVAILABLE IN ADVANCE RELEASE
3                COLUMNS 61      - 61
4                NUMERIC
5                MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7                Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8                TO VOTE.
9                .....
10
11                82                1.  YES
12                149               2.  NO
13
14                0                8.  DK
15                9                9.  NA
16                422               0.  INAP., R VOTED
```

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage. NOTE: marginals may be present as a table following the list of codes.

>> 2000 CODEBOOK INFORMATION - EXPERIMENTS

Due to the complexity of the dataset, NES staff have created the table below to assist users in navigating through numerous variables representing different formats.

STANDARD FORMAT	EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT
1. 7-point scale	Branching
2a. Response order a,b,c	Response order c,b,a *
2b. Response order a,c,b	Response order c,a,b *
2c. Response order 7 pt scale	Reversed scale
3a. "Haven't thought" response	No response "haven't thought much"
3b. No response "Haven't thought"	Response "haven't thought much"
4. Agree/disagree with policy	Choose policy / opposing policy
5. Yes/no agree with position	Choose position/ statement of opposition
6. No use of probe for DK	Use of probe for DK

PRE TOPIC	STANDARD	EXPERIMENT	EXP. TYPE	STANDARD AND EXPERIMENT

Liberal-Conservative				
Self				
- FTF	V000439	.	.	V000446a
- Phone	V000439a	.	.	V000446b
- FTF & Phone	V000440,441,441a	V000442-445	1	V000446,447
Clinton				
- FTF	V000448	.	.	.
- Phone	V000448a	.	.	.
- FTF & Phone	V000449	V000450-453	1	V000454
Gore				
- FTF	V000455,457	.	.	.
- Phone	V000455a,458	.	.	.
- FTF & Phone	V000456,458a	V000459-462,464	1	V000463,464a
GW Bush				
- FTF	V000465,467	.	.	.
- Phone	V000465a,468	.	.	.
- FTF & Phone	V000466,466a	V000469-472,474	1	V000473,474a
Buchanan				
- FTF	V000475,477	.	.	.
- Phone	V000475a,478	.	.	.
- FTF & Phone	V000476,476a	V000479-482,484	1	V000483,484a

Econ retrospective	V000488a	V000488b	2a	V000491

Employment retrospect	V000492a	V000492b	2b	V000495

Econ prospective	V000496a	V000496b	2b	V000499

Limit imports	V000511a	V000511b	3a	V000512

Isolationism	V000513a	V000513b	4	V000514

Govt med insurance				
- FTF	V000608a	V000610a	2c	V000609
- Phone	V000608b	V000610b	2c	V000611-613
- FTF & Phone	.	.	2c	V000614

Affirmative action	V000671a	V000671b	5	V000674

Environment vs jobs				
- FTF	V000707a	V000709a	3a	V000708
- Phone	V000707b	V000709b	3a	V000711-712
- FTF & Phone	.	.	3a	V000713

School vouchers	V000741a	V000741b	3b	
-----------------	----------	----------	----	--

Women's role				
- FTF	V000754a	V000756a	3a	V000755
- Phone	V000754b	V000756b	3a	V000757-759
- FTF & Phone	.	.	3a	V000760

POST TOPIC	STANDARD	EXPERIMENT	EXP. TYPE	STANDARD AND EXPERIMENT
---------------	----------	------------	--------------	----------------------------

Knowledge (office)				
Trent Lott	V001446a	V001446b,1448	6	V001447
William Rehnquist	V001449a	V001449b,1451	6	V001450
Tony Blair	V001452a	V001452b,1454	6	V001453
Janet Reno	V001455a	V001455b,1457	6	V001456

>> 2000 CODEBOOK INFORMATION - POLICY PLACEMENTS, EXPERIMENTS, AND BUILT VARIABLES

Policy placements, traditionally done on 7 point scales, of self and others is particularly complicated with mode, various experiments, and built VARIables. To assist users, NES staff have created the table below so that users can quickly identify the variable of interest.

TABLE 1 - PRE
7-POINT SCALE/ BRANCHING SERIES

SELF-PLACEMENTS

=====

ALL SERIES exc.Lib-Con (SEE Table 3)

=====

- SERIES: L1 = SPENDING/SERVICES
 L2 = DEFENSE SPENDING
 L3 = GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE
 L4 = JOBS/STD LIVING
 L5 = AID TO BLACKS
 M4 = ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS
 P1 = WOMEN'S ROLE
 P2 = ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

EXPERIMENTS: L3, M4, P1 self-placements

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed

FTF = 7PT SCALE
 PHONE = BRANCHING

	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	M4	P1	P2
1a. FTF:standard	545	581	608a	615	641	707a	754a	771
1b. FTF:experimental	-	-	608b	-	-	707b	754b	-
1c. FTF:combined	-	-	609	-	-	708	755	-
2a. PHONE: version 1	546	582/3	610a	616	642	709a	756a	772
2b. PHONE: version 2	-	-	610b	-	-	709b	756b	-
2c. PHONE: combined	-	-	611	-	-	710	757	-
3. PHONE: 'strength'	547/8	584/5	612	617/8	643	711	757	-
4. PHONE: 5pt summary	549	586	613	619	644	712	758	773/4
5. ALL: 5pt summary	550	587	614	620	645	713	760	776

TABLE 2 - PRE
7POINT SCALE/ BRANCHING SERIES

CANDIDATE AND PARTY PLACEMENTS

=====

ALL SERIES exc.Lib-Con (Table 3)

=====

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed

FTF = 7PT SCALE

PHONE = BRANCHING

.....	CLINTON	GORE	BUSH	DEM PTY	REP PTY
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
L1 Spending/services					
1. FTF	551	557	563	569	575
2. PHONE	552	558	564	570	576
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	553/54	559/60	565/66	571/72	577/78
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	555	561	567	573	579
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	556	562	568	574	580
L2 Defense spending					
1. FTF	-	588	593	598	603
2. PHONE	-	589	594	599	604
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	-	590	595	600	605
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	-	591	596	601	606
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	-	592	597	602	607
--no cand/party placements in L3-- <health insurance>					
L4 Jobs/std living					
1. FTF	-	588	593	598	603
2. PHONE	-	589	594	599	604
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	-	590	595	600	605
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	-	591	596	601	606
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	-	592	597	602	607
L5 Aid to blacks					
1. FTF	646	651	656	661	666
2. PHONE	647	652	657	662	667
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	648	653	658	663	668
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	649	654	659	664	669
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	650	655	660	665	679
M4 Envir vs. jobs					
1. FTF	-	714	719	-	-
2. PHONE	-	715	720	-	-
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	-	716	721	-	-
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	-	717	722	-	-
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	-	718	723	-	-
P1 Women's role					
1. FTF	-	761	766	-	-
2. PHONE	-	762	767	-	-
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	-	763	768	-	-
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	-	764	769	-	-
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	-	765	770	-	-

P2 Envir regulation						
1. FTF	-	778	785	-	-	-
2. PHONE	-	779	786	-	-	-
3. PHONE: STRENGTH	-	780/81	787/88	-	-	-
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY	-	782	789	-	-	-
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY	-	783	790	-	-	-
6. ALL: CERTAINTY:	-	784	791	-	-	-

TABLE 3 - PRE
LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE (G SERIES)

=====
ALL PLACEMENTS
=====

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed

STANDARD = 7PT SCALE RATING (FTF and/or PHONE)
EXPERIMENTAL = BRANCHING SERIES (FTF and/or PHONE)
BOTH = STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL

		SELF	CLINTON	GORE	BUSH	BUCH.
		----	-----	----	-----	-----
1a.	STANDARD FTF	439	448	455	465	475
1b.	STANDARD PHONE	439a	448a	455a	465a	475a
2.	STANDARD COMBINED FTF & PHONE	440	449	456	466	476
3.	STANDARD FTF & PHONE: 'CHOICE'	441	-	-	-	-
4.	STANDARD 3PT SUMMARY FTF & PH	441a	-	-	-	-
5a.	STANDARD CERTAINTY - FTF	-	-	457	467	477
5b.	STANDARD CERTAINTY - PHONE	-	-	458	468	478
6.	STANDARD COMBINED FTF & PHONE	-	-	458a	468a	478a
7.	EXPERIMENT FTF & PHONE	442	450	459	469	479
8.	EXPERIMENT FTF & PHONE: FOLLOWUPS	443-5	451/2	460/1	470/1	480/1
9.	EXPERIMENT 5PT SUMMARY FTF & PH	-	453	462	472	482
10.	BOTH 5PT SUMMARY	-	454	463	473	483
11.	BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: FTF & PH	446	-	-	-	-
11a.	BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: FTF ONLY	446a	-	-	-	-
11b.	BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: PHONE ONLY	446b	-	-	-	-
12.	BOTH 3PT SUMMARY: FTF & PH	447	-	-	-	-
13.	EXPERIMENT FTF & PH - CERTAINTY	-	-	464	474	484
14.	BOTH CERTAINTY SUMMARY	-	-	464a	474a	484a

TABLE 4 - Post
7POINT SCALE/ BRANCHING SERIES

=====
LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE G1-G10
=====

ALL 7-POINT SCALES (FTF and PHONE)

	PLACEMENT	'CHOICE'	3 CATEGORY SUMM	CERTAINTY
1. SELF	V001368	V001369	V001370	-
2. CLINTON	V001371	-	-	-
3. GORE	V001372	-	-	V001373
4. GW BUSH	V001374	-	-	V001375
5. BUCHANAN	V001376	-	-	V001377
6. DEM HSE CAND*	V001378a,b	-	-	V001379a,b
7. REP HSE CAND*	V001380a,b	-	-	V001381a,b
8. DEM PARTY	V001382	-	-	-
9. REP PARTY	V001383	-	-	-
10. REFORM PARTY	V001384	-	-	-

* "b" variable for VT01 incumbent ind. Hse candidate

** "b" variable for VA05 incumbent ind. Hse candidate

=====
SERVICES/SPENDING G11, CRIME K12
=====

FTF = 7PT SCALE

PHONE = BRANCHING

.....

	SELF	DEM HSE*	REP HSE*
G11 Services/spending			
1. FTF	V001385	V001391a,b	V001397a,b
2. PHONE	V001386	V001392a,b	V001398a,b
3. PHONE FOLLOWUPS	V001387-89	V001393a,b-1395a,b	V001399a,b-1401a,b
4. FTF & PHONE 7PT SUMM	V001390	V001396a,b	V001402a,b
K12 Crime			
1. FTF	V001482		
2. PHONE	V001482a		
3. PHONE FOLLOWUPS	V001483-85		
4. FTF & PHONE 7PT SUMM	V001486		

* "b" variable for VT01 incumbent ind. Hse candidate

** "b" variable for VA05 incumbent ind. Hse candidate

>> 2000 PROCESSING INFORMATION

The data collection was processed according to standard processing procedures. The data were checked for illegal or inconsistent code values which, when found, were corrected or recoded to missing data values. Consistency checks were performed. Annotation was added by the processors for explanatory purposes.

>> 2000 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

F = FACE-TO-FACE S = STANDARD FORMAT R = SCALE RATING OR FOLLOWUP
 T = TELEPHONE E = EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT B = BRANCHING SERIES

Note:

The list of 'subsample' variables does not include those corresponding to questions administered to a random selection of cases as part of a split sample; in the latter, no systematic difference by mode or format exists among respondents.

FULL SAMPLE =====	SUB-SAMPLE VAR ANDVAR =====	TYPE =====	DESCRIPTION =====
IDENTIFICATION AND WEIGHTS			

VVERSION			Process.1. NES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA
VDSETNO			Process.2. NES DATASET NUMBER
VICPSRNO			Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131
V000001			Process.4. Case ID
V000001a			Process.4a. Post ID
V000002			Process.5. Sample weight
V000002a			Process.5a. Post weight
V000003			Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post interview
PRE ADMINISTRATIVE AND FIELD VARIABLES			

V000004			Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW
V000005a			Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
V000005b			Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
V000005c			Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15
V000005d			Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con
V000005e			Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E
V000005f			Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
V000005g			Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E
V000005h			Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E
V000005j			Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E
V000005k			Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
V000005m			Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E
V000005n			Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs
V000005p			Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
V000005q			Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
V000006			Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
V000007			Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
V000008			Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
V000009			Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
V000010			Pre.Admin.7. IW length
V000011			Pre.Admin.8. IW number
V000012			Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
V000013			Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file
V000014			Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
V000015			Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
V000016			Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount
V000017			Pre.Admin.14. Payment mode
V000018			Pre.Admin.15. Payment date
V000019			Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
V000020			Pre.Admin.17. Verification

V000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation
V000022 Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator
V000023 Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
V000024 Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
V000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
V000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
V000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
V000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
V000029 Pre.Admin.26. Final result
V000030 Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
V000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)

PRE COVERSHEET VARIABLES

V000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
V000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
V000034 T Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
V000035 T Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
V000036 T Pre.CSheet.5. PH- bus res has personal#
V000037 F Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
V000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
V000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
V000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
V000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
V000042 Pre.CSheet.11. R person number
V000043 F Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
V000044 F Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
V000045 F Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
V000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
V000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
V000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal
V000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality
V000050 Pre.CSheet.19. Contact resist-not interested politics
V000051 Pre.CSheet.20. Contact resist-too busy
V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
V000053 Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist-other
V000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
V000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
V000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
V000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
V000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist-waste time
V000059 Pre.CSheet.28. R resist-too personal
V000060 Pre.CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality
V000061 Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
V000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other
V000065 T Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
V000066 T Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
V000067 T Pre.CSheet.36. Certainty of race (CSMS)
V000068 T Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
V000069 T Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)

PRE INTERVIEWER VARIABLES

V000070 Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
V000071 Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
V000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
V000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
V000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
V000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity

V000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
V000077 Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
V000078 Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

PRE - SAMPLING INFORMATION

V000079 Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
V000080 Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
V000081 Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD
V000082 Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
V000083 Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
V000084 Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
V000085 Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
V000086 Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
V000087 F Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
V000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
V000088 F Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
V000089 F Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
V000090 F Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
V000090a F Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)
V000091 F Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units
V000092 F Pre.Sample.15. Census region
V000093 F Pre.Sample.16. Belt code
V000094 F Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
V000095 F Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
V000096 F Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
V000097 F Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
V000098 F Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
V000099 F Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
V000100 F Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
V000101 F Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
V000102 F Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
V000103 F Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
V000104 F Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
V000105 F Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
V000106 F Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code

PRE SUMMARY DESCRIPTIONS/CALCULATIONS

V000107 Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
V000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
V000109 Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
V000110 Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D
V000111 Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E
V000112 Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
V000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
V000114 Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H
V000115 Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
V000116 Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
V000117 Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
V000118 Pre.Summary.12. Pre timing - section N
V000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P
V000120 Pre.Summary.14. Pre timing - section Q
V000121 Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
V000122 Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
V000123 Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X
V000124 Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y
V000125 Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z

POST ADMINISTRATIVE AND FIELD VARIABLES

V000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
V000127a Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
V000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format
V000128 Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW
V000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW
V000130 Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
V000131 Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
V000132 Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
V000133 Post.Admin.8. IW length
V000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
V000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
V000136 Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file
V000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
V000138 Post.Admin.13. Release
V000139 Post.Admin.14. Payment amt
V000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
V000141 Post.Admin.16. Payment date
V000142 Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
V000143 Post.Admin.18. Verification
V000144 Post.Admin.19. Evaluation
V000145 Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.
V000146 Post.Admin.21. Persuasion letter
V000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested
V000148 Post.Admin.23. Date sent
V000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
V000150 Post.Admin.25. Tel calls
V000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls
V000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result
V000153 Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI)
V000154 Post.Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch
V000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)

POST COVERSHEET VARIABLES

V000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
V000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
V000158 Post.CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
V000159 T Post.CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone
V000160 F Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
V000161 F Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure
V000162 F Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
V000163 F Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
V000164 Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
V000165 Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
V000166 Post.CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal
V000167 Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
V000168 Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
V000169 Post.CSheet.14. Contct resist-too busy
V000170 Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
V000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
V000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
V000173 Post.CSheet.18. R break appts
V000174 Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
V000175 Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
V000176 Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
V000177 Post.CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
V000178 Post.CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
V000179 Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
V000180 Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy

V000181		Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
V000182		Post.CSheet.27. R resist- other
V000183		Post.CSheet.28. Ever make contact (NI)
V000184		Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
V000184a	F	Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
V000184b	F	Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
V000184c	F	Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
V000184d	F	Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic
V000184e	F	Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain

POST INTERVIEWER VARIABLES

V000185		Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
V000186		Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
V000187		Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
V000188		Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
V000189		Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
V000190		Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
V000191		Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
V000192		Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
V000193		Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

POST - CANDIDATE AND TYPE RACE INFORMATION

V000194		Post.Cand.1. House race type
V000194a		Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
V000194b		Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
V000194c		Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
V000194d		Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
V000194e		Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
V000194f		Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of CD in 1998
V000194g		Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 election
V000195		Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name
V000196		Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code
V000197		Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr
V000198		Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
V000199		Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
V000200		Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
V000201		Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
V000202		Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
V000202a		Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
V000203		Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
V000204		Post.Cand.11. Senate race type
V000205		Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
V000206		Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code
V000207		Post.Cand.14. Senate Democr cand gender
V000208		Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name
V000209		Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code
V000210		Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
V000211		Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
V000212		Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
V000212a		Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
V000213		Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
V000214		Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
V000215		Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
V000215a		Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
V000216		Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
V000217		Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
V000218		Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
V000219		Post.Cand.26. Outside Hse Dem cand gendr
V000220		Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name

V000221	Post.Cand.28. Outside Hse Rep cand code
V000222	Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand genr
V000223	Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name
V000224	Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code
V000225	Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand genr
V000226	Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
V000226a	Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type
V000227	Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
V000228	Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
V000229	Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand genr
V000230	Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name
V000231	Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
V000232	Post.Cand.39. Outside Sen Rep cand genr
V000233	Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
V000234	Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code
V000235	Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Ind1 cand genr
V000236	Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name
V000237	Post.Cand.44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code
V000238	Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand genr

POST SUMMARY DESCRIPTIONS/CALCULATIONS

V000239	Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
V000240	Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
V000241	Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
V000242	Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
V000243	Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E
V000244	Post.Summary.6. Post timing - section F
V000245	Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G
V000246	Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H
V000247	Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
V000248	Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
V000249	Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
V000250	Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
V000251	Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
V000252	Post.Summary.14. Post timing - section P
V000253	Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
V000254	Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
V000255	Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
V000256	Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T
V000257	Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V
V000258	Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
V000259	Post.Summary.21. Post timing - section Z
V000260	Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
V000261	Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload
V000262	Post.Summary.24. Flag-Post rand failure

A1-A2 - CURRENT CAMPAIGN/ELECTION

V000301	A1. Attention R paid to campaigns
V000302	A2. Does R care about Pres election

A3 - LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

V000303	A3. Did R vote in 1996 election
V000304	A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996

A4-A5 - PRES. CAND LIKES-DISLIKES

V000305 A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
V000306 A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes
V000307 A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
V000308 A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
V000309 A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
V000310 A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
V000311 A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
V000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000313 A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000314 A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000315 A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000316 A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000317 A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
V000318 A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
V000319 A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
V000320 A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
V000321 A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
V000322 A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
V000323 A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
V000324 A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
V000325 A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
V000326 A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
V000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
V000328 A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes

A6-A11 - MEDIA

V000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
V000330 A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
V000331 A7. Days R watched early local news
V000332 A8. Days R watched late local news
V000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
V000334 A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
V000335 A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
V000336 A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
V000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
V000338 A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv

A12 - PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL

V000339 A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
V000340 A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
V000341 A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job

B1-B2 - CANDIDATE RECALL

V000342 B1. Did R care about House election
V000343 B2. Does R remember names of House cand
V000344 B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand
V000345 B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
V000346 B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
V000347 B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
V000348 B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
V000349 B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
V000350 B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
V000351 B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
V000352 B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand

V000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand
V000354 B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
V000355 B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy

B3 - CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL

V000356 B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
V000357 B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
V000358 B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress

C1-C2 - THERMOMETERS

V000359 C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
V000360 C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
V000361 C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
V000362 C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
V000363 C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
V000364 C1f/C1f.T. Thermometer McCain
V000365 C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
V000366 C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
V000367 C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
V000368 C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
V000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
V000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
V000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
V000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general

D1-D4 - PARTY LIKES-DISLIKES

V000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party
V000374 D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party
V000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
V000376 D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
V000377 D1a(4). #4 like Dem Party
V000378 D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party
V000379 D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
V000380 D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
V000381 D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
V000382 D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
V000383 D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
V000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
V000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party
V000386 D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
V000387 D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
V000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
V000389 D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
V000390 D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
V000391 D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party
V000392 D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
V000393 D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
V000394 D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
V000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
V000396 D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party

D5 - PARTY CONTROL

V000397 D5. Preference for divided government

E1-E3 - R FINANCIAL SITUATION

V000398 E1/E1.T. Better/worse off in last year
V000399 E1a. How much better off- last year
V000400 E1b. How much worse off- last year
V000401 E1x. Summary R econ situation last year
V000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
V000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
V000404 E3a. How much better off- next year
V000405 E3b. How much worse off- next year
V000406 E3x. Summary R econ situation next year

F1-F3 - PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE AFFECTS

V000407 F1a. Angry- Gore affect
V000408 F1aa. Angry- how often Gore affect
V000409 F1b. Hopeful- Gore affect
V000410 F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
V000411 F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
V000412 F1cc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
V000413 F1d. Proud- Gore affect
V000414 F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
V000415 F2a. Angry- Bush affect
V000416 F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
V000417 F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect
V000418 F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect
V000419 F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
V000420 F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
V000421 F2d. Proud- Bush affect
V000422 F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
V000423 F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
V000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
V000425 F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
V000426 F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
V000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
V000428 F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
V000429 F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
V000430 F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect

F6-F9 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM(S)

V000431 F6(1). #1 most important problem
V000432 F6(2). #2 most important problem
V000433 F6(3). #3 most important problem
V000434 F6(4). #4 most important problem
V000435 F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
V000436 F7. Choice - most important problem
V000437 F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
V000438 F9. Party performance on most imp problem

G1-G10 - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

V000439 FSR G1a. Self placement lib-con scale
V000439a TSR G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
V000440 SR G1ax. Summary: combined FTF/ph
V000441 SR G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
V000441a SR G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
V000442 EB G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
V000443 EB G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
V000444 EB G6b.E. R strong liberal or not

V000446	V000445	EB	G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
V000447			G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
			G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ

	V000448	FSR	G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
	V000448a	TSR	G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
	V000449	SR	G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
	V000450	EB	G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
	V000451	EB	G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
	V000452	EB	G7b.E. Clinton strong conservtive or not
	V000453	EB	G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
V000454			G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con

	V000455	FSR	G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
	V000455a	TSR	G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
	V000456	SR	G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
	V000457	FSR	G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
	V000458	TSR	G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
V000458a			G3ax.T. Comb. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt
	V000459	EB	G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
	V000460	EB	G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
	V000461	EB	G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
	V000462	EB	G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
V000463			G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con
	V000464	EB	G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br
V000464a			G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c

	V000465	FSR	G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
	V000465a	TSR	G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
	V000466	SR	G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
	V000467	FSR	G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
	V000468	TSR	G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
V000468a			G4ax.T.Comb. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con
	V000469	EB	G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
	V000470	EB	G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
	V000471	EB	G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
	V000472	EB	G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
V000473			G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
	V000474	EB	G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
V000474a			G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c

	V000475	FSR	G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
	V000475a	TSR	G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon
	V000476	SR	G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl
	V000477	FSR	G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
	V000478	TSR	G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone
V000478a			G5ax.T. Combined Buchan-certainn lib-con plcemnt
	V000479	EB	G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
	V000480	EB	G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
	V000481	EB	G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not
	V000482	EB	G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con
V000483			G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
	V000484	EB	G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br
V000484a			G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan l-c crt

			G11 - ANTICIPATED OUTCOME OF NOVEMBER ELECTION

V000485			G11. Who does R think will be elected
V000486			G11a. Race close or not- cand named
V000487			G11b. Race close or not- cand not named

H1-H4 - NATIONAL ECONOMY

V000488a S H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan
V000488b E H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex
V000489 H1a. How much better US econ last year
V000490 H1b. How much worse US econ last year
V000491 H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year
V000492a S H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
V000492b E H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
V000493 H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr
V000494 H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr
V000495 H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
V000496a S H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan
V000496b E H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
V000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
V000498 H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
V000499 H4x. Summary US econ in next year

H5 - APPROVE PRESIDENT HANDLING OF ECONOMY

V000500 H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
V000501 H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy
V000502 H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy
V000503 H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
V000504 H6. Is R invested in stock market

H7-H8 - PARTY PERFORMANCE

V000505 H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
V000506 H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war

H9-H12 - NATION : STRENGTH, IMMIGRATION, IMPORTS,
ISOLATION

V000507 H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger
V000508 H10. Increase/decrease immigratrati
V000509 H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot
V000510 H10x. Summary immigration level
V000511a S H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
V000511b E H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
V000512 H11x. Combined versions import limits
V000513a S H12. US better off to stay at home stan
V000513b E H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
V000514 H12x. Combined versions isolationism

H13 - APPROVE PRESIDENT HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS

V000515 H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
V000516 H13a. Strength approve Clinton frgn rel
V000517 H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
V000518 H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations

K1 - PARTY ID

V000519 K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind
V000520 K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
V000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not

V000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party
V000523 K1x. Party ID summary

K2-K4 - PRES. CANDIDATE TRAITS

V000524 K2a. Gore trait - moral
V000525 K2b. Gore trait - really cares
V000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
V000527 K2d. Gore trait - strong leader
V000528 K2e. Gore trait - dishonest
V000529 K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
V000530 K2g. Gore trait - out of touch
V000531 K3a. Bush trait - moral
V000532 K3b. Bush trait - really cares
V000533 K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
V000534 K3d. Bush trait - strong leader
V000535 K3e. Bush trait - dishonest
V000536 K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
V000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
V000538 K4a. Buchanan trait - moral
V000539 K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares
V000540 K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable
V000541 K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
V000542 K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest
V000543 K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent
V000544 K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch

L1 - SERVICES/SPENDING TRADEOFF

V000545 FR L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
V000546 TB L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
V000547 TB L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
V000548 TB L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
V000549 TB L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
V000550 L1ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend

V000551 FR L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
V000552 TB L1b.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone
V000553 TB L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
V000554 TB L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
V000555 TB L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd
V000556 L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd

V000557 FR L1c. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
V000558 TB L1c.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
V000559 TB L1c1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph
V000560 TB L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph
V000561 TB L1cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend
V000562 L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend

V000563 FR L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF
V000564 TB L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone
V000565 TB L1d1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph
V000566 TB L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph
V000567 TB L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend
V000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend

V000569 FR L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
V000570 TB L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone
V000571 TB L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
V000572 TB L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph

V000574 V000573 TB Llex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
 Llex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp

V000575 FR L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
 V000576 TB L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone
 V000577 TB L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph
 V000578 TB L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
 V000579 TB L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
 V000580 L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp

L2 - DEFENSE SPENDING

V000581 FR L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
 V000582 TB L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone
 V000583 TB L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone
 V000584 TB L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
 V000585 TB L2a1b.T. How much increas def spending ph
 V000586 TB L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
 V000587 L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending

V000588 FR L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
 V000589 TB L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
 V000590 TB L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph
 V000591 TB L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
 V000592 L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend

V000593 FR L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
 V000594 TB L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph
 V000595 TB L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph
 V000596 TB L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
 V000597 L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend

V000598 FR L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF
 V000599 TB L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
 V000600 TB L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
 V000601 TB L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
 V000602 L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp

V000603 FR L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
 V000604 TB L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
 V000605 TB L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph
 V000606 TB L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
 V000607 L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp

L3 - GOVERNMENT MEDICAL INSURANCE

V000608 L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
 V000608a FSR L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur
 V000608b FER L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
 V000609 FR L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
 V000610a TSB L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
 V000610b TEB L3a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex
 V000611 TB L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale
 V000612 TB L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
 V000613 TB L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
 V000614 L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance

L4 - GUARANTEED JOB/STANDARD OF LIVING

V000615 FR L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF

	V000616	TB	L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
	V000617	TB	L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs
	V000618	TB	L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
	V000619	TB	L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
V000620			L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs

	V000621	FR	L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
	V000622	TB	L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
	V000623	TB	L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job
	V000624	TB	L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job
V000625			L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job

	V000626	FR	L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
	V000627	TB	L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone
	V000628	TB	L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job
	V000629	TB	L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
V000630			L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job

	V000631	FR	L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF
	V000632	TB	L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph
	V000633	TB	L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
	V000634	TB	L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb
V000635			L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb

	V000636	FR	L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
	V000637	TB	L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone
	V000638	TB	L4e1/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job
	V000639	TB	L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
V000640			L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job

L5 - AID TO BLACKS			

	V000641	FR	L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
	V000642	TB	L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
	V000643	TB	L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
	V000644	TB	L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
V000645			L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks

	V000646	FR	L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
	V000647	TB	L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone
	V000648	TB	L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks
	V000649	TB	L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks
V000650			L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks

	V000651	FR	L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
	V000652	TB	L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone
	V000653	TB	L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
	V000654	TB	L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks
V000655			L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks

	V000656	FR	L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
	V000657	TB	L5d.T. Bush-aid to blacks scale phone
	V000658	TB	L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks
	V000659	TB	L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
V000660			L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks

	V000661	FR	L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
	V000662	TB	L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone
	V000663	TB	L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks
	V000664	TB	L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks
V000665			L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks

V000666 FR L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
V000667 TB L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone
V000668 TB L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
V000669 TB L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
V000670 L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks

L6 - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

V000671a S L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan
V000671b E L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex
V000672 L6a. Strength for affirmative action
V000673 L6b. Strength against affirmative action
V000674 L6x. Summary affirmative action (Standard & Experm)
V000674a L6x1.Summary -strength of feeling affirmative action

L7-L9 - FEDERAL BUDGET

V000675 L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
V000676 L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs
V000677 L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research
V000678 L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid
V000679 L7e. Inc/dec food stamps
V000680 L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people
V000681 L7g. Inc/dec Social Security
V000682 L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection
V000683 L7j. Inc/dec public schools
V000684 L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime
V000685 L7m. Inc/dec child care
V000686 L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants
V000687 L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks
V000688 L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
V000689 L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
V000690 L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
V000691 L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare
V000692 L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare
V000693 L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medicare

M1-M3 - ABORTION

V000694 M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement
V000695 M1a. Importance of abortion to R
V000696 M1b/M1b.T. Gore-abortion scale
V000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
V000698 M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
V000699 M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement
V000700 M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
V000701 M2a. Strength abortion parental consent
V000702 M2x. Summary abortion parental consent
V000703 M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban
V000704 M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
V000705 M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban

M4 - PROTECT ENVIRONMENT

V000706 M4. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
V000707a FSR M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
V000707b FER M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
V000708 FR M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir
V000709a TSB M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan

V000709b	TEB	M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
V000710	TB	M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
V000711	TB	M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
V000712	TB	M4alx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
V000713		M4alx2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir

V000714	FR	M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
V000715	TB	M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone
V000716	TB	M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
V000717	TB	M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
V000718		M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir

V000719	FR	M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF
V000720	TB	M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
V000721	TB	M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir
V000722	TB	M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir
V000723		M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir

M5 - HOMOSEXUALS IN THE ARMED FORCES		

V000724		M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military
V000725		M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military
V000726		M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military
V000727		M5x. Summary homosexuals in military

M6 - GUN CONTROL		

V000728		M6a. R-gun control scale
V000729		M6a1. R-strength more gun control
V000730		M6a2. R-strength less gun control
V000731		M6ax. Summary gun control
V000732		M6a3. R-importance of gun control
V000733		M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale
V000734		M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
V000735		M6bx. Summary Gore gun control
V000736		M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
V000737		M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale
V000738		M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl
V000739		M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
V000740		M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement

N1 - SCHOOL VOUCHERS		

V000741a	S	N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
V000741b	E	N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex
V000742		N1x. Combined versions school vouchers
V000743		N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
V000744		N1ax. Summary school vouchers

N2 - ENGLISH AS OFFICIAL LANGUAGE		

V000745		N2. R fav/opp English official language

N3 - SCHOOL INTEGRATION		

V000746		N3. R interest in school integration
V000747		N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration

N4 - ADOPTION BY HOMOSEXUALS

V000748 N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn

N5 - DEATH PENALTY

V000749 N5. Fav/opp death penalty
V000750 N5a. How much favor death penalty
V000751 N5b. How much oppose death penalty
V000752 N5x. Summary R position on death penalty

P1 - WOMEN'S ROLE

V000753 P1. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
V000754a FSR P1a. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
V000754b FER P1a.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
V000755 FR P1ax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
V000756a TSB P1a.T. R equal role branch stan
V000756b TEB P1a.TE. R equal role branch ex
V000757 TB P1ax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eql role br
V000758 TB P1a1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
V000759 TB P1alx1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
V000760 P1alx2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role

V000761 FR P1b. Gore-equal role scale FTF
V000762 TB P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone
V000763 TB P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
V000764 TB P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role
V000765 P1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role

V000766 FR P1c. Bush-equal role scale FTF
V000767 TB P1c.T. Bush-equal role scale phone
V000768 TB P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
V000769 TB P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
V000770 P1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role

P2 - ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

V000771 FR P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
V000772 TB P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
V000773 TB P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
V000774 TB P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
V000775 TB P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
V000776 P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul
V000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation

V000778 FR P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale
V000779 TB P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
V000780 TB P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
V000781 TB P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
V000782 TB P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul
V000783 P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul
V000784 P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation

V000785 FR P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale
V000786 TB P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch
V000787 TB P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation
V000788 TB P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden
V000789 TB P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul
V000790 P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul

V000791 P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation

P3 - TURNOUT/VOTE INTENT

V000792 P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
V000793 P3a. Who will R vote for President
V000794 P3b. Strength of preference for candidate
V000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
V000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate

P4-P5 - ANTICIPATED PARTY CONTROL AFTER ELECTION

V000797 P4. Which party will control the House
V000798 P5. Which party will control the Senate

P6-P7 - FAIR AND PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR BLACKS

V000799 P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs
V000800 P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtmnt in jobs
V000801 P6a1. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs
V000802 P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs
V000803 P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs
V000804 P7a. Strength for preference blks jobs
V000805 P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
V000806 P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs

Q1-Q11 - CLINTON LEGACY
(Rs were asked Clinton Legacy in either pre
or post. For full sample see variables
1529a, 1595a, 1599a, 1603a, 1608a, 1612a
1616a, 1620a, 1624a, & 1628a.)

V000807 Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
V000808 Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
V000809 Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
V000810 Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
V000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
V000812 Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
V000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
V000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
V000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better
V000816 Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
V000817 Q3x. Summary economy since 1992
V000818 Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse
V000819 Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
V000820 Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
V000821 Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
V000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
V000823 Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
V000824 Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies
V000825 Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies
V000826 Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies
V000827 Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
V000828 Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat more secure
V000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat less secure
V000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
V000831 Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992
V000832 Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better
V000833 Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse

V000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
V000835 Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
V000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smewhat btr
V000837 Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smewhat wrse
V000838 Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
V000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
V000840 Q10a.Moral climate much/smewhat better
V000841 Q10b.Moral climate much/smewhat worse
V000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
V000843 Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
V000844 Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
V000845 Q11b.Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse
V000846 Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate

Q14 - CLINTON AFFECTS

(Rs were asked Clinton Affects in either pre
or post. For full sample see variables
1629a, 1630a, 1631a, 1632a, 1633a, 1634a
1635a, 1636a.)

V000847 Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect
V000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
V000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
V000850 Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect
V000851 Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect
V000852 Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect
V000853 Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
V000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect

Q15 - CLINTON TRAITS

V000855 Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral
V000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
V000857 Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable
V000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader
V000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clitnon trait-dishonest
V000860 Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent
V000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch

R1-R3 - OPINIONS AND COMPLEX DECISIONS

V000862 R1. How opinionated is R
V000863 R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg
V000864 R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
V000865 R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
V000866 R1x. Summary degree R opinionated
V000867 R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking
V000868 R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking
V000869 R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
V000870 R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
V000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems

S1-S5 - RELIGIOSITY

V000872 S1. Is religion important to R
V000873 S2. How much guidance from religion
V000874 S3/S3.T. How often does R pray
V000875 S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible
V000876 S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men

X1-X9 - RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION

V000877 X1. Attend religious services
V000878 X1a. Part of a church or denomination
V000879 X2. Attend religious services how often
V000880 X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week
V000881 X3. Attend church checkpoint
V000882 X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
V000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
V000884 X4. Denomination/other specify
V000885 X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
V000886 X4a. Baptist group
V000887 X4b. Independent Baptist group
V000888 X4c. Lutheran group
V000889 X4d. Methodist group
V000890 X4e. Presbyterian group
V000891 X4f. Reformed group
V000892 X4g. Brethren group
V000893 X4h. Christian group
V000894 X4i. Church of Christ group
V000895 X4j. Church of God group
V000896 X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
V000897 X4a-j. (blanked) other
V000898 X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
V000899 X4ml. Is other group christian
V000900 X6a. Attend Jewish group
V000901 X6b. Jewish denomination
V000902 X7. Member place of worship
V000903 X8. Born-again christian
V000904 X9x. Religion summary

Y1-Y31A - DEMOGRAPHICS

V000905 Y1(1). Month of birth
V000906 Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
V000907 Y1(3). Year of birth
V000908 Y1x. Respondent age
V000909 Y2. Marital status
V000910 Y3. Highest grade completed
V000911 Y3a. Diploma/GED
V000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned
V000913 Y3x. R educ summary
V000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner
V000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
V000916 Y4b. Partner highest degree
V000917 Y4x. Sp educ. Summary
V000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
V000919 Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
V000920 Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
V000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay
V000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup
V000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
V000923 Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary
V000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)
V000925 Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
V000926 Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
V000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
V000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
V000929 Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
V000930 Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now

V000931	Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job
V000932	Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
V000933	Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
V000934	Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
V000934a	Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
V000935	Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
V000936	Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired
V000937	Y12b. R ret: industry code
V000938	Y12c. R ret: self employed
V000939	Y12d. R ret: employed by govt
V000940	Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months
V000941	Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months
V000942	Y12g. R ret: working for pay
V000943	Y12h. R ret: looking for work now
V000944	Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
V000945	Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
V000946	Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
V000946a	Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
V000947	Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
V000948	Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
V000949	Y14b. R dis: industry code
V000950	Y14c. R dis: self employed
V000951	Y14d. R dis: work for govt
V000952	Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months
V000953	Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths
V000954	Y14g. R dis: working for pay now
V000955	Y14h. R dis: looking for work now
V000956	Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job
V000957	Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay
V000958	Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon
V000959	Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu
V000959a	Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
V000960	Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu
V000961	Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
V000962	Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
V000963	Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed
V000964	Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt
V000965	Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
V000966	Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
V000967	Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
V000968	Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now
V000968a	Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now
V000969	Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now
V000970	Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now
V000971	Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code
V000972	Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
V000973	Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
V000974	Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work
V000975	Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right
V000976	Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
V000977	Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo
V000978	Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay
V000979	Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
V000979a	Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)
V000980	Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
V000981	Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)
V000982	Y17(4). Stacked - industry
V000983	Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
V000984	Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
V000985	Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
V000986	Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job
V000987	Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
V000988	Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work

V000989 Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
 V000990 Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
 V000991a Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1
 V000991b Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2
 V000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
 V000992 Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+
 V000993 Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
 V000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHs
 V000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
 V000996 Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+
 V000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs
 V000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
 V000999 Y29a. Which one (working or middle class)
 V001000 Y29b. If had to choose class
 V001001 Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
 V001002 Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
 V001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
 V001004 Y29f. Working class -feel close to class
 V001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary
 V001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description
 V001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
 V001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description
 V001007 Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?
 V001008 Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1
 V001009 Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2
 V001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
 V001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
 V001011 Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group
 V001012 Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent
 V001013 Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt

Z1-Z9 - ADDITIONAL DEMOGRAPHICS

V001014 Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
 V001015 F Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
 V001016 TB Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
 V001017 T Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
 V001018 T Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
 V001019 Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
 V001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community
 V001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community
 V001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
 V001021a Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home
 V001021b Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
 V001021c Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
 V001022 Z5. Does R family own/rent home
 V001023 Z8. Does R have children
 V001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18
 V001025 Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R
 V001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
 V001026b Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age
 V001026c Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
 V001026d Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
 V001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
 V001026f Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
 V001026g Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age
 V001026h Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
 V001027 Z9. How many miles R drives per day

ZZ1-ZZ11 - PRE INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION

V001028		ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
V001029		ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
	V001030 F	ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
	V001031a F	ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
	V001031b F	ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW
	V001031c F	ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW
	V001031d F	ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW
	V001031e F	ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
V001032		ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
V001033		ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
V001034		ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
V001035		ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
V001036		ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
V001037		ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
V001038		ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part(blanked)
	V001039 F	ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
	V001040 F	ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
V001041a		ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
V001041b		ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
V001041c		ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
V001041d		ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
V001041e		ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
V001041f		ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
V001041g		ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW
V001041h		ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW
V001041j		ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW

PRE RANDOMIZATION DESCRIPTIONS

V001042	Pre.Rand.A4/A5. Order-Gore,GWB lik/dis
V001043	Pre.Rand.C1b. Pos-Gore in therms
V001044	Pre.Rand.C1c. Pos-GW Bush in therms
V001045	Pre.Rand.C1d. Pos-Buchanan in therms
V001046	Pre.Rand.C1e. Pos-Nader in thermoms
V001047	Pre.Rand.C1f/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther
V001048	Pre.Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Liebermn ther
V001049	Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms
V001050	Pre.Rand.D1/D3. Order-parties in lik/dis
V001051	Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
V001052	Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects
V001053	Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
V001054	Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
V001055	Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
V001056	Pre.Rand.F2a. Pos-angry -GW Bush affects
V001057	Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
V001058	Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
V001059	Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
V001060	Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
V001061	Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts
V001062	Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts
V001063	Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts
V001064	Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con
V001065	Pre.Rand.G8-G10.E. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/c
V001066	Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits
V001067	Pre.Rand.K2a. Order-moral -Gore traits
V001068	Pre.Rand.K2b. Order-cares -Gore traits
V001069	Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits
V001070	Pre.Rand.K2d. Order-leader -Gore traits
V001071	Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits

V001072 Pre.Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits
V001073 Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits
V001074 Pre.Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GWB traits
V001075 Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits
V001076 Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits
V001077 Pre.Rand.K3d. Order-leader -GWB traits
V001078 Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits
V001079 Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits
V001080 Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits
V001081 Pre.Rand.K4a. Ord-moral -Buchanan traits
V001082 Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
V001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
V001084 Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits
V001085 Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits
V001086 Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits
V001087 Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits
V001088 Pre.Rand.L1c/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
V001089 Pre.Rand.L1e/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
V001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
V001091 Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp
V001092 Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob
V001093 Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job
V001094 Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks
V001095 Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks
V001096 Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
V001097 Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend
V001098 Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend
V001099 Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend
V001100 Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend
V001101 Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend
V001102 Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend
V001103 Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend
V001104 Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend
V001105 Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend
V001106 Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend
V001107 Pre.Rand.L7p. Order-aid blacks-fed spend
V001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
V001109 Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs
V001110 Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn
V001111 Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role
V001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg
V001113 Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affcts
V001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affcts
V001115 Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affcts
V001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affcts
V001117 Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
V001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
V001119 Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits
V001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
V001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
V001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits
V001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait

A1-A4 - CURRENT CAMPAIGN AND POLITICS

V001201 A1. R interest in campaigns
V001202 A2. R watched programs about campaign
V001203 A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
V001204 A3. Does R ever discuss politics
V001205 A3a. How often does R discuss politics
V001206 A4. Does R recall names of House candidates
V001207 A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code

V001208 A4b1. Hse party recall 1
V001209 A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
V001210 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
V001211 A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code
V001212 A4b2. Hse party recall 2
V001213 A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2
V001214 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2
V001215 A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code
V001216 A4b3. Hse party recall 3
V001217 A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
V001218 A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3

B1-B12 - PARTICIPATION, CONTRIBUTIONS

V001219 B1. Party talked to R about campaign
V001220 B1a. Party that spoke to R about campgn
V001221 B1b. Anyone else talk to R about cand
V001222 B1c. Party send R mail about campaign
V001223 B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign
V001224 B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign
V001225 B2. Did R try to influence vote of others
V001226 B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign
V001227 B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.
V001228 B5. Did R do any other campaign work
V001229 B6. Did R contribute to candidate
V001230 B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
V001231 B7. Did R give money to party
V001232 B7a. Party - R contribution
V001233 B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate
V001234 B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting
V001235 B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R
V001236 B11. Clergy provide election information
V001237 B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
V001238 B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1
V001239 B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
V001240 B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3

C1-C4 - TURNOUT, REGISTRATION

V001241 C1. Did R vote
V001242 C2. Was R registered
V001243 C3. Registered in county of IW?
V001244 C3a1. State of registration
V001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)
V001245 C4. Did R vote on election day or before
V001246 C4a. How long before election did R vote
V001247 C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee

C5-C8- VOTE FOR PRESIDENT

V001248 C5. Did R vote for President?
V001249 C6. R vote cast for President
V001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
V001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
V001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
V001252b C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
V001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd

VOTE FOR CONGRESS

V001253a C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
V001253b C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
V001254 C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
V001255 C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
V001256 C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
V001257 C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
V001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
V001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
V001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
V001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote
V001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
V001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party

VOTE FOR SENATE

V001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
V001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
V001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
V001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
V001268 C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
V001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
V001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?
V001271 C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote -cand code
V001272 C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
V001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
V001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
V001275 C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party

NONVOTER PREFERENCES FOR HOUSE, SENATE

V001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
V001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred
V001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
V001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
V001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
V001281 C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
V001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
V001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
V001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
V001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code
V001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
V001287 (Blank)
V001288 (Blank)
V001289 (Blank)
V001290 (Blank)

C20 - FAIRNESS OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

V001291 C20. How fair was November election

D1-D2 - THERMOMETERS

V001292 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
V001293 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
V001294 D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
V001295 D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader

V001296	D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
V001297	D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
V001298	D1g/D1g.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
V001299	D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
V001300	D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
V001300a	D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
V001301	D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
V001302	D1m/D1m.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
V001303	D1n/D1n.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
V001303a	D1nx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
V001304	D2a. Thermometer supreme court
V001305	D2b. Thermometer Congress
V001306	D2c. Thermometer military
V001307	D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC
V001308	D2e. Thermometer blacks
V001309	D2f. Thermometer whites
V001310	D2g. Thermometer conservatives
V001311	D2h. Thermometer liberals
V001312	D2j. Thermometer labor unions
V001313	D2k. Thermometer big business
V001314	D2m. Thermometer poor people
V001315	D2n. Thermometer people on welfare
V001316	D2p. Thermometer Hispanics
V001317	D2q. Thermometer Chrstn Fundamentalists
V001318	D2r. Thermometer women's movement
V001319	D2s. Thermometer older people
V001320	D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
V001321	D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
V001322	D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition
V001323	D2w. Thermometer Catholics
V001324	D2x. Thermometer Jews
V001325	D2xx. Thermometer Protestants
V001326	D2y. Thermometer feminists
V001327	D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans

E1-E4 - HOUSE CANDIDATE LIKES/DISLIKES

V001328	E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
V001329	E1a(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
V001330	E1a(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
V001331	E1a(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
V001332	E1a(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
V001333	E1a(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
V001334	E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand
V001335	E2a(1). #1 dislike Dem House candidate
V001336	E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
V001337	E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
V001338	E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
V001339	E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate
V001340	E3. Like anything- Repub House cand
V001341	E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate
V001342	E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate
V001343	E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate
V001344	E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate
V001345	E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
V001346	E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand
V001347	E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate
V001348	E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
V001349	E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate
V001350	E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate
V001351	E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate

E5-E7 - POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE (HOUSE AND SENATE)

V001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cand
V001353a E5a(1). 2 cand- either cand incumbent
V001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race
V001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent
V001355 E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race
V001356 E6. Party control House before election
V001357 E7. Party control Senate before election

F1-F4 - MEMBER OF CONGRESS

V001358 F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent
V001359 F1a. Strength approve House incumbent
V001360 F1b. Strength disappr House incumbent
V001361 F1x. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb
V001362 F2. Special action by incumbent
V001363 F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv
V001364 F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
V001365 F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
V001366 F4. House incumb kept in touch w/district

F5 - FOLLOW PUBLIC AFFAIRS

V001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs

G1-G10 - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT
SELF, CLINTON, GORE, BUCHANAN AND PARTIES

V001368 G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
V001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
V001370 G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
V001371 G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
V001372 G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
V001373 G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt
V001374 G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale
V001375 G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt
V001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale
V001377 G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con
V001378 G6. Ckpt: number of cand/V001/VA05
V001378a G6.(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
V001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place
V001379a G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
V001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib
V001380a G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib
V001380b G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib
V001381a G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
V001381b G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con
V001382 G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale
V001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
V001384 G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con

G11 - SERVICES/SPENDING TRADEOFF

V001385 FR G11a. R placement- services/spend scale
V001386 TB G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch
V001387 TB G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend
V001388 TB G11a2.T. How much increase servics/spend

V001389 TB G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
V001390 B G11ax1. Summary R serv/spend scale- branching
V001390 BR G11ax2. Summary R serv/spend scale- branch & scale

V001391 G11b. Ckpt: number of cand/VT01/VA05
V001391a FR G11b(1.) Dem Hse candidate on services/spending
V001391b FR G11b(2). #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
V001392a TB G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending
V001392b TB G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend
V001393a TB G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
V001393b TB G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red
V001394a TB G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrisease ser/sp
V001394b TB G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr
V001395a TB G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cnd- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
V001395b TB G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
V001396 B G11bx1. Summary Dem cand serv/spend - branching
V001396a BR G11bx2. Summary Dem cand serv/spend -branch & scale

V001397a FR G11c(1). Repub House candidate on services/spend
V001397b FR G11c(2). #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending
V001398a TB G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
V001398b TB G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
V001399a TB G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
V001399b TB G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
V001400a TB G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrisease serv/spd
V001400b TB G11c2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
V001401a TB G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
V001401b TB G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
V001402 G11cx1. Summary Rep cand serv/spend plcmt -branching
V001402a G11cx2. Summary Rep cand serv/spend -branch & scale

G12 - ABORTION

V001403 G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale
V001404 G12a. How important is abortion issue to R
V001405 G12b. Checkpoint: number of cand/VT01/VA05
V001405a G12b(1)/G12b(1).T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion
V001405b G12b(2)/G12b(2).T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
V001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-certainty of plcmt
V001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
V001407a G12c(1)/G12c(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion
V001407b G12c(2)/G12c(2).T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort
V001408a G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plct
V001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc

G13 - Party id without independent mention

V001409 G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat

H1-H3 - R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

V001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
V001411a H1a. How much better off in last yer financially
V001411b H1b. How much worse off last year financially
V001412 H1x1. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
V001412a H1x2. Pre & Post Summary of R's financial situation
V001413 H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year
V001413a H2x. Pre & post- Put off medical or dental treatmnt
V001415 H3a. How much better off next year financially
V001416 H3b. How much worse off next year financially

V001417 H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year
V001417a H3x. Pre & post Summary: R financial expectations

H4 - FEAR OF BEING ASSAULT VICTIM

V001418 H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year

J1- JURY DUTY

V001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty

J2 - GOVERNMENT ROLE AND SELF-RELIANCE

V001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
V001421 J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket
V001422 J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
V001423 J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant

J3-J10 - MEDIA/INTERNET EXPOSURE AND INFORMATION

V001424 J3. # times R watched jeopardy
V001425 J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune
V001426 J5. # times R watched morning news
V001427 J6. # times R watched daytime talk show
V001428 J7. Network news program R watches most
V001429 J8. How much can you trust the media
V001430 J9. Does R listen to political talk radio
V001431 J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio
V001432 J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
V001433 J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
V001434 J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet

J11- DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PARTIES

V001435 J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems
V001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436b J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436e J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436f J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436g J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436h J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436j J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436k J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436m J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436n J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems

K1- GROUP INFLUENCE: TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, RIGHT AMT

V001437 K1a. Whites influence
V001438 K1b. Blacks influence
V001439 K1c. Hispanics influence
V001440 K1d. Asian-Americans influence
V001441 K1e. Jews influence
V001442 K1f. Protestants influence
V001443 K1g. Catholics influence

V001444 K1h. Men influence
V001445 K1j. Women influence

K2 - OFFICE RECOGNITION OF POLITICAL FIGURES

V001446a S K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard
V001446b E K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental
V001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
V001448 E K2a1.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used

V001449a S K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
V001449b E K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
V001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
V001451 E K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used

V001452a S K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
V001452b E K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental
V001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
V001454 E K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used

V001455a S K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
V001455b E K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
V001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
V001457 E K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used

K3-K4 - KNOWLEDGE ABOUT PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

V001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live
V001459 K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001460 K3b. What is Bush's religion
V001461 K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001462 K4a. What state is Gore from
V001463 K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001464 K4b. What is Gore's religion
V001465 K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

K5-K6 - KNOWLEDGE ABOUT VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

V001466 K5a. In what state does Cheney live
V001467 K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001468 K5b. What is Cheney's religion
V001469 K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
V001471 K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001472 K6b. What is Lieberman's religion
V001473 K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

K7 - INVOLVEMENT IN VOLUNTEER WORK

V001474 K7. Volunteer work in last year

K8-K10 - TRUST IN PEOPLE

V001475 K8. Are people trustworthy
V001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly
V001477 K10. People helpful or selfish

K11 - DISCRIMINATION AGAINST HOMOSEXUALS

V001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrim homosexu
V001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
V001480 K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals
V001481 K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim

K12 - WAYS TO REDUCE CRIME

V001482 FR K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish
V001482a TB K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish
V001483 TB K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -adressing social
V001484 TB K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls
V001485 TB K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
V001486 B K12ax. Summary branch- R plcmnt on approach
V001486a BR K12ax. Summary brh & scale- R plcmt on approach

K13-K14 -WORRY ABOUT WAR

V001487 K13. How worried is R about nuclear war
V001488 K14. How worried is R about conventional war

K15-K16 - CAMPAIGN FINANCE

V001489 K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ
V001490 K16. How should the financing of campaigns change

(there are no items L1-L4)
L5-L7 - INVOLVEMENT WITH COMMUNITY OR ISSUE

V001491 L5. Worked on community issue in last year
V001492 L6. Contacted public official to express in last year
V001493 L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year

L8 - ORGANIZATIONAL INVOLVEMENT AND INFLUENCE

V001494 L8. Is R a member of any organizations
V001495 L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member
V001496 L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org
V001497 L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
V001498 L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
V001499 L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org
V001500 L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce government
V001501 L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce schools
V001502 L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
V001503 L8x2. Summary org influence schools
V001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government
V001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year

L10 - PROTEST

V001506 L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year
V001507 L10a. How many times protested/marched

M3 - POSITION OF BLACKS IN SOCIETY
(there are no items m1-m2)

V001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors
V001509 M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
V001510 M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff
V001511 M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today

N1 - POWER OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

V001512 N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt
V001513 N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
V001514 N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
V001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt

N2- POLITICAL EFFICACY OF THE RESPONDENT

V001516 N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues
V001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
V001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
V001519 N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most
V001520 N3. Does R believe their vote matters

P1 - EGALITARIANISM

V001521 P1a/P1a.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr
V001522 P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
V001523 P1c/P1c.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
V001524 P1d/P1d.T. Better if we worried less about equality
V001525 P1e/P1e.T. OK if some people have more chances than
V001526 P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq

Q1 - EXTERNAL POLITICAL EFFICACY

V001527 Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care
V001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt
V001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated

Q2 - MORAL TRADITIONALISM

V001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
V001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav
V001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties
V001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality

Q3-Q6 - TRUST IN GOVERNMENT / MASS SUPPORT

V001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
V001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste
V001536 Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all
V001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked

Q7-Q8 - GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVENESS

V001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
V001539 Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions

R1- GROUPS R FEELS CLOSE TO

V001540 F R1a. Close to whites FTF
V001540a T R1a.T. Close to whites phone
V001541 R1ax. Summary close to whites
V001542 F R1b. Close to poor people FTF
V001542a T R1b.T. Close to poor people phone
V001543 R1bx. Summary close to poor people
V001544 F R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
V001544a T R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone
V001545 R1cx. Summary close to Asian Americans
V001546 F R1d. Close to liberals FTF
V001546a T R1d.T. Close to liberals phone
V001547 R1dx. Summary close to liberals
V001548 F R1e. Close to elderly FTF
V001548a T R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
V001549 R1ex. Summary close to elderly
V001550 F R1f. Close to blacks FTF
V001550a T R1f.T. Close to blacks phone
V001551 R1fx. Summary close to blacks
V001552 F R1g. Close to labor unions FTF
V001552a T R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone
V001553 R1gx. Summary close to labor unions
V001554 F R1h. Close to feminists FTF
V001554a T R1h.T. Close to feminists phone
V001555 R1hx. Summary close to feminists
V001556 F R1j. Close to southerners FTF
V001556a T R1j.T. Close to southerners phone
V001557 R1jx. Summary close to southerners
V001558 F R1k. Close to business people FTF
V001558a T R1k.T. Close to business people phone
V001559 R1kx. Summary close to business people
V001560 F R1m. Close to young people FTF
V001560a T R1m.T. Close to young people phone
V001561 R1mx. Summary close to young people
V001562 F R1n. Close to conservatives FTF
V001562a T R1n.T. Close to conservatives phone
V001563 R1nx. Summary close to conservatives
V001564 F R1p. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF
V001564a T R1p.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph
V001565 R1px. Summary close to Hispanic-Amrcs
V001566 F R1q. Close to women FTF
V001566a T R1q.T. Close to women phone
V001567 R1qx. Summary close to women
V001568 F R1r. Close to working-class FTF
V001568a T R1r.T. Close to working-class phone
V001569 R1rx. Summary close to working-class
V001570 F R1s .Close to middle-class FTF
V001570a T R1s.T. Close to middle-class phone
V001571 R1sx. Summary close to middle-class
V001572 F R1t. Close to men FTF
V001572a T R1t.T. Close to men phone
V001573 R1tx. Summary close to men

R2-R4 - CHARACTERISTICS OF RACIAL GROUPS

V001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites
V001575 R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks
V001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
V001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American

V001578	R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites
V001579	R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
V001580	R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-Americ
V001581	R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American
V001582	R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
V001583	R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks
V001584	R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
V001585	R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American

R5- QUALITIES TO ENCOURAGE IN CHILDREN

V001586	R5a. Independence or respect for elders
V001587	R5b. Obedience or self-reliance
V001588	R5c. Curiosity or good manners
V001589	R5d. Considerate or well behaved

S1-S11 - CLINTON LEGACY

(Rs were asked Clinton Legacy in either pre or post. See summary variables "..x1" for full sample)

V001590	S1. Budget deficit lar/smaller since 1992
V001591	S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
V001591a	S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
V001592	S1x. Summary - budget deficit since 92
V001592a	S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
V001593	S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
V001594	S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
V001595	S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
V001595a	S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor
V001596	S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
V001597	S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
V001598	S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
V001599	S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
V001599a	S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92
V001600	S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92
V001601	S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
V001602	S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
V001603	S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
V001603a	S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ
V001604	S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
V001604	S5. Summary pre & post Clinton admin hurt/help
V001605	S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
V001606	S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
V001607	S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure
V001608	S6x. Summary - U.S. security
V001608a	S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security
V001609	S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
V001610	S7a. Clinton made much/somewhat more secure
V001611	S7b. Clinton made much/somewhat less secure
V001612	S7x. Summary-Clinton impact on U.S. security
V001612a	S7x1. Summary pre & post- Clinton impact on secur
V001613	S8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 92
V001614	S8a. U.S. crime rate much/somewhat better
V001615	S8b. U.S. crime rate much/somewhat worse
V001616	S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
V001616a	S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
V001617	S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
V001618	S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat better
V001619	S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat worse
V001620	S9x. Summary-Clinton impact on crime rate

V001620a S9x1. Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime
V001621 S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92
V001622 S10a. Moral climate much/smwht better
V001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse
V001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
V001624a S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92
V001625 S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse
V001626 S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better
V001627 S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse
V001628 S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate
V001628a S11x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate

S14 - CLINTON AFFECTS

(Rs were asked Clinton Affects & traits in either pre or post. See summary variables for full sample)

V001629 S14a. Clinton makes R angry
V001629a S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry
V001630 S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry
V001630a S14ax. Summ pre & post - how often angry
V001631 S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
V001631a S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful
V001632 S14b1. How often Clinton make R hopeful
V001632a S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful
V001633 S14c. Clinton makes R afraid
V001633a S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid
V001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid
V001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
V001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud
V001635a S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud
V001636 S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud
V001636a S14d1x. Summ pre & post - how often proud

S15 - CLINTON TRAITS

V001637 S15a. Clinton trait - moral
V001637a S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
V001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people
V001638a S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares
V001639 S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable
V001639a S15cx. Summ pre & post-Clinton knowledgeable
V001640 S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership
V001640a S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership
V001641 S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest
V001641a S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest
V001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent
V001642a S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent
V001643 S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
V001643a S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch

T1-T5 - CAMPAIGN MEDIA EXPOSURE

V001644 T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
V001645 T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
V001646 T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio
V001647 T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss
V001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news
V001649 T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news

T1-T5 - OPINION ABOUT TWO PARTY SYSTEM

V001650

T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party

T6 - DEMOCRACY IN THE UNITED STATES

V001651

T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy

V1-V4 - BUSH LEGACY

V001652

V1. Bush effect on US economy

V001653

V1a. How much better Bush made economy

V001654

V1b. How much worse Bush made economy

V001655

V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy

V001656

V2. Bush made US more secure

V001657

V2a. Bush made US how much more secure

V001658

V2b. Bush made US how much less secure

V001659

V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security

V001660

V3. Bush effect on US crime rate

V001661

V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better

V001662

V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse

V001663

V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime

V001664

V4. Bush effect on moral climate

V001665

V4a. Bush moral climate how much better

V001666

V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse

V001667

V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate

V5 - TRAITS - FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH

V001668

V5a. Bush trait - moral

V001669

V5b. Bush trait - cares about people

V001670

V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable

V001671

V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership

V001672

V5e. Bush trait - dishonest

V001673

V5f. Bush trait - intelligent

V001674

V5g. Bush trait - out of touch

Y5-Y17 - CHARACTERISTICS OF WORK COLLEAGUES

(there are no items y1-y4)

V001675

Y5. Is R currently working

V001676a

Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1

V001676b

Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2

V001676c

Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3

V001677

Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work

V001678

Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people

V001679

Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves

V001680

Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage

V001681

Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect

V001682

Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers

V001683

Y8d. WRKS: Racial diversity of Rs coworkers

V001684

Y10. Laid off: Does R spend days alone/with others

V001685

Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves

V001686

Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage

V001687

Y11b. LOff: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect

V001688

Y11c. LOff: Does honest describe Rs coworkers

V001689

Y15. Retired: Does R spend days alone/with others

V001690 Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves
V001691 Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage
V001692 Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful
V001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
V001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others
V001695 Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
V001696 Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage
V001697 Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect
V001698 Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest

Z1-Z24 - POLITICAL DISCUSSION IN SOCIAL NETWORK

V001699 Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1
V001700 Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2
V001701 Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3
V001702 Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
V001703 Z9. Is name 1 relative
V001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
V001705 Z9b. Is name 1 coworker
V001706 Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
V001707 Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor
V001708 Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
V001709 Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
V001710 Z12. How name 1 voted in election

V001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative
V001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
V001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
V001714 Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
V001715 Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor
V001716 Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2
V001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics
V001718 Z16. How name 2 voted in election

V001719 Z17. Is name 3 relative
V001720 Z17a. Is name 3 male or female
V001721 Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
V001722 Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R
V001723 Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor
V001724 Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
V001725 Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
V001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election

V001727 Z21. Is name 4 relative
V001728 Z21a. Is name 4 male or female
V001729 Z21b. Is name 4 coworker
V001730 Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
V001731 Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
V001732 Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4
V001733 Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
V001734 Z24. How name 4 voted in election

Z25-Z29 - R'S NEIGHBORHOOD

V001735 Z25. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year
V001736 Z26. How satisfied is R with neighborhood
V001737 Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
V001738 Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others
V001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
V001740 Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors
V001741 Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood

Z28 - R'S SATISFACTION WITH LIFE

V001742

Z28. How satisfying is Rs life

ZZ1-ZZ8 - INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION

V001743a F	ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
V001743b F	ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
V001743c F	ZZ1(3). Others present for interview
V001744	ZZ2. Rs cooperation
V001745	ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
V001746	ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
V001747	ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem
V001748	ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW
V001749	ZZ7. How sincere did R seem
V001750	ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
V001751a	ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
V001751b	ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
V001751c	ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
V001751d	ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
V001751e	ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW
V001751f	ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
V001751g	ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW

POST RANDOMIZATION DESCRIPTIONS

V001752	Post-Rand.C9(2).
V001753	Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.
V001754	Post-Rand.D2g.
V001755	Post-Rand.D2h.
V001756	Post-Rand.D2j.
V001757	Post-Rand.D2k.
V001758	Post-Rand.D2m.
V001759	Post-Rand.D2n.
V001760	Post-Rand.D3a.
V001761	Post-Rand.D3b.
V001762	Post-Rand.D3c.
V001763	Post-Rand.D3d.
V001764	Post-Rand.D3e.
V001765	Post-Rand.D3f.
V001766	Post-Rand.D3g.
V001767	Post-Rand.D3h.
V001768	Post-Rand.D3j.
V001769	Post-Rand.D3k.
V001770	Post-Rand.D3m.
V001771	Post-Rand.D3n.
V001772	Post-Rand.D3p.
V001773	Post-Rand.D3q.
V001774	Post-Rand.D3r.
V001775	Post-Rand.D3s.
V001776	Post-Rand.D3t.
V001777	Post-Rand.D3u.
V001778	Post-Rand.D3v.
V001779	Post-Rand.D3w.
V001780	Post-Rand.D3x.
V001781	Post-Rand.D3xx.
V001782	Post-Rand.D3y.
V001783	Post-Rand.D3z.
V001784	Post-Rand.E1/E3.

V001785	Post-Rand.G3-G5.
V001786	Post-Rand.G6-G7.
V001787	Post-Rand.G8-G10.
V001788	Post-Rand.G11b/c.
V001789	Post-Rand.G12b/c.
V001790	Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.
V001791	Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.
V001792	Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
V001793	Post-Rand.S14a.
V001794	Post-Rand.S14b.
V001795	Post-Rand.S14c.
V001796	Post-Rand.S14d.
V001797	Post-Rand.S15a.
V001798	Post-Rand.S15b.
V001799	Post-Rand.S15c.
V001800	Post-Rand.S15d.
V001801	Post-Rand.S15e.
V001802	Post-Rand.S15f.
V001803	Post-Rand.S15g.
V001804	Post-Rand.V5a.
V001805	Post-Rand.V5b.
V001806	Post-Rand.V5c.
V001807	Post-Rand.V5d.
V001808	Post-Rand.V5e.
V001809	Post-Rand.V5f.
V001810	Post-Rand.V5g.

VARIABLE DESCRIPTION
2000 PRE-POST STUDY

=====
VAR VERSION Process.1. NES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA
 COLUMNS: 1 - 2
 Numeric

Process1.

VERSION NUMBER

This is the 2-digit number corresponding to version of the data file. (The initial release of the raw data file is data version 01.) See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset".

=====
VAR DSETNO Process.2. NES DATASET NUMBER
 COLUMNS: 3 - 8
 Character

Process2.

NES DATASET NUMBER

Dataset number 2000.T.
See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset" numbers.

=====
VAR ICPSRNO Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131
 COLUMNS: 9 - 12
 Numeric

Process2a.

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131

This is the study number within the ICPSR archive.

=====
VAR 000001 Process.4. Case ID
 COLUMNS: 13 - 16
 Numeric

Process.4.

NES 2000 CASE ID

NES 2000 Case ID corresponds to the Pre-election ID.

There are gaps in the numbering (0001-1812)

=====

VAR 000001a Process.4a. Post ID
COLUMNS: 17 - 20
Numeric

Process.4a.

POST ID

There are gaps in the numbering.

0001-1557 Pos Interviews

2001-2254 Post non-interviews

=====
VAR 000002 Process.5. Sample weight
COLUMNS: 21 - 26
Numeric DEC 4

Process.5.

Sample weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

=====
VAR 000002a Process.5a. Post weight
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 27 - 32
Numeric

Process.5a.

Sample weight for Post variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW

=====
VAR 000003 Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post
COLUMNS: 33 - 33
Numeric

Process.6.

Pre only or Pre-and-Post

- 0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews
- 1. Pre-Election Interview only

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1555	252

=====

VAR 000004 Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW
 COLUMNS: 34 - 34
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.1.

PRE: Mode of Pre IW

- 1. Personal (FTF)
- 5. Telephone

	1	5
	-----	-----
Count	1006	801

=====

VAR 000005a Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
 COLUMNS: 35 - 35
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2a.

PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H.

- 0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H V001410-V001417]
- 1. Section E administered in Pre [V000398-V000406]

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	880	927

=====

VAR 000005b Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
 COLUMNS: 36 - 36
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2b.

PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample

The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre

- 0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
- 1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [V000431-V000438]

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	902	905

```
=====
VAR 000005c  Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15
              COLUMNS: 37 - 37
              Numeric
```

Pre-Admin.2c.

PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or
Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective V000807-V000846]
2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits [V000847-V000861]]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	891	916

```
=====
VAR 000005d  Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con
              COLUMNS: 38 - 38
              Numeric
```

Pre-Admin.2d.

PRE: Form - G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T or G6.E-G10.E Lib/Con format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).

SELF PLACEMENT

G1a	Standard format FTF = V000439
G1a.T	Standard format Ph = V000439a
G6.E-G6c.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = V000442-445

CLINTON PLACEMENT

G2	Standard format FTF = V000448
G2.T	Standard format Ph = V000448a
G7.E-G7b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = V000450-452

GORE PLACEMENT

G3	Standard format FTF = V000455
G3.T	Standard format Ph = V000455a
G8.E-G8b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = V000459-461

GW BUSH PLACEMENT

G4 Standard format FTF = V000465
G4.T Standard format Ph = V000465a
G9.E-G9b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000469-471

BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

G5 Standard format FTF = V000475
G5.T Standard format Ph = V000475a
G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000479-481

- 1. Standard format G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T (FTF/phone)
- 2. Experimental format G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone)

	1	2
Count	868	939

=====
VAR 000005e Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E
COLUMNS: 39 - 39
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2e.

PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H1 [V000488a]
- 2. Experimental format H1.E [V000488b]

	1	2
Count	908	899

=====
VAR 000005f Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
COLUMNS: 40 - 40
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2f.

PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H1 [V000492a]
- 2. Experimental format H1.E [V000492b]

	1	2
Count	901	906

=====

VAR 000005g Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E
COLUMNS: 41 - 41
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2g.

PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [V000496a]
2. Experimental format H4.E [V000496b]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	911	896

=====
VAR 000005h Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E
COLUMNS: 42 - 42
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2h.

PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

1. Standard format H11 [V000511a]
2. Experimental format H11.E [V000511b]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	910	897

=====
VAR 000005j Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E
COLUMNS: 43 - 43
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [V000513a]
2. Experimental format H12.E [V000513b]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	891	916

=====

VAR 000005k Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
COLUMNS: 44 - 44
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [V000608a/V000610a]
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [V000608b/V000610b]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	891	916

=====
VAR 000005m Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E
COLUMNS: 45 - 45
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [V000671a]
2. Experimental format L6.E [V000671b]

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	880	927

=====
VAR 000005n Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs
COLUMNS: 46 - 46
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2 . The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [V000707a/V000709a]
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [V000707b/V000709b]

	1	2
Count	875	932

=====
VAR 000005p Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
COLUMNS: 47 - 47
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2p.

PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

1. Standard format N1 [V000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [V000741b]

	1	2
Count	882	925

=====
VAR 000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
COLUMNS: 48 - 48
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is Pla; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2 . The version 1 format is Pla.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.TE.

1. FTF Standard Pla / Phone Version 1 Pla.T [V000754a/V000756a]
2. FTF Experimental Pla.E/Phone Version 2 Pla.TE [V000754b/V000756b]

	1	2
Count	902	905

=====

VAR 000006 Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
COLUMNS: 49 - 50
Numeric

Pre-Admin.3.

PRE: Month of Interview

09. September
10. October
11. November

	9	10	11
Count	831	714	262

=====
VAR 000007 Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
COLUMNS: 51 - 52
Numeric

Pre-Admin.4.

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

=====
VAR 000008 Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
COLUMNS: 53 - 56
Character

Pre-Admin.5.

PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

=====
VAR 000009 Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
COLUMNS: 57 - 58
Numeric

Pre-Admin.6.

PRE: Number of days before election

Election day was November 7, 2000.

1-63 days

=====
VAR 000010 Pre.Admin.7. IW length
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 59 - 66
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

PRE: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

=====
VAR 000011 Pre.Admin.8. IW number
COLUMNS: 67 - 68
Numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01-53

=====
VAR 000012 Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
COLUMNS: 69 - 69
Numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

- 0. VQ dated 8/15
- 1. VQ dated 8/30
- 2. VQ dated 9/5
- 3. VQ dated 9/6
- 4. VQ dated 9/8
- 5. VQ dated 9/25
- 6. VQ dated 9/28
- 7. VQ dated 9/29
- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	6	45	41	183	430

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	103	26	191	338	444

```
=====
VAR 000013    Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file
              MD:   EQ 99
              COLUMNS: 70 - 71
              Numeric
```

Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

- 0. VQ dated 8/15
- 1. VQ dated 8/30
- 2. VQ dated 9/5
- 3. VQ dated 9/6
- 4. VQ dated 9/8
- 5. VQ dated 9/25
- 6. VQ dated 9/28
- 7. VQ dated 9/29
- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19

99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	5	31	34	171	426	101
	6	7	8	9	99	
Count	24	196	342	471	6	

```
=====
VAR 000014    Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
              MD:   EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 72 - 72
              Numeric
```

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

- 0. No change
- 1. Change in VQ

- 9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

	0	1	9
Count	1694	107	6

=====

VAR 000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 73 - 73
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.12.

PRE: Advance letter sent?

- 0. No advance letter sent
- 1. Advance letter sent

- 9. NA

	0	1	9
Count	335	1467	5

=====

VAR 000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount
 MD: EQ 99
 COLUMNS: 74 - 75
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.13.

PRE: Payment amount

- 00. R requested no payment
- 20. Twenty dollars
- 40. Forty dollars

- 99. NA

	0	20	40	99
Count	8	1396	389	14

=====

VAR 000017 Pre.Admin.14. Payment mode
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 76 - 76
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.14.

PRE: Payment mode

- 0. R requested no payment
- 1. Check
- 2. Cash

- 9. NA

	0	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	8	1615	169	15

=====

VAR 000018 Pre.Admin.15. Payment date
 MD: EQ 9999
 COLUMNS: 77 - 80
 Character

Pre-Admin.15.

PRE: Payment date (MMDD)

9999. NA; R requested no payment

=====

VAR 000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
 COLUMNS: 81 - 81
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.16.

PRE: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

	1	5
	-----	-----
Count	161	1646

=====

VAR 000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification
 COLUMNS: 82 - 82
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.17.

PRE: Verification

Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.

Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter (FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the interview, and whether or not the interview was interesting; in addition, 3 questions were asked about content of the interview. NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered a non-match.

- 0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
- 1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
- 2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
- 3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
- 4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
- 5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
- 6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1509	15	246	22
	4	5	6	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	3	10	2	

=====

VAR 000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation
 COLUMNS: 83 - 83
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.18.

PRE: Evaluation

- 0. Not evaluated
- 1. Flagged
- 2. Evaluated
- 3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
- 4. Cannot evaluate

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1694	1	102	10

=====

VAR 000022 Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator
 COLUMNS: 84 - 84
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

- 0. Not a refusal conversion situation
- 1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1534	273

=====
VAR 000023 Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 85 - 85
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.20.

PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

-
- 0. No persuasion letter sent
 - 1. Persuasion letter sent

 - 9. NA

	0	1	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1716	90	1

=====
VAR 000024 Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
 MD: EQ 9999
 COLUMNS: 86 - 89
 Character

Pre-Admin.21.

PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

-
- 9999. No persuasion letter requested

=====
VAR 000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
 MD: EQ 9999
 COLUMNS: 90 - 93
 Character

Pre-Admin.22.

PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

=====
VAR 000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 94 - 94
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.23.

PRE: Type of persuasion letter

-
- 1. A. Too busy
 - 2. B. Too personal
 - 3. C. Not interested
 - 4. D. Too old
 - 5. E. Evasive
 - 6. F. Gatekeeper
 - 7. G. Not at home
- 9. NA
 - 0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

	0	1	2	3
Count	----- 1717	----- 33	----- 4	----- 23
	4	6	7	
Count	----- 4	----- 3	----- 23	

=====
VAR 000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
 COLUMNS: 95 - 96
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.24.

PRE: Number of telephone calls

00-42

=====
VAR 000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
 COLUMNS: 97 - 98
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.25.

PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls

00-22

=====

VAR 000029 Pre.Admin.26. Final result
 COLUMNS: 99 - 99
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.26.

PRE: Final result

- 1. Interview, complete
- 5. Interview, partial

	1	5
	-----	-----
Count	1801	6

=====
VAR 000030 Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
 COLUMNS: 100 - 100
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.27.

Sample release (all 1)

All cases coded 1.

There was a single release of the entire sample.

- 1. Released at start of the field period

	1

Count	1807

=====
VAR 000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)
 COLUMNS: 101 - 101
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.28.

PRE: Language of IW (all English)

All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)

- 1. English

	1

Count	1807

=====
VAR 000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
 COLUMNS: 102 - 102
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.1.

PRE - COVERSHEET:

Flag- missing Coversheet

- 0. Coversheet not missing
- 1. Missing coversheet

	0	1
Count	1783	24

=====

VAR 000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 103 - 103
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.2.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet

FTF coversheets were blue or green.

Telephone coversheets were yellow.

GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the listed address.

- 1. Blue
- 2. Yellow
- 4. Green - FTF type II updating
- 9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

	1	2	4	9
Count	984	794	5	24

=====

VAR 000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 104 - 104
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business,

a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence.
(Which is it?)

- 1. Business
- 2. Home
- 3. Both
- 4. Group headquarters
- 5. Cell phone

- 9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheat.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	5	9
Count	1006	2	735	4	44	16

=====
VAR 000035 Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 105 - 105
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.4.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:

Does anyone live there on the premises?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No.

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheat.3; assigned FTF mode

	0	1
Count	1805	2

=====
VAR 000036 Pre.CSheet.5. PH- bus res has personal#
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 106 - 106
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.5.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:

IF SOMEONE LIVES ON THE PREMISES:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3b:

Do (they/you) have a different phone number inside
(their/your) residence that is for personal use?

- 1. Have other
- 2. Use this

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheat.4; 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheat.3; assigned FTF mode

	0	2
	-----	-----
Count	1805	2

=====

VAR 000037 Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 107 - 107
 Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:

Household listing obtained from:

- 1. HU member
- 2. Neighbor
- 3. Apt. manager
- 4. Landlord
- 5. Observation
- 7. Other (specify)

- 9. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheat.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	980	3	1
	4	7	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	4	17	

=====

VAR 000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 108 - 108
 Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.7.

PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Selection table

The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or

older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.

After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the total number of eligible adults in the household; in the second column (same row) is identified the "Number" of the person who will be the respondent.

There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are randomly assigned. [Note that although only 8 tables are used, there are 12 codes in this variable because the 8 tables are used in cycles of 12; this procedure is used to equalize the probability of selection for each eligible adult]. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables appears below.

PERSONS	PERSON SELECTED (person "Number")								
	A	B1	B2	C	D	E1	E2	F	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	
3	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
4	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	
5	1	2	2	2	4	3	5	5	
6 or more	1	2	2	2	4	5	5	6	

1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F

9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheets.1)

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	274	152	135	304	299
	6	7	8	9	
Count	161	149	309	24	

```
=====
VAR 000039    Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 109 - 109
              Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.

1-7

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	607	989	161	44
	5	6	7	
Count	3	2	1	

=====

VAR 000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 110 - 110
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.9.

PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Number ineligible adults

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

0-6

9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	1743	51	1	1	2	9

=====

VAR 000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
 MD: EQ 99
 COLUMNS: 111 - 112
 Numeric

PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married (30,40, 50-52). Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11,12,21,22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question.

In cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question.

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

- 10. 1 adult male HHR
- 11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 20. 1 adult female HHR
- 21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
- 40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
- 50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
- 51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
- 52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
- 60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
- 65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
- 70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
- 85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
- 90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR
- 99. NA

	10	11	12	20	21
Count	216	25	7	347	15

	22	30	40	50	51
Count	3	879	135	44	6
	55	60	65	70	80
Count	2	32	1	7	50
	85	90	95	99	
Count	1	19	6	12	

=====

VAR 000042 Pre.CSheet.11. R person number

MD: EQ 9

COLUMNS: 113 - 113

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.11.

PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

R person number

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this

variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1107	617	64	12	1	6

=====

VAR 000043 Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

COLUMNS: 114 - 114

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.12.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:

Describe the type of structure in which the respondent

live (sample address)

- 1. Mobile home

2. Detached single family

3. Multi-family

4. Apartment house

5. Condo complex

7. Other (specify)

- 9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheat.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	38	658	47
	4	5	7	9
Count	166	35	32	30

=====

VAR 000044 Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 115 - 115
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.13.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:

Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
- 5. No

- 9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheat.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	5	9
Count	801	36	33	876	61

=====

VAR 000045 Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 116 - 116
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.14.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

- 1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU

2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household

7. Other (specify)

9. NA

0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheat.13; assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	7	9
Count	1738	15	2	47	5

=====
VAR 000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 117 - 117
Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.15.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA

	1	5	9
Count	458	1322	27

=====
VAR 000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 118 - 118
Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):

Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked

5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheat.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	10	342	106

=====
 VAR 000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 119 - 119
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.17.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):

Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 9. NA
 - 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	20	332	106

=====
 VAR 000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 120 - 120
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):

Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 9. NA
 - 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	40	312	106

=====

VAR 000050 Pre.CSheet.19. Contact resist-not interested politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 121 - 121
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):

Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
POLITICS

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 9. NA
 - 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	81	271	106

=====

VAR 000051 Pre.CSheet.20. Contact resist-too busy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 122 - 122
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.20.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):

Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 9. NA
 - 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	125	227	106

=====

VAR 000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 123 - 123
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.21.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):

Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	15	337	106

```
=====
VAR 000053   Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist-other
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 124 - 124
              Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.22.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):

Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	163	189	106

```
=====
VAR 000054   Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
              MD: EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 125 - 125
              Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:

Did R refuse initially?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA

	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	222	1530	55

=====

VAR 000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 126 - 126
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:

Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more

- 9. NA

	0	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1522	200	67	18

=====

VAR 000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 127 - 127
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.25.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:

If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1349	281	97	80

=====

VAR 000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 128 - 128
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.26.

SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

- 1. Yes, some resistance identified
- 5. No resistance identified

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	1	5	9
Count	330	1450	27

=====
VAR 000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist-waste time
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 129 - 129
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):

Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	1	176

=====
VAR 000059 Pre.CSheet.28. R resist-too personal
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 130 - 130
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):

Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked

5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	7	170

```
=====
VAR 000060    Pre.CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 131 - 131
              Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):

Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked

5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	9	168

```
=====
VAR 000061    Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 132 - 132
              Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):

Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	12	165

=====

VAR 000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 133 - 133
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):

Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	21	156

=====

VAR 000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 134 - 134
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.32.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):

Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	3	174

=====

VAR 000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 135 - 135
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.33.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):

Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

-
- 1. Marked
 - 5. Not marked

 - 0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1630	15	162

=====
VAR 000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 136 - 136
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):

What is the estimated income of R's household?

-
- 1. Under \$10,000
 - 2. \$10,000-25,000
 - 3. \$25,000-50,000
 - 4. \$50,000-105,000
 - 5. \$105,000 and above

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	98	202	264
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	249	72	49	72

=====
VAR 000066 Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 137 - 137
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.35.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):

What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

- 1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

Table with 5 columns (0, 1, 2, 3) and 2 rows of counts.

=====
VAR 000067 Pre.CSheet.36. Certainty of race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 138 - 138
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.35

	0	1	5	9
Count	855	915	31	6

=====

VAR 000068 Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 139 - 139
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):

Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000184d, V000184e (Post version of these variables)
 and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	801	84	863	7	52

=====

VAR 000069 Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 140 - 140
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.38.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheat.37

	0	1	5	9
Count	860	911	33	3

=====
VAR 000070 Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
COLUMNS: 141 - 144
Numeric

Pre-IWR.1.

PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

=====
VAR 000071 Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
COLUMNS: 145 - 148
Numeric

Pre-IWR.2.

PRE: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

=====
VAR 000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 149 - 149
Numeric

Pre-IWR.3.

PRE: Interviewer gender

-
- 1. Male
 - 2. Female

 - 9. NA

	1	2
Count	226	1581

=====
VAR 000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 150 - 150
Numeric

Pre-IWR.4.

PRE: Interviewer education

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree
- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D.

9. NA

	3	4	5	6	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	333	574	572	294	34

=====

VAR 000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 151 - 151
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.5.

PRE: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

9. NA

	1	2	7
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1684	100	23

=====

VAR 000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 152 - 152
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.

PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other

9. NA

	0	1	7
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1561	61	185

```
=====
VAR 000076    Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 153 - 153
              Numeric
```

Pre-IWR.7.

PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0. NA

	0

Count	1807

```
=====
VAR 000077    Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
              MD:  EQ 99
              COLUMNS: 154 - 155
              Numeric
```

Pre-IWR.8.

PRE: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	449	385	148	214	22	133
	6	7	8	9	10	11
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	60	64	78	82	38	15
	12	13	14	15	16	17
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	38	13	4	29	12	23

```
=====
VAR 000078    Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 156 - 156
              Numeric
```

Pre-IWR.9.

PRE: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years

- 7. 51-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	113	179	273	314
	5	6	7	8
Count	255	287	133	253

```
=====
VAR 000079    Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
              MD:  EQ 99
              COLUMNS: 157 - 158
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.1.

ICPSR state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

- | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| NEW ENGLAND | 43. Florida |
| 01. Connecticut | 44. Georgia |
| 02. Maine | 45. Louisiana |
| 03. Massachusetts | 46. Mississippi |
| 04. New Hampshire | 47. North Carolina |
| 05. Rhode Island | 48. South Carolina |
| 06. Vermont | 49. Texas |
| MIDDLE ATLANTIC | BORDER STATES |
| 11. Delaware | 51. Kentucky |
| 12. New Jersey | 52. Maryland |
| 13. New York | 53. Oklahoma |
| 14. Pennsylvania | 54. Tennessee |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL | 55. Washington DC |
| 21. Illinois | 56. West Virginia |
| 22. Indiana | MOUNTAIN STATES |
| 23. Michigan | 61. Arizona |
| 24. Ohio | 62. Colorado |
| 25. Wisconsin | 63. Idaho |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL | 64. Montana |
| 31. Iowa | 65. Nevada |
| 32. Kansas | 66. New Mexico |
| 33. Minnesota | 67. Utah |
| 34. Missouri | 68. Wyoming |
| 35. Nebraska | PACIFIC STATES |
| 36. North Dakota | 71. California |
| 37. South Dakota | 72. Oregon |
| SOLID SOUTH | 73. Washington |
| 40. Virginia | EXTERNAL STATES |
| 41. Alabama | 81. Alaska |
| 42. Arkansas | 82. Hawaii |

96. Misidentified location

99. NA

=====
VAR 000080 Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 159 - 160
Numeric

Pre-Sample.2.

FIPS state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

01. Alabama	30. Montana
02. Alaska	31. Nebraska
04. Arizona	32. Nevada
05. Arkansas	33. New Hampshire
06. California	34. New Jersey
08. Colorado	35. New Mexico
09. Connecticut	36. New York
10. Delaware	37. North Carolina
11. Washington DC	38. North Dakota
12. Florida	39. Ohio
13. Georgia	40. Oklahoma
15. Hawaii	41. Oregon
16. Idaho	42. Pennsylvania
17. Illinois	44. Rhode Island
18. Indiana	45. South Carolina
19. Iowa	46. South Dakota
20. Kansas	47. Tennessee
21. Kentucky	48. Texas
22. Louisiana	49. Utah
23. Maine	50. Vermont
24. Maryland	51. Virginia
25. Massachusetts	53. Washington
26. Michigan	54. West Virginia
27. Minnesota	55. Wisconsin
28. Mississippi	56. Wyoming
29. Missouri	

96. Misidentified location

99. NA

=====
VAR 000081 Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD
COLUMNS: 161 - 164
Character

Pre-Sample.3.

2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district
number - interview location

This variable provides the state (2-character Postal abbreviation) and congressional district number of the

location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Pre-election interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this variable.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

```
=====
VAR 000082    Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
              MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
              COLUMNS: 165 - 168
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.4.

2000 FIPS state code and congressional district number -
interview location

FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
last 2 digits.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24
(V000260).

9996. Misidentified location

9999. NA

0000. Washington D.C.

```
=====
VAR 000083    Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
              MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 0
              COLUMNS: 169 - 170
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.5.

Congressional district number - interview location

Codes 1-52.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24
(V000260).

96. Misidentified location

99. NA

00. Washington D.C.

```
=====
VAR 000084    Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
              MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
              COLUMNS: 171 - 174
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.6.

ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview location

ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location

9999. NA

0000. Washington D.C.

=====
VAR 000085 Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 175 - 175
Numeric

Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?

- 0. R voted in state and CD of interview
- 1. R did not vote in state and CD of interview
- 8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3)
- 9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)

	0	1	8	9
Count	1131	22	28	626

=====
VAR 000086 Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
COLUMNS: 176 - 179
Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7)
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====

VAR 000087 Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 180 - 180
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

00000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
 COLUMNS: 181 - 181
 Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

NOTE: This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post-Election C3 (variable V001243), which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent.

It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, the V000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers. This method of imputation has a certain amount of error, and for RDD cases V000087a (together with corresponding county FIPS codes in a temporary restricted variable [V000087t] accessible by special permission) should not be considered as satisfying NES standards. It is the intention of NES staff to provide accurate data for county identification of RDD cases in an additional forthcoming variable (V000087b).

=====
VAR 000088 Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
 COLUMNS: 182 - 201
 Character

Pre-Sample.10.

Primary area name - interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name.

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable.

```
=====
VAR 000089    Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 202 - 204
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.11.

Primary area code - interview location

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. The 44 PSU codes listed below (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order. See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable.

- | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 110. Boston, MA | 230. Milwaukee, WI |
| 120. New York, NY | 239. Wheelng-Steubenvl OH |
| 121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ | 240. Des Moines, IA |
| 122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY | 250. Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA |
| 130. Chicago, IL | 255. Columbus, GA-AL |
| 131. Detroit, MI | 257. Jacksonville, FL |
| 132. Cleveland, OH | 258. Lakeld-Wntr Haven FL |
| 141. St Louis, MO-IL | 260. Knoxville, TN |
| 150. Washington, DC-MD-VA | 262. Birmingham, AL |
| 152. Baltimore, MD | 273. Waco, TX |
| 154. Miami-Hialeh, FL | 274. McAllen, TX |
| 170. Houston, TX | 280. Salt Lake C-Ogden UT |
| 171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX | 292. Fresno, CA |
| 181. Denver, CO | 293. Eugene-Springfld, OR |
| 190. Los Angeles, CA | 434. Saginaw, MI |
| 191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA | 464. Gardner, MA |
| 194. Anaheim, CA | 466. Decatur Co., IN |
| 196. San Francisco, CA | 470. Mower Co., MN |
| 211. New Haven, CT | 474. DeSoto Parish, LA |
| 213. Manchester-Nashua NH | 477. Chicot Co., AR |
| 220. Buffalo, NY | 480. Montgomery Co., VA |
| 226. Atlantic City, NJ | 482. El Dorado Co., CA |

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

```
=====
VAR 000090    Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 205 - 205
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.12.

Segment number (blanked) - interview location

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

3-digit code and:

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

VAR 000090a Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)

 COLUMNS: 206 - 206

 Character

Pre-Sample.13.

Segment name (blanked) - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

VAR 000091 Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units

 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

 COLUMNS: 207 - 207

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.14.

Number of household unit

Codes 1-5 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Phone IW

	0	1	2	3	5	9
Count	801	983	2	3	1	17

=====

VAR 000092 Pre.Sample.15. Census region

 COLUMNS: 208 - 208

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.15.

Census region - interview location

- 1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
- 2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
- 3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)

4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

	1	2	3	4
Count	316	450	657	384

```
=====
VAR 000093    Pre.Sample.16. Belt code
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 209 - 209
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.16.

Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix

CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th edition).

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, segment level data, including this variable, could not be provided.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSAs/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city
0. Telephone sample (RDD)

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	99	185	168
	4	5	6	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	241	264	49	

```

=====
VAR 000094   Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
              COLUMNS: 210 - 213
              Numeric

```

Pre-Sample.17.

Population in 1000s - interview location

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

Actual population in 1000s and:

- 9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
- 9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
- 0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

```

=====
VAR 000095   Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 214 - 215
              Numeric

```

Pre-Sample.18.

Census size of place - interview location

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.

Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in

El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worcester MA MSA.

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, segment level data, including this variable, could not be provided.

THE SIX LARGEST CMSAs PLUS THE 15 NEXT LARGEST CMSAs/
MSAs (I.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6])

11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST
20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population
21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)
22. 10,000-29,999
23. 30,000-49,999
24. 50,000-99,999
25. 100,000-149,999
26. 150,000-349,999
27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11
CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN
CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):
30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities
31. 50,000-99,999
32. 100,000-149,999
33. 150,000-349,999
34. 350,000 and over

SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000

40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)
41. 2,500-9,999
42. 10,000-29,999
43. 30,000-49,999
44. 50,000-99,999
45. 100,000-149,999
46. 150,000 and over

"NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"

50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
51. 2,500-9,999
52. 10,000-29,999
53. 30,000-49,999

00. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 216 - 216
Numeric

Pre-Sample.19.

Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent

- 0. Enumeration district
- 1. Tracted

- 9. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
 COLUMNS: 217 - 219
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.20.

2000 Sampling Error code

See Sampling documentation in this codebook.

The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

=====
VAR 000098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
 COLUMNS: 220 - 223
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.21.

2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

- 360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
- 520. Atlanta, GA
- 560. Atlantic City, NJ
- 720. Baltimore, MD
- 875. Bergen-Passiac, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040 Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
- 1000. Birmingham, AL
- 1123. Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
- 1125. Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
- 1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
- 1280. Buffalo, NY
- 1600. Chicago, IL
- 1680. Cleveland OH
- 1800. Columbus, GA-AL
- 1920. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
- 2000. Dayton, OH
- 2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
- 2120. Des Moines, IA
- 2160. Detroit, MI

2400. Eugene-Springfield, OR
 2760. Fort Wayne, IN
 2800. Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
 2840. Fresno, CA
 3000. Grand Rapids, MI
 3360. Houston, TX
 3600. Jacksonville, FL
 3760. Kansas City, MO-KS
 3840. Knoxville, TN
 3965. Lake County, IL PMSA
 3980. Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
 4480. Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
 4720. Madison WI
 4763. Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
 4880. McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh, TX
 5000. Miami, FL
 5015. Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA (former code and
 title: 5460 New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville NJ)
 5080. Milwaukee, WI
 5120. Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
 5350. Nashua, NH PMSA
 5380. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
 5480. New Haven-West Haven, CT
 5483. New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
 5600. New York, NY-NJ
 5640. Newark, NJ
 5700. Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
 5775. Oakland, CA PMSA
 6160. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
 6200. Phoenix, AZ
 6280. Pittsburgh, PA
 6453. Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
 6760. Richmond, VA
 6780. Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
 6920. Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
 6960. Saginaw, MI
 7040. St. Louis, MO-IL
 7160. Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
 7360. San Francisco-Oakland, CA
 7600. Seattle-Everett, WA
 8003. Springfield, MA NECMA
 8080. Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV
 8200. Tacoma, WA
 8800. Waco, TX
 8840. Washington, DC-MD-VA
 9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
 9243. Worcester-Fitchburn-Leominster, MA NECMA

 0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
 9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
 VAR 000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 224 - 225
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.22.

2000 CMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

- 07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
- 10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
- 14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
- 28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
- 31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added)
- 34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in)
- 35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
- 42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
- 49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
- 56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
- 63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
- 70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
- 77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
- 78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
- 84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
- 91. Seattle-Tacoma WA

- 96. Telephone sample (RDD)
- 00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32 (Dayton Springfield, OH) which is no longer consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are combined in a single MSA in 1990)

```
=====
VAR 000100    Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
              COLUMNS: 226 - 226
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.23.

2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census tract number and:

- 000.00 Not tracted
- 996.00 Telephone sample (RDD)

```
=====
VAR 000101    Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
              COLUMNS: 227 - 227
              Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.24.

2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census tract number and:

000.00 Not tracted; no 2nd tract
996.00 Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000102 Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 228 - 228
Numeric

Pre-Sample.25.

2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Block number and:

99. Not tracted
96. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000103 Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 229 - 229
Numeric

Pre-Sample.26.

2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Block number and:

99. Not tracted; no 2nd tract
96. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

VAR 000104 Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 230 - 230
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.27.

2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Minor Civil Division number and:

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000105 Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
 COLUMNS: 231 - 231
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.28.

2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census Designated Place Code and:

0000. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. Not a Census Designated place

=====
VAR 000106 Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 232 - 232
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.29.

2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

FIPS Place code and:

0000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====
VAR 000107 Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 233 - 240
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.1.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 241 - 248
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000109 Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 249 - 256
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.3.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000110 Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 257 - 264
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.4.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000111 Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 265 - 272
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.5.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

=====
VAR 000112 Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 273 - 280
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 281 - 288
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000114 Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 289 - 296
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000115 Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 297 - 304
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000116 Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 305 - 312
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.10.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000117 Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 313 - 320
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.11.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000118 Pre.Summary.12. Pre timing - section N
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 321 - 328
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.12.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 329 - 336
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.13.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000120 Pre.Summary.14. Pre timing - section Q
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 337 - 344
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.14.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000121 Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 345 - 352
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.15.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000122 Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 353 - 360
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000123 Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 361 - 368
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000124 Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 369 - 376
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

VAR 000125 Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 377 - 384
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
VAR 000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 385 - 385
Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview

See Post-Admin.1a.

5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF, used telephone logic in the interview.

1. Personal
5. Telephone
7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone but administered as Personal interview

0. No post IW

	0	1	5	7
Count	252	693	857	5

=====
VAR 000126a Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
COLUMNS: 386 - 386
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----
Count	805	802	200

=====

VAR 000127a Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 387 - 387
 Numeric

Post-Admin.2a.

POST: Form - section H administered in Post

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H. Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E V000398-V000406]
1. Section H administered in Post [V000410-V000417]

9. No Post IW

	0	1	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	790	765	252

=====

VAR 000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 388 - 388
 Numeric

Post-Admin.2b.

POST: Form - K2 format

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [V001446a-V001454]. NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (V000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
1. K2a-K2d administered with probes

9. No post IW

	0	1	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1025	530	252

=====
VAR 000128 Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 389 - 390
Numeric

Post-Admin.3.

POST: Month of interview

11. November

12. December

00. No Post IW

	0	11	12
Count	252	1147	408

=====
VAR 000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 391 - 392
Numeric

Post-Admin.4.

POST: Day of interview

Coded 1-31 and:

0. No Post IW

=====
VAR 000130 Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS: 393 - 396
Character

Post-Admin.5.

POST: Month and day (MMDD)

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA

=====
VAR 000131 Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 397 - 398
Numeric

Post-Admin.6.

POST: Number of days after election

96. INAP, no Post IW

99. NA

=====
VAR 000132 Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 399 - 399
Numeric

Post-Admin.7.

POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession

Gore concession: December 13, 2000

- 0. Before
- 1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
- 2. After

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	9
Count	1462	16	77	252

=====
VAR 000133 Post.Admin.8. IW length
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 400 - 407
Numeric DEC 2

Post-Admin.8.

POST: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW

000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 408 - 409
Numeric

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

- 99. NA
- 00. INAP, no Post Interview

=====
VAR 000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 410 - 410
Numeric

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

0. No Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	140	351	13
	4	5	6	
Count	201	282	568	

=====
VAR 000136 Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 411 - 411
Numeric

Post-Admin.11.

POST: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

0. INAP, partial IW; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	105	352	13
	4	5	6	
Count	158	318	605	

=====
VAR 000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 412 - 412
Numeric

Post-Admin.12.

POST: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1429	122	256

=====
VAR 000138 Post.Admin.13. Release
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 413 - 413
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST: Release

Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15
3. Release 3 - November 21.

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

	1	2	3	9
Count	1147	319	340	1

=====

VAR 000139 Post.Admin.14. Payment amt
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 414 - 415
Numeric

Post-Admin.14.

POST: Payment to R - amount

-
- 00. R requested no payment
 - 20. Twenty dollars
 - 40. Forty dollars
 - 96. INAP, no Post IW
 - 99. NA

	0	20	96	99
Count	4	1525	252	26

=====

VAR 000139a Post.Admin.14a. Interviewer incentive
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 416 - 416
Numeric

Post-Admin.14a.

INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE

Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid \$10 for each completed interview.

- 0. Post interview date not in incentive period
- 1. Post interview date in incentive period.
- 9. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1236	319	252

=====

VAR 000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 417 - 417
Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

POST: Payment to R - mode

-
- 0. R requested no payment
 - 1. Check
 - 2. Cash
 - 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	9
Count	4	1511	14	278

```

=====
VAR 000141    Post.Admin.16. Payment date
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
              COLUMNS: 418 - 421
              Character
  
```

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

```

=====
VAR 000142    Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 422 - 422
              Numeric
  
```

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	5
Count	252	78	1477

```

=====
VAR 000143    Post.Admin.18. Verification
              MD: EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 423 - 423
              Numeric
  
```

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification

See notes in Pre-Admin.17 (V000020).

- 0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
- 1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
- 2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
- 3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)

4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1332	55	142	18
	5	6	9	
Count	4	2	254	

```
=====
VAR 000144    Post.Admin.19. Evaluation
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 424 - 424
              Numeric
```

Post-Admin.19.

POST: Evaluation

0. Not evaluated
1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate

9. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	9
Count	1477	1	77	252

```
=====
VAR 000145    Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS: 425 - 425
              Numeric
```

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on.

Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

- 0. Not a refusal conversion situation
- 1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1529	26	252

=====
VAR 000146 Post.Admin.21. Persuasion letter
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 426 - 426
Numeric

Post-Admin.21.

POST: Persuasion letter - flag

- 0. No persuasion letter sent
- 1. Persuasion letter sent

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1517	95	195

=====
VAR 000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS: 427 - 430
Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

=====
VAR 000148 Post.Admin.23. Date sent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS: 431 - 434
Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

=====

VAR 000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 435 - 435
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter

-
- 1. A. Too busy
 - 2. B. Too personal
 - 3. C. Not interested
 - 4. D. Too old
 - 5. E. Evasive
 - 6. F. Gatekeeper
 - 7. G. Not at home

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1712	9	28	51	7

=====
VAR 000150 Post.Admin.25. Tel calls
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 436 - 437
Numeric

Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls

-
- 99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
VAR 000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 438 - 439
Numeric

Post-Admin.26.

POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls

-
- 99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
VAR 000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result
COLUMNS: 440 - 441
Numeric

Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code

01 IW complete
 05 IW partial

51 Refusal by R
 52 Refusal by person other than R
 54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
 61 No contact
 63 Noninterview, permanent reason
 66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
 67 Noninterview, other reason
 68 Could not Locate R

	1	5	51	52	54
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1551	4	99	5	20
	61	63	66	67	68
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	23	5	1	79	20

=====
 VAR 000153 Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
 COLUMNS: 442 - 445
 Character

Post-Admin.28.

POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)

 '0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

=====
 VAR 000154 Post.Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 446 - 446
 Numeric

Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch

 Built from V000004 and V000126.

Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered by phone in the Post.

1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone

0. INAP, no post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	698	693	164

=====
 VAR 000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)
 COLUMNS: 447 - 447
 Numeric

Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)

All interviews were conducted entirely in English

1. English

	1

Count	1807

=====
 VAR 000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
 COLUMNS: 448 - 448
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:

Flag- missing CS

 0. Coversheet not missing
 1. Coversheet missing

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1780	27

=====
 VAR 000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 449 - 449
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet

Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.

NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

1. Blue
 2. Yellow
 3. Pink

9. NA
 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	27	936	831	13

=====
VAR 000158 Post.CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 450 - 450
Numeric

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	27	1512	41	227

=====
VAR 000159 Post.CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 451 - 451
Numeric

Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:

New phone number available

- 1. Available
- 2. Not available

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

	0	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1793	8	2	4

=====
VAR 000160 Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 452 - 452
Numeric

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:

Address is:

STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Out of range
- 3. Within range
- 5. Can't find

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-CSheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); Phone mode

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1780	1	17	7	2

=====
VAR 000161 Post-CSheet.6. FTF type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 453 - 453
Numeric

Post-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:

Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Mobile home
- 2. Detached single family
- 3. Multi-family
- 4. Apartment house
- 5. Condo complex
- 7. Other (specify)

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	926	26	501	31
	4	5	7	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	106	35	24	158

=====

VAR 000162 Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 454 - 454
Numeric

Post-CSheet.7.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:

Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	898	58	21	637	193

=====

VAR 000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 455 - 455
Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the buiding (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheets.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	7	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1728	10	2	35	32

```
=====
VAR 000164    Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 456 - 456
              Numeric
```

Post-Csheets.9.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-Csheets.1)

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	27	222	1454	104

```
=====
VAR 000165    Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 457 - 457
              Numeric
```

Post-Csheets.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):

Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-Csheets.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	10	202	10

=====
VAR 000166 Post-Csheets.11. Contact resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 458 - 458
Numeric

Post-Csheets.11.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):

Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-Csheets.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	2	210	10

=====
VAR 000167 Post-Csheets.12. Contact resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 459 - 459
Numeric

Post-Csheets.12.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):

Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	1	211	10

=====
VAR 000168 Post-CSheet.13. Contact resist-not interested politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 460 - 460
Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):

Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	20	192	10

=====
VAR 000169 Post-CSheet.14. Contact resist-too busy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 461 - 461
Numeric

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):

Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	91	122	9

=====
VAR 000170 Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 462 - 462
Numeric

Post-CSheet.15.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):

Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1585	16	196	10

=====
VAR 000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 463 - 463
Numeric

Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):

Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1585	111	107	4

=====
VAR 000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 464 - 464
Numeric

Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:

Did R refuse initially?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	27	98	1573	109

=====
VAR 000173 Post-CSheet.18. R break appts
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 465 - 465
Numeric

Post-CSheet.18.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:

Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more

- 8. NA
- 9. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	2
Count	1444	273	90

=====
VAR 000174 Post-CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 466 - 466
Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:

If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	152	15	55

=====
VAR 000175 Post-CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 467 - 467
Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	131	152	1454	70

=====
VAR 000176 Post-CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 468 - 468
Numeric

Post-CSheet.21.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):

Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

=====
VAR 000177 Post.CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 469 - 469
Numeric

Post-CSheet.22.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):

Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheetsheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

=====
VAR 000178 Post.CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 470 - 470
Numeric

Post-CSheet.23.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):

Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheetsheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

=====
VAR 000179 Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 471 - 471
Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):

Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
	-----	-----
Count	1792	15

=====

VAR 000180 Post-CSheet.25. R resist- too busy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 472 - 472
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):

Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
	-----	-----
Count	1792	15

=====

VAR 000181 Post-CSheet.26. R resist- health
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 473 - 473
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.26.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):

Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

=====
VAR 000182 Post-CSheet.27. R resist- other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 474 - 474
Numeric

Post-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):

Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

=====
VAR 000183 Post-CSheet.28. Ever make contact (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 475 - 475
Numeric

Post-CSheet.28.

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:

Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this housing unit?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5
Count	12	1760	35

=====

VAR 000183a Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 476 - 476
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.28a.

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:

Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.28; noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	12	1722	70	3

=====

VAR 000184 Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 477 - 477
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.29.

IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:

What was the reason for no contact?

- 1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
- 2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
- 3. Nobody answered door but think someone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
- 4. Could not obtain access to HU
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	2	3
Count	1770	14	1	1
	4	7	9	
Count	1	15	5	

=====

VAR 000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 478 - 478
Numeric

Post-CSheet.30.

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):

What is the estimated income of R's household?

1. Under \$10,000
2. \$10,000-25,000
3. \$25,000-50,000
4. \$50,000-105,000
5. \$105,000 and above

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	98	201	263
	4	5	8	9
Count	247	72	49	76

=====

VAR 000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 479 - 479
Numeric

Post-CSheet.31.

IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):

What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. American Indian
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	759	133	4
	4	7	8	9
Count	17	36	2	55

=====
VAR 000184c Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 480 - 480
Numeric

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheets.31

	0	1	5	9
Count	858	912	31	6

=====
VAR 000184d Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 481 - 481
Numeric

Post-CSheet.33.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):

Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000068, V000069 (Pre version of these variables)
ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	801	85	860	6	55

=====

VAR 000184e Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 482 - 482
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheets.37

	0	1	5	9
Count	862	908	33	4

=====

VAR 000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
 MD: EQ 9999
 COLUMNS: 483 - 486
 Numeric

Post-IWR.1.

POST: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

9999. NA

```

=====
VAR 000186    Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
              MD:  EQ 9999
              COLUMNS:  487 - 490
              Numeric

```

Post-IWR.2.

POST: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

9999. NA

```

=====
VAR 000187    Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS:  491 - 491
              Numeric

```

Post-IWR.3.

POST: Interviewer gender

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	1	2	9
Count	200	1606	1

```

=====
VAR 000188    Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS:  492 - 492
              Numeric

```

Post-IWR.4.

POST: Interviewer education

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree

- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D.

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	3	4	5	6	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	385	596	533	254	39

=====

VAR 000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 493 - 493
 Numeric

Post-IWR.5.

POST: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	1	2	7
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1733	46	28

=====

VAR 000190 Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 494 - 494
 Numeric

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other

- 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	7
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1577	67	163

=====

VAR 000191 Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
 COLUMNS: 495 - 495
 Numeric

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0

Count 1807

=====

VAR 000192 Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 496 - 497
Numeric

Post-IWR.8.

POST: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	445	391	161	205	20	176
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	66	68	36	68	37	28
	12	13	14	15	17	99
Count	42	19	3	29	12	1

=====

VAR 000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 498 - 498
Numeric

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	114	187	260	297	255

	6	7	8	9
Count	311	142	240	1

```

=====
VAR 000194   Post.Cand.1. House race type
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
             COLUMNS: 499 - 500
             Numeric

```

Post-Cand.1.

House race type

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99 NA
00 Washington DC

	0	12	13	14	19
Count	4	632	3	111	12

	21	23	24	29	31
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	717	9	126	8	3
	32	55	65	96	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	25	152	3	1

=====
VAR 000194a Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
COLUMNS: 501 - 520
Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
VAR 000194b Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 521 - 522
Numeric

Post-Cand.1b.

Retiring House Representative code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
- 42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

	0	41	42	96	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1626	25	152	3	1

=====
VAR 000194c Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 523 - 523
Numeric

Post-Cand.1c.

Retiring House Representative gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

9. NA

0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	1626	141	36	3	1

=====

VAR 000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 524 - 525
Numeric

Post-Cand.1d.

Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of
interview

- 30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
- 31. Democratic candidate in open race
- 32. Republican candidate in open race
- 33. Democratic incumbent
- 34. Republican incumbent
- 35. Democratic challenger
- 36. Republican challenger
- 39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. Washington DC

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	4	42	135	753	823

	35	36	39	99
Count	37	5	4	4

=====

VAR 000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
COLUMNS: 526 - 527
Numeric

Post-Cand.1e.

Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of
interview

- 09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
- 08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
- 11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13 Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14 Republican Senate incumbent
- 15 Democratic Senate challenger
- 16 Republican Senate challenger

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
 00. No race in state; Washington CD

	0	11	12	13	14	15
Count	417	251	5	420	411	159
	16	31	33	35	99	
Count	91	2	36	11	4	

=====
 VAR 000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 528 - 528
 Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.

Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's
 district

 1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning
 margin of the elected candidate.

- 1. Least competitive
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. Most competitive

9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1);
 Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	375	300	361	362	401	8

=====
 VAR 000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 529 - 529
 Numeric

Post-Cand.1g.

Open seat in R's district in 2000?

- 0. NO
- 1. YES

 9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
 Washington D.C.

	0	1	9
Count	1697	102	8

=====

VAR 000195 Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name
 COLUMNS: 530 - 557
 Character

Post-Cand.2.

House Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
 are blank in this variable.

=====
 VAR 000196 Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 558 - 559
 Numeric

Post-Cand.3.

House Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Democratic candidate; Washington DC

	0	31	33	35	96	99
Count	140	180	758	725	3	1

=====
 VAR 000197 Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 560 - 560
 Numeric

Post-Cand.4.

House Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	140	1367	296	3	1

=====
VAR 000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
COLUMNS: 561 - 583
Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
VAR 000199 Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 584 - 585
Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

99. NA

00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

	0	32	34	36	96	99
Count	121	178	860	644	3	1

=====
VAR 000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 586 - 586
Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

9. NA

0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	121	1574	108	3	1

=====
 VAR 000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
 COLUMNS: 587 - 606
 Character

Post-Cand.8.

House Independent/third party candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
 are blank in this variable.

=====
 VAR 000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 607 - 608
 Numeric

Post-Cand.9.

House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

	0	30	39	96	99
Count	1767	32	4	3	1

=====
 VAR 000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
 COLUMNS: 609 - 619
 Character

Post-Cand.9a.

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

=====
 VAR 000203 Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 620 - 620
 Numeric

Post-Cand.10.

House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1767	36	3	1

=====

VAR 000204 Post.Cand.11. Senate race type
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 621 - 622
 Numeric

Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

STATES WITH SENATE RACE	STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE
Arizona	Alabama
California	Arkansas
Connecticut	Colorado
Delaware	Idaho
Florida	Illinois
Georgia	Iowa
Indiana	Kansas
Maine	Kentucky
Maryland	Louisiana
Massachusetts	New Hampshire
Michigan	North Carolina
Minnesota	Oklahoma
Mississippi	Oregon
Missouri	South Carolina
Montana	South Dakota
Nebraska	
Nevada	
New Jersey	
New Mexico	
New York	
North Dakota	
Ohio	
Pennsylvania	
Rhode Island	
Tennessee	
Texas	
Utah	
Vermont	
Virginia	
Washington	
West Virginia	
Wisconsin	
Wyoming	

 For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
- 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
- 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
- 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
- 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE

- 81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
- 82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
- 85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
- 96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99 NA
- 00 Washington DC

	0	12	19	21	23
Count	4	256	255	584	13
	24	55	69	81	82
Count	22	164	92	58	165
	85	96	99		
Count	190	3	1		

=====
VAR 000205 Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
COLUMNS: 623 - 644
Character

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
VAR 000206 Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 645 - 646
Numeric

Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	11	13	15	96	99
Count	452	256	511	584	3	1

=====
VAR 000207 Post.Cand.14. Senate Democr cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 647 - 647
Numeric

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	452	924	427	3	1

=====

VAR 000208 Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name
 COLUMNS: 648 - 661
 Character

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

 3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
 are blank in this variable.

=====

VAR 000209 Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 662 - 663
 Numeric

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code

 For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 16. Republican Senate challenger

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	12	14	16	96	99
Count	417	256	619	511	3	1

=====

VAR 000210 Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 664 - 664
 Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender

 For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA

0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	417	1245	141	3	1

=====

VAR 000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
COLUMNS: 665 - 678
Character

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====

VAR 000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 679 - 680
Numeric

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
Washington DC

	0	10	96	99
Count	1443	360	3	1

=====

VAR 000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
COLUMNS: 681 - 691
Character

Post-Cand.19a.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====

VAR 000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 692 - 692
Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	1443	109	251	3	1

=====
VAR 000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
COLUMNS: 693 - 706
Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
VAR 000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 707 - 708
Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	8	96	99
Count	1612	191	3	1

=====
 VAR 000215a Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
 COLUMNS: 709 - 719
 Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
 are blank in this variable.

=====
 VAR 000216 Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 720 - 720
 Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
 this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
 no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	2	8	9
Count	1612	191	3	1

=====
 VAR 000217 Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
 COLUMNS: 721 - 735
 Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have
 the exact CD of outside vote identified.

=====
 VAR 000218 Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 736 - 737
 Numeric

Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger

- 96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	31	33	35	99
Count	1785	3	9	2	8

=====

VAR 000219 Post.Cand.26. Outside Hse Dem cand gendr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 738 - 738
 Numeric

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	1	2	9
Count	1785	11	3	8

=====

VAR 000220 Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name
 COLUMNS: 739 - 754
 Character

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

=====

VAR 000221 Post.Cand.28. Outside Hse Rep cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 755 - 756
 Numeric

Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger

- 96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	32	34	36	99
Count	1785	3	2	9	8

=====
VAR 000222 Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 757 - 757
Numeric

Post-Cand.29.

House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	1	2	9
Count	1785	11	3	8

=====
VAR 000223 Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name
COLUMNS: 758 - 758
Character

Post-Cand.30.

House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

=====
VAR 000224 Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 759 - 760
Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote
outside CD of IW

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

- 96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	96	99
Count	1785	14	8

=====
VAR 000225 Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 761 - 761
Numeric

Post-Cand.32.

House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote
outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	8	9
Count	1785	14	8

=====
VAR 000226 Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 762 - 763
Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER

24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. Washington DC
 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
 have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	12	21	55	65	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1785	9	2	1	2	8

=====

VAR 000226a Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 764 - 765
 Numeric

Post-Cand.33a.

Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER

34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE

81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

 96. Washington DC
 99. R vote in another district but not another state
 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	12	19	21
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1785	2	1	3
	69	85	99	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	3	12	

=====
 VAR 000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
 COLUMNS: 766 - 781
 Character

Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of
 IW

 This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

=====
 VAR 000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 782 - 783
 Numeric

Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of
IW

- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger

- 96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	11	13	15	96	99
Count	1785	1	3	3	3	12

=====
VAR 000229 Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 784 - 784
Numeric

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state
of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

	0	1	2	9
Count	1797	4	3	3

=====
VAR 000230 Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name
COLUMNS: 785 - 797
Character

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of
IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

=====
VAR 000231 Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 798 - 799
Numeric

Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of
IW

- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 16. Republican Senate challenger

- 96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	12	14	16	96	99
Count	1785	1	3	3	3	12

=====
VAR 000232 Post.Cand.39. Outside Sen Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 800 - 800
Numeric

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state
of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

	0	1	9
Count	1797	7	3

=====
VAR 000233 Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
COLUMNS: 801 - 814
Character

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or
if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside'
state.

=====
VAR 000234 Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 815 - 816
Numeric

Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent

96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	10	96	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1785	2	8	12

=====
VAR 000235 Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Ind1 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 817 - 817
Numeric

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

	0	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1797	1	1	8

=====
VAR 000236 Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name
COLUMNS: 818 - 831
Character

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or
if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in
'outside' state.

=====
VAR 000237 Post.Cand.44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 832 - 833
Numeric

Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
- 96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	8	96	99
Count	1785	1	9	12

=====
VAR 000238 Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 834 - 834
Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

	0	2	9
Count	1797	1	9

=====
VAR 000239 Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 835 - 842
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====

VAR 000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 843 - 850
Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 851 - 858
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 859 - 866
Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000243 Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 867 - 874
Numeric

Post-Summary.5.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000244 Post.Summary.6. Post timing - section F
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 875 - 882
Numeric

Post-Summary.6.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000245 Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 883 - 890
Numeric

Post-Summary.7.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000246 Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 891 - 898
Numeric

Post-Summary.8.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

=====
VAR 000247 Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 899 - 906
Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000248 Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 907 - 914
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 915 - 922
Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 923 - 930
Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 931 - 938
Numeric

Post-Summary.13.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000252 Post.Summary.14. Post timing - section P
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 939 - 946
Numeric

Post-Summary.14.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000253 Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 947 - 954
Numeric

Post-Summary.15.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000254 Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 955 - 962
Numeric

Post-Summary.16.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000255 Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 963 - 970
Numeric

Post-Summary.17.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000256 Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 971 - 978
Numeric

Post-Summary.18.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000257 Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 979 - 986
Numeric

Post-Summary.19.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000258 Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 987 - 994
Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000259 Post.Summary.21. Post timing - section Z
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 995 - 1002
Numeric

Post-Summary.21.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
VAR 000260 Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1003 - 1003
Numeric

Post-Summary.22.

POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?

NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs 900,1016,1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. V000079, V000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated

with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

- 0. No misidentification of CD
 - 1. Wrong CD identified (administered)
9. No Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1553	3	251

=====
VAR 000261 Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1004 - 1004
Numeric

Post-Summary.23.

POST: Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

- 0. No error in Preload
 - 1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
 - 2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)
9. No Post IW

	0	1	2	9
Count	1550	3	3	251

=====
VAR 000262 Post.Summary.24. Flag-Post rand failure
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1005 - 1005
Numeric

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). The randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

- 0. No randomization failure
 - 1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview
9. No Post IW

	0	1	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1051	504	252

=====

VAR 000301 A1. Attention R paid to campaigns
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1006 - 1006
 Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
- 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
- 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	525	886	396

=====

VAR 000302 A2. Does R care about Pres election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1007 - 1007
 Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

- 1. CARE A GOOD DEAL
- 3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	8
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1402	397	8

=====

VAR 000303 A3. Did R vote in 1996 election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1008 - 1008
 Numeric

A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as an independent candidate.

Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

 [DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

- 1. YES, VOTED
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE --> SKIP TO A4/A5
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP A4/A5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1264	530	12	1

=====

VAR 000304 A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1009 - 1009
 Numeric

A3a.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

 [DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

- 1. BILL CLINTON
- 3. BOB DOLE
- 5. ROSS PEROT
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	543	665	408	138
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	27	9	17	

.....

A4.

(Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.)

```
=====
VAR 000305    A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1010 - 1010
              Numeric
```

A4a.

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A4c
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	912	878	13	4

```
=====
VAR 000306    A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9995
              COLUMNS: 1011 - 1014
              Numeric
```

A4b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA

9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

=====
VAR 000307 A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1015 - 1018
 Numeric

A4b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000308 A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1019 - 1022
 Numeric

A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====

VAR 000309 A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1023 - 1026
 Numeric

A4b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000310 A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1027 - 1030
 Numeric

A4b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000311 A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1031 - 1031
 Numeric

A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> A5a Or A6

- 8. Don't Know --> A5a Or A6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	860	934	10	3

=====
VAR 000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
 COLUMNS: 1032 - 1035
 Numeric

A4d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

=====

VAR 000313 A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1036 - 1039
 Numeric

A4d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000314 A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1040 - 1043
 Numeric

A4d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000315 A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1044 - 1047
 Numeric

A4d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000316 A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1048 - 1051
 Numeric

A4d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000317 A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1052 - 1052
 Numeric

A5a.

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW

Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A5c
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A5c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	822	970	13	2

```
=====
VAR 000318    A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
              COLUMNS: 1053 - 1056
              Numeric
```

A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

```
=====
VAR 000319    A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 1057 - 1060
              Numeric
```

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
further mentions

=====

VAR 000320 A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1061 - 1064
 Numeric

A5b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
further mentions

=====

VAR 000321 A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1065 - 1068
 Numeric

A5b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=====

VAR 000322 A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1069 - 1072
Numeric

A5b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=====

VAR 000323 A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1073 - 1073
Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	885	899	20	3

=====

VAR 000324 A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1074 - 1077
Numeric

A5d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c

=====
VAR 000325 A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1078 - 1081
Numeric

A5d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000326 A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1082 - 1085
Numeric

A5d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1086 - 1089
Numeric

A5d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
VAR 000328 A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1090 - 1093
Numeric

A5d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====

VAR 000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1094 - 1094
 Numeric

A6.

How many days in the past week did you watch the
national network news on TV?

- 0. NONE --> SKIP TO A7
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	483	165	201	179	99
	5	6	7	8	
Count	135	27	515	3	

=====

VAR 000330 A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1095 - 1095
 Numeric

A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news
shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal,
quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

How much attention do you pay to news on national news
shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal,
quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	486	235	364	513	190	19

=====

VAR 000331 A7. Days R watched early local news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1096 - 1096
 Numeric

A7.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?

0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	562	110	176	140
	4	5	6	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	115	163	22	519

=====

VAR 000332 A8. Days R watched late local news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1097 - 1097
 Numeric

A8.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows in the late evening?

0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS

- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	727	130	182	157	106
	5	6	7	8	
Count	127	39	338	1	

=====

VAR 000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1098 - 1098
 Numeric

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
 How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	327	192	316	558	347	67

=====

VAR 000334 A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1099 - 1099
 Numeric

A9.

Do you have either cable or satellite television?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5
	-----	-----
Count	1418	389

=====

VAR 000335 A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1100 - 1100
 Numeric

A10.

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?

- 0. NONE --> SKIP TO A11
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	468	216	181	130
	4	5	6	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	74	92	36	610

=====

VAR 000336 A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1101 - 1101
 Numeric

A10a.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A11

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10

	0	1	5	8
Count	468	790	548	1

=====
 VAR 000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1102 - 1102
 Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:
 IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

FTF:
 (Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
 How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
 about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
 a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
 How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
 about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
 a bit, some, very little, or none?

-
1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. QUITE A BIT
 3. SOME
 4. VERY LITTLE
 5. NONE

 8. DON'T KNOW
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A10a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1017	121	202	352	107	8

=====
 VAR 000338 A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1103 - 1103
 Numeric

A11.

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates
 on television this fall?

-
1. Yes
 5. No

 8. Don't Know
 9. RF
 0. NA

	1	5	8
Count	1377	427	3

```

=====
VAR 000339    A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1104 - 1104
              Numeric

```

A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling his job as president?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1177	565	55	10

```

=====
VAR 000340    A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1105 - 1105
              Numeric

```

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	65	1145	587	8	2

```

=====
VAR 000341    A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1106 - 1106
              Numeric

```

A12x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling his job as president?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from A12 and A12a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	745	425	162	400	63	12

=====

VAR 000342 B1. Did R care about House election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1107 - 1107
 Numeric

B1.

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
3. NOT VERY MUCH
4. NOT AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	501	669	475	155	7

=====

VAR 000343 B2. Does R remember names of House cand's
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1108 - 1108
 Numeric

B2.

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Washington D.C.

	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----
Count	526	1277	4

```
=====
VAR 000344    B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
              COLUMNS: 1109 - 1110
              Numeric
```

B2a.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

	0	31	32	33	34
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1281	6	15	104	133
	35	36	97	98	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	8	11	234	15	

```
=====
VAR 000345    B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1111 - 1111
              Numeric
```

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

-
1. Democrat
 2. Republican
 7. Other Party (specify)

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1281	245	238	20	23

=====

VAR 000346 B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1112 - 1112
 Numeric

B2ax1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

-
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
 3. Other (30,39)
 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)

 8. DK name but know party
 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

	0	1	2	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1281	118	159	234	15

=====

VAR 000347 B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1113 - 1113
 Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

- 1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2

	0	1	2	3
Count	1281	241	25	11
	4	5	6	
Count	222	12	15	

=====

VAR 000348 B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1114 - 1115
 Numeric

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the

November election from this district?

Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list or this race

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1520	6	4	16	14
	35	36	97	98	
Count	23	16	141	67	

=====

VAR 000349 B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1116 - 1116
Numeric

B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1521	121	120	35	10

=====

VAR 000350 B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1117 - 1117
Numeric

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

- 1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
- 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
- 3. Other (30,39)
- 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
- 8. DK name but know party
- 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
- 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1520	45	34	141	67

=====

VAR 000351 B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1118 - 1118
 Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

- 1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	3
Count	1520	68	9	2
	4	5	6	
Count	132	9	67	

=====
VAR 000352 B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1119 - 1120
Numeric

B2c.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
no further mention

	0	32	33	34
Count	1728	1	1	5
	35	97	98	
Count	1	38	33	

=====
VAR 000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1121 - 1121
Numeric

B2c1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1732	28	14	31	2

=====

VAR 000354 B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1122 - 1122
 Numeric

B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

- 1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
- 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
- 3. Other (30,39)
- 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
- 8. DK name but know party
- 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
- 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1728	2	6	38	33

=====

VAR 000355 B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1123 - 1123
 Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
 for Congress -- that is, for the House of
 Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
 November election from this district?
 Who are they?
 What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

-
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	4	5	6
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1728	7	1	32	6	33

=====

VAR 000356 B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1124 - 1124
 Numeric

B3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
 Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	933	617	246	11

=====

VAR 000357 B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong

 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

 COLUMNS: 1125 - 1125

 Numeric

B3a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/

IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.

Congress has been handling its job?)

Strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. STRONGLY
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	257	603	947

=====

VAR 000358 B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress

 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

 COLUMNS: 1126 - 1126

 Numeric

B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.

Congress has been handling its job?

Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove not strongly

- 8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
- 9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	285	648	299	318	246	11

.....

C1/C1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 2 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

=====
VAR 000359 C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
 COLUMNS: 1127 - 1129
 Numeric

C1a/C1a.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate?)
Bill Clinton

TELEPHONE:

The first person is:
Bill Clinton
Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and

C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000360 C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1130 - 1132
Numeric

C1b/C1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Al Gore

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Al Gore
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000361 C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1133 - 1135
Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
George W. Bush
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000362 C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1136 - 1138
Numeric

C1d/C1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Pat Buchanan
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him)

THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000363 C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1139 - 1141
Numeric

C1e/C1e.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Ralph Nader

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000364 C1f/C1f.T. Thermometer McCain
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1142 - 1144
Numeric

C1f/C1f.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000365 C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1145 - 1147
Numeric

C1g/C1g.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Bill Bradley
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000366 C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1148 - 1150
Numeric

C1h/C1h.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Joseph Lieberman

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Joseph Lieberman
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000367 C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1151 - 1153
Numeric

C1j/C1j.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Dick Cheney

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Dick Cheney
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000368 C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1154 - 1156
Numeric

C1k/C1k.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

.....
C2.

Still using the thermometer:

=====
VAR 000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1157 - 1159
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:)
the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1160 - 1162
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1163 - 1165
Numeric

C2c.

(How would you rate:)
Reform Party

THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1166 - 1168
Numeric

C2d.

(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general

THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

.....
D0.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad
points about the two national parties.

=====
VAR 000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1169 - 1169
Numeric

D1.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D2

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D2
9. RF
0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1018	766	20	3

=====
VAR 000374 D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1170 - 1173
Numeric

D1a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1

=====
VAR 000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1174 - 1177
Numeric

D1a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000376 D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1178 - 1181
Numeric

D1a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000377 D1a(4). #4 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1182 - 1185
Numeric

D1a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000378 D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1186 - 1189
Numeric

D1a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000379 D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1190 - 1190
Numeric

D2.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	782	996	25	4

=====
VAR 000380 D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1191 - 1194
Numeric

D2a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

=====
VAR 000381 D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1195 - 1198
Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000382 D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1199 - 1202
Numeric

D2a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?

(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000383 D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1203 - 1206
 Numeric

D2a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1207 - 1210
 Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1211 - 1211
Numeric

D3.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D4
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	808	975	20	4

=====
VAR 000386 D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1212 - 1215
Numeric

D3a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3

=====
VAR 000387 D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1216 - 1219
Numeric

D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1220 - 1223
Numeric

D3a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?

(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====

VAR 000389 D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1224 - 1227
Numeric

D3a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000390 D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1228 - 1231
Numeric

D3a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000391 D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1232 - 1232
Numeric

D4.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	915	867	21	4

```
=====
VAR 000392   D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
             COLUMNS: 1233 - 1236
             Numeric
```

D4a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4

```
=====
VAR 000393   D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
             MD: EQ 0
             COLUMNS: 1237 - 1240
             Numeric
```

D4a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000394 D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1241 - 1244
Numeric

D4a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1245 - 1248
Numeric

D4a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000396 D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1249 - 1252
Numeric

D4a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====
VAR 000397 D5. Preference for divided government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1253 - 1253
Numeric

D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both
the presidency and Congress, better when control is
split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't
it matter?

-
1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
 3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
 5. IT DOESN'T MATTER

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA

	1	3	5	8	9
Count	420	926	420	38	3

```

=====
VAR 000398    E1/E1.T. Better/worse off in last year
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1254 - 1254
              Numeric

```

E1/E1.T.

FTF:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

TELEPHONE:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip to E1b
- 5. THE SAME --> Skip to E2

- 8. DK --> Skip to E2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	880	312	130	482	3

```

=====
VAR 000399    E1a. How much better off- last year
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1255 - 1255
              Numeric

```

E1a.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. Much Better --> E2
- 5. Somewhat Better --> E2

- 8. DK --> E2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1495	117	194	1

=====

VAR 000400 Elb. How much worse off- last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1256 - 1256
 Numeric

Elb.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. Much Worse
- 5. Somewhat Worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1677	41	89

=====

VAR 000401 Elx. Summary R econ situation last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1257 - 1257
 Numeric

Elx.

Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
 Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

Built from E1/E1.T and E1a/E1b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
- 9. RF (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	880	117	194	482
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	89	41	4	

=====

VAR 000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1258 - 1258
Numeric

E2/E2.T.

FTF:

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	5
Count	880	278	649

=====
VAR 000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1259 - 1259
Numeric

E3/E3.T.

FTF:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip to E3B
- 5. THE SAME --> Skip to F1

- 8. DK --> Skip to F1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	880	369	53	479	26

=====
 VAR 000404 E3a. How much better off- next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1260 - 1260
 Numeric

E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
- 8. DK --> SKIP to F1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1438	117	251	1

=====
 VAR 000405 E3b. How much worse off- next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1261 - 1261
 Numeric

E3b.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1754	18	33	2

=====
 VAR 000406 E3x. Summary R econ situation next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1262 - 1262
 Numeric

E3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
 (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off
 financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Just about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
- 9. RF (in E 3 or E3a/E3b)
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample

	0	1	2	3
Count	906	117	251	479
	4	5	8	
Count	33	18	3	

F0.

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

=====
VAR 000407 Fla. Angry- Gore affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1263 - 1263
Numeric

Fla.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series , and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	524	1272	9	2

=====

VAR 000408 Flaa. Angry- how often Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1264 - 1264
 Numeric

Flaa.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Fla

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1283	94	102	221	107

=====

VAR 000409 Flb. Hopeful- Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1265 - 1265
 Numeric

Flb.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
 HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	853	934	18	2

=====

VAR 000410 F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1266 - 1266
 Numeric

F1bb.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1b

	0	1	2	3
Count	954	141	254	379
	4	8	9	
Count	77	1	1	

=====

VAR 000411 F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1267 - 1267
 Numeric

F1c.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
 AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F1 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> Next F1 In Randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	333	1462	10	2

```
=====
VAR 000412    Flcc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1268 - 1268
              Numeric
```

Flcc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very Often
- 2. Fairly Often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Flc

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1474	73	74	134	52

```
=====
VAR 000413    Fld. Proud- Gore affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1269 - 1269
              Numeric
```

Fld.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	608	1174	23	2

=====

VAR 000414 F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1270 - 1270
 Numeric

F1dd.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very Often
- 2. Fairly Often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

	0	1	2	3	4	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1199	111	198	250	48	1

=====

VAR 000415 F2a. Angry- Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1271 - 1271
 Numeric

F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
 ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is

randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	487	1303	14	3

=====
VAR 000416 F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1272 - 1272
Numeric

F2aa.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1320	79	97	209	101	1

=====
VAR 000417 F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1273 - 1273
Numeric

F2b.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	774	1014	17	2

=====

VAR 000418 F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1274 - 1274
 Numeric

F2bb.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1033	124	227	348	74	1

=====

VAR 000419 F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1275 - 1275
 Numeric

F2c.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
 AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	418	1374	13	2

```
=====
VAR 000420    F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1276 - 1276
              Numeric
```

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1389	73	93	176	75	1

```
=====
VAR 000421    F2d. Proud- Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1277 - 1277
              Numeric
```

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	542	1239	24	2

```
=====
VAR 000422    F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1278 - 1278
              Numeric
```

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1265	96	148	250	46	2

```
=====
VAR 000423    F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1279 - 1279
              Numeric
```

F3a.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of

something he has done --) ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization

- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	197	505	67	1

=====
VAR 000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1280 - 1280
Numeric

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1610	53	40	82	22

=====
VAR 000425 F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1281 - 1281
Numeric

F3b.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	114	588	67	1

=====
 VAR 000426 F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1282 - 1282
 Numeric

F3bb.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1693	10	17	61	26

=====

VAR 000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1283 - 1283
Numeric

F3c.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	153	551	65	1

=====
VAR 000428 F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1284 - 1284
Numeric

F3cc.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1654	46	34	51	22

=====
 VAR 000429 F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1285 - 1285
 Numeric

F3d.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
 PROUD

 The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
 Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	78	623	68	1

=====
 VAR 000430 F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1286 - 1286
 Numeric

F3dd.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1729	8	23	33	14

=====
VAR 000431 F6(1). #1 most important problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 991
COLUMNS: 1287 - 1289
Numeric

F6(1).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"

992. "There was no campaign in my district"

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see
Pre-Admin.2b, V000005b=0)

=====
VAR 000432 F6(2). #2 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1290 - 1292
Numeric

F6(2).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]

See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=====

VAR 000433 F6(3). #3 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1293 - 1295
Numeric

F6(3).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=====
VAR 000434 F6(4). #4 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1296 - 1298
Numeric

F6(4).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=====
VAR 000435 F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1299 - 1299
Numeric

F6x.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R

[IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE
MORE THAN 1.]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
1. One problem mentioned

2. Two or more problems mentioned

9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

	0	1	2	9
Count	31	167	707	902

=====

AR 000436 F7. Choice - most important problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
COLUMNS: 1300 - 1302
Numeric

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the
single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

998. DK

999. RF

000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

=====

VAR 000437 F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1303 - 1303
Numeric

F8.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in
dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a
poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for
administration of section F.

1. A GOOD JOB
3. ONLY FAIR
5. A POOR JOB

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section
F administration; 0,9 in F6x

	0	1	3	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	944	84	358	411	9	1

=====

VAR 000438 F9. Party performance on most imp problem
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1304 - 1304
 Numeric

F9.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

 A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 3. DEMOCRATS
- 5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

	0	1	3	5	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	944	201	237	382	26	17

.....
 G1/G1.T.

FTF:
 Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
 We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

=====

VAR 000439 G1a. Self placement lib-con scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1305 - 1305
 Numeric

G1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G1a.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (V000442).

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
- 6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

- 8. DK --> G1b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	107	9	29	48	125
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	65	68	11	4	1341

=====

VAR 000439a G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1306 - 1306
 Numeric

G1a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G1a; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (V000442)

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
- 6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

- 8. DK --> G1b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	77	8	48	37	90
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	48	72	15	5	1407

=====
VAR 000440 Glax. Summary: combined FTF/ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1307 - 1307
Numeric

Glax.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G1a and G1a.T.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	184	17	77	85	215
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	113	140	26	9	941

```
=====
VAR 000441   G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1308 - 1308
              Numeric
```

G1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

-
- 1. LIBERAL
 - 3. CONSERVATIVE
 - 5. MODERATE
 - 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
 - 8. DK
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

	0	1	3	5	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1399	123	174	51	52	8

```
=====
VAR 000441a  G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1309 - 1309
              Numeric
```

G1bx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
 If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
 If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:

Built from G1ax and G1b.

This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.

Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

- 1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7)

- 8. DK (0/8,8)
- 9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
- 0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	302	51	453	52	8	941

=====

VAR 000442 G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1310 - 1310
 Numeric

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also: G1a and G1a.T (V000439 and V000439a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

- 1. A LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G6b.E
- 3. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
- 5. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
- 7. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO G6a.E
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	314	146	229	216

	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	29	4	869

```
=====
VAR 000443    G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1311 - 1311
              Numeric
```

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

-
- 1. LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 3. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 5. MODERATE[VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E

 - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E

	0	1	3	5	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1244	143	241	58	111	10

```
=====
VAR 000444    G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1312 - 1312
              Numeric
```

G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

-
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1661	65	79	2

```
=====
VAR 000445    G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1313 - 1313
              Numeric
```

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1578	119	105	5

=====

VAR 000446 G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1314 - 1314
 Numeric

G6x1.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
 When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
 If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
 Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
 Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from:

- branching data in G6.E, G6a.E, G6b.E, G6c.E.
- 7-pt scale data in G1ax, G1b.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in G1ax and the "had to choose" data in G1b were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases,

Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1	82	158	351	109
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	528	250	145	18	165

```
=====
VAR 000446a  G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1315 - 1315
              Numeric
```

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

- 8. DK
- 9. R refuses to choose
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	51	77	212	57
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	314	129	77	9	80

=====

VAR 000446b G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1316 - 1316
 Numeric

G6x1b.

IF PHONE MODE:
 BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (PHONE):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
- 4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
- 5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
- 6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
- 7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

- 8. DK
- 9. R refuses to choose
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1007	31	81	139	52
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	214	121	68	9	85

```

=====
VAR 000447      G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ
                MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1317 - 1317
                Numeric

```

G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
 7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
 BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
 When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
 If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
 Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/ conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

 Collapsed from G6x1.

- 1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
- 3. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
- 5. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
- 7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)

- 8. DK (8 in G6x1)
- 0. NA (0 in G6x1)

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1	591	109	923	165	18

```

=====
VAR 000448      G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
                MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1318 - 1318
                Numeric

```

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
 Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

 [DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	78	120	91	85
	5	6	7	8	
Count	30	31	4	29	

```
=====
VAR 000448a  G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1319 - 1319
              Numeric
```

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton?

Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD

- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	76	106	55	85
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	24	4	38	2

=====

VAR 000449 G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1320 - 1320
 Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
 Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT

Built from G2/G2.T.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	154	226	146	170
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	40	55	8	67	2

=====

VAR 000450 G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1321 - 1321
 Numeric

G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?
 Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

 [DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
 See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
 general description of the administration of liberal-
 conservative placements.
 Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
 the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
 (G6.E-G10.E)

- 1. LIBERAL --> G7a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	434	78	285	139	3

=====
 VAR 000451 G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1322 - 1322
 Numeric

G7a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not
 very strong liberal?

-
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E OR G10.E
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1373	280	150	4

=====

VAR 000452 G7b.E. Clinton strong conservtive or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1323 - 1323
Numeric

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSEVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a
not very strong conservative?

-
- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1729	45	32	1

=====
VAR 000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1324 - 1324
Numeric

G7x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative

- 8. DK in G7.E
- 9. RF in G7.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	280	154	285

	4	5	8	9
Count	33	45	139	3

=====
VAR 000454 G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1325 - 1325
Numeric

G7x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from G2x and G7x1.E

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

- 8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Table with 4 columns (1, 2, 3, 4) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: 434, 380, 641, 88. Row 2: 53, 206, 5.

=====
VAR 000455 G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1326 - 1326
Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-

conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1339	40	131	98	94
	5	6	7	8	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	40	25	8	32	

```
=====
VAR 000455a  G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1327 - 1327
              Numeric
```

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	35	98	68	86
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	19	32	5	54	3

```
=====
VAR 000456    G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1328 - 1328
              Numeric
```

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	75	229	166	180

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	59	57	13	86	3

=====
VAR 000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1329 - 1329
Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

	0	1	3	5
Count	1371	92	210	134

=====
VAR 000458 G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1330 - 1330
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	1464	90	190	63

=====

VAR 000458a G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1331 - 1331
Numeric

G3ax.T.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT

This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format

	0	1	3	5
Count	1028	182	400	197

=====
VAR 000459 G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1332 - 1332
Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?
(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also G3 and G3.T (V000455 and V000455a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G8a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G8c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8
Count	868	386	150	254	149

=====
VAR 000460 G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1333 - 1333
Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

-
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E

 - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1421	250	130	5	1

=====
VAR 000461 G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1334 - 1334
Numeric

G8b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

-
- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E

 - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1657	89	58	3

```

=====
VAR 000462    G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1335 - 1335
              Numeric
  
```

G8x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
 Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

 Built from G8.E/G8a.E/G8b.E

1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G8.E
9. RF in G8.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	250	136	254
	4	5	8	
Count	61	89	149	

```

=====
VAR 000463    G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1336 - 1336
              Numeric
  
```

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
 BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
 What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
 Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	325	365	659	118
	5	8	9	
Count	102	235	3	

=====

VAR 000464 G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1337 - 1337
 Numeric

G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
 IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
 certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1026	224	373	183	1

=====

VAR 000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1338 - 1338
 Numeric

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	247	406	773	380	1

=====
VAR 000465 G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1339 - 1339
Numeric

G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
 2. LIBERAL
 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
 6. CONSERVATIVE
 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
- > SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	8	23	37	58
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	105	164	36	35	2

=====

VAR 000465a G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1340 - 1340
Numeric

G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	6	32	18	49
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	61	146	35	51	2

=====

VAR 000466 G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1341 - 1341
Numeric

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

Built from G4/G4.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	14	55	55	107
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	166	310	71	86	4

=====
VAR 000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1342 - 1342
Numeric

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1376	93	208	129	1

```

=====
VAR 000468      G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1343 - 1343
                Numeric

```

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	1460	101	175	71

```

=====
VAR 000468a    G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1344 - 1344
                Numeric

```

G4ax.T.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1029	194	383	200	1

```

=====
VAR 000469    G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1345 - 1345
              Numeric

```

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush?
 (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
 See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
 See also G4 and G4.T (V000465 and V000465a).
 Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
 The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G9a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	113	464	209	151	2

```

=====
VAR 000470    G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1346 - 1346
              Numeric

```

G9a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

	0	1	5
Count	1694	70	43

=====
VAR 000471 G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1347 - 1347
Numeric

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1343	287	162	14	1

=====
VAR 000472 G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1348 - 1348
Numeric

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G9.E/G9a.E/G9b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal

- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative

- 8. DK in G9.E
- 9. RF in G9.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	868	70	43	209
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	177	287	151	2

```
=====
VAR 000473    G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1349 - 1349
              Numeric
```

G9x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G4x and G9x1.E

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	84	98	537	487
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	358	237	6	

=====

VAR 000474 G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1350 - 1350
Numeric

G9c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1036	198	376	196	1

=====
VAR 000474a G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1351 - 1351
Numeric

G9cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9,or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	258	392	759	396	2

=====
VAR 000475 G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1352 - 1352
Numeric

G5.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).

The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	14	15	26	57
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	55	115	145	3

=====

VAR 000475a G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1353 - 1353
 Numeric

G5.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Pat Buchanan?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	3	17	8	35
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	61	108	154	4

```
=====
VAR 000476      G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1354 - 1354
                Numeric
```

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Built from G5/G5.T

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	17	32	34	92
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	48	116	223	299	7

=====

VAR 000477 G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1355 - 1355
 Numeric

G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
 certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

	0	1	3	5
Count	1487	100	113	107

=====

VAR 000478 G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1356 -1356
 Numeric

G5a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
 certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	1565	93	89	60

=====

VAR 000478a G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1357 - 1357
Numeric

G5ax.T.

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format

	0	1	3	5
Count	1245	193	202	167

=====

VAR 000479 G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1358 - 1358
Numeric

G10.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan?

(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also G5 and G5.T (V000475 and V000475a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G10a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G10b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G10c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	65	389	107	372	6

=====
VAR 000480 G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1359 - 1359
Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1742	38	24	3

=====
VAR 000481 G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1360 - 1360
Numeric

G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1418	325	61	2	1

=====

VAR 000482 G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1361 - 1361
 Numeric

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
 Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G10.E/G10a.E/G10b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative
- 8. DK in G10.E
- 9. RF in G10.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	38	27	107
	4	5	8	9
Count	64	325	372	6

=====

VAR 000483 G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1362 - 1362
 Numeric

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you

think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
 BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)
 What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
 Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

 Built from G5x and G10x1.E

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	55	59	281	180
	5	8	9	
Count	548	671	13	

=====
 VAR 000484 G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1363 - 1363
 Numeric

G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
 IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E

	0	1	3	5
Count	1252	225	181	149

=====

VAR 000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan l-c crt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1364 - 1364
Numeric

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5
Count	690	418	383	316

=====
VAR 000485 G11. Who does R think will be elected
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1365 - 1365
Numeric

G11.

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

- 8. DK --> SKIP to G11b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	848	783	5	3
	7	8	9	
Count	39	126	3	

=====
VAR 000486 G11a. Race close or not- cand named
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1366 - 1366
Numeric

G11a.

IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will
[NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

-
- 1. WILL BE CLOSE --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
 - 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E

 - 8. DK --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11

	0	1	5	8
Count	129	1449	213	16

=====
VAR 000487 G11b. Race close or not- cand not named
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1367 - 1367
Numeric

G11b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will
one candidate win by quite a bit?

-
- 1. WILL BE CLOSE
 - 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

	0	1	5	8
Count	1678	108	8	13

=====
VAR 000488a H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1368 - 1368
Numeric

H1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a
whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the
nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the
same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1
or H1.E

- 1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
- 5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	899	369	380	147	11	1

=====
VAR 000488b H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1369 - 1369
Numeric

H1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1
or H1.E

- 1. GOTTEN WORSE. --> SKIP TO H1b
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
- 5. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	908	155	405	331	8

=====
VAR 000489 H1a. How much better US econ past year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1370 - 1370
Numeric

H1a.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H2

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1107	251	448	1

=====

VAR 000490 H1b. How much worse US econ last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1371 - 1371
 Numeric

H1b.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. SOMEWHAT WORSE
 5. MUCH WORSE

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E

	0	1	5
Count	1505	232	70

=====

VAR 000491 H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1372 - 1372
 Numeric

H1x.

STANDARD VERSION:
 Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?
 EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
 Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?
 BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.

1. Much better
 2. Somewhat better
 3. Stayed about the same
 4. Somewhat worse
 5. Much worse

- 8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
- 9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	251	448	785	70
	5	8	9	
Count	232	20	1	

=====

VAR 000492a H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1373 - 1373
 Numeric

H2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

- 1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
- 3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
- 5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	906	125	313	447	16

=====

VAR 000492b H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1374 - 1374
 Numeric

H2.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

- 1. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
- 3. ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
- 5. GOTTEN HARDER

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	901	454	300	129	23

=====

VAR 000493 H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1375 - 1375
 Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?

- 1. MUCH HARDER --> H4
- 5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4

- 8. DK --> H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

	0	1	5
Count	1553	123	131

=====

VAR 000494 H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1376 - 1376
 Numeric

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?

- 1. MUCH EASIER
- 5. A LITTLE EASIER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	906	493	404	3	1

=====

VAR 000495 H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1377 - 1377
 Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.

- 1. Much harder
- 2. Somewhat harder
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. Much easier

- 8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
- 9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	123	131	613	404
	5	8	9	
Count	493	42	1	

=====
 VAR 000496a H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1378 - 1378
 Numeric

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

 Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

- 1. GET BETTER --> SKIP TO H4a
- 3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5

- 5. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	896	181	535	163	29	3

=====

VAR 000496b H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1379 - 1379
 Numeric

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

- 1. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
- 3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
- 5. GET BETTER
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	911	166	499	196	34	1

=====

VAR 000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1380 - 1380
 Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4.E

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1430	113	264

=====

VAR 000498 H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1381 - 1381
 Numeric

H4b.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4.E

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1478	69	260

=====

VAR 000499 H4x. Summary US econ in next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1382 - 1382
 Numeric

H4x.

STANDARD VERSION:
 What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?
 EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
 What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
 Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 9. RF in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	113	264	1034	260
	5	8	9	
Count	69	63	4	

=====

VAR 000500 H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1383 - 1383
Numeric

H5.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling the economy?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H5b
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1304	387	106	10

=====

VAR 000501 H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1384 - 1384
Numeric

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	503	783	516	4	1

=====

VAR 000502 H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1385 - 1385
Numeric

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. STRONGLY
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1420	237	150

=====

VAR 000503 H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1386 - 1386
Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
- 9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	783	516	150	237	110	11

=====

VAR 000504 H6. Is R invested in stock market
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1387 - 1387
Numeric

H6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1004	780	6	17

=====

VAR 000505 H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1388 - 1388
 Numeric

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	527	406	794	28	50	2

=====

VAR 000506 H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1389 - 1389
 Numeric

H8.

Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. ABOUT THE SAME BY BOTH
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	373	324	1043	24	42	1

=====

VAR 000507 H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1390 - 1390
 Numeric

H9.

Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

- 1. WEAKER
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
- 5. STRONGER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	500	874	403	28	2

=====

VAR 000508 H10. Increase/decrease immigratrati
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1391 - 1391
 Numeric

H10.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	172	785	785	60	5

=====

VAR 000509 H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1392 - 1392
 Numeric

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?

-
- 1. A LITTLE
 - 5. A LOT

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

	0	1	5	8
Count	850	360	588	9

=====
VAR 000510 H10x. Summary immigration level
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1393 - 1393
Numeric

H10x.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

Built from H10 and H10a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased a little
- 3. Left the same
- 4. Decreased a little
- 5. Decreased a lot

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

	1	2	3	4
Count	68	100	785	260

	5	8	9
Count	520	69	5

=====
VAR 000511a H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1394 - 1394
Numeric

H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

- 1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO H12
- 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO H12
- 7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO H12

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	897	245	263	385	17

=====
VAR 000511b H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1395 - 1395
Numeric

H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	910	390	408	95	4

=====
VAR 000512 H11x. Combined versions import limits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1396 - 1396
Numeric

H11x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS

Built from H11 and H11.E

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose

- 7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	7	8	9
Count	635	671	385	112	4

=====
VAR 000513a H12. US better off to stay at home stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1397 - 1397
Numeric

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other
parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12
or H12.E

- 1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
- 5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13

- 8. DK --> SKIP to H13
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	916	235	640	15	1

=====
VAR 000513b H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1398 - 1398
Numeric

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just
stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems
in other parts of the world, or do you think that this
country would be better off trying to solve some
problems in other parts of the world?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12
or H12.E

- 1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

Table with 5 columns (0, 1, 5, 8, 9) and 1 row (Count) showing values: 891, 267, 601, 44, 4

=====
VAR 000514 H12x. Combined versions isolationism
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1399 - 1399
Numeric

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other
parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just
stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems
in other parts of the world, or do you think that this
country would be better off trying to solve some
problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

Built from H12 and H12.E

- 1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	502	1241	59	5

=====
 VAR 000515 H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1400 - 1400
 Numeric

H13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
 handling our relations with foreign countries?

-
- 1. APPROVE
 - 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H13b
 - 8. DK --> SKIP to K1
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1161	534	102	10

=====
 VAR 000516 H13a. Strength appove Clinton frgn rel
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1401 - 1401
 Numeric

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO K1
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

	0	1	5	8
Count	646	605	553	3

=====
 VAR 000517 H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1402 - 1402
 Numeric

H13b.

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1273	328	202	3	1

=====
VAR 000518 H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1403 - 1403
Numeric

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling our relations with foreign countries?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	605	553	202	328	108	11

=====
VAR 000519 K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1404 - 1404
Numeric

K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a
Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN --> SKIP TO K1b
- 3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
- 4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
- 5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c

8. DK --> SKIP TO K1c
 9. RF
 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	620	451	496	27
	5	8	9	
Count	204	5	4	

=====
 VAR 000520 K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1405 - 1405
 Numeric

K1a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1187	346	273	1

=====
 VAR 000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1406 - 1406
 Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1356	236	214	1

=====

VAR 000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1407 - 1407

Numeric

K1c.

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican

Party or to the Democratic party?

-
- 1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
 - 3. NEITHER [VOL]
 - 5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1075	230	224	269	9

=====

VAR 000523 K1x. Party ID summary

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

COLUMNS: 1408 - 1408

Numeric

K1x.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a

Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or

a not very strong Democrat/ Republican?

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican

Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.

Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a

code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in

K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in

response to the following survey questions: PRE question

A1.(interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about

Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST

question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who

showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

- 0. Strong Democrat (1,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 1. Weak Democrat (1,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8,0,5 in K1, K1a/b, K1c
- 3. Independent-Independent (3,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c;

8,0,3 and 5,0,3/8/9 if not apolitical)
- 4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8,0,1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 5. Weak Republican (2,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 6. Strong Republican (2,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)

- 7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 8. Apolitical (8,0,3 or 5,0,3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics)
- 9. NA (8/9,0,0 in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	346	274	269	206	230
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	215	236	9	17	5

.....

K1z/K1z.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

=====

VAR 000524 K2a. Gore trait - moral
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1409 - 1409
 Numeric

K2a.

Think about Al Gore.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORÉ TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	423	820	287	133	143	1

=====
 VAR 000525 K2b. Gore trait - really cares
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1410 - 1410
 Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

 GORE TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	277	713	464	232	119	2

=====
 VAR 000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1411 - 1411
 Numeric

K2c.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORO TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	461	997	212	59	77	1

=====
VAR 000527 K2d. Gore trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1412 - 1412
Numeric

K2d.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORO TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	258	728	522	185	113	1

```
=====
VAR 000528      K2e. Gore trait - dishonest
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1413 - 1413
                Numeric
```

K2e.

Think about Al Gore.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	133	312	629	557	173	3

=====
VAR 000529 K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1414 - 1414
Numeric

K2f.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Table with 7 columns: 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9 and a row for Count with values 494, 995, 182, 65, 69, 2.

=====
VAR 000530 K2g. Gore trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1415 - 1415
Numeric

K2g.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	136	495	641	419	113	3

=====
VAR 000531 K3a. Bush trait - moral
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1416 - 1416
 Numeric

K3a.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	340	841	330	98	196	2

=====
VAR 000532 K3b. Bush trait - really cares

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1417 - 1417
Numeric

K3b.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	155	625	562	342	122	1

=====
VAR 000533 K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1418 - 1418
Numeric

K3c.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not

too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	274	933	379	133	87	1

=====
VAR 000534 K3d. Bush trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1419 - 1419
Numeric

K3d.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	266	842	407	166	125	1

```

=====
VAR 000535    K3e. Bush trait - dishonest
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1420 - 1420
              Numeric
  
```

K3e.

Think about George W. Bush.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	70	288	653	574	220	2

```

=====
VAR 000536    K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1421 - 1421
              Numeric
  
```

K3f.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	329	967	306	110	94	1

=====
VAR 000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1422 - 1422
 Numeric

K3g.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	207	495	638	348	118	1

```
=====
VAR 000538    K4a. Buchanan trait - moral
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1423 - 1423
              Numeric
```

K4a.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	94	240	120
	4	8	9	
Count	47	268	1	

```
=====
VAR 000539    K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1424 - 1424
              Numeric
```

K4b.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	31	137	197
	4	8	9	
Count	150	254	1	

```
=====
VAR 000540    K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1425 - 1425
              Numeric
```

K4c.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	50	304	139
	4	8	9	
Count	41	235	1	

=====

VAR 000541 K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1426 - 1426
 Numeric

K4d.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1037	23	136	227
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	138	245	1	

=====
VAR 000542 K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1427 - 1427
 Numeric

K4e.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	17	65	224
	4	8	9	
Count	175	287	2	

```
=====
VAR 000543    K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1428 - 1428
              Numeric
```

K4f.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	82	323	98
	4	8	9	
Count	37	229	1	

```
=====
VAR 000544    K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1429 - 1429
              Numeric
```

K4g.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	85	183	177
	4	8	9	
Count	79	244	2	

.....

L1/L1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

=====
VAR 000545 L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1430 - 1430
Numeric

L1a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For telephone (branching) version of this question see L1a.T.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> L1b
- 2. --> L1b
- 3. --> L1b
- 4. --> L1b
- 5. --> L1b
- 6. --> L1b
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> L1b
- 8. DK --> L1b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	142	46	60	102	251
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	184	115	98	7	802

=====
VAR 000546 L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1431 - 1431
Numeric

L1a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see L1a.

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1a1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> L1b.T

- 8. DK --> L1b.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	141	179	378	93	7	1009

=====
VAR 000547 L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1432 - 1432
Numeric

L1a1.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce services and spending a
great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T

- 8. DK --> L1b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1628	74	105

=====
VAR 000548 L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1433 - 1433
Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase services and spending a
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T

- 8. DK --> L1b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1429	141	233	3	1

=====

VAR 000549 L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
 COLUMNS: 1434 - 1434
 Numeric

L1ax1.

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
 thought much about this?
 Should the government reduce/increase services and
 spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and
 spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1a.T and L1a1.T/L1a2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal

- 7. Haven't thought much
- 8. DK in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
- 9. RF in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1006	74	105	93	233
	5	7	8	9	
Count	141	141	10	4	

=====

VAR 000550 L1ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1435 - 1435
 Numeric

L1ax2.

FTF:
 Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
 you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1a and L1ax1

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a or L1a.T
- 0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3
Count	283	120	165	630
	4	5	8	9
Count	348	239	17	5

=====

VAR 000551 L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1436 - 1436
 Numeric

L1b.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	20	23	73	149
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	255	240	152	92	2

```

=====
VAR 000552    L1b.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1437 - 1437
              Numeric

```

L1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1b1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1b2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	108	522	59	109	3

```

=====
VAR 000553    L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1438 -1438
              Numeric

```

L1b1.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1699	33	74	1

=====

VAR 000554 L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1439 - 1439
 Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think he would like to) increase services and
 spending a great deal or (increase services and
 spending) only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1285	246	275	1

=====

VAR 000555 L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1440 - 1440
 Numeric

L1bx1.

TELEPHONE:
 What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the
 government to provide fewer services in order to
 reduce spending or provide more services even if it
 means an increase in spending?
 (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
 and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
 and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
- 9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T

0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	33	74	59
	4	5	8	9
Count	275	246	111	3

=====
VAR 000556 L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1441 - 1441
Numeric

L1bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1b and L1bx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	53	97	536	515
	5	8	9	
Count	398	203	5	

=====
VAR 000557 L1c. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1442 - 1442
Numeric

L1c.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	9	27	62	154
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	262	251	129	111	1

=====

VAR 000558 L1c.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1443 - 1443
Numeric

L1c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question, see L1c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1c1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1c2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
- 8. DK -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	91	553	41	114	2

=====

VAR 000559 L1c1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1444 - 1444
 Numeric

L1c1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

	0	1	5
Count	1716	35	56

=====

VAR 000560 L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1445 - 1445
 Numeric

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1254	264	286	3

=====

VAR 000561 L1cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1446 - 1446
 Numeric

L1cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
 (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal

- 8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
- 9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	35	56	41
	4	5	8	9
Count	286	264	117	2

=====

VAR 000562 L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1447 - 1447
 Numeric

L1cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1c and L1cx1

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	44	83	519	537
	5	8	9	
Count	393	228	3	

=====

VAR 000563 L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1448 - 1448
 Numeric

L1d.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

- 6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE
MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	58	145	253	213
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	115	73	23	124	2

=====
VAR 000564 L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1449 - 1449
Numeric

L1d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING -->L1d1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING -->L1d2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
- 8. DK -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	410	223	38	128	2

=====
VAR 000565 L1d1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1450 - 1450
Numeric

L1d1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1397	208	200	2

=====
VAR 000566 L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1451 - 1451
Numeric

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1584	69	153	1

=====
VAR 000567 L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1452 - 1452
Numeric

L1dx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like
the government to provide fewer services in order
to reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services

and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	208	200	38
	4	5	8	9
Count	153	69	131	2

=====

VAR 000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1453 - 1453
Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1d and L1dx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1d.T

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	266	345	619	226
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	92	255	4	

```
=====
VAR 000569   L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1454 - 1454
              Numeric
```

L1e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	15	14	51	143
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	267	266	142	106	2

```
=====
VAR 000570   L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1455 - 1455
              Numeric
```

L1e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1e1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1e2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1006	84	556	46	115

=====

VAR 000571 L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1456 - 1456
 Numeric

L1e1.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

	0	1	5
Count	1723	31	53

=====

VAR 000572 L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1457 - 1457
Numeric

L1e2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

-
- 1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
 - 5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2

 - 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1251	291	264	1

=====

VAR 000573 L1ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1458 - 1458
Numeric

L1ex1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they
would like the government to provide fewer services in
order to reduce spending or provide more services even
if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase
services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase
services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1e.T and L1e1.T/L1e2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal

- 8. DK in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
- 9. RF in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	31	53	46

	4	5	8
	-----	-----	-----
Count	264	291	116

=====

VAR 000574 Llex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1459 - 1459
 Numeric

Llex2.

FTF:
 Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
 (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1e and L1ex1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e or L1e.T

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	46	67	507	530
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	433	222	2	

=====

VAR 000575 L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1460 - 1460
 Numeric

L1f.

FTF:
 Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	86	182	226	208
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	110	52	20	121	1

=====

VAR 000576 L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1461 - 1461
 Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1f1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1f2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> Next Party or L2
- 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1006	451	185	37	128

=====

VAR 000577 L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1462 - 1462
 Numeric

L1f1.T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think they would like to) reduce services and
 spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
 only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1356	229	221	1

=====

VAR 000578 L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1463 - 1463
 Numeric

L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think they would like to) increase services and
 spending a great deal or (increase services and
 spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1622	54	131

```
=====
VAR 000579    L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1464 - 1464
              Numeric
```

L1fx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
 (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

 Built from L1f.T and L1f1.T/L1f2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
9. RF in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	229	221	37

	4	5	8
	-----	-----	-----
Count	131	54	129

```
=====
VAR 000580    L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1465 - 1465
              Numeric
```

L1fx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
 (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase

services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1f and L1fx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	315	403	581	183
	5	8	9	
Count	74	250	1	

L2/L2.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 6 of the booklet.

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.

=====

VAR 000581 L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1466 - 1466
Numeric

L2a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b
2. --> L2b

- 3. --> L2b
- 4. --> L2b
- 5. --> L2b
- 6. --> L2b
- 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b
- 8. DK --> L2b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L2b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	161	35	33	72	238
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	229	144	85	8	802

=====

VAR 000582 L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1467 - 1467
 Numeric

L2a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.

- 1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
- 5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) --> L2b.T
- 8. DK --> L2b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1006	589	207	4	1

=====

VAR 000583 L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1468 - 1468
 Numeric

L2a1.T.

IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1a.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1b.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> L2b.T/L2c.T

- 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1213	110	331	146	5	2

=====

VAR 000584 L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1469 - 1469
 Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
 IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 Should the government reduce defense spending a lot
 or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> L2bT/L2c.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T

- 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

	0	1	5
Count	1697	54	56

=====

VAR 000585 L2a1b.T. How much increas def spending ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1470 - 1470
 Numeric

L2a1b.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
 IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
 Should the government increase defense spending
 a lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T

- 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1476	188	141	1	1

=====

VAR 000586 L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1471 - 1471
Numeric

L2ax1.

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	54	56	146	141
	5	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	188	207	6	3	

=====

VAR 000587 L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1472 - 1472
Numeric

L2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the

government spending on defense about the right amount now?
 Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEFENSE SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	368	89	89	685
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	285	273	14	4

=====

VAR 000588 L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1473 - 1473
 Numeric

L2b.

FTF:
 Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
 FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
 For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	29	72	169	239
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	186	83	32	195	1

=====

VAR 000589 L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1474 - 1474
 Numeric

L2b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
 For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1006	157	167	273	203	1

=====

VAR 000590 L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1475 - 1475
 Numeric

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
 IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

-
- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
 - 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L3.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1483	137	183	4

=====
VAR 000591 L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1476 - 1476
 Numeric

L2bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot

- 8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
- 9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	78	76	273
	4	5	8	9
Count	107	59	207	1

=====
VAR 000592 L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1477 - 1477
 Numeric

L2bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2b and L2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	107	148	867	190
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	91	402	2	

=====

VAR 000593 L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1478 - 1478
Numeric

L2c.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	12	26	60	164
	5	6	7	8	
Count	247	221	97	179	

=====

VAR 000594 L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1479 - 1479
 Numeric

L2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
 For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1006	48	452	111	189	1

=====

VAR 000595 L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1480 - 1480
 Numeric

L2c1.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
 IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

-
- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
 - 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1307	304	186	10

=====

VAR 000596 L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1481 - 1481
 Numeric

L2cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	29	18	111
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	168	275	199	1

=====
VAR 000597 L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1482 - 1482
Numeric

L2cx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we
should decrease defense spending, increase defense
spending, or that Government spending on defense is
about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2c and L2cx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

- 8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

Table with 4 columns (1-4) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: Count 41, 44, 582, 389. Row 2: Count 372, 378, 1.

=====
VAR 000598 L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1483 - 1483
Numeric

L2d.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	26	107	180	240
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	168	85	39	160	1

=====

VAR 000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1484 - 1484
 Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
 For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1006	197	171	248	185

=====
VAR 000600 L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1485 - 1485
Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1439	151	212	5

=====
VAR 000601 L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1486 - 1486
Numeric

L2dx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they
believe we should decrease defense spending, increase
defense spending, or that Government spending on defense
is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	90	103	248
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	109	61	190	

=====

VAR 000602 L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1487 - 1487
Numeric

L2dx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2d and L2dx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	116	210	836	194
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	100	350	1	

=====

VAR 000603 L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1488 - 1488
Numeric

L2e.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	12	25	77	169
	5	6	7	8	
Count	238	234	86	165	

=====

VAR 000604 L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1489 - 1489
 Numeric

L2e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1006	68	441	106	186

=====

VAR 000605 L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1490 - 1490
 Numeric

L2e1.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
 IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1298	288	217	4

=====

VAR 000606 L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1491 - 1491
 Numeric

L2ex1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
 A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	36	32	106
	4	5	8	
Count	185	252	190	

=====

VAR 000607 L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1492 - 1492
 Numeric

L2ex2.

FTF:
 (Still looking at Page 6).
 Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
 A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2e and L2ex1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4	5	8
Count	48	57	590	419	338	355

.....
L3/L3.E/L3.T/L3.TE.

L3. FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Please look at page 7 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

L3.E. FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Please look at page 8 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

L3.T TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans.

L3.TE. TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

here is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone.

=====
VAR 000608 L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
COLUMNS: 1493 - 1493
Numeric

L3(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with endpoints reversed).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question is coded in reverse.

1. FTF standard version
2. FTF experimental version
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	515	491	376	425

=====
 VAR 000608a L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1494 - 1494
 Numeric

L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
 Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
 you thought much about this?

See L3 for complete text.
 FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
 either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
 The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version
 of this question.
 For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and
 L3a.TE.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L4
2. --> SKIP TO L4
3. --> SKIP TO L4
4. --> SKIP TO L4
5. --> SKIP TO L4
6. --> SKIP TO L4
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L4
8. DK --> SKIP TO L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH --> SKIP TO L4

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	25	74	42	83	105
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	72	46	59	9	1292

=====
 VAR 000608b L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1495 - 1495
 Numeric

L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).

The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.

For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

- 1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
- 2. --> L4
- 3. --> L4
- 4. --> L4
- 5. --> L4
- 6. --> L4
- 7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
- 8. DK --> L4
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	35	41	48	62	89
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	74	57	83	2	1316

=====

VAR 000609 L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1496 - 1496
 Numeric

L3ax.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a/L3a.E.

- 1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	60	157	99	157	194
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	134	94	100	11	801

=====

VAR 000610a L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1497 - 1497
 Numeric

L3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
 thought much about this?

See L3.T for complete text.
 Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered
 either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
 The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
 For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

- 1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
- 5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES
 THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	44	149	155	20	8	1431

=====

VAR 000610b L3a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1498 - 1498
 Numeric

L3a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
 thought much about this?

See L3.TE for complete text.
 Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered

either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
 The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
 For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

- 1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a2.T
- 5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a1.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> L4.T
- 8. DK --> L4.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	47	146	188	30	14	1382

=====

VAR 000611 L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1499 - 1499
 Numeric

L3ax.T.

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
 thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

- 1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
- 5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	91	337	301	50	22	1006

=====

VAR 000612 L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1500 - 1500
 Numeric

L3a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
 IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/
 IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:
 Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
 be a government insurance plan?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

-
- 1. STRONGLY --> L4.T
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T

 - 8. DK --> L4.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1169	507	127	3	1

=====
VAR 000613 L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1501 - 1501
Numeric

L3x1.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

- 1. Strongly - government insurance plan
- 2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
- 3. Stay the same as it is now
- 4. Not strongly - individual insurance
- 5. Strongly - individual insurance

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	91	282	54	50

	4	5	8	9
Count	73	225	25	1007

=====
VAR 000614 L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1502 - 1502
Numeric

L3x2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	151	439	153	535
	4	5	8	9
Count	167	325	36	1

L4/L4.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 9 of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Please look at page 9 of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

```

=====
VAR 000615    L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1503 - 1503
              Numeric

```

L4a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

 For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b.
- 2. --> L4b.
- 3. --> L4b.
- 4. --> L4b.
- 5. --> L4b.
- 6. --> L4b.
- 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4b.
- 8. DK --> L4b.
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	93	74	48	93	173
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	189	195	137	4	801

```

=====
VAR 000616    L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1504 - 1504
              Numeric

```

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

 For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4a1.T
- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4a2.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.T/L4c.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	108	193	439	55	3	1009

=====
VAR 000617 L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1505 - 1505
Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

Table with 4 columns (0, 1, 5, 8) and 1 row (Count) showing values 1614, 152, 40, 1.

=====
VAR 000618 L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1506 - 1506
Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

Table with 3 columns (0, 1, 5) and 1 row (Count) showing values 1368, 346, 93.

=====
VAR 000619 L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1507 - 1507
Numeric

L4x1.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	108	152	40	55
	4	5	8	9
Count	93	346	4	1009

=====

VAR 000620 L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1508 - 1508
 Numeric

L4x2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4a and L4x1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither

- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	201	226	88	510
	4	5	8	9
Count	288	483	8	3

```
=====
VAR 000621    L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1509 - 1509
              Numeric
```

L4b.

FTF:
 (Still looking at Page 9)
 Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
 For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	74	128	206	208
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	110	58	38	182	2

=====
VAR 000622 L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1510 - 1510
Numeric

L4b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4b2T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR -->L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

Table with 7 columns: 0, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9 and a row for Count with values 1006, 434, 180, 20, 165, 2.

=====
VAR 000623 L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1511 - 1511
Numeric

L4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1193	444	162	8

```
=====
VAR 000624    L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1512 - 1512
              Numeric
```

L4bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	338	94	20
	4	5	8	9
Count	68	106	173	2

```
=====
VAR 000625    L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1513 - 1513
              Numeric
```

L4bx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	412	222	544	126
	5	8	9	
Count	144	355	4	

=====

VAR 000626 L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1514 -1514
 Numeric

L4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	23	22	80	169
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	205	220	98	187	2

```
=====
VAR 000627    L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1515 - 1515
              Numeric
```

L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	122	494	15	168	2

=====

VAR 000628 L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1516 - 1516
 Numeric

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
 IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
 that the government should see to jobs and a good
 standard of living?
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
 that the government should just let each person get
 ahead on their own ?

-
- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1191	463	143	10

=====

VAR 000629 L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1517 - 1517

Numeric

L4cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels
 government should see to it that every person has a
 job and a good standard of living, or that government
 should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
 government should see to jobs and a good standard of
 living?
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
 government should just let each person get ahead on
 their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living

- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	80	40	15
	4	5	8	9
Count	103	383	178	2

=====

VAR 000630 L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1518 - 1518
 Numeric

L4cx2.

FTF:
 Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
 Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	103	62	469	323
	5	8	9	
Count	481	365	4	

=====

VAR 000631 L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1519 - 1519
Numeric

L4d.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	82	163	217	180
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	108	51	43	161	1

=====
VAR 000632 L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1520 - 1520
Numeric

L4d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person
has a job and a good standard of living, or that
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4d1.T
- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4d1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	450	161	22	167	1

=====
VAR 000633 L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1521 - 1521
Numeric

L4d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

-
- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1196	436	173	2

=====
VAR 000634 L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1522 - 1522
Numeric

L4dx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	347	101	22
	4	5	8	9
Count	72	89	169	1

=====

VAR 000635 L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1523 - 1523
 Numeric

L4dx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4d and L4dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	429	264	527	123
	5	8	9	
Count	132	330	2	

=====

VAR 000636 L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1524 - 1524
Numeric

L4e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	13	24	65	167
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	204	228	130	174	1

```
=====
VAR 000637    L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1525 - 1525
              Numeric
```

L4e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4e1.T
- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN-->NEXT PARTY OR-->L5.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> L4e1.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	96	502	21	181	1

```
=====
VAR 000638    L4e1/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1526 - 1526
              Numeric
```

L4e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1209	427	167	4

=====

VAR 000639 L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1527 - 1527
Numeric

L4ex1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job
and a good standard of living, or that government should
just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	66	30	21

	4	5	8	9
Count	137	361	185	1

=====
 VAR 000640 L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1528 - 1528
 Numeric

L4ex2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	79	54	457	365
	5	8	9	
Count	491	359	2	

.....
 L5/L5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 10 of the booklet.
 Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that

the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.
 (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.)
 And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

=====
 VAR 000641 L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1529 - 1529
 Numeric

L5a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

 See L5 for complete text.
 For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5b.
- 2. --> L5b.
- 3. --> L5b.
- 4. --> L5b.
- 5. --> L5b.
- 6. --> L5b.
- 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b.
- 8. DK --> L5b.
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	69	52	40	79	250
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	150	160	193	4	810

=====
 VAR 000642 L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1530 - 1530
 Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5a1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T

- 8. DK --> L5b.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	151	196	339	104	2	1015

=====
VAR 000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1531 - 1531
Numeric

L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
or only to some extent?

-
- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T

 - 8. DK --> L5b.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1272	342	191	2

=====
VAR 000644 L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1532 - 1532
Numeric

L5ax1.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	151	86	110	104
	4	5	8	9
Count	81	256	4	1015

```
=====
VAR 000645    L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1533 - 1533
              Numeric
```

L5ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?

Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	220	138	150	583
	4	5	8	9
Count	241	449	8	18

=====
VAR 000646 L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1534 - 1534
Numeric

L5b.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows of counts.

=====
VAR 000647 L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1535 - 1535
Numeric

L5b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve
the social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort
to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5b1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME

- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	446	111	46	192	6

=====

VAR 000648 L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1536 - 1536
 Numeric

L5b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
 IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think he feels the government should)
 help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
 help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1250	317	237	3

=====

VAR 000649 L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1537 - 1537
 Numeric

L5bx1.

TELEPHONE:
 What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that
 government should make every effort to improve
 the social and economic position of blacks, or that
 government should not make any special effort
 to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
 (Do you think he feels the government should)
 help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
 help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	267	176	46
	4	5	8	9
Count	61	50	195	6

=====
VAR 000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1538 - 1538
Numeric

L5bx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	375	329	541	107

	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	81	363	11

```
=====
VAR 000651    L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1539 - 1539
              Numeric
```

L5c.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	96	135	189	224
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	68	58	27	204	5

```
=====
VAR 000652    L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1540 - 1540
              Numeric
```

L5c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that

government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5c1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5c1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	420	110	33	232	6

=====
VAR 000653 L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1541 - 1541
Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1277	284	245	1

=====

VAR 000654 L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1542 - 1542
Numeric

L5cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	234	185	33
	4	5	8	9
Count	60	50	233	6

=====
VAR 000655 L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1543 -1543
Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	330	320	514	118
	5	8	9	
Count	77	437	11	

=====

VAR 000656 L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1544 - 1544
 Numeric

L5d.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 10)
 Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	19	22	69	209
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	226	143	102	212	4

```
=====
VAR 000657    L5d.T. Bush-aid to blacks scale phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1545 - 1545
              Numeric
```

L5d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5d1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5d1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1006	151	351	44	247	8

```
=====
VAR 000658    L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1546 - 1546
              Numeric
```

L5d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1305	255	245	2

=====

VAR 000659 L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1547 - 1547
 Numeric

L5dx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?
 (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
 (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	45	106	44
	4	5	8	9
Count	139	210	249	8

=====

VAR 000660 L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1548 - 1548
Numeric

L5dx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5d and L5dx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	64	128	548	282
	5	8	9	
Count	312	461	12	

=====
VAR 000661 L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1549 - 1549
Numeric

L5e.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are

randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	106	164	214	198
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	70	45	29	176	4

=====

VAR 000662 L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1550 - 1550
 Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5e1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5e1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR -->L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	437	112	26	220	6

=====

VAR 000663 L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1551 - 1551
 Numeric

L5e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
 IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
 (Do you think they feel the government should) help
 blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
 themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1258	301	247	1

=====

VAR 000664 L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1552 -1552
 Numeric

L5ex1.

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
 that government should make every effort to improve the
 social and economic position of blacks, or that
 government should not make any special effort to help
 blacks because they should help themselves?)
 (Do you think they feel the government should)
 help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
 themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	256	181	26
	4	5	8	9
Count	66	45	221	6

=====

VAR 000665 L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1553 - 1553
 Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 10)
 Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
 (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5e and L5ex1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	362	345	508	111

	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	74	397	10

=====
VAR 000666 L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1554 - 1554
Numeric

L5f.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	801	15	19	66	186
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	218	196	116	186	4

=====
VAR 000667 L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1555 - 1555
Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they

feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	151	369	43	232	6

=====

VAR 000668 L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1556 - 1556
 Numeric

L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
 IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
 (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

	0	1	5
Count	1287	271	249

=====

VAR 000669 L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1557 - 1557
Numeric

L5fx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	44	107	43
	4	5	8	9
Count	142	227	232	6

=====
VAR 000670 L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1558 -1558
Numeric

L5fx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?

(Do you think they feel blacks should have to)
 help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	59	126	513	338
	5	8	9	
Count	343	418	10	

=====

VAR 000671a L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1559 - 1559
 Numeric

L6.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.
 What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
- 8 DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	927	431	338	59	42	10

```

=====
VAR 000671b  L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1560 - 1560
              Numeric

```

L6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

- 1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
- 5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
- 8. DK --> GO TO L7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	880	397	429	50	43	8

```

=====
VAR 000672  L6a. Strength for affirmative action
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1561 - 1561
              Numeric

```

L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

- 1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
- 8. DK --> GO TO L7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	979	601	221	6

=====

VAR 000673 L6b. Strength against affirmative action

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1562 - 1562

Numeric

L6b.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

-
- 1. STRONGLY
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1040	560	204	2	1

=====

VAR 000674 L6x. Summary affirmative action

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1563 - 1563

Numeric

L6x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E.

- 1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
- 5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
- 7. Other

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	7	8	9
Count	828	767	109	85	18

=====

VAR 000674a L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
 COLUMNS: 1564 - 1564
 Numeric

L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

- 1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
- 2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
- 4. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
- 5. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5
Count	601	221	204	560
	7	8	9	
Count	109	93	19	

.....

L7.

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you

would like to see spending increased or decreased.
The first program is:

```
=====
VAR 000675    L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1565 - 1565
              Numeric
```

L7a.

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS
(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this
year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING
HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	677	135	969	6	20

```
=====
VAR 000676    L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1566 - 1566
              Numeric
```

L7b.

What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?
(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	302	669	782	22	30	2

```

=====
VAR 000677    L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1567 - 1567
              Numeric

```

L7c.

What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH?
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	911	151	697	11	37

```

=====
VAR 000678    L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1568 -1568
              Numeric

```

L7d.

What about FOREIGN AID?
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased,
decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	162	787	792	22	42	2

=====

VAR 000679 L7e. Inc/dec food stamps
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1569 - 1569
 Numeric

L7e.

What about FOOD STAMPS?
 (Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased,
 decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
 The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	285	549	890	32	49	2

=====

VAR 000680 L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1570 - 1570
 Numeric

L7f.

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE?
 (Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be
 increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	916	154	693	9	29	6

```
=====
VAR 000681    L7g. Inc/dec Social Security
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1571 - 1571
              Numeric
```

L7g.

What about SOCIAL SECURITY?
(Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1120	66	572	11	38

```
=====
```

VAR 000682 L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1572 - 1572
Numeric

L7h.

What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION?
(Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	913	164	694	2	33	1

=====
VAR 000683 L7j. Inc/dec public schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1573 - 1573
Numeric

L7j.

What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS?
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased,
decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1368	87	330	13	9

=====

VAR 000684 L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1574 - 1574
 Numeric

L7k.

What about DEALING WITH CRIME?
 (Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be
 increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
 The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1180	89	514	7	17

=====

VAR 000685 L7m. Inc/dec child care
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1575 - 1575
 Numeric

L7m.

What about CHILD CARE?
 (Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased,
 decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
 The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1108	128	515	16	39	1

```
=====
VAR 000686    L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1576 - 1576
              Numeric
```

L7n.

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION?
 (Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
 The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	931	173	641	11	47	4

```
=====
VAR 000687    L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1577 - 1577
              Numeric
```

L7p.

What about AID TO BLACKS?
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased,
decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	293	415	971	39	75	14

=====
VAR 000688 L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1578 - 1578
Numeric

L8.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to
spend the extra money the federal government is likely
to have in the near future. Some people have proposed
that most of the expected federal budget surplus should
be used to cut taxes.
Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1111	637	56	3

=====
VAR 000689 L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1579 - 1579
Numeric

L8a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not
strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not
strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

	0	1	5	8
Count	59	1216	529	3

=====

VAR 000690 L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1580 - 1580
Numeric

L8x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected
federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do
you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not
strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	811	298	231	405	59	3

=====

VAR 000691 L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1581 - 1581
Numeric

L9.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1453	312	39	3

=====

VAR 000692 L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1582 - 1582
Numeric

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	42	1309	454	2

=====

VAR 000693 L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medicare

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1583 - 1583
Numeric

L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1153	298	156	156	41	3

=====

VAR 000694 M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1584 - 1584
 Numeric

M1/M1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
 There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	215	525	265
	4	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	753	28	11	7

```
=====
VAR 000695    M1a. Importance of abortion to R
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1585 - 1585
              Numeric
```

M1a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	76	193	499
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	640	389	3	4

```
=====
VAR 000696    M1b/M1b.T. Gore-abortion scale
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1586 - 1586
              Numeric
```

M1b/M1b.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK --> SKIP TO M1c OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	84	228	253
	4	7	8	9
Count	762	21	450	6

=====

VAR 000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1587 - 1587
 Numeric

M1b1.

IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	459	426	540	380	2

=====

VAR 000698 M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1588 - 1588
 Numeric

M1c/M1c.T.

FTF:
 Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	285	655	227
	4	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	119	23	488	7

=====
VAR 000699 M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1589 - 1589
Numeric

M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	498	315	560	433	1

=====
VAR 000700 M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1590 - 1590
Numeric

M2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	1395	353	44	12

=====
VAR 000701 M2a. Strength abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1591 - 1591
Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	59	1461	286	1

=====
VAR 000702 M2x. Summary abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1592 - 1592
Numeric

M2x.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her

parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS

Built from M2 and M2a.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	1233	162	124
	5	8	9	
Count	228	45	12	

=====
VAR 000703 M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1593 - 1593
Numeric

M3.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1236	444	108	16

=====
VAR 000704 M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1594 - 1594
Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/
IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

	0	1	5	8
Count	127	1386	289	5

=====
VAR 000705 M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1595 - 1595
Numeric

M3x.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal? Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS

Built from M3 and M3a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	1097	137	152
	5	8	9	
Count	289	113	16	

M4/M4.E/M4.T/M4.TE.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 12 of the booklet
Some people think it is important to protect the even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the

scale, at point number 1) Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6).

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:

Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living.

=====
VAR 000706 M4. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
COLUMNS: 1596 - 1596
Numeric

M4(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard version (M4a)
2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)
3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	502	504	373	428

=====
VAR 000707a M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1597 - 1597
Numeric

M4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB
& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
- 2. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 3. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 4. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 5. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 6. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT
THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO M4b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	66	66	66	70	131
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	60	26	16	1	1305

=====

VAR 000707b M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1598 - 1598
 Numeric

M4a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).

For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB
& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
- 2. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 3. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 4. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 5. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 6. --> SKIP TO M4b
- 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN
ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1305	44	62	92	160
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	70	41	18	13	2

```

=====
VAR 000708    M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1599 - 1599
              Numeric

```

M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

Built from M4a, M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB
& STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN
ENVIRONMENT
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	66	110	128	162	291
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	130	67	34	14	805

```

=====
VAR 000709a    M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1600 - 1600
              Numeric

```

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4.T for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).

For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	110	177	52	29	5	1434

=====

VAR 000709b M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1601 - 1601
 Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel?

See M4.TE for complete text.
 Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
 For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1380	245	102	46	28	6

=====

VAR 000710 M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1602 - 1602
 Numeric

M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
 Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS

Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	110	422	154	75	33	1013

=====

VAR 000711 M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1603 - 1603
 Numeric

M4a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
 IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
 IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
 Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
 Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
8. DK --> M4b.T/M4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE

	0	1	5
Count	1231	336	240

=====

VAR 000712 M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1604 - 1604
 Numeric

M4a1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
 TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	110	270	152	75
	4	5	8	9
Count	88	66	33	1013

=====
VAR 000713 M4alx2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1605 - 1605
Numeric

M4alx2.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	176	380	280	658
	4	5	8	9
Count	155	100	47	11

=====
VAR 000714 M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1606 - 1606
Numeric

M4b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4c. OR M5.
2. --> M4c. OR M5.
3. --> M4c. OR M5.
4. --> M4c. OR M5.
5. --> M4c. OR M5.
6. --> M4c. OR M5.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4c. OR M5.
8. DK --> M4c. OR M5.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	132	161	171	178

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	79	45	22	213	3

=====
VAR 000715 M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1607 - 1607
Numeric

M4b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS
JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE
IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	461	101	21	215	2

=====
VAR 000716 M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1608 - 1608
Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of

living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

- 1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1245	324	234	4

=====
VAR 000717 M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1609 - 1609
Numeric

M4bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

- 1. Environment much more important
- 2. Environment somewhat more important
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. Jobs much more important

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	298	161	21
	4	5	8	9
Count	73	26	219	2

=====

VAR 000718 M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1610 - 1610
Numeric

M4bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	430	322	449
	4	5	8	9
Count	118	48	432	5

=====

VAR 000719 M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1611 - 1611
Numeric

M4c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4 for complete text.

FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4b. OR M5.
- 2. --> M4b. OR M5.
- 3. --> M4b. OR M5.
- 4. --> M4b. OR M5.
- 5. --> M4b. OR M5.
- 6. --> M4b. OR M5.
- 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4b. OR M5.
- 8. DK --> M4b. OR M5.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	23	18	73	199
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	209	168	63	249	2

=====

VAR 000720 M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1612 - 1612
 Numeric

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1007	194	327	39	237	3

=====

VAR 000721 M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1613 - 1613
 Numeric

M4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
 IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
 Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

-
- 1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
 - 5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1286	242	273	6

=====

VAR 000722 M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1614 - 1614
 Numeric

M4cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
 Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
 Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

- 1. Environment much more important
- 2. Environment somewhat more important
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. Jobs much more important

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	78	114	39
	4	5	8	9
Count	159	164	243	3

```
=====
VAR 000723      M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 1615 - 1615
                Numeric
```

M4cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4c and M4cx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	101	132	520

	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	327	227	492	5

=====

VAR 000724 M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1616 - 1616
 Numeric

M5.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in
 the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

- 1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5a
- 5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5b
- 8. DK --> M6a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	1285	414	86	19

=====

VAR 000725 M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1617 - 1617
 Numeric

M5a.

IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals
 should be allowed to serve?

- 1. STRONGLY --> M6a
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> M6a
- 8. DK --> M6a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	522	871	410	4

=====

VAR 000726 M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1618 - 1618
 Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

	0	1	5	8
Count	1393	322	89	3

=====
VAR 000727 M5x. Summary homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1619 - 1619
Numeric

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

- 1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
- 2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
- 4. Not strongly - should not be allowed to serve
- 5. Strongly - should not be allowed to serve

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	871	410	89
	5	8	9	
Count	322	93	19	

=====
VAR 000728 M6a. R-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1620 - 1620
Numeric

M6a.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make

it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

-
- 1. MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a1
 - 3. MAKE IT EASIER --> SKIP TO M6a2
 - 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6a3

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	1060	75	659	8	2

=====
VAR 000729 M6a1. R-strength more gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1621 - 1621
Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

-
- 1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3
 - 5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

	0	1	5
Count	747	861	199

=====
VAR 000730 M6a2. R-strength less gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1622 - 1622
Numeric

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

-
- 1. A LOT EASIER
 - 5. SOMEWHAT EASIER

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

	0	1	5
Count	1732	39	36

=====
VAR 000731 M6ax. Summary gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1623 - 1623
Numeric

M6ax.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

- 1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

- 8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows (Count) showing response counts for gun restriction positions.

=====
VAR 000732 M6a3. R-importance of gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1624 - 1624
Numeric

M6a3.

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

- 8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	37	139	461
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	716	448	3	

=====

VAR 000733 M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1625 - 1625
Numeric

M6b.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. MORE DIFFICULT
- 3. MAKE IT EASIER
- 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6b3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	1139	19	350	294	2

=====

VAR 000734 M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1626 - 1626
Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?/
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

- 1. A LOT --> M6b3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> M6b3

8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

	0	1	5	8
Count	649	718	437	3

=====

VAR 000735 M6bx. Summary Gore gun control
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1627 - 1627
 Numeric

M6bx.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6b and M6b1/b2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	709	427	350
	4	5	8	9
Count	10	9	297	2

=====

VAR 000736 M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1628 - 1628
 Numeric

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
 IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	652	393	542	219	1

=====

VAR 000737 M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1629 - 1629
 Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. MORE DIFFICULT
- 3. MAKE IT EASIER
- 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> M6c2

- 8. DK --> N1/n1.e
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	375	315	806	306	2

=====

VAR 000738 M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1630 - 1630
 Numeric

M6c1/c2.

IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
 IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?
 A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

- 1. A LOT --> M6c3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> M6c3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1117	318	370	2

=====

VAR 000739 M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1631 - 1631
 Numeric

M6cx.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

- 1. A lot more difficult
- 2. Somewhat more difficult
- 3. Keep rules about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. A lot easier
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	182	193	806
	4	5	8	9
Count	177	136	308	2

=====

VAR 000740 M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1632 - 1632
 Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
 IF STRENGTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6c1/M6c2

	0	1	3	5
Count	1119	190	318	180

=====

VAR 000741a N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1633 - 1633
 Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for N1.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	925	424	394	62	2

=====

VAR 000741b N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1634 - 1634
 Numeric

N1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO N2

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	317	332	259	12	887

=====

VAR 000742 N1x. Combined versions school vouchers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1635 - 1635
 Numeric

N1x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Built from N1 and N1.E.

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	317	756	653	74	7

=====

VAR 000743 N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1636 - 1636
 Numeric

N1a/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/
 IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded for this question respondents from the standard version; only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 or NA in N1.E; R selected for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1216	439	152

=====

VAR 000744 N1ax. Summary school vouchers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1637 - 1637
 Numeric

N1ax.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Build from N1x and N1a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	317	245	87	65
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	194	12	887	

=====

VAR 000745 N2. R fav/opp English official language
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1638 - 1638
 Numeric

N2.

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?

- 1. FAVOR
- 3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	1202	130	446	24	2

=====
 VAR 000746 N3. R interest in school integration
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1639 - 1639
 Numeric

N3.

Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business. Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO N4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1195	580	27	2

=====
 VAR 000747 N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1640 - 1640
 Numeric

N3a.

IF R HAS OPINION WHETHER GOVT SEE TO BLACK/WHITE KIDS IN SAME SCHOOLS:

Do you think the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?

- 1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
- 5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER; DEPENDS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	612	596	531	56	10	2

=====

VAR 000748 N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1641 - 1641
 Numeric

N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	747	909	126	22

=====

VAR 000749 N5. Fav/opp death penalty
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1642 - 1642
 Numeric

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

- 1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
- 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	1240	462	87	15

=====

VAR 000750 N5a. How much favor death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1643 - 1643
Numeric

N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E

- 8. DK --> P1/P1.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	567	925	314	1

=====

VAR 000751 N5b. How much oppose death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1644 - 1644
Numeric

N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1345	283	177	1	1

=====

VAR 000752 N5x. Summary R position on death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1645 - 1645
Numeric

N5x.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	925	314	177
	5	8	9	
Count	283	89	16	

P1/P1.T.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS:

Please look at page 13 of the booklet.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government.

(Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that a woman's place is in the home.

=====

VAR 000753 P1. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
 COLUMNS: 1646 - 1646
 Numeric

P1(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard
2. FTF experimental
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	520	486	382	419

```
=====
VAR 000754a  Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
             COLUMNS: 1647 - 1647
             Numeric
```

Pla.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

See P1 for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and
Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	18	296	80	31	44
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	20	14	16	1	1287

```
=====
VAR 000754b  Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
             COLUMNS: 1648 - 1648
             Numeric
```

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See P1 for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).

For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and Pla.TE.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 2. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 3. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 4. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 5. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 6. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1323	276	62	39	60
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	18	9	13	6	1

```
=====
VAR 000755    Plax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1649 - 1649
              Numeric
```

Plax.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from Pla and Pla.E.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 8. DK

9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (Pla only)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	18	572	142	70	104
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	23	29	7	804

=====

VAR 000756a Pla.T. R equal role branch stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1650 - 1650
 Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
 thought much about this?

See Pl.T for complete text.
 Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered
 either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).
 For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in P1(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	19	328	18	14	3	1425

=====

VAR 000756b Pla.TE. R equal role branch ex

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1651 - 1651
 Numeric

Pla.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
 Which is closer to the way you feel?

See Pl.T for complete text.
 Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered
 either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).
 For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pl1a1.T
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pl1a1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Pl1b.T/Pl1c.T
- 8. DK --> Pl1b.T/Pl1c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in Pl1(1)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1389	367	23	24	3	1

=====

VAR 000757 Plax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eql role br
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1652 - 1652
 Numeric

Plax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
 thought much about this?
 TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
 Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

 Built from Pl1a.T and Pl1a.TE.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (Pl1a.T only)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	19	695	41	38	6	1008

=====

VAR 000758 Pl1a1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1653 - 1653
 Numeric

Pl1a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
 IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
 IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
 Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women
 should have equal roles?/
 Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's
 place is in the home?

- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in Pla.T; 7,8,9 or NA in Pla.TE

	0	1	5	8
Count	1071	680	55	1

=====

VAR 000759 Pla1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1654 - 1654
 Numeric

Pla1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
 thought much about this?
 TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
 Which is closer to the way you feel?
 BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
 Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
 and women should have equal roles?
 Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
 woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

 Built from Plax.T and Pla1/a2.T

- 1. Strongly - women equal role
- 2. Not strongly - equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - place is in the home
- 5. Strongly - place is in the home

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	19	652	42	38
	4	5	8	9
Count	13	28	7	1008

=====

VAR 000760 Pla1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1655 - 1655
 Numeric

Pla1x2.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
 Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't

you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plax and Plalx1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home
-
8. DK
 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	37	1224	184	250
	4	5	8	9
Count	36	57	14	5

=====
VAR 000761 P1b. Gore-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1656 - 1656
Numeric

P1b.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 2. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 3. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 4. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 5. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 6. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	273	185	145	127
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	36	24	19	193	2

=====

VAR 000762 P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1657 - 1657
 Numeric

P1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P1b.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> P1b1.T
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> P1b1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	552	40	19	187	2

=====

VAR 000763 P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1658 - 1658
 Numeric

P1b1/b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal role?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

- 1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1215	506	78	8

=====

VAR 000764 P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1659 - 1659
Numeric

P1bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women
should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1b.T and P1b1/b2.T

- 1. Strongly - women equal role
- 2. Not strongly - equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - place is in the home
- 5. Strongly - place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	480	64	19
	4	5	8	9
Count	14	26	195	2

=====
VAR 000765 P1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1660 - 1660
Numeric

P1bx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women
should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1b and P1bx1

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows (Count) showing data for P1bx2.

=====
VAR 000766 P1c. Bush-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1661 - 1661
Numeric

P1c.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 2. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 3. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 4. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 5. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 6. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
- 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1c./P2

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1b./P2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	154	99	153	172
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	114	60	30	220	2

=====

VAR 000767 P1c.T. Bush-equal role scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1662 - 1662
 Numeric

P1c.T.

TELEPHONE:
 What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
 See P1 for complete text.
 TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
 For the FTF version of this question see P1c.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK --> P1b.T OR --> P2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	440	112	30	216	2

=====

VAR 000768 P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1663 - 1663
Numeric

P1c1/c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T

8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1255	412	133	7

=====
VAR 000769 P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1664 - 1664
Numeric

P1cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that
women should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1c.T and P1c1/c2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1007	344	90	30
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	68	223	2

=====

VAR 000770 Plcx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1665 - 1665
Numeric

Plcx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plc and Plcx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	498	189	469
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	103	98	443	4

.....

P2/P2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others think that current

regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.)

TELEPHONE:

Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business.

=====
VAR 000771 P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1666 - 1666
Numeric

P2a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	192	172	149	159	167
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	76	48	36	5	803

=====
VAR 000772 P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1667 - 1667
Numeric

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	243	337	132	73	11	1011

=====
VAR 000773 P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1668 - 1668
Numeric

P2a1.T.

IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

-
1. A LOT --> P2a3
 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2a3
 8. DK --> P2a3
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

	0	1	5
Count	1470	217	120

=====
VAR 000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1669 - 1669
Numeric

P2a2.T.

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

-
1. WAY TOO MUCH
 5. SOMEWHAT
 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1675	58	74

=====

VAR 000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1670 - 1670
 Numeric

P2ax1.

TELEHPONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

 Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	243	217	120	73
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	74	58	11	1011

=====

VAR 000776 P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1671 - 1671
 Numeric

P2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEHPONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2a and P2ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	435	389	269	475
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	122	94	16	7

=====

VAR 000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1672 - 1672
 Numeric

P2aa.

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	129	223	648
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	563	219	21	1

=====

VAR 000778 P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1673 - 1673
 Numeric

P2b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.

P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS
NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
- 2. --> P2bb
- 3. --> P2bb
- 4. --> P2bb
- 5. --> P2bb
- 6. --> P2bb
- 7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	153	232	178	138
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	45	24	10	223	1

=====

VAR 000779 P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1674 - 1674
 Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	483	71	19	225	2

=====

VAR 000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1675 - 1675
 Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

- 1. A LOT --> P2bb
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
- 8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1324	331	151	1

=====

VAR 000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1676 - 1676
 Numeric

P2b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

- 1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2bb
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb

8. DK --> P2c.T OR -->P3.
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

	0	1	5
Count	1736	7	64

=====

VAR 000782 P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul
 COLUMNS: 1677 - 1677
 Numeric

P2bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	331	151	19
	4	5	8	9
Count	64	7	226	2

=====

VAR 000783 P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1678 - 1678
 Numeric

P2bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Bult from P2b and P2bx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	484	383	380
	4	5	8	9
Count	88	17	449	3

=====

VAR 000784 P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1679 - 1679
 Numeric

P2bb.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	473	420	548	364	1	1

=====

VAR 000785 P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1680 - 1680
 Numeric

P2c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.

P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2cc
- 2. --> P2cc
- 3. --> P2cc
- 4. --> P2cc
- 5. --> P2cc
- 6. --> P2cc
- 7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS --> P2cc
- 8. DK --> P2b OR P3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	26	40	93	203
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	182	148	63	248	1

=====

VAR 000786 P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1681 - 1681
 Numeric

P2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	159	355	43	241	2

=====
VAR 000787 P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1682 - 1682
Numeric

P2c1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

-
1. A LOT --> P2cc
 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc
 8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3.
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

	0	1	5
Count	1648	68	91

=====
VAR 000788 P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1683 - 1683
Numeric

P2c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the

environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

- 1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2cc
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

- 8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1452	136	216	3

=====
VAR 000789 P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1684 - 1684
Numeric

P2cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. Toughen regulations a little
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. Regulations way too much burden

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	68	91	43
	4	5	8	9
Count	216	136	244	2

=====

VAR 000790 P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1685 - 1685
Numeric

P2cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	94	131	521
	4	5	8	9
Count	364	199	492	3

=====
VAR 000791 P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1686 - 1686
Numeric

P2cc.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	541	255	570	440	1

=====

VAR 000792 P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1687 - 1687
 Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO P3c
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P3c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8
Count	3	1547	230	27

=====

VAR 000793 P3a. Who will R vote for President
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1688 - 1688
 Numeric

P3a.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3c
- 7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	260	740	604	5	41
	5	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	3	70	63	21	

=====

VAR 000794 P3b. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1689 - 1689
Numeric

P3b.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:
IF INTENDED PRESIDENTIAL VOTE IS NOT NONE OR DK/RF:

Would you say that your preference for
[GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
- 5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	347	1114	339	7

=====

VAR 000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1690 - 1690
Numeric

P3c.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:

If you were going to vote, who do you think you would
vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE
UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D
LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WOULD
VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
- 7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a

--> SKIP TO P4

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1547	89	104	2	4
	5	7	8	9	
Count	33	6	19	3	

=====

VAR 000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1691 - 1691
 Numeric

P3d.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
 IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:
 IF R NAMES PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HE WOULD VOTE FOR IF VOTING:

Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED>
 is strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1602	105	97	3

=====

VAR 000797 P4. Which party will control the House
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1692 - 1692
 Numeric

P4.

The next couple of questions are about what you think
 will happen as a result of the upcoming elections.
 After the November election, which party do you think
 will have the most members in the U.S. House of
 Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 5. DEMOCRATS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	815	604	384	1

=====
 VAR 000798 P5. Which party will control the Senate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1693 - 1693
 Numeric

P5.

Again, after the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate, -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

-
- 1. REPUBLICANS
 - 5. DEMOCRATS

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	814	557	430	3

=====
 VAR 000799 P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1694 - 1694
 Numeric

P6.

Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

-
- 1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION --> P6a
 - 5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST --> P7

 - 8. DK --> P7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1192	585	18	9

=====
 VAR 000800 P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtment in jobs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1695 - 1695
 Numeric

P6a.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?

-
- 1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS
 - 5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK -->P7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P6

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	615	625	519	46	1	1

=====
VAR 000801 P6a1. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1696 - 1696
Numeric

P6a1.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:
IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/
IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

-
- 1. STRONGLY
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a

	0	1	5	8
Count	663	951	192	1

=====
VAR 000802 P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1697 - 1697
Numeric

P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6,P6a,P6a1.

- 1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
- 2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
- 4. Not strongly - not the government's business
- 5. Strongly - not the government's business

- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

	0	1	2	4
Count	588	524	100	92
	5	7	8	9
Count	427	46	20	10

=====

VAR 000803 P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1698 - 1698
 Numeric

P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion -- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

- 1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7a
- 5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7b
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected
- 8. DK --> section Q as randomly selected
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	4	262	1366	94	66	15

=====

VAR 000804 P7a. Strength for preference blks jobs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1699 - 1699
 Numeric

P7a.

IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly
or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1545	174	87	1

=====

VAR 000805 P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1700 - 1700
Numeric

P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	441	1021	342	3

=====

VAR 000806 P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1701 - 1701
Numeric

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against
preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?
Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	4	174	87	342
	5	7	8	9
Count	1021	94	70	15

.....

Q0.

Now I'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton

=====

VAR 000807 Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1702 - 1702
 Numeric

Q1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office. First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. GOTTEN SMALLER
- 3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	496	126	203	61	1

=====

VAR 000808 Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1703 - 1703
Numeric

Q1a.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller
or somewhat smaller?

-
- 1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
 - 5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1311	240	248	8

=====
VAR 000809 Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1704 - 1704
Numeric

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger
or somewhat larger?

-
- 1. MUCH LARGER
 - 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q1

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1681	44	78	4

=====
VAR 000810 Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1705 - 1705
Numeric

Q1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/
larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	240	248	203
	4	5	8	9
Count	78	44	73	1

=====

VAR 000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1706 - 1706
 Numeric

Q2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1 INCREASED
- 3 DECREASED
- 5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3

- 8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	339	158	275	114	1

=====

VAR 000812 Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1707 - 1707
 Numeric

Q2a.

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

-
- 1. A LOT
 - 5. SOMEWHAT

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1310	130	362	5

=====

VAR 000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1708 - 1708
 Numeric

Q2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?

A lot or somewhat?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Q2 and Q2a.

- 1. Increases a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	81	256	275
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	106	49	119	1

=====

VAR 000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1709 - 1709
 Numeric

Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q3b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	633	59	185	10

=====
VAR 000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1710 - 1710
Numeric

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

	0	1	5
Count	1174	372	261

=====
VAR 000816 Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1711 - 1711
Numeric

Q3b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1748	22	37

=====

VAR 000817 Q3x. Summary economy since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1712 - 1712
 Numeric

Q3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	372	261	185
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	37	22	10	

=====

VAR 000818 Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1713 - 1713
 Numeric

Q4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected

for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
- 3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO Q4b
- 5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO Q5

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	502	41	321	23

=====
VAR 000819 Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1714 - 1714
Numeric

Q4a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4

	0	1	5
Count	1305	242	260

=====
VAR 000820 Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1715 - 1715
Numeric

Q4b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4

	0	1	5
Count	1766	15	26

=====
VAR 000821 Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1716 - 1716

Numeric

Q4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	242	260	321
	4	5	8	
Count	26	15	23	

=====
VAR 000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1717 - 1717
Numeric

Q5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	189	75	608	15

=====

VAR 000823 Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1718 - 1718
 Numeric

Q6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
- 3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q6b
- 5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q7
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	215	261	379	32

=====

VAR 000824 Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1719 - 1719
 Numeric

Q6a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q6

	0	1	5
Count	1592	71	144

=====

VAR 000825 Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1720 - 1720
 Numeric

Q6b.

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1546	107	153	1

=====

VAR 000826 Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1721 - 1721
 Numeric

Q6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
 (Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. Not changed very much
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	71	144	379
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	153	107	33	

=====

VAR 000827 Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1722 - 1722

Numeric

Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
- 3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7b
- 5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q8
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	192	218	449	28

=====

VAR 000828 Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/somewhat more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1723 - 1723

Numeric

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7

	0	1	5
Count	1615	73	119

=====

VAR 000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/somewhat less secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1724 - 1724

Numeric

Q7b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1589	99	119

=====

VAR 000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1725 - 1725
 Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	73	119	449

	4	5	8
	-----	-----	-----
Count	119	99	28

=====

VAR 000831 Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1726 - 1726
 Numeric

Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	327	276	260	24

=====

VAR 000832 Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1727 - 1727
 Numeric

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

	0	1	5	8
Count	1480	82	244	1

=====

VAR 000833 Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1728 - 1728
 Numeric

Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8

	0	1	5
Count	1531	138	138

=====

VAR 000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1729 - 1729
 Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
 (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	82	244	260
	4	5	8	
Count	138	138	25	

=====

VAR 000835 Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1730 - 1730
 Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q9b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q10
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	234	72	543	38

=====
 VAR 000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1731 - 1731
 Numeric

Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9

	0	1	5	8
Count	1573	72	161	1

=====
 VAR 000837 Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1732 - 1732
 Numeric

Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1735	36	36

=====

VAR 000838 Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1733 - 1733
Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	72	161	543
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	36	36	39	

=====

VAR 000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1734 - 1734
Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q11

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	87	470	314	15	1

=====

VAR 000840 Q10a.Moral climate much/smwat better
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1735 - 1735
 Numeric

Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

	0	1	5
Count	1720	28	59

=====

VAR 000841 Q10b.Moral climate much/smwat worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1736 - 1736
 Numeric

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

	0	1	5	9
Count	1337	260	209	1

=====

VAR 000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1737 - 1737
 Numeric

Q10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	28	59	314
	4	5	8	9
Count	209	260	15	2

=====

VAR 000843 Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1738 - 1738
 Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q11b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q14

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	65	373	425	21	3

=====
VAR 000844 Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1739 - 1739
Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1742	23	42

=====
VAR 000845 Q11b.Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1740 - 1740
Numeric

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1434	214	159

=====
VAR 000846 Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1741 - 1741
Numeric

Q11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	23	42	425
	4	5	8	9
Count	159	214	21	3

=====
VAR 000847 Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1742 - 1742
Numeric

Q14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
ANGRY

Clinton affects were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	617	297	2

=====

VAR 000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1743 - 1743
Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
1. VERY OFTEN
 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
 3. OCCASIONALLY
 4. RARELY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1190	138	143	263	73

=====

VAR 000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1744 - 1744
Numeric

Q14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
HOPEFUL

Clinton affects were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	469	444	3

=====
VAR 000850 Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1745 - 1745
Numeric

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
1. VERY OFTEN
 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
 3. OCCASIONALLY
 4. RARELY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1338	90	135	203	41

=====
VAR 000851 Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1746 - 1746
Numeric

Q14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	232	682	2

=====

VAR 000852 Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1747 - 1747
 Numeric

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1575	40	55	96	41

=====

VAR 000853 Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1748 - 1748
 Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
 PROUD

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	891	367	544	4	1

=====

VAR 000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1749 - 1749
 Numeric

Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often,
 fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14d

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1440	80	101	150	35	1

=====

VAR 000855 Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1750 - 1750
 Numeric

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
 describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
 too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
 Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
 well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is)'<TRAIT>'
 describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
 too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
 Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
 not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	891	34	111	293
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	456	20	2	

=====
VAR 000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1751 - 1751
Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	128	316	280
	4	8	9	
Count	165	26	1	

=====
VAR 000857 Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1752 - 1752
Numeric

Q15c/Q15c.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	891	330	462	90	18	16

=====

VAR 000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1753 - 1753
 Numeric

Q15d/Q15d.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected

for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	891	207	374	213	106	16

=====

VAR 000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clitnon trait-dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1754 - 1754
Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	284	304	223
	4	8	9	
Count	76	26	3	

```
=====
VAR 000860    Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1755 - 1755
              Numeric
```

Q15f/Q15f.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 Clinton traits were asked in random order.
 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	891	376	412	88	30	10

=====
VAR 000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1756 - 1756
Numeric

Q15g/Q15g.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL

3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	107	245	351
	4	8	9	
Count	183	29	1	

=====
VAR 000862 R1. How opinionated is R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1757 - 1757
Numeric

R1.

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions. What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?

1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
2. MANY THINGS
3. SOME THINGS
4. VERY FEW THINGS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	348	633	693
	4	8	9	
Count	124	3	1	

=====
VAR 000863 R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1758 - 1758
Numeric

R1a.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?

1. FEWER OPINIONS
3. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS

5. MORE OPINIONS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	5	244	969	558	27	4

=====

VAR 000864 R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1759 - 1759
Numeric

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just
somewhat more opinions?

- 1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in R1a

	0	1	5
Count	1249	255	303

=====

VAR 000865 R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1760 - 1760
Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just
somewhat fewer opinions?

- 1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
- 5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

	0	1	5
Count	1563	58	186

=====

VAR 000866 R1x. Summary degree R opinionated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1761 - 1761
Numeric

R1x.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?
Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED

Built from R1a and R1a1/R1a2.

- 1. A lot fewer
- 2. Somewhat fewer
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat more
- 5. A lot more

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	58	186	969
	4	5	8	9
Count	303	255	27	4

=====

VAR 000867 R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1762 - 1762
Numeric

R2.

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that.
What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

- 1. LIKE
- 3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
- 5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	5	1068	243	485	6

=====
 VAR 000868 R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1763 - 1763
 Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

- 1. A LOT --> SKIP TO R3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

	0	1	5	8
Count	739	707	360	1

=====
 VAR 000869 R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1764 - 1764
 Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

- 1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
- 5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

	0	1	5
Count	1564	74	169

=====
 VAR 000870 R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1765 - 1765
 Numeric

R2x.

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT

Built from R2 and R2a/b.

- 1. Like it a lot
- 2. Like it somewhat
- 3. Neither like it nor dislike it
- 4. Dislike it somewhat
- 5. Dislike it a lot

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	707	360	485
	4	5	8	
Count	169	74	7	

=====
VAR 000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1766 - 1766
Numeric

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more complex problems.
Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or complex?

- 1. SIMPLE
- 5. COMPLEX

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	5	889	872	34	7

=====
VAR 000872 S1. Is religion important to R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1767 - 1767
Numeric

S1.

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

- 1. IMPORTANT
- 5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	5	1373	426	1	2

=====

VAR 000873 S2. How much guidance from religion
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1768 - 1768
 Numeric

S2.

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

- 1. SOME
- 3. QUITE A BIT
- 5. A GREAT DEAL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	434	292	395	685	1

=====

VAR 000874 S3/S3.T. How often does R pray
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1769 - 1769
 Numeric

S3/S3.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 15 of the booklet.
 People practice their religion in different ways.
 Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:

People practice their religion in different ways.
 Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
- 2. ONCE A DAY
- 3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK

- 4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
- 5. NEVER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	5	567	402	312	284
	5	7	8	9	
Count	192	27	2	16	

=====
 VAR 000875 S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1770 - 1770
 Numeric

S4/S4.T.

FTF:
 Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.
 Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:
 Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
- 2. ONCE A DAY
- 3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
- 4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
- 5. NEVER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	5	64	187	245	556
	5	7	8	9	
Count	690	48	2	10	

=====
 VAR 000876 S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1771 - 1771
 Numeric

S5/S5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 16 of the booklet.
Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of statements.
Please tell me which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose.

-
1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
 2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
 3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	5	600	869	247
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	44	21	21	

=====

VAR 000877 X1. Attend religious services
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1772 - 1772
 Numeric

X1.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

-
1. YES --> SKIP TO X2
 5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
 8. DK --> SKIP TO X1a
 9. RF
 0. NA

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	5	1249	545	8

=====

VAR 000878 X1a. Part of a church or denomination
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1773 - 1773
 Numeric

X1a.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

-
- 1. YES --> X3
 - 5. NO --> GO TO Y1
 - 8. DK --> GO TO Y1
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1262	294	251

=====
 VAR 000879 X2. Attend religious services how often
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1774 - 1774
 Numeric

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

-
- 1. EVERY WEEK --> X2a
 - 2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK --> X3
 - 3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH --> X3
 - 4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR --> X3
 - 5. NEVER --> X3
 - 8. DK --> X3
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	558	479	205	270
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	282	8	1	4

=====
 VAR 000880 X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1775 - 1775
 Numeric

X2a.

IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

Would you say you go to religious services once a week
or more often than once a week?

-
- 1. ONCE A WEEK
 - 2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

	0	1	2
Count	1328	270	209

=====
VAR 000881 X3. Attend church checkpoint
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1776 - 1776
Numeric

X3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
DENOMINATION:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

-
- 1. YES --> X3a
 - 5. NO (5 in X1) --> X3b

 - 9. RF (9 in X1)
 - 0. INAP, 0 in X1

	0	1	5	9
Count	5	1240	554	8

=====
VAR 000882 X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1777 - 1777
Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

-
- 1. PROTESTANT --> X4
 - 2. CATHOLIC --> X7
 - 3. JEWISH --> X6a
 - 7. OTHER --> X4

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

	0	1	2	3
Count	567	543	359	28
	7	8	9	
Count	303	2	5	

=====

VAR 000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1778 - 1778
 Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

- 1. PROTESTANT --> X4
- 2. CATHOLIC --> SKIP TO X7
- 3. JEWISH --> SKIP TO X6b
- 7. OTHER --> X4

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

	0	1	2	3
Count	1504	123	100	15
	7	8	9	
Count	62	1	2	

=====

VAR 000884 X4. Denomination/other specify
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
 COLUMNS: 1779 - 1780
 Numeric

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

- 1. BAPTIST --> SKIP TO X4b
- 2. EPISCOPALIAN/ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND --> SKIP TO X7
- 3. LUTHERAN --> SKIP TO X4c

- 4. METHODIST --> SKIP TO X4d
- 5. JUST PROTESTANT --> SKIP TO X7
- 6. PRESBYTERIAN --> SKIP TO X4e
- 7. REFORMED --> SKIP TO X4f
- 8. BRETHREN --> SKIP TO X4g
- 9. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN --> SKIP TO X7
- 10. CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN --> SKIP TO X4h
- 11. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 12. CHURCH (or CHURCHES) OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X4i
- 13. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 14. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 15. CHURCH OF GOD --> SKIP TO X4j
- 16. ASSEMBLY OF GOD --> SKIP TO X7
- 17. CONGREGATIONALIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 18. HOLINESS --> SKIP TO X4k
- 19. PENTACOSTAL --> SKIP TO X4k
- 20. FRIENDS, QUAKER --> SKIP TO X7
- 21. ORTHODOX, e.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY);C --> SKIP TO X7
- 22. NON-DENOMINATIONAL - PROTESTANT --> SKIP TO X7
- 23. MORMONS --> SKIP TO X7
- 24. JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES --> SKIP TO X7
- 25. LATTER DAY SAINTS --> SKIP TO X7
- 26. UNITARIAN/UNIVERSALIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 27. BUDDHIST --> SKIP TO X7
- 28. HINDU --> SKIP TO X7
- 29. MUSLIM/ISLAM --> SKIP TO X7
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN --> SKIP TO X7

- 95. NA
- 98. DK --> SKIP TO X7
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 9,0 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 2,3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b
- 90. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO X4m

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	776	307	32	101	111	3
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	75	2	1	5	63	3
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	17	11	6	12	15	16
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	5	39	4	5	52	12
	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	10	18	10	4	1	4
	30	90				
Count	2	85				

=====

VAR 000885 X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
COLUMNS: 1781 - 1781
Character

X4(1).

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

=====
VAR 000886 X4a. Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1782 - 1782
Numeric

X4a.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American
Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist
Association, an independent Baptist church or some other
Baptist group?

-
- 1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION --> X7
 - 2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --> X7
 - 3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION --> X7
 - 4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST --> X7
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4

	0	1	2	3
Count	1500	160	19	18
	4	7	8	
Count	49	44	17	

=====
VAR 000887 X4b. Independent Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1783 - 1783
Numeric

X4b.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:
IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
this strictly a local church?

-
- 1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY] --> X7
 - 2. LOCAL --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a

	0	1	2
Count	1758	3	46

=====
VAR 000888 X4c. Lutheran group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1784 - 1784
Numeric

X4c.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in
American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran
group?

-
- 1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH --> X7
 - 2. MISSOURI SYNOD --> X7
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1706	52	27	15	7

=====
VAR 000889 X4d. Methodist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1785 - 1785
Numeric

X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH

OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church,
African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist
group?

-
- 1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7
 - 2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1696	96	8	5	2

=====
VAR 000890 X4e. Presbyterian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1786 - 1786
Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other
Presbyterian group?

-
- 1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY
UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4

	0	1	7	8
Count	1732	63	10	2

=====
VAR 000891 X4f. Reformed group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1787 - 1787
Numeric

X4f.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:

Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

- 1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH --> X7
- 2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA --> X7
- 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

- 8. DK --> X7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1805	2

=====
VAR 000892 X4g. Brethren group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1788 - 1788
Numeric

X4g.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:

Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

- 1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN --> X7
- 2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN --> X7
- 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

- 8. DK --> X7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4

	0	2
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 000893 X4h. Christian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1789 - 1789
Numeric

X4h.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4:

When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

- 1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> X7
- 2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN --> X7
- 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

- 8. DK --> X7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1744	12	37	12	2

=====

VAR 000894 X4i. Church of Christ group
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1790 - 1790
 Numeric

X4i.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
 IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of
 Christ?

- 1. CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7
- 2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7

- 8. DK --> X7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4

	0	1	2
Count	1790	16	1

=====

VAR 000895 X4j. Church of God group
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1791 - 1791

Numeric

X4j.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
 IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4:

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the
 Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God
 in Christ; or some other Church of God?

- 1. ANDERSON, INDIANA --> X7
- 2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE --> X7
- 3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST --> X7

7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
 8. DK --> X7
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4

	0	1	2	3	7
Count	1795	4	3	1	4

=====
 VAR 000896 X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
 COLUMNS: 1792 - 1792
 Character

X4k.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
 IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINIESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
 Is that part of a larger church or denomination?
 What is the church called?

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)

 [THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET
 AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
 This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
 hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

=====
 VAR 000897 X4a-j. (blanked) other
 COLUMNS: 1793 - 1793
 Character

X4a-j.

IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:

TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:

 This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
 hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

=====
 VAR 000898 X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
 COLUMNS: 1794 - 1794
 Character

X4m.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
 IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?

[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand coded into the religion summary variable.

=====

VAR 000899 X4m1. Is other group christian
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1795 - 1795
Numeric

X4m1.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

Is that group Christian?

-
- 1. YES --> X7
 - 5. NO --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1722	77	7	1

=====

VAR 000900 X6a. Attend Jewish group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1796 - 1796
Numeric

X6a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

-
- 1. ORTHODOX` --> X7
 - 2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
 - 3. REFORM --> X7
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1779	6	11	11

=====

VAR 000901 X6b. Jewish denomination
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1797 - 1797
 Numeric

X6b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
 CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,
 or what?

-
- 1. ORTHODOX --> X7
 - 2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
 - 3. REFORM --> X7
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

 - 8. DK --> X7
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b

	0	2	3	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1792	2	9	4

=====

VAR 000902 X7. Member place of worship
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1798 - 1798
 Numeric

X7.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
 CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation,
 temple or other place of worship?

-
- 1. YES --> X8 or Y1
 - 5. NO --> X8 or Y1

 - 8. DK --> X8 or Y1
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	264	1030	509	2	2

=====

VAR 000903 X8. Born-again christian
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1799 - 1799
 Numeric

X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,
have you personally had a conversion experience related
to Jesus Christ?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b;
5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	336	594	865	8	4

=====
VAR 000904 X9x. Religion summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1800 - 1802
Numeric

X9x.

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
Jewish, or something else?

JEWISH:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is
Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,
or what?

PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:

What church or denomination is that?

IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church
associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the
American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American
Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or
some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are
you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
this strictly a local church?

IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical
Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or
some other Lutheran group?

IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United
Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some
other Methodist group?

IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in
the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church,
the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed
group?

IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the
Plymouth Brethren, or what?'

IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that
mean the denomination called the "Christian Church
Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian

denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?

IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?

IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

- 995. NA
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does not attend religious services)

=====

VAR 000905 Y1(1). Month of birth

MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 1803 - 1804
Numeric

Y1(1).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH

01-12

- 98. DK
- 99. RF; NA

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	164	123	159	143	143
	6	7	8	9	10
Count	133	174	147	156	124

	11	12	98	99
Count	146	163	1	31

=====
 VAR 000906 Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
 COLUMNS: 1805 - 1805
 Numeric

Y1(2).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

DAY (BLANKED)

 This variable is blanked.

=====
 VAR 000907 Y1(3). Year of birth
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9998
 COLUMNS: 1806 - 1809
 Numeric

Y1(3).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR

 9998. DK
 9999. RF
 0000. NA

=====
 VAR 000908 Y1x. Respondent age
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1810 - 1811
 Numeric

Y1x.

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

 Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:
 97. 97 and older

00. NA

	0	18	19	20	21	22
Count	9	12	16	23	23	23

	23	24	25	26	27	28
Count	23	26	26	28	28	29
	29	30	31	32	33	34
Count	22	34	30	36	33	40
	35	36	37	38	39	40
Count	41	45	48	40	44	46
	41	42	43	44	45	46
Count	42	48	37	41	34	35
	47	48	49	50	51	52
Count	38	36	32	39	35	26
	53	54	55	56	57	58
Count	31	30	26	36	40	33
	59	60	61	62	63	64
Count	25	21	20	17	29	16
	65	66	67	68	69	70
Count	24	17	14	17	18	17
	71	72	73	74	75	76
Count	11	16	22	17	17	14
	77	78	79	80	81	82
Count	9	12	13	10	9	15
	83	84	85	86	87	88
Count	6	9	5	1	2	6
	89	90	91	92	93	95
Count	5	2	1	1	2	1
	96	97				
Count	1	1				

=====

VAR 000909 Y2. Marital status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1812 - 1812
Numeric

Y2.

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife)
-- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you
never married?

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,
1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital
status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere
on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there
is no information about spouse/partner.

- 1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: 5, 935, 168, 238, 55. Row 2: 348, 49, 1, 8.

=====
VAR 000910 Y3. Highest grade completed
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 1813 - 1814
Numeric

Y3.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
you have completed?

- 00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

- 98. DK
99. RF; NA

Table with 7 columns (0-7) and 3 rows of counts. Row 1: 3, 3, 5, 2, 14, 12. Row 2: 30, 26, 52, 66, 483, 181. Row 3: 240, 106, 316, 259, 9.

```

=====
VAR 000911    Y3a. Diploma/GED
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 1815 - 1815
              Numeric

```

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
equivalency test?

-
- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
 - 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

	0	1	5	9
Count	1107	519	180	1

```

=====
VAR 000912    Y3b. Highest degree earned
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
              COLUMNS: 1816 - 1817
              Numeric

```

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

-
- 01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
 - 02. MASTER'S DEGREE
 - 03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
 - 04. LLB, JD
 - 05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
 - 06. JDC, STD, THD
 - 07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
 - 96. NO DEGREE EARNED

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	705	373	139	27	7
	5	6	7	96	99
Count	8	2	168	377	1

=====

VAR 000913 Y3x. R educ summary
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1818 - 1818
 Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
 you have completed?
 Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
 equivalency test?
 What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

 Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
 [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years
 without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3,
 1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree
 (13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]

8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

	1	2	3	4
Count	64	116	519	377
	5	6	7	9
Count	168	373	183	7

=====

VAR 000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner
 MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 1819 -1820
 Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
 (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

- 00-12 YEARS
 13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
 17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
98. DK
 99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

	0	3	4	5	6	7
Count	2	2	2	2	2	3
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	21	12	23	23	285	73
	14	15	16	17	98	99
Count	141	39	205	140	9	823

=====
VAR 000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1821 - 1821
Numeric

Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y5
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

	0	1	5	8
Count	1421	297	82	7

=====
VAR 000916 Y4b. Partner highest degree
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1822 - 1823
Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

- 01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
- 02. MASTER'S DEGREE
- 03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
- 04. LLB, JD
- 05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
- 06. JDC, STD, THD
- 07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
- 96. NO DEGREE EARNED
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1209	218	88	17	1	10
	6	7	96	98	99	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	85	174	2	2	

=====

VAR 000917 Y4x. Sp educ. Summary
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1824 - 1824
Numeric

Y4x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed? Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2 here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

- 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4, 1 in Y4a]
- More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
- Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
- BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
- Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
- DK [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
- NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]

	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	29	56	297	174	85
	6	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	218	117	6	825	

Y6.

Occupation/employment variables represent 5 series of questions. The question series initially assigned to R in Y6(1) is determined by R's self-identification of employment status. The series occupation data are:

"UNEMPLOYED" - past occupation
 "RETIRED" - past occupation
 "DISABLED" - past occupation
 "HOMEMAKER" (nonworking) - past occupation
 "STUDENT" (nonworking) - past occupation
 "WORKING NOW" - current occupation
 "TEMPORARILY LAID OFF" - current occupation

Note: The final employment status summaries Y6(2)-Y6(3) are built using both R's initial self-identification per Y6(1) and additional information provided by R during questioning.

The retired who are also currently working have data in both the RETIRED and WORKING NOW series; the disabled may have data in both DISABLED and WORKING NOW series. For homemaker and student Rs, the 1st question in the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series asks whether R is currently working; if so, R is immediately transferred out of that series to the WORKING NOW series (the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series not completed).

Since many questions are asked across multiple series, data for common questions across series are "stacked" into variables V000979-V000989; for these stacked variables the following data are included:

PAST employment of all retirees [15,50,51 in Y6(1)]
 PAST employment of the unemployed [40 in Y6(1)]
 PAST employment of nonworking disabled [60 in Y6(1)]
 PRESENT employment of working disabled [16,61 in Y6(1)]
 PRESENT employment of Rs working now only [10,20 in Y6(1)] or working homemaker/student [17,18,71,81 in Y6(2)]
 NO data for nonworking homemakers/students [70,75,80 in Y6(1)]

=====
 VAR 000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1825 - 1825
 Numeric

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
 We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

See notes Y6.
 This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's self-identification of employment status.
 By contrast, the Y6(2) and Y6(3) employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).

Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:
 RET ONLY or (RET and any others) -->retired series
 HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) -->hmkcr/st series
 DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO) -->disabled series
 WN/TLO ONLY -->wrkg now series

Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series appears last in codebook variables:

- V000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- V000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- V000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- V000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- V000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]

1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)

0. NA	0	1	2	3
Count	7	1035	17	59
	4	5	6	7
Count	336	74	183	96

=====

VAR 000919 Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1826 - 1827
 Numeric

Y6(2).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
 We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

See notes Y6.
 Built using Y5, Y12g, Y14g, Y15, Y7e.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status as categorized AFTER all information has been collected. Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18 although number of hours worked (V000974) was coded as DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated that R worked only a few hours a week.

- 10. WORKING NOW only
- 15. WORKING NOW and retired (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 16. WORKING NOW and permanently disabled, currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 17. WORKING NOW and homemaker (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 18. WORKING NOW and student (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
- 40. UNEMPLOYED
- 50. RETIRED, no other occupation
- 51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
- 61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
- 71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
- 80. STUDENT, no other occupation
- 81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week

00. NA

	0	10	15	16	17	18
Count	7	1035	18	2	55	51
	20	40	50	51	60	70
Count	17	59	300	18	72	114
	71	75	80	81		
Count	14	2	25	18		

=====

VAR 000920 Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 1828 - 1828
 Numeric

Y6(3).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
 We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY

Built from Y6(2)

- 1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
- 2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
- 4. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
- 5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [50,51 in Y6(2)]

- 6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
- 7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students-- 70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
- 8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week ([80,81 in Y6(2)])

0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	7	1161	17	59
	5	6	7	8
Count	318	72	130	43

=====

VAR 000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1829 - 1829
 Numeric

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y10g
- 8. DK --> Y10g
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1748	58	1

=====

VAR 000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
 COLUMNS: 1830 - 1831
 Numeric

Y10a(1).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of

R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:

- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	7	9	13
Count	1749	6	3	2	3	2
Count	18	20	22	24	32	33
Count	1	9	1	1	2	3
Count	35	36	37	42	48	54
Count	2	6	3	3	1	1
Count	61	63	65	66	69	70
Count	1	4	1	1	1	1

=====

VAR 000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
 COLUMNS: 1832 - 1832
 Numeric

Y10a(2).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
 The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

- 995. NA
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

VAR 000923 Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
 COLUMNS: 1833 - 1834
 Numeric

Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

 Collapsed from Y10a(2).
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
 R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	4	5	7
Count	1749	9	7	10	7	2
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	9	3	2	5	2	2

=====

VAR 000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
COLUMNS: 1835 - 1835
Numeric

Y10a(4).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====
VAR 000925 Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1836 - 1838
Numeric

Y10b.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

VAR 000926 Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1839 - 1839
Numeric

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
what?

-
- 1. SOMEONE ELSE
 - 3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
 - 5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y10e
 - 8. DK --> Y10e
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

	0	1	3	5
Count	1749	48	4	6

=====

VAR 000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1840 - 1840
Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local
government?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

	0	1	5
Count	1755	5	47

=====

VAR 000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1841 - 1841
Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y10g

- 8. DK --> Y10g
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

	0	1	5
Count	1749	28	30

=====
VAR 000929 Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1842 - 1844
Numeric

Y10f.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the
average week?

1-168

- 996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====
VAR 000930 Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1845 - 1845
Numeric

Y10g.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Y25

 - 8. DK --> Y25
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1748	36	23

=====

VAR 000931 Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1846 - 1846
 Numeric

Y10h.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
 IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
 in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

-
- 1. A LOT --> Y25
 - 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
 - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

 - 8. DK --> Y25
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1771	8	11	17

=====

VAR 000932 Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 1847 - 1848
 Numeric

Y11(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

MONTH

-
- MONTH:
- 01. JANUARY
 - 02. FEBRUARY
 - 03. MARCH
 - 04. APRIL
 - 05. MAY
 - 06. JUNE
 - 07. JULY
 - 08. AUGUST
 - 09. SEPTEMBER
 - 10. OCTOBER
 - 11. NOVEMBER
 - 12. DECEMBER

- 96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA

	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	53	15	22	47	16

	6	7	8	9	10
Count	42	27	25	19	17
	11	12	96	98	
Count	6	30	1471	17	

=====
VAR 000933 Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
COLUMNS: 1849 - 1852
Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR

9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

=====
VAR 000934 Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1853 - 1854
Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====
VAR 000934a Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1855 - 1855
Numeric

Y12a(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====
VAR 000935 Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1856 - 1857
Numeric

Y12a(3).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)

9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1471	59	58	9	27	54
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	3	8	34	4	31	24
	12	13	14	95		
Count	14	5	2	4		

=====

VAR 000936 Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
 COLUMNS: 1858 - 1858
 Numeric

Y12a(4).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)

00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

VAR 000937 Y12b. R ret: industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1859 - 1861
Numeric

Y12b.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====
VAR 000938 Y12c. R ret: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1862 - 1862
Numeric

Y12c.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y12e

8. DK --> Y12e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1471	281	9	45	1

=====
VAR 000939 Y12d. R ret: employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1863 - 1863
Numeric

Y12d.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1517	87	202	1

=====
VAR 000940 Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1864 - 1864
Numeric

Y12e.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Have you had a job in the last six months?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Y12g

 - 8. DK --> Y12g
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1471	46	290

=====
VAR 000941 Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1865 - 1867
Numeric

Y12f.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

- 996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====

VAR 000942 Y12g. R ret: working for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1868 - 1868
Numeric

Y12g.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

-
- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1471	36	300

=====
VAR 000943 Y12h. R ret: looking for work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1869 - 1869
Numeric

Y12h.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Y25

 - 8. DK --> Y25
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g

	0	1	5
Count	1507	8	292

=====
VAR 000944 Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1870 - 1870
Numeric

Y12j.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A LOT --> Y25
- 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

- 8. DK --> Y25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h

	0	5
	-----	-----
Count	1799	8

=====

VAR 000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1871 - 1871
 Numeric

Y13.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y14h

- 8. DK --> Y14h
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1733	68	6

=====

VAR 000946 Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
 COLUMNS: 1872 - 1873
 Numeric

Y14a(1).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
 R employment section.
 The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
 been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
 respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====
VAR 000946a Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1874 - 1874
Numeric

Y14a(2).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====
VAR 000947 Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1875 - 1876
Numeric

Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)

2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
 3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
 5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
 8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
 9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
 10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
 98. DK
 99. RF
 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1739	7	5	5	7
	6	7	8	9	10
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	21	1	6
	11	12	13	95	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	3	5	5	1	

=====
 VAR 000948 Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
 COLUMNS: 1877 - 1877
 Numeric

Y14a(4).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
 R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
 from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
 Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith

Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)

00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====
VAR 000949 Y14b. R dis: industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1878 - 1880
Numeric

Y14b.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====
VAR 000950 Y14c. R dis: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1881 - 1881
Numeric

Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y14e

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1739	57	3	8

=====
 VAR 000951 Y14d. R dis: work for govt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1882 - 1882
 Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
 IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local
 government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1747	11	47	2

=====
 VAR 000952 Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1883 - 1883
 Numeric

Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y14g

- 8. DK --> Y14g
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1739	6	62

=====
 VAR 000953 Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 1884 - 1886
 Numeric

Y14f.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the
average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

=====
VAR 000954 Y14g. R dis: working for pay now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1887 - 1887
Numeric

Y14g.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also
asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1801	2	4

=====
VAR 000955 Y14h. R dis: looking for work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1888 - 1888
Numeric

Y14h.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y25

- 8. DK --> Y25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1735	6	66

=====

VAR 000956 Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1889 - 1889
 Numeric

Y14j.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
 IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
 IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
 IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
 in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A LOT --> Y25
- 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

- 8. DK --> Y25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1801	4	1	1

=====

VAR 000957 Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1890 - 1890
 Numeric

Y16.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1528	138	141

=====

VAR 000958 Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1891 - 1891
Numeric

Y16a.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y16h
- 8. DK --> Y16h
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1666	35	106

=====

VAR 000959 Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1892 - 1893
Numeric

Y16a(1).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a

=====

VAR 000959a Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1894 - 1894
Numeric

Y16a(2).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a

=====
VAR 000960 Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1895 - 1896
Numeric

Y16a(3).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)

3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1772	2	5	1	5	7
	6	7	8	12	13	95
Count	1	2	7	2	2	1

=====

VAR 000961 Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
 COLUMNS: 1897 - 1897
 Numeric

Y16a(4).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
 IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
 (What was your occupation?)
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====
VAR 000962 Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1898 - 1900
Numeric

Y16b.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

=====
VAR 000963 Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1901 - 1901
Numeric

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f

8. DK --> Y16f
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1772	33	1	1

=====
 VAR 000964 Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1902 - 1902
 Numeric

Y16d.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
 IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
 IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local
 government?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1774	7	26

=====
 VAR 000965 Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 1903 - 1905
 Numeric

Y16f.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
 IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
 IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the
 average week?

1-168

- 996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====
 VAR 000966 Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1906 - 1906
 Numeric

Y16h.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Y25
 - 8. DK --> Y25
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

	0	1	5
Count	1666	19	122

=====
VAR 000967 Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1907 - 1907
Numeric

Y16j.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
all?

-
- 1. A LOT --> Y25
 - 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
 - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
 - 8. DK --> Y25
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16h

	0	1	3	5
Count	1788	8	7	4

=====
VAR 000968 Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1908 - 1909
Numeric

Y7a(1).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
 [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	579	125	47	34	33	8
	6	7	8	9	10	11
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	10	50	20	76	4	25
	12	13	14	15	16	17
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	5	30	16	13	4	24
	18	19	20	21	22	23
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	71	24	50	1	14	2
	24	25	26	27	29	30
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	35	14	6	28	2	7
	31	32	33	34	35	36
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	11	26	24	4	21	40
	37	38	39	40	42	43
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	18	29	30	5	8	2
	44	45	46	47	48	50
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	16	16	5	16	37	13
	51	52	53	54	56	57
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	5	1	3	3
	58	59	60	61	62	63
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	1	2	3	12	8
	64	65	66	67	68	69
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	7	31	1	8	5	10
	70	71	95			
	-----	-----	-----			
Count	14	5	6			

=====
VAR 000968a Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1910 - 1910
Numeric

Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

- 995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

=====
VAR 000969 Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1911 - 1912
Numeric

Y7a(3).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

- 1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)

3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	579	172	295	57	146	169
	6	7	8	9	10	11
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	4	21	117	15	114	38
	12	13	14	95		
	-----	-----	-----	-----		
Count	40	29	5	6		

=====
 VAR 000970 Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1913 - 1913
 Numeric

Y7a(4).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
 IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
 What are your most important activities or duties?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
 R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
 from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
 Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
 Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
 Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
 December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====
VAR 000971 Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1914 - 1916
Numeric

Y7b.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

=====
VAR 000972 Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1917 - 1917
Numeric

Y7c.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y7e
8. DK --> Y7e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	579	1041	28	158	1

=====
VAR 000973 Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1918 - 1918
Numeric

Y7d.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
IF NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	738	211	853	4	1

=====
VAR 000974 Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1919 - 1921
Numeric

Y7e.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

About how many hours do you work on your job in the
average week?

1-168

- 996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====
VAR 000975 Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1922 - 1922
Numeric

Y7f.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/REF:

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?

- 1. MORE
- 3. FEWER
- 5. ABOUT RIGHT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

	0	1	3	5
Count	586	363	86	772

=====
VAR 000976 Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1923 - 1923
Numeric

Y7g.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. SOMEWHAT
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	579	78	153	995	2

=====
VAR 000977 Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1924 - 1924
Numeric

Y7h.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	597	108	1102

=====

VAR 000978 Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1925 - 1925
 Numeric

Y7j.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
 IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in
 your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time
 for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	597	97	1113

=====

VAR 000979 Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
 COLUMNS: 1926 - 1927
 Numeric

Y17(1).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
 IF R IS RETIRED: /
 IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
 IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
 do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
 Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
 This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
 nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
 employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
 The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
 currently working have their current occupation from
 Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
 working have past occupation from Y12a coded here

rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity.

See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	155	177	62	43	35	9
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	11	63	22	100	7	30
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	6	31	20	13	5	27
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	85	27	72	1	21	3
	24	25	26	27	29	30
Count	48	19	8	38	2	9
	31	32	33	34	35	36
Count	15	31	37	8	31	65
	37	38	39	40	41	42
Count	31	44	38	9	1	10
	43	44	45	46	47	48
Count	2	18	22	7	20	45
	49	50	51	52	53	54
Count	1	20	1	4	5	2
	56	57	58	59	60	61
Count	3	5	3	1	5	6
	62	63	64	65	66	67
Count	21	17	11	37	4	19
	68	69	70	71	95	
Count	8	15	18	7	11	

=====
VAR 000979a Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1928 - 1928
Numeric

Y17(1a).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
currently working have their current occupation from
Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====
VAR 000980 Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1929 - 1930
Numeric

Y17(2).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).

This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	155	239	357	65	185	231
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	8	31	178	22	148	69
	12	13	14	95		
Count	60	41	7	11		

=====

VAR 000981 Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

COLUMNS: 1931 - 1931

Numeric

Y17(3).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).

This variable represents employment data for all Rs except
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
employment in the past 6 months are not used).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
currently working have their current occupation from
Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently
working have past occupation from Y12a represented here
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)

00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====
VAR 000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1932 - 1934
Numeric

Y17(4).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.

This variable combines industry data for all Rs except
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for

industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
 The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
 currently working have industry of their current occupation
 from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently
 working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded
 here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b.
 If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,
 or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
 998. DK
 999. RF
 000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====
 VAR 000983 Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1935 - 1935
 Numeric

Y17(5).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
 IF R IS RETIRED:/
 IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
 IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you
 self- employed, or what?

STACKED - WORK FOR SELF

 See notes Y6.
 Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.
 This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking
 homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment
 in the past 6 months are omitted).
 The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
 currently working have description of their current occupation
 from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently
 working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded
 here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.
 If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,
 or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

- 1. SOMEONE ELSE
- 3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
- 5. SELF-EMPLOYED

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	155	1403	44	203	1	1

=====

VAR 000984 Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1936 - 1936
Numeric

Y17(6).

IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.

This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7d.

If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. YES

5. NO

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13;
5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	360	312	1127	7	1

=====
VAR 000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1937 - 1939
Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.

This variable combines data for all Rs currently working or who have worked within the past six months:

- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job but rather an interim position.

1-168

996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13;
5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e

998. DK

999. RF

000. NA

=====
VAR 000986 Y17(8). Stacked - worry abt los/find job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1940 - 1940
Numeric

Y17(8).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job/ not being
able to find a job in the near future:
A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.

This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:

- Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working retired and working disabled)
- Unemployed [Y10h],
- Retired and not working [Y12j],
- Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].

- 1. A LOT
- 3. SOMEWHAT
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	529	90	165	1021	2

=====

VAR 000987 Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1941 - 1941
 Numeric

Y17(9).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
 IF R IS RETIRED/
 IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the past 6 months?

STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS

See notes Y6.
 Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.
 This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
 - Unemployed [Y10e],
 - Retired [Y12e],
 - Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked [Y14e]
 NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a
 are NOT included.

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;
 5,8,9,NA in Y13

	0	1	5
Count	1345	80	382

=====

VAR 000988 Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1942 - 1942
 Numeric

Y17(10).

IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
 IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
 IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK

See notes Y6.

Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.

This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:

- Unemployed [Y10g],
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently working [Y14h].

NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h are NOT included.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);
1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1376	50	381

=====

VAR 000989 Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1943 - 1943
Numeric

Y17(11).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY

See notes Y4.

Built from Y9 and Y13.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 10,15,17,18,20,50,51,70,71,75,80,81,0 in Y6(2)

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1674	126	7

=====

VAR 000990 Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1944 - 1944
Numeric

Y25.

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y26

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y26
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	250	1545	5	1

=====
VAR 000991a Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1945 - 1945
Numeric

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 1

-
- 1. RESPONDENT
 - 2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
 - 3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
 - 4. SOMEONE ELSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	1557	150	30	49	19	2

=====
VAR 000991b Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1946 - 1946
Numeric

Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

-
- 1. RESPONDENT
 - 2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER

- 3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
- 4. SOMEONE ELSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

	0	2	3	4
Count	1789	6	8	4

=====

VAR 000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1947 - 1947
 Numeric

Y25a3.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 3

-
- 1. RESPONDENT
 - 2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
 - 3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
 - 4. SOMEONE ELSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

	0	4
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 000992 Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 1948 - 1948
 Numeric

Y26.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
 IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers.
 In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked.
 For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: V000992 recoded to 5; V000993 [HH income] and V000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; V000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in V000996; V000996 recoded to 96 Inap.

1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+

9. NA

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	6	491	1310

=====

VAR 000993 Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 1949 - 1950
 Numeric

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
 Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
 income group that includes the income of all
 members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
 This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
 dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of income categories.
 Please tell me which category best describes the
 total income of all members of your family living in
 your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
 should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
 interest, and all other income. Please stop me
 when I get to your family's income.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
 98. DK
 99. Z. REFUSED
 00. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	67	10	26	48	100	134
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	167	164	109	90	56	43
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	35	23	13	12	12	9
	18	19	20	21	22	96
Count	7	5	1	5	11	497
	98	99				
Count	58	105				

=====
 VAR 000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1951 - 1952
 Numeric

Y27x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ /
 IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
 Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
 Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
 income group that includes the income of all
 members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
 This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
 dividends, interest, and all other income.
 FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
 (RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income
 group that includes the income you had in 1999 before
 taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
 pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
 I am going to read you a list of income categories.
 Please tell me which category best describes the
 total income of all members of your family living in
 your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
 should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
 interest, and all other income. Please stop me
 when I get to your family's income.
 TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
 I am going to read you a list of all income categories.
 Please tell me which category best describes the total
 income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should
 include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest,

and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
 This variables combines data for Respondents from households with other members age 14 and older and data for Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

- 1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
- 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over

- 98. DK
- 99. Z. REFUSED
- 00. NA (9 in

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	73	48	81	102	174
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	199	238	200	122	94
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	61	50	36	24	17
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	12	13	11	7	6
	20	21	22	98	99
Count	1	5	14	71	148

=====
 VAR 000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 1953 - 1954
 Numeric

Y27a/Y27a.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26

98. DK

99. Z. REFUSED

00. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	140	101	116	175	210	169
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	94	43	37	21	15	5

	13	14	15	16	17	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	10	1	4	5	4	1
	21	22	96	98	99	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	2	7	497	37	113	

=====

VAR 000996 Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 1955 - 1956
Numeric

Y28/Y28a.T.

IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 5,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	38	55	54	74	65	71
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	36	13	4	5	7	1
	13	14	16	17	19	22
Count	1	4	1	2	1	3
	96	98	99			
Count	1316	13	43			

=====
VAR 000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1957 - 1958
Numeric

Y28x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	6	178	156	170	249	275
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	240	130	56	41	26	22
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	6	11	5	4	6	6
	19	21	22	98	99	
Count	2	2	10	50	156	

=====
 VAR 000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1959 - 1959
 Numeric

Y29.

(Not using the booklet)
 There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y29b

- 8. DK --> Y29b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	1230	557	10	4

=====

VAR 000999 Y29a. Which one (working or middle class)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1960 - 1960
 Numeric

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Which one?

-
- 1. MIDDLE CLASS --> Y29c
 - 5. WORKING CLASS --> Y29e
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> Y30

 - 8. DK --> Y30
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29

	0	1	5	7
Count	577	710	497	23

=====

VAR 001000 Y29b. If had to choose class
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1961 - 1961
 Numeric

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

-
- 1. MIDDLE CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29c
 - 5. WORKING CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29e
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO Y30

 - 8. DK --> SKIIP TO Y30
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1213	218	286	57	22	11

=====

VAR 001001 Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1962 - 1962
Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or
that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

-
- 1. AVERAGE MIDDLE
 - 5. UPPER MIDDLE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	879	660	256	9	3

=====
VAR 001002 Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1963 - 1963
Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class
people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than
to people in other classes?

-
- 1. CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30
 - 5. NOT CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y30
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	879	585	322	18	3

=====
VAR 001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1964 - 1964
Numeric

Y29e.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average working class
or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

- 1. AVERAGE WORKING
- 5. UPPER WORKING

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1024	624	145	11	3

=====

VAR 001004 Y29f. Working class -feel close to class
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1965 - 1965
 Numeric

Y29f.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

- 1. CLOSE
- 5. NOT CLOSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1024	508	250	24	1

=====

VAR 001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1966 - 1966
 Numeric

Y29x.

(Not using the booklet)

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
 (IF YES:) Which one?
 (IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?
 (IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?
 (IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS

Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b,Y29c,Y29e.

1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class - NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Other

8. DK in Y29b
9. RF in Y29b
0. NA in Y29/Y29b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	6	624	14	145	660
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	12	256	57	22	11

=====

VAR 001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1967 - 1968
 Numeric

Y30(1).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

-
10. BLACK
 20. ASIAN
 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
 50. WHITE
 60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
 75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
 76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
 79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
 80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
 90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.

 98. DK
 99. RF
 00. NA

	0	10	20	30	40
Count	6	208	32	20	93
	50	60	75	76	79
Count	1393	9	4	4	3
	80	90	98	99	
Count	14	8	3	10	

```

=====
VAR 001006b  Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 1969 - 1970
              Numeric

```

Y30(2).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

- 10. BLACK
- 20. ASIAN
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
- 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
- 50. WHITE
- 60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
- 75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
- 76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
- 80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
- 90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

	0	10	20	30
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1757	6	2	11
	40	50	60	80
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	4	24	2	1

```

=====
VAR 001006c  Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 1971 - 1972
              Numeric

```

Y30(3).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

- 10. BLACK
- 20. ASIAN
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
- 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
- 50. WHITE
- 60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
- 70. JEWISH
- 75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
- 76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
- 80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
- 90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

	0	20	30	40
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1799	2	1	2
	60	76	80	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	1	1	

=====

VAR 001007 Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1973 - 1973
Numeric

Y30a.

Were both of your parents born in this country?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	6	1528	269	3	1

=====

VAR 001008 Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1974 - 1975
Numeric

Y30b(1).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

- 90. None
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	9	55	8	5	17	4
	8	9	11	12	14	16
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	5	2	3	10	2	5

	18	19	20	21	23	24
Count	121	162	33	9	16	19
	26	28	29	31	32	33
Count	2	48	265	25	4	11
	35	36	37	38	40	41
Count	9	2	26	25	11	10
	43	44	45	47	48	49
Count	10	1	8	6	58	18
	50	55	57	60	61	62
Count	4	7	1	105	4	12
	64	65	67	68	69	70
Count	17	1	13	10	8	3
	71	72	76	78	80	82
Count	4	5	1	2	3	3
	83	84	85	86	87	88
Count	16	3	1	72	153	51
	89	90	91	93	94	96
Count	2	124	1	19	2	82
	97	98	99			
Count	7	40	7			

=====
VAR 001009 Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1976 -1977
Numeric

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your
main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

90. None
 96. 'American'
 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

00. NA; no further mention

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1411	35	1	2	6	1
	12	14	16	18	19	20
Count	1	1	1	38	59	12
	21	23	24	26	28	29
Count	7	4	14	1	18	62
	31	33	35	37	38	40
Count	7	14	1	6	6	1
	41	43	45	47	48	49
Count	11	9	2	1	9	5
	51	54	56	57	60	62
Count	1	1	1	2	17	1
	64	67	69	80	86	87
Count	5	10	1	1	3	5
	88	93	96	97		
Count	1	4	6	2		

=====
 VAR 001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 1978 - 1978
 Numeric

Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS

 Respondents who have identified more than one group
 are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

- 0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
- 1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
- 2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED

- 9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

	0	1	2	9
Count	124	1230	397	56

=====

VAR 001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
 MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1979 - 1980
 Numeric

Y30bx2.

NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R

 This is the exact number of mentions given by R

- 00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
- 01. One mention
- 02. Two mentions
- .
- .
- 06. Six mentions

- 96. Ethnicity question NA
- 98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
- 99. R refused ethnicity question

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	124	1230	299	70	17
	5	6	96	98	99
Count	6	5	9	40	7

=====
 VAR 001011 Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 1981 - 1982
 Numeric

Y30c.

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.

Note that this variable was coded according to IWR indentification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

- 90. None; neither
- 95. Both/all of them
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

98. DK
 99. RF
 00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	204	55	8	5	19	4
	8	9	11	12	14	16
Count	4	2	3	9	1	4
	18	19	20	21	23	24
Count	122	155	29	7	15	1
	26	27	28	29	31	32
Count	1	1	39	240	24	4
	33	35	36	37	38	40
Count	2	8	2	22	20	11
	43	44	45	47	48	49
Count	10	1	7	6	51	17
	50	55	57	60	61	62
Count	4	7	2	101	3	9
	64	65	67	68	69	70
Count	15	1	14	10	8	3
	71	72	76	78	80	82
Count	4	4	1	2	3	3
	83	84	85	86	87	88
Count	16	3	1	71	150	51
	89	90	91	93	94	95
Count	2	45	1	19	2	29
	96	97	98			
Count	88	12	10			

=====
 VAR 001012 Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1983 - 1983
 Numeric

Y30x/Y31.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
 IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP?
 (IF NO:)

Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4,5,8,12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint. Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30. See also Pre-Csheat.37 and Pre-Csheat.28 (V000068,V000069) and Post-Csheat.32 and Post-Csheat.33 (V000184d, V000184e).

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Z1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Z1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	132	1659	7	3

=====

VAR 001013 Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1984 - 1984
 Numeric

Y31a/Y31a.T.

IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:

FTF:
Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:
Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American, or Spanish?

[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

- 1. MEXICAN
- 2. PUERTO RICAN
- 3. CUBAN
- 4. LATIN AMERICAN
- 5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
- 6. SPANISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y30x/Y31

	0	1	2	3
Count	1675	52	16	6
	4	5	6	7
Count	12	11	27	8

=====

VAR 001014 Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
 COLUMNS: 1985 - 1987
 Numeric

Z1.

Where was it that you grew up?

[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]
 Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes
 101-997 and:

- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====

VAR 001015 Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 1988 - 1988
 Numeric

Z2.

FTF:
 Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
 Looking at this list, please tell me where you were
 mostly brought up?

For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

- 1. ON A FARM
- 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
- 4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
- 6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
- 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
- 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
- 9. DK
- 0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	804	123	114	282	134

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	147	98	71	33	1

=====

VAR 001016 Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1989 - 1989
 Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?

[READ ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. ON A FARM
- 3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 5. IN A CITY
- 7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5
Count	1009	119	136	299

	7	8	9
Count	240	1	3

=====

VAR 001017 Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1990 - 1990
 Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:

Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
- 3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
- 7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1508	104	62	73	57	3

=====
 VAR 001018 Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1991 - 1991
 Numeric

Z2b.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
 Were you mostly brought up in.

 [READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
 For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
- 5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1567	172	62	6

=====
 VAR 001019 Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 1992 - 1992
 Numeric

Z2x.

FTF:
 Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
 Looking at this list, please tell me where you were
 mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:
 I am going to read you a list of categories. Please
 tell me which category best describes where you were
 mostly brought up?
 Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

- 1. ON A FARM
- 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
- 4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
- 6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY

- 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
- 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	9	242	250	386	196
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	220	270	128	105	1

=====

VAR 001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community
 MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
 COLUMNS: 1993 - 1994
 Numeric

Z3(1).

(Not using the booklet)
 How long have you lived in your present
 (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

- 96. ALL MY LIFE
- 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1403	29	21	19	13	9
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	63	7	10	5	8	2
	12	13	15	16	18	20
Count	6	2	1	1	9	1
	96	97	98			
Count	191	6	1			

=====

VAR 001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
 COLUMNS: 1995 - 1997
 Numeric

Z3(2).

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

YEARS

0-89 and:

- 995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)
- 997. NA
- 998. DK
- 999. RF

=====
VAR 001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community

MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 1998 - 1999
Numeric

Z3x.

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

- 0. 0-11 months
- 1. 12-23 months
- 2. 2 years
- .
- .
- 89. 89 years

- 96. 'All my life'
- 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	79	77	71	95	63	67
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	48	28	51	39	68	40
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	28	25	27	53	24	17
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	26	9	74	18	24	25

	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	23	40	24	23	12	9
	30	31	32	33	34	35
Count	60	11	27	14	12	26
	36	37	38	39	40	41
Count	15	13	12	9	20	14
	42	43	44	45	46	47
Count	5	9	3	10	3	7
	48	49	50	51	52	53
Count	8	7	21	1	9	4
	54	55	56	57	58	59
Count	5	8	6	5	5	5
	60	61	62	64	65	66
Count	9	2	3	1	3	3
	67	68	70	71	72	73
Count	2	2	4	2	2	3
	74	75	76	79	81	82
Count	1	2	3	1	2	1
	83	89	96	97		
Count	2	1	191	6		

=====

VAR 001021a Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2000 - 2001
Numeric

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:

How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:

How long have you lived in your current home?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1386	63	41	49	19	16
	6	7	8	9	10	11
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	105	15	11	13	13	12
	12	13	15	17	18	20
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	5	4	2	1	17	1
	96	97	98			
	-----	-----	-----			
Count	26	6	2			

=====

VAR 001021b Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 2002 - 2004
Numeric

Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

FTF:

How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:

How long have you lived in your current home?

YEARS

0-100 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T

997. NA

998. DK

999. RF

=====

VAR 001021c Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2005 - 2006
Numeric

Z4x.

FTF:

How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:

How long have you lived in your current home?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME

Built from Z4(1)/Z4(1).T and Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

0. 0-11 months

1. 12-23 months

2. 2 years

.
 .
 89. 89 years

96. 'All my life'
 97. NA
 98. DK
 99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	227	175	161	133	82	105
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	71	49	58	44	68	24
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	40	34	29	47	24	16
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	19	11	39	16	20	18
	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	13	29	19	12	9	5
	30	31	32	33	34	35
Count	34	9	13	9	4	24
	36	37	38	39	40	41
Count	4	6	3	3	15	5
	42	43	44	45	46	47
Count	3	7	4	3	4	3
	48	49	50	51	58	60
Count	3	2	11	2	1	1
	64	65	73	76	79	96
Count	1	1	1	1	1	26
	97					
Count	6					

=====
 VAR 001022 Z5. Does R family own/rent home
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2007 - 2007
 Numeric

Z5.

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

-
- 1. OWN HOUSE
 - 5. PAY RENT
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

	0	1	5	7	9
Count	6	1205	531	56	9

=====

VAR 001023 Z8. Does R have children
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2008 - 2008
 Numeric

Z8.

These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics. How about you? Do you have any children?

(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)

- 1. YES
- 3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	6	1306	19	475	1

=====

VAR 001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18
 MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 2009 - 2010
 Numeric

Z8a.

IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:

How many children do you have under 18?

-
- 0. --> SKIP TO Z9
 - 1-25.

95. NA
 96. INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Z8
 98. DK
 99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	672	251	264	90	34	8
	6	7	9	10	11	95
Count	2	1	1	1	1	482

=====
 VAR 001025 Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R
 MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 2011 - 2012
 Numeric

Z8b.

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?

0. --> SKIP TO Z9
 1-25.

95. NA
 96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
 98. DK
 99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	44	243	245	86	28
	5	6	7	9	95
Count	3	2	1	1	1154

=====
 VAR 001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
 MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2013 - 2014
 Numeric

Z8c(1).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 1

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
 98. DK
 99. RF

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	80	43	39	36	21
	6	7	8	9	10
Count	34	17	39	31	37
	11	12	13	14	15
Count	33	32	41	41	39
	16	17	95	98	99
Count	41	43	1154	2	4

=====
VAR 001026b Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2015 - 2016
Numeric

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	14	23	18	26	18	20
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	22	19	23	29	29	31
	13	14	15	16	17	95
Count	26	21	26	18	15	1429

=====
VAR 001026c Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2017 - 2018
Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	11	3	1	11	6	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	7	13	11	6	8	11
	13	14	15	16	17	95
Count	10	10	6	2	4	1681

=====
VAR 001026d Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2019 - 2020
Numeric

Z8c(4).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	3	1	2	2	3
	6	7	9	10	11
Count	5	7	2	4	5
	12	14	15	16	95
Count	3	1	1	2	1766

=====
VAR 001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2021 - 2022
Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	5	6	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	3	1	1	1
	8	10	12	13	95	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	1	1	1	1795	

=====
 VAR 001026f Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
 MD: EQ 95
 COLUMNS: 2023 - 2024
 Numeric

Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	6	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	1	1	1
	11	16	95	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	1	1	1800	

=====
 VAR 001026g Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age
 MD: EQ 95
 COLUMNS: 2025 - 2026
 Numeric

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 7

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	5	9	95
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	1	1804

=====

VAR 001026h Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2027 - 2028
Numeric

Z8c(8).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 8

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	10	95
	-----	-----
Count	1	1806

=====
VAR 001027 Z9. How many miles R drives per day
MD1: EQ 998, MD2: GE 999
COLUMNS: 2029 - 2031
Numeric

Z9.

(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a
typical day?

0-996
997. 997 and more

998. DK
999. NA

=====
VAR 001028 ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2032 - 2032
Numeric

ZZ0.

IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:

ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE
GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE
APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND
EXPLAIN]

- 1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)

0. NA; INAP, education data not missing

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 001029 ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
 COLUMNS: 2033 - 2033
 Numeric

ZZ1.

R's sex is:

- 1. MALE
2. FEMALE

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	790	1017

=====
VAR 001030 ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2034 - 2034
 Numeric

ZZ2.

FTF:
R's race is:

- 1. WHITE
2. BLACK

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	804	863	137	1	2

=====
VAR 001031a ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2035 - 2035
 Numeric

ZZ3(1).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 0. NONE
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN

- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	590	125	71	124
	4	5	9	
Count	33	60	804	

=====

VAR 001031b ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2036 - 2036
 Numeric

ZZ3(2).

FTF:
 Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	4	26	42	11	16	1708

=====

VAR 001031c ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2037 - 2037
 Numeric

ZZ3(3).

FTF:
 Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1	1	12	3	10	1780

=====
VAR 001031d ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2038 - 2038
Numeric

ZZ3(4).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	2	5	9
Count	1	2	1804

=====
VAR 001031e ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2039 - 2039
Numeric

ZZ3(5).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	9
Count	1807

=====

VAR 001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2040 - 2040
Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

- 1. VERY GOOD
- 2. GOOD
- 3. FAIR
- 4. POOR
- 5. VERY POOR

- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	1168	492	117	18	5

=====

VAR 001033 ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2041 - 2041
Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and
public affairs seemed:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW

- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	325	450	586	334	105

=====

VAR 001034 ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2042 - 2042
Numeric

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW

0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	23	404	601	671	98	10

=====
VAR 001035 ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2043 - 2043
Numeric

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before
the interview?:

-
1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
 2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
 3. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA

	0	1	3	5
Count	7	1417	330	53

=====
VAR 001036 ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2044 - 2044
Numeric

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

-
1. VERY HIGH
 2. FAIRLY HIGH
 3. AVERAGE
 4. FAIRLY LOW
 5. VERY LOW

0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	515	604	537	124	20

=====
VAR 001037 ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2045 - 2045
Numeric

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

- 1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ10
- 3. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ9a
- 5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ9a

0. NA

	0	1	3	5
Count	8	1584	185	30

=====

VAR 001038 ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part(blanked)
 COLUMNS: 2046 - 2046
 Character

ZZ9a.

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for
 which you doubted R's sincerity?

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
 This variable is blanked.

=====

VAR 001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2047 - 2047
 Numeric

ZZ10.

FTF:
 Do you feel R reported income accurately?

- 1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
- 2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
- 3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
- 4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's

0. NA; INAP, phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	44	1550	26	5	182

=====

VAR 001040 ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
 COLUMNS: 2048 - 2049
 Numeric

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOT REPORT CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's
 family income is (before taxes in 1999).

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

97. DK
98. Y. Impossible to estimate
00. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1701	1	4	7	10
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	6	12	5	6
	10	11	12	14	15
Count	3	3	2	1	1
	16	18	19	22	98
Count	1	2	1	4	27

=====

VAR 001041a ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2050 - 2051
 Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

-
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW

- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	8	25	79	7	11	15
	20	22	30	31	40	41
Count	20	48	78	184	6	5
	45	50	70	80		
Count	20	4	1050	247		

=====

VAR 001041b ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2052 - 2053
 Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)

70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)

80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

	0	11	12	13	15
Count	1432	9	7	9	9
	20	22	30	31	40
Count	3	16	28	66	6
	41	45	50	70	80
Count	4	16	2	157	43

=====

VAR 001041c ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2054 - 2055
 Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

	0	11	12	13	15
Count	1686	2	2	6	3
	20	22	30	31	40
Count	2	5	10	22	6

	41	45	50	70	80
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	4	2	38	16

=====
VAR 001041d ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2056 - 2057
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

	0	12	13	15	22
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1765	1	1	2	1
	30	31	40	41	45
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	9	2	1	3
	50	70	80		
	-----	-----	-----		
Count	2	14	4		

=====
VAR 001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2058 - 2059
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

	0	13	15	31
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1794	1	1	2
	40	45	70	80
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	4	1	2	2

=====

VAR 001041f ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2060 - 2061
 Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R

- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	15	22	40
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1803	1	1	2

=====

VAR 001041g ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2062 - 2063
 Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
 - 00. NA; no further mention

	0	40	45	80
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1804	1	1	1

=====

VAR 001041h ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2064 - 2065
Numeric

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

 - 00. NA; no further mention

	0	41
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2066 - 2067
Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R

- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	45
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

```
=====
VAR 001042    Pre.Rand.A4/A5. Order-Gore,GWB lik/dis
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS:  2068 - 2068
              Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes

- 1. Gore first
- 2. GW Bush first
- 9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	915	887	5

```
=====
VAR 001043    Pre.Rand.C1b. Pos-Gore in therms
              MD:  EQ 9
              COLUMNS:  2069 - 2069
              Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.C1b.

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	446	478	455	428

=====
VAR 001044 Pre.Rand.C1c. Pos-GW Bush in therms
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2070 - 2070
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1c.

Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5
Count	439	492	440	436

=====
VAR 001045 Pre.Rand.C1d. Pos-Buchanan in therms
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2071 - 2071
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1d.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5
Count	466	414	474	453

=====
VAR 001046 Pre.Rand.C1e. Pos-Nader in thermoms
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2072 - 2072
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1e.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	456	423	438	490

=====
VAR 001047 Pre.Rand.C1f/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2073 - 2073
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers
C1f-C1g / C1f.T-C1g.T

-
1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
 2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name
 9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	901	906

=====
VAR 001048 Pre.Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Liebermn ther
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2074 - 2074
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1h/k.

Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers
C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T

-
1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
 2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name
 9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	901	906

=====
VAR 001049 Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2075 - 2075
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C2.

Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers
C2a-C2c

-
1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
 2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
 3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
 4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd

- 5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
- 6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	284	305	310	290	310	308

=====

VAR 001050 Pre.Rand.D1/D3. Order-parties in lik/dis
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2076 - 2076
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.D1/D3.

Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes'
 D1-D2/D3-D4

- 1. Democratic party first (D1-D2), Republican party 2nd (D3-D4)
- 2. Republican Party first (D1-D2), Democratic party 2nd (D3-D4)

9. NA

	1	2	9
Count	897	905	5

=====

VAR 001051 Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2077 - 2077
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series
 F1,F2,F3

- 1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
- 5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	284	316	277	326
	5	6	9	
Count	285	290	29	

=====

VAR 001052 Pre.Rand.Fla. Pos-angry -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2078 - 2078
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1.

Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	424	443	467	473

=====

VAR 001053 Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2079 - 2079
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	479	479	428	421

=====

VAR 001054 Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2080 - 2080
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	450	450	459	448

=====

VAR 001055 Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2081 - 2081
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	454	435	453	465

=====
VAR 001056 Pre.Rand.F2a. Pos-angry -GW Bush affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2082 - 2082
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2a.

Pre: Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	434	442	478	453

=====
VAR 001057 Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2083 - 2083
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	436	457	456	458

=====
VAR 001058 Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2084 - 2084
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	454	454	460	439

=====

VAR 001059 Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2085 - 2085
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	483	454	413	457

=====

VAR 001060 Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2086 - 2086
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	9
Count	463	413	461	446	24

=====

VAR 001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2087 - 2087
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	9
Count	464	464	441	414	24

```
=====
VAR 001062   Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2088 - 2088
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F3c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	422	480	437	444	24

```
=====
VAR 001063   Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2089 - 2089
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F3d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	434	426	444	479	24

```
=====
VAR 001064   Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2090 - 2090
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.G3-G5.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre
 Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5 / G3.T-G5.T

Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	87	91	69	69
	5	6	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	67	85	1339	

=====

VAR 001065 Pre.Rand.G8-G10.E. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/c
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2091 - 2091
Numeric

Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre
Liberal-Conservative placements G8.E-G10.E

Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	166	138	163	165
	5	6	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	156	151	868	

=====

VAR 001066 Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2092 - 2092
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2-K4.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series
K2,K3,K4

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	138	122	134	121

	5	6	9
Count	136	119	1037

=====
 VAR 001067 Pre.Rand.K2a. Order-moral -Gore traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2093 - 2093
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	257	291	237	274
	5	6	7	
Count	255	249	244	

=====
 VAR 001068 Pre.Rand.K2b. Order-cares -Gore traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2094 - 2094
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	281	231	256	257
	5	6	7	
Count	246	300	236	

=====
 VAR 001069 Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2095 - 2095
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	254	249	261	269
	5	6	7	
Count	258	243	273	

=====
VAR 001070 Pre.Rand.K2d. Order-leader -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2096 - 2096
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	239	238	260	266
	5	6	7	
Count	271	264	269	

=====
VAR 001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2097 - 2097
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	271	248	254	232
	5	6	7	
Count	274	269	259	

=====
VAR 001072 Pre.Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2098 - 2098
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	246	285	256	249
	5	6	7	
Count	260	244	267	

=====
VAR 001073 Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2099 - 2099
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	260	264	283	259
	5	6	7	
Count	244	238	259	

=====
VAR 001074 Pre.Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2100 - 2100
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3a.

Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	275	219	251	267
	5	6	7	
Count	272	270	253	

=====
VAR 001075 Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2101 - 2101
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	239	267	281	248
	5	6	7	
Count	236	262	274	

=====
VAR 001076 Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2102 - 2102
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	249	277	264	274
	5	6	7	
Count	264	234	245	

=====
VAR 001077 Pre.Rand.K3d. Order-leader -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2103 - 2103
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	277	290	245	248
	5	6	7	
Count	229	267	251	

=====

VAR 001078 Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2104 - 2104
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	269	259	267	202
	5	6	7	
Count	263	281	266	

=====

VAR 001079 Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2105 - 2105
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	258	245	233	299
	5	6	7	
Count	257	262	253	

=====

VAR 001080 Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2106 - 2106
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	240	250	266	269
	5	6	7	
Count	286	231	265	

=====

VAR 001081 Pre.Rand.K4a. Ord-moral -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2107 - 2107
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	110	100	112	116
	5	6	7	9
Count	104	111	117	1037

=====

VAR 001082 Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2108 - 2108
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	97	130	100	99
	5	6	7	9
Count	124	101	119	1037

=====

VAR 001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2109 - 2109
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
 (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	131	100	119	102
	5	6	7	9
Count	112	116	90	1037

=====

VAR 001084 Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2110 - 2110
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
 (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	109	108	120	124
	5	6	7	9
Count	103	105	101	1037

=====

VAR 001085 Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2111 - 2111
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	115	116	106	97
	5	6	7	9
Count	115	111	110	1037

=====
VAR 001086 Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2112 - 2112
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	111	93	125	129
	5	6	7	9
Count	113	101	98	1037

=====
VAR 001087 Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2113 - 2113
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	98	122	88	103
	5	6	7	9
Count	99	125	135	1037

=====
VAR 001088 Pre.Rand.L1c/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2114 - 2114
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending placements L1c-L1d / L1c-L1d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

	1	2
Count	880	927

=====
VAR 001089 Pre.Rand.L1e/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2115 - 2115
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.

Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

	1	2
Count	880	927

=====

VAR 001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2116 - 2116
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending
placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	910	897

=====
VAR 001091 Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2117 - 2117
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending
placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th

9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	910	897

=====
VAR 001092 Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2118 - 2118
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs
placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	886	921

=====

VAR 001093 Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2119 - 2119
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs
placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th

9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	886	921

=====
VAR 001094 Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2120 - 2120
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks
placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

	1	2
	-----	-----
Count	880	927

=====
VAR 001095 Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2121 - 2121
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks
placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

	1	2
Count	927	880

```
=====
VAR 001096   Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2122 - 2123
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7b.

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	165	164	136	141	161	139
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	160	130	135	156	163	157

```
=====
VAR 001097   Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2124 - 2125
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	135	161	154	163	144	167
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	142	154	160	134	146	147

```
=====
VAR 001098   Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend
             MD:  EQ 9
             COLUMNS: 2126 - 2127
             Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	163	144	164	158	137	156
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	148	155	158	133	146	145

=====

VAR 001099 Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2128 - 2129
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	120	166	133	184	148	160
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	144	158	153	141	150	150

=====

VAR 001100 Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2130 - 2131
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7f.

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	181	133	143	150	168	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	145	137	165	166	143	139

=====

VAR 001101 Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2132 - 2133
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7g.

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	156	141	156	131	157	149
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	141	139	127	170	150	190

=====

VAR 001102 Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2134 - 2135
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	133	154	164	141	143	169
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	151	147	151	149	161	144

=====

VAR 001103 Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2136 - 2137
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7j.

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	143	144	148	146	142	153
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	186	162	152	160	141	130

=====

VAR 001104 Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2138 - 2139
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7k.

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	141	137	154	150	148	163
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	131	163	172	152	145	151

=====

VAR 001105 Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2140 - 2141
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	164	146	171	141	155	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	138	162	151	146	138	158

=====

VAR 001106 Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2142 - 2143
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security amng Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	154	144	165	142	147	140
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	153	153	150	139	180	140

=====

VAR 001107 Pre.Rand.L7p. Order-aid blacks-fed spend
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2144 - 2145
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	152	173	119	160	157	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	168	147	133	161	144	156

=====

VAR 001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2146 - 2146
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements
M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	921	883	3

=====
VAR 001109 Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2147 - 2147
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs
placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	920	884	3

=====
VAR 001110 Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2148 - 2148
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction
placement M6b-M6c

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	926	878	3

=====
VAR 001111 Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2149 - 2149
Numeric

Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role
placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	888	916	3

=====
VAR 001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2150 - 2150
Numeric

Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental
regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	905	899	3

=====
VAR 001113 Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affcts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2151 - 2151
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	891	232	245	205	234

=====
VAR 001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affcts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2152 - 2152
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.

Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	891	220	215	227	254

=====

VAR 001115 Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affcts
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2153 - 2153
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.

Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	891	228	240	234	214

=====

VAR 001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affcts
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2154 - 2154
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14d.

Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	891	236	216	250	214

=====

VAR 001117 Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2155 - 2155
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	136	142	125
	4	5	6	7
Count	120	132	123	138

=====
VAR 001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2156 - 2156
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	129	131	151
	4	5	6	7
Count	120	131	121	133

=====
VAR 001119 Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2157 - 2157
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable amng Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	134	121	112

	4	5	6	7
Count	140	137	143	129

=====
 VAR 001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2158 - 2158
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	133	132	130

	4	5	6	7
Count	148	129	113	131

=====
 VAR 001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2159 - 2159
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	122	121	136

	4	5	6	7
Count	134	107	158	138

=====
 VAR 001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2160 - 2160
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	133	124	124
	4	5	6	7
Count	137	147	118	133

=====

VAR 001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2161 - 2161
 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	129	145	138
	4	5	6	7
Count	117	133	140	114

=====

VAR 001201 A1. R interest in campaigns
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2162 - 2162
 Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
- 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
- 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	252	643	687	225

=====
VAR 001202 A2. R watched programs about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2163 - 2163
Numeric

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on
television?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO A3
- 9. RF --> SKIP TO A3
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	1287	268

=====
VAR 001203 A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2164 - 2164
Numeric

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just
one or two?

- 1. A GOOD MANY
- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	520	387	565	333	2

=====
VAR 001204 A3. Does R ever discuss politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2165 - 2165
Numeric

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A4

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO A4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	1258	297

=====

VAR 001205 A3a. How often does R discuss politics
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 2166 - 2167
 Numeric

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about
 politics with family or friends?

1-7

- 96. NONE (NO DAYS)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	549	63	149	145	97
	5	6	7	96	98
Count	110	23	648	21	2

=====

VAR 001206 A4. Does R recall names of House candidates
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2168 - 2168
 Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
 Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
 Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
 district?

- 1. YES, REMEMBER
- 5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8
Count	256	673	877	1

```
=====
VAR 001207    A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
              COLUMNS: 2169 - 2170
              Numeric
```

A4a1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
 Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1134	12	25	164	182
	35	36	39	97	98
Count	17	12	1	250	10

```
=====
VAR 001208    A4b1. Hse party recall 1
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2171 - 2171
              Numeric
```

A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

-
- 1. DEMOCRAT
 - 5. REPUBLICAN
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1134	322	319	4	26	2

=====

VAR 001209 A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2172 - 2172
 Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

-
- 1. Democrat
 - 5. Republican
 - 7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a1

	0	1	5	7
Count	1394	193	219	1

=====

VAR 001210 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2173 - 2173
 Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1134	382	21	10
	4	5	6	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	240	10	2	8

=====
VAR 001211 A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2174 - 2175
Numeric

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;
Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1469	17	9	16	27
	35	36	39	97	98
Count	43	30	1	191	4

=====

VAR 001212 A4b2. Hse party recall 2
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2176 - 2176
 Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;
Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1469	154	166	12	5	1

=====

VAR 001213 A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2177 - 2177
 Numeric

A4(2)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

	0	1	5	7
Count	1664	76	66	1

=====
VAR 001214 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2178 - 2178
Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

- 1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party

- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

	0	1	2	4	5	6
Count	1469	129	14	185	6	4

```

=====
VAR 001215    A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 2179 - 2180
              Numeric
  
```

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
 Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	32	33	34
Count	1755	1	2	3
	35	36	97	98
Count	1	1	41	3

```

=====
VAR 001216    A4b3. Hse party recall 3
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2181 - 2181
              Numeric
  
```

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

-
- 1. DEMOCRAT
 - 5. REPUBLICAN
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1755	14	24	9	3	2

=====
VAR 001217 A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2182 - 2182
Numeric

A4(3)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

-
- 1. Democrat
 - 5. Republican
 - 7. Other

 - 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

	0	1	5
Count	1799	3	5

=====
VAR 001218 A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2183 - 2183
Numeric

A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

	0	1	3	4	5	6
Count	1755	7	1	37	4	3

=====

VAR 001219 B1. Party talked to R about campaign
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2184 - 2184
 Numeric

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate.

Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B1b
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	587	966	2

=====
 VAR 001220 Bla. Party that spoke to R about campgn
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2185 - 2185
 Numeric

Bla.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

-
- 1. DEMOCRATS
 - 5. REPUBLICANS
 - 6. BOTH
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

	0	1	5	6
Count	1220	153	199	199

	7	8	9
Count	16	19	1

=====
 VAR 001221 B1b. Anyone else talk to R about candd
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2186 - 2186
 Numeric

B1b.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	175	1378	2

=====

VAR 001222 B1c. Party send R mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2187 - 2187
Numeric

B1c.

Did anyone from one of the political parties send you
mail about the campaign this year?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> SKIP TO B1D

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	1003	546	6

=====
VAR 001223 B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2188 - 2188
Numeric

B1c1.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

-
- 1. DEMOCRATS
 - 5. REPUBLICANS
 - 6. BOTH
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1c

	0	1	5	6	7	8
Count	804	158	197	560	28	60

=====
VAR 001224 B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2189 - 2189
Numeric

B1d.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did
anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific
candidates in this last election?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	400	1138	17

=====

VAR 001225 B2. Did R try to influence vote of others
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2190 - 2190
 Numeric

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	546	1009

=====

VAR 001226 B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2191 - 2191
 Numeric

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	156	1398	1

=====

VAR 001227 B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2192 - 2192
Numeric

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches,
dinners, or things like that in support of a particular
candidate?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	85	1470

=====
VAR 001228 B5. Did R do any other campaign work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2193 - 2193
Numeric

B5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or
candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	43	1512

=====
VAR 001229 B6. Did R contribute to candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2194 - 2194
Numeric

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a
contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to
an individual candidate running for public office?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B7

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	103	1450	2

=====

VAR 001230 B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2195 - 2195
 Numeric

B6a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

	0	1	3	5	6	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1704	33	54	9	5	2

=====

VAR 001231 B7. Did R give money to party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2196 - 2196
 Numeric

B7.

Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B8
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	99	1456

=====

VAR 001232 B7a. Party - R contribution
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2197 - 2197
 Numeric

B7a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:

To which party did you give money?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7

	0	1	3	5	6
Count	1708	34	51	8	6

=====
VAR 001233 B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2198 - 2198
Numeric

B8.

Did you give any money to any other group that supported
or opposed candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	69	1486

=====
VAR 001234 B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2199 - 2199
Numeric

B9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you
about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

- 1. YES, SOMEONE DID
- 5. NO, NO ONE DID

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	672	882	1

=====
 VAR 001235 B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2200 - 2200
 Numeric

B10.

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

- 1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
- 5. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	252	1300	3

=====
 VAR 001236 B11. Clergy provide election information
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2201 - 2201
 Numeric

B11.

Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
- 7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL] --> C1
- 8. DK --> C1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	252	191	1125	218	19	2

=====
 VAR 001237 B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2202 - 2202
 Numeric

B12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:

Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of
worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate
or party?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO C1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO C1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

	0	1	5	8
Count	491	84	1228	4

=====
VAR 001238 B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2203 - 2204
Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level

- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party
- OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

	0	1	2	5	11	12
Count	1723	20	30	2	1	1
	33	71	81	82	90	91
Count	1	1	5	4	7	1
	94	95	98	99		
Count	1	2	4	4		

=====

VAR 001239 B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2205 - 2206
 Numeric

B12a2.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 2 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:

- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

	0	1	12	34	74
Count	1795	1	1	1	1
	81	90	96	97	
Count	2	4	1	1	

=====

VAR 001240 B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2207 - 2208
 Numeric

B12a3.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE

 [IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)

- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)
- SENATE:
- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- HOUSE:
- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate
- OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party
- OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12a; no further mention

	0	82
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

```

=====
VAR 001241    C1. Did R vote
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS:  2209 - 2209
              Numeric

```

C1.

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:
 One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
 Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
 Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
 Four, I am sure I voted?

[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]

1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).
 2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.
 3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.
 4. I AM SURE I VOTED --> SKIP TO C3
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	252	185	103	84	1182	1

=====
VAR 001242 C2. Was R registered
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2210 - 2210
Numeric

C2.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

Were you registered to vote in this election?

-
1. YES
 5. NO --> SKIP TO C9(2)
 6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE --> SKIP TO C9(2)
 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9(2)
 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9(2)
 0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

	0	1	5	6	8
Count	1434	154	210	2	7

=====
VAR 001243 C3. Registered in county of IW?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2211 - 2211
Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/
IF R VOTED:

Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County.
Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? /
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

-
1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY) --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4,
/WASHINGTON D.C ELSE GO TO C9(1))
 5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY --> SKIP TO C3a

8. DK --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
 9. RF --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

	0	1	5
Count	471	1269	67

=====
 VAR 001244 C3a1. State of registration
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2212 - 2213
 Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION

 ICPSR state code

98. DK
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

	0	5	12	13	14	21
Count	1741	1	1	2	4	4
	22	23	25	40	41	42
Count	4	4	1	4	2	1
	43	44	45	49	54	62
Count	7	3	1	7	4	2
	65	67	71	72	73	
Count	1	1	7	3	2	

=====
 VAR 001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)
 COLUMNS: 2214 - 2214
 Character

C3a2.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)

 This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality
 of the respondent.

=====

VAR 001245 C4. Did R vote on election day or before
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2215 - 2215
 Numeric

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th
 2000, or did you vote at some time before this?

-
- 1. ELECTION DAY --> SKIP TO C5
 - 5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS --> C4a
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
 - 9. RF --> SKIP TO C5
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	625	1000	182

=====

VAR 001246 C4a. How long before election did R vote
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2216 - 2217
 Numeric

C4a.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?

[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

- 01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
- 02. One week; 7 days
- 03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
- 04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
- 05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
- 06. One month; 29-31 days
- 07. More than one month; 32-60 days
- 11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
- 12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
- 91. More than a few days -- NFS
- 92. More than a few weeks --NFS
- 97. Other
- 98. DK; not sure
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1626	58	41	53	4	2

	6	11	12	91	98
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	8	9	3	1

=====
VAR 001247 C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2218 - 2218
Numeric

C4b.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?

-
- 1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5
 - 5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5
 - 7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

	0	1	5	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1625	61	102	19

=====
VAR 001248 C5. Did R vote for President?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2219 - 2219
Numeric

C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

-
- 1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT
 - 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
 - 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	625	1178	4

=====
VAR 001249 C6. R vote cast for President
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2220 - 2220
Numeric

C6.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?

-
- 1. AL GORE --> SKIP TO C6a
 - 3. GEORGE W. BUSH --> SKIP TO C6a
 - 5. PAT BUCHANAN --> SKIP TO C6a
 - 6. RALPH NADER --> SKIP TO C6a
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO C6a

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
 - 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

	0	1	3	5
Count	629	590	530	3
	6	7	8	9
Count	33	9	3	10

=====
VAR 001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2221 - 2221
Numeric

C6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN:
<candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate)
was strong or not strong?

-
- 1. STRONG
 - 5. NOT STRONG

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	5	8
Count	642	876	286	3

=====
VAR 001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2222 - 2223
Numeric

C7.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you
were going to vote the way you did?

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?]

- 01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
- 02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"
- 03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few months"; "2-3 months"
- 04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
- 05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
- 06. Five to seven weeks before the election
- 07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
- 08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
- 09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
- 10. On election day
- 11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
- 12. After the vice-presidential debate
- 97. Other
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	645	147	257	87	21
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	108	44	102	76	126
	10	11	97	98	
Count	57	134	1	2	

=====
 VAR 001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2224 - 2225
 Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 1

-
- 1. AL GORE
 - 3. GEORGE W BUSH

- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	3	5
Count	642	33	29	45
	6	7	8	98
Count	159	105	792	2

=====
 VAR 001252b C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2226 - 2226
 Numeric

C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the
 Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
 other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
 voted for?

MENTION 2

-
- 1. AL GORE
 - 3. GEORGE W BUSH
 - 5. PAT BUCHANAN
 - 6. RALPH NADER
 - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
 - 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

	0	5	6	7
Count	1798	4	2	3

=====
 VAR 001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2227 - 2227
 Numeric

C8(3).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 3

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

0

Count 1807

=====

VAR 001253a C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2228 - 2228
 Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

- 1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
- 4. WASHINGTON DC

- 9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
- 0. INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	1130	48	373	4

=====

VAR 001253b C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2229 - 2229
 Numeric

C9(2).

IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES

This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05). All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in V000262.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2
Count	629	406	772

=====
VAR 001254 C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2230 - 2230
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

	0	1	5	8
Count	677	952	154	24

```
=====
VAR 001255    C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2231 - 2231
              Numeric
```

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
 Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
 Did you vote for
 (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
 (the Republican, <NAME>)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
 <NAME>?)

 See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
 the ballot card/ read over the phone.
 The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present
 on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
 candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
 the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she
 voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party
 ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she
 voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate
 from that party ran in R's district.

CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview,
 R did not select one of the names from the district of the
 interview location but provided a name which was later
 identified as belonging to another congressional district.
 These cases have been coded 6 in V001255 but the candidate code
 and party identification according to the other district are
 coded in V001256 and V001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and
 Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside
 CD of interview.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
 party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
 If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
 list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	856	454	1	425
	6	7	8	9
Count	8	36	21	6

=====

VAR 001256 C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2232 - 2233
Numeric

C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

	0	31	32	33	34	35
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	856	40	45	291	296	128
	36	39	97	98	99	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	87	1	36	21	6	

=====

VAR 001257 C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2234 - 2234
Numeric

C9b(3)/C11bx.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	856	459	428	1
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	36	21	6	

=====

VAR 001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2235 - 2235
Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives
in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S.
House of Representatives?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1759	32	16

=====
VAR 001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2236 - 2237
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district
of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes
30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39).

- VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
- 81. Democratic candidate
 - 82. Republican candidate
- DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
- 83. Democratic incumbent
 - 84. Republican incumbent
 - 85. Democratic challenger
 - 86. Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS:
 80. Third party or independent candidate **
 91. Democrat--no name given
 92. Republican--no name given
 97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or candidate or CD not identified)
 98. DK --> C14a
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

	0	32	34	36	81
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1775	2	4	1	1
	82	83	84	86	91
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	2	1	1	5
	92	97	98		
	-----	-----	-----		
Count	6	2	6		

=====
 VAR 001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2238 - 2238
 Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
 Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

 1. DEMOCRAT
 2. REPUBLICAN
 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
 7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK --> C14a
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

	0	1	2	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1775	8	16	2	6

=====
 VAR 001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2239 - 2239
 Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER: SPECIFY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1775	12	19	1

=====
 VAR 001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2240 - 2241
 Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.
 ** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
 IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
 If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
 - 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
 - 32. Republican candidate in open House race
 - 33. Democratic House incumbent
 - 34. Republican House incumbent
 - 35. Democratic House challenger
 - 36. Republican House challenger
 - 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
 - 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
 - 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):
- DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
 - 81. Democratic candidate
 - 82. Republican candidate
 - DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
 - 83. Democratic incumbent
 - 84. Republican incumbent
 - 85. Democratic challenger
 - 86. Republican challenger
 - ALL OUT OF-COUNTY DISTRICTS:
 - 80. Third party or independent candidate **
 - 91. Democrat--no name given
 - 92. Republican--no name given
 - 97. Name not on candidate list
 - 98. DK
 - 99. RF
 - 00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA in C10a/C12a.T

	0	31	32	33	34	35
Count	824	40	47	291	300	128
	36	39	81	82	83	84
Count	88	1	1	1	2	1
	86	91	92	97	98	99
Count	1	5	6	38	27	6

=====
 VAR 001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2242 - 2242
 Numeric

C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
 Who did you vote for?
 OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
 Who did you vote for?
 Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	824	467	444	1
	7	8	9	
Count	38	27	6	

=====
VAR 001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2243 - 2243
Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type
race variable (V000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of interview

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC

	0	1	2
Count	629	900	278

=====
VAR 001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2244 - 2244
Numeric

C13(2).

SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

- 1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
- 4. WASHINGTON DC

- 9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
- 0. INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	1130	48	373	4

=====
 VAR 001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2245 - 2245
 Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
- IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
 Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE

- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

	0	1	5	8
Count	949	775	78	5

=====
 VAR 001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2246 - 2246
 Numeric

C13b1/C15bx1.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
- IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
 Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.

MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507) Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
- 3. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
- 4. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
- 5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME --> D1
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> D1

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

	0	1	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1032	403	6	4
	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	331	16	9	6

=====

VAR 001268 C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2247 - 2248
 Numeric

C13b2/C15bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
 IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
 Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent

10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race

13. Democratic Senate incumbent

14. Republican Senate incumbent

15. Democratic Senate challenger

16. Republican Senate challenger

97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

	0	8	10	11	12	13
Count	1032	4	6	80	55	196
	14	15	16	97	98	99
Count	185	127	91	16	9	6

=====
VAR 001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2249 - 2249
Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	1032	403	331	10
	7	8	9	
Count	16	9	6	

=====
 VAR 001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2250 - 2250
 Numeric

C14a/C16a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE --> D1
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1
- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

	0	1	5	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1765	32	8	2

=====

VAR 001271 C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote -cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2251 - 2252
 Numeric

C14b1/C16b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
 Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

 If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district
 of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes
 08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
 80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
 81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
 82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
 83. Democratic Senate incumbent
 84. Republican Senate incumbent
 85. Democratic Senate challenger
 86. Republican Senate challenger
 91. Democrat - no name given
 92. Republican - no name given
 97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not
 identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)

98. DK
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1775	1	3	4	4
	91	92	97	98	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	4	2	5	9	

=====

AR 001272 C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2253 - 2253
 Numeric

C14b2/C16b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1775	9	9	5	9

=====

VAR 001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2254 - 2254
 Numeric

C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
- 5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
- 7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1

- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1775	14	15	2	1

=====

VAR 001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2255 - 2256
 Numeric

C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW

- 80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
- 81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 83. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 84. Republican Senate incumbent
- 85. Democratic Senate challenger
- 86. Republican Senate challenger
- 91. Democrat - no name given
- 92. Republican - no name given
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1); 5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

	0	8	10	11	12
Count	1000	4	6	81	58
	13	14	15	16	91
Count	200	189	127	91	4
	92	97	98	99	
Count	2	21	18	6	

=====

VAR 001275 C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2257 - 2257
 Numeric

C14x2/C16x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
 IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C14x1/C16x1.T

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	1000	412	340	10
	7	8	9	
Count	21	18	6	

=====
VAR 001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2258 - 2258
Numeric

C17.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

How about the election for President?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1434	260	110	2	1

=====
VAR 001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2259 - 2259
Numeric

C17a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you prefer?

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1547	129	117	1
	6	7	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	8	4	1	

=====

VAR 001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2260 - 2260
 Numeric

C17b.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
 IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1548	163	95	1

=====

VAR 001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2261 - 2261
 Numeric

C18/C18.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
 Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:

How about the election for the House of Representatives
in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for
the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

	0	1	5	8
Count	1434	74	292	7

=====

VAR 001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2262 - 2262
Numeric

C18a/C18a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present
on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <preload Democratic candidate name>
- 3. <preload Ind/3rd-party cand name>
- 5. <preload Republican candidate name>
- 7. Other - specify

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1733	39	28	5	1	1

```

=====
VAR 001281    C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
              COLUMNS: 2263 - 2264
              Numeric
  
```

C18a1/C18a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
 IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
 Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
 Did you prefer
 (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
 (the Republican, <NAME>)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
 <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
 If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

	0	31	32	33	34
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1733	2	6	30	18
	35	36	97	98	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	7	4	5	1	1

=====

VAR 001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2265 - 2265
Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

	0	1	2	7	8	9
Count	1733	39	28	5	1	1

=====
VAR 001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2266 - 2266
Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

	0	1	5	8
Count	1518	90	195	4

=====
 VAR 001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2267 - 2267
 Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
 IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
 Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
 Did you prefer
 (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
 (the Republican, <NAME>)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
 candidate,<NAME>?)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
 candidate,<NAME>?)

 See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the
 ballot card/ read over the phone.
 The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
 the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
 candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
 instrument).
 TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
 party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
 If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
 list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
- 3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
- 4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
- 5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
- 7. OTHER; SPECIFY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	1	4	5
Count	1717	45	1	41

	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	1

=====
 VAR 001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
 COLUMNS: 2268 - 2269
 Numeric

C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
 IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
 IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
 Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
 Did you prefer
 (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
 (the Republican, <NAME>)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
 candidate,<NAME>?)
 (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
 candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

 ** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
 the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
 candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
 instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
 candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
 If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
 his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger

- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	8	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1717	1	14	9	22	27
	15	16	97	98	99	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	9	5	1	1	1	

=====

VAR 001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2270 - 2270

Numeric

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on

the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that

candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the

instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party

candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,

his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	1717	45	41	1
	7	8	9	
Count	1	1	1	

=====

VAR 001287 (Blank)

COLUMNS: 2271 - 2271

Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

=====
VAR 001288 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2272 - 2272
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

=====
VAR 001289 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2273 - 2273
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

=====
VAR 001290 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2274 - 2274
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

=====
VAR 001291 C20. How fair was November election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2275 - 2275
Numeric

C20.

Please continue thinking about the November election.
In some countries, people believe their elections are
conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe
that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking
of the presidential election we've just had, do you
believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair
nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

- 1. VERY FAIR
- 2. SOMEWHAT FAIR
- 3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
- 4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
- 5. VERY UNFAIR

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added

	0	1	2	3
Count	357	322	415	155
	4	5	8	9
Count	309	217	28	4

.....
D1/D1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
 I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

=====
 VAR 001292 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2276 - 2278
 Numeric

D1a/D1a.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
BILL CLINTON

TELEPHONE:

Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001293 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2279 - 2281
Numeric

D1b/D1b.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
AL GORE

TELEPHONE:

The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001294 D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2282 - 2284
 Numeric

D1c/D1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
GEORGE W. BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that
feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001295 D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2285 - 2287
 Numeric

D1d/D1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:

The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001296 D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2288 - 2290
Numeric

D1e/D1e.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
JESSE JACKSON

TELEPHONE:

The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001297 D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2291 - 2293
 Numeric

D1f/D1f.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001298 D1g/D1g.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2294 - 2296
 Numeric

D1g/D1g.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Democratic House candidate; no Post interview;
Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001299 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2297 - 2299
Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered

as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

```
=====
VAR 001300    D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
              MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
              COLUMNS: 2300 - 2302
              Numeric
```

D1j/D1j.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview; Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001300a D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2303 - 2303
Numeric

D1jx.

CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO
THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?

-
- 0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
 - 1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
 - 2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	2	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1535	11	5	256

=====
VAR 001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2304 - 2306

Numeric

D1k/D1k.T.

IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate
him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====
VAR 001302 D1m/D1m.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2307 - 2309
Numeric

D1m/D1m.T.

IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001303 Dln/Dln.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2310 - 2312
Numeric

Dln/Dln.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT
CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you
rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05);
no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in D1nx.

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====

VAR 001303a D1nx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2313 - 2313
Numeric

D1nx.

CHECKPOINT: VT01 OR VA05

0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05

1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01

2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	2	9
Count	1547	1	3	256

.....

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

=====

VAR 001304 D2a. Thermometer supreme court
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2314 - 2316
Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

VAR 001305 D2b. Thermometer Congress
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2317 - 2319
Numeric

D2b.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====
VAR 001306 D2c. Thermometer military
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2320 - 2322
 Numeric

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====
VAR 001307 D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2323 - 2325
 Numeric

D2d.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2326 - 2328
 Numeric

D2e.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BLACKS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001309 D2f. Thermometer whites
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2329 - 2331
 Numeric

D2f.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - WHITES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2332 - 2334
Numeric

D2g.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====

VAR 001311 D2h. Thermometer liberals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2335 - 2337
Numeric

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====
VAR 001312 D2j. Thermometer labor unions
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2338 - 2340
Numeric

D2j.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001313 D2k. Thermometer big business
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2341 - 2343
 Numeric

D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001314 D2m. Thermometer poor people
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2344 - 2346
 Numeric

D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001315 D2n. Thermometer people on welfare
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2347 - 2349
Numeric

D2n.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001316 D2p. Thermometer Hispanics
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2350 - 2352
Numeric

D2p.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

```
=====
VAR 001317    D2q. Thermometer Chrstn Fundamentalists
              MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
              COLUMNS: 2353 - 2355
              Numeric
```

D2q.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

```
=====
VAR 001318    D2r. Thermometer women's movement
              MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
              COLUMNS: 2356 - 2358
              Numeric
```

D2r.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001319 D2s. Thermometer older people
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2359 - 2361
Numeric

D2s.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001320 D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2362 - 2364
Numeric

D2t.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001321 D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2365 - 2367
Numeric

D2u.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====

VAR 001322 D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2368 - 2370
Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE CHRISTIAN COALITION

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001323 D2w. Thermometer Catholics
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2371 - 2373
Numeric

D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001324 D2x. Thermometer Jews
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2374 - 2376
 Numeric

D2x.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS: 2377 - 2379
 Numeric

D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001326 D2y. Thermometer feminists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2380 - 2382
Numeric

D2y.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
VAR 001327 D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2383 - 2385
Numeric

D2z.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

.....

E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S. House of Representatives.

=====

VAR 001328 E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2386 - 2386
 Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO E1a
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO E2
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> E3 or E5a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO E2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House race

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	370	395	551	470	12	9

```
=====
VAR 001329   Ela(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
             COLUMNS: 2387 - 2390
             Numeric
```

Ela(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no Post IW

```
=====
VAR 001330   Ela(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
             MD: EQ 0
             COLUMNS: 2391 - 2394
             Numeric
```

Ela(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

```
=====
VAR 001331   Ela(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
             MD: EQ 0
             COLUMNS: 2395 - 2398
             Numeric
```

E1a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001332 E1a(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2399 - 2402
Numeric

E1a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001333 E1a(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2403 - 2405
Numeric

E1a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001334 E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2406 - 2406
Numeric

E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01:
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
- 5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP,0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate
in House race; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	843	182	760	14	8

=====

VAR 001335 E2a(1). #1 dislike Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 2407 - 2410
Numeric

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001336 E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2411 - 2414
Numeric

E2a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001337 E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2415 - 2418
Numeric

E2a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001338 E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2419 - 2422
Numeric

E2a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001339 E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2423 - 2426
Numeric

E2a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001340 E3. Like anything- Repub House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2427 - 2427
Numeric

E3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about
<NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT
CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
 In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
- 5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO E4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	357	381	573	474	12	10

```
=====
VAR 001341    E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
              COLUMNS: 2428 - 2431
              Numeric
```

E3a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
 UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
 See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
 Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW

```
=====
VAR 001342    E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 2432 - 2435
              Numeric
```

E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001343 E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2436 - 2439
 Numeric

E3a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001344 E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2440 - 2443
 Numeric

E3a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001345 E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2444 - 2447
Numeric

E3a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001346 E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2448 - 2448
Numeric

E4.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05:
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E4a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E5

8. DK --> SKIP TO E5a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	831	194	762	8	12

```
=====
VAR 001347    E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
              COLUMNS: 2449 - 2452
              Numeric
```

E4a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW

```
=====
VAR 001348    E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 2453 - 2456
              Numeric
```

E4a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

```
=====
VAR 001349    E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 2457 - 2460
              Numeric
```

E4a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001350 E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2461 - 2463
 Numeric

E4a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====
VAR 001351 E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2464 - 2467
 Numeric

E4a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2468 - 2468
Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

-
- 1. One House candidate in candidate list
 - 2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list

 - 9. Washington DC
 - 0. No Post IW

=====

VAR 001353a E5a(1). 2 cand- either cand incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2469 - 2469
Numeric

E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND
NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(<VA05: <INDEPENDENT
INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of
Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T
KNOW"?)

- 1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> --> E6
- 2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6
- 3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6
- 4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6
- 5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> E6

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

	0	1	2	3
Count	471	368	399	3
	4	5	7	9
Count	17	57	491	1

=====

VAR 001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2470 - 2471
Numeric

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT
INCUMBENT CAND) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was
already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the
election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T
KNOW"?)

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

- 30. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 39. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
- 90. "BOTH"

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

	0	31	32	33	34
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1020	11	18	348	374
	35	36	39	90	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	9	7	3	17	

=====
VAR 001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2472 - 2472
Numeric

E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of
Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T
KNOW"?)

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

	0	1	5	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1592	120	20	75

=====

VAR 001355 E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2473 - 2474
 Numeric

E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR
 REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of
 Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T
 KNOW"?)

- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

- 00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)

	0	33	34
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1687	59	61

=====

VAR 001356 E6. Party control House before election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2475 - 2475
 Numeric

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members
 in the House of Representatives in Washington
 BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
 [DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. THE DEMOCRATS
- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	185	849	521

=====

VAR 001357 E7. Party control Senate before election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2476 - 2476
 Numeric

E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members
 in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last)
 month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
 [DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. THE DEMOCRATS
- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	148	776	631

=====

VAR 001358 F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2477 - 2477
 Numeric

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way
 Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT
 NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

-
- 1. APPROVE --> SKIP TO Fla
 - 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP TO F1b
 - 8. DK --> F2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	256	912	172	455	12

=====

VAR 001359 Fla. Strength approve House incumbent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2478 - 2478
 Numeric

F1a.

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. APPROVE STONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO F2

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO F2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1

	0	1	5	8
Count	895	481	426	5

=====
VAR 001360 F1b. Strength disappr House incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2479 - 2479
Numeric

F1b.

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
 - 5. NOT STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1635	87	82	3

=====
VAR 001361 F1x. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2480 - 2480
Numeric

F1x.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way
Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT
NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK in F1 or Fla/b
9. RF in Fla or Fla/b
0. NA

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	481	426	82
	5	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	87	463	12	

=====

VAR 001362 F2. Special action by incumbent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2481 - 2481
 Numeric

F2.

Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	297	1099	152	3

=====

VAR 001363 F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2482 - 2482
 Numeric

F3.

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

1. YES, R KNOWS --> SKIP TO F3a
5. NO --> SKIP TO F3b
8. DK --> SKIP TO F3b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	256	532	901	116	2

=====
 VAR 001364 F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2483 - 2484
 Numeric

F3a.

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

 Actual number coded.

98. DK
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1275	2	39	4	147	7
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	78	2	109	1	26	1
	12	13	14	15	16	18
Count	66	1	5	10	2	4
	20	25	26	32	98	
Count	12	3	2	1	10	

=====
 VAR 001365 F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2485 - 2485
 Numeric

F3b.

IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or
 more than 12 years?

 [DON'T PROBE DK]

1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
 3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
 5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3
 ^^^^

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	790	450	140	55	372

=====
 VAR 001366 F4. House incumb kept in touch w/district
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2486 - 2486
 Numeric

F4.

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME>
 does of keeping in touch with the people in your
 district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly
 good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in
 touch with the people in this district?

-
1. VERY GOOD
 2. FAIRLY GOOD
 3. FAIRLY POOR
 4. VERY POOR
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	300	559	236

	4	8	9
Count	150	302	4

=====
 VAR 001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2487 - 2487
 Numeric

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government
 and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an
 election going on or not. Others aren't that interested.
 Would you say you follow what's going on in government
 and public affairs most of the time, some of the time,
 only now and then, or hardly at all?

-
1. MOST OF THE TIME
 2. SOME OF THE TIME
 3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
 4. HARDLY AT ALL
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	339	569	423
	4	8	9	
Count	212	11	1	

G1/G1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 2 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

```
=====
VAR 001368    G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2488 - 2488
              Numeric
```

G1a/G1a.T.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b
- 2. LIBERAL --> G2b
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> G2b
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
- 6. CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
- 8. DK --> G1b
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	308	33	169	139	386

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	197	264	50	6	255

=====
VAR 001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2489 - 2489
Numeric

G1b.

IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

-
1. LIBERAL
 3. CONSERVATIVE
 5. MODERATE [VOL]
 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in G1a/G1a.T; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1104	78	109	413	91	12

=====
VAR 001370 G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2490 - 2490
Numeric

G1x.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY

Built from G1a/G1a.T and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a/G1a.T,G1b.

- 1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)

- 8. DK (0/8,8)
- 9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
- 0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,NA); No Post IW

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	419	428	620	78	10	252

=====

VAR 001371 G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2491 - 2491
 Numeric

G2/G2.T.

FTF:
 Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	202	487	286	259
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	68	71	29	150	3

=====

VAR 001372 G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2492 - 2492
 Numeric

G3/G3.T.

FTF:
 Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	162	505	295	202
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	94	99	29	166	3

=====
VAR 001373 G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2493 - 2493
Numeric

G3a/G3a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G3/G3.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	421	387	685	313	1

=====
VAR 001374 G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2494 - 2494
Numeric

G4/G4.T.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	18	94	75	165
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	273	647	107	173	3

=====
VAR 001375 G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2495 - 2495
Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	428	326	735	318

=====
VAR 001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2496 - 2496
Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	24	72	54	154

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	62	211	471	504	3

=====
 VAR 001377 G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2497 - 2497
 Numeric

G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
 certain or not very certain?

-
1. VERY CERTAIN
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G5/G5.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	759	365	395	288

=====
 VAR 001378 G6. Ckpt: number of cand/VT01/VA05
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2498 - 2498
 Numeric

G6.

CHECKPOINT:
 NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
 FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House
 incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement.
 In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House
 incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic
 candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican
 candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

=====

VAR 001378a G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2499 - 2499
 Numeric

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
 IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
 on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
 (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly
 liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
 conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except
 VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was
 administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and
 G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	648	24	182	162	187
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	56	9	484	1

=====
 VAR 001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2500 - 2500
 Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:

Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDPENDENT HOUSE
CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except
VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was
administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and
G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

	0	3
Count	1806	1

```
=====
VAR 001379a  G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2501 - 2501
              Numeric
```

G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1133	135	314	224	1

=====
VAR 001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2502 - 2502
Numeric

G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(2)/G6(2).T

	0	3
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 001380a G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2503 - 2503
Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?

(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	655	11	43	40	155
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	164	250	24	463	2

=====

VAR 001380b G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2504 -2504
Numeric

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:

Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in Dln

	0	6	8
Count	1805	1	1

=====

VAR 001381a G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2505 - 2505
 Numeric

G7a1/G7a1.T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

	0	1	3	5
Count	1120	136	327	224

=====

VAR 001381b G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2506 - 2506
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except
VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(2)/G7(2).T

	0	3
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 001382 G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2507 - 2507
Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal,
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely
conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD

- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	87	602	301	196
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	65	91	28	183	2

=====

VAR 001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2508 - 2508
 Numeric

G9/G9.T.

FTF:
 Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Republican Party?
 (Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
 The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	14	84	70	152

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	271	693	70	199	2

=====
 VAR 001384 G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2509 - 2509
 Numeric

G10/G10.T.

FTF:
 Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 What about the Reform Party?
 (Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal,
 slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,
 slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely
 conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
 The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was
 randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	42	99	80	264

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	85	147	96	737	5

.....
 G11/G11.T.

FTF:
 Please look at page 3 of the booklet.
 Some people think the government should provide fewer
 services even in areas such as health and education
 in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are

at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Still others feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

```
=====
VAR 001385      G11a. R placement- services/spend scale
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 2510 - 2510
                Numeric
```

G11a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b or G11c
- 2. --> G11b or G11c
- 3. --> G11b or G11c
- 4. --> G11b or G11c
- 5. --> G11b or G11c
- 6. --> G11b or G11c
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b or G11c
- 8. DK --> G11b or G11c
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11b or G11c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	73	21	59	98	198
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	151	50	41	4	1112

```
=====
VAR 001386      G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 2511 - 2511
                Numeric
```

G11a.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue

providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11a1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11a2.T
- 5. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL --> G11a3.T

- 8. DK --> G11a3.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11a3.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	58	163	289	340	8	949

=====
VAR 001387 G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2512 - 2512
Numeric

G11a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T

 - 8. DK --> G11b.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

	0	1	5
Count	1644	73	90

=====
VAR 001388 G11a2.T. How much increase services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2513 - 2513
Numeric

G11a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T

- 8. DK --> G11b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1518	121	167	1

=====

VAR 001389 G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2514 - 2514
 Numeric

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE
- 3. DECREASE
- 5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G11a.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1399	175	139	84	9	1

=====

VAR 001390 G11ax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2515 - 2515
 Numeric

G11ax1.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a.T, G11a1.T, G11a2.T, G11a3.T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)					
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW					
0. Haven't thought much (0,0,0,5/8/9/NA)					
	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	20	73	90	139	69
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	175	168	121	4	948

=====

VAR 001390a G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2516 - 2516
 Numeric

G11ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a and G11ax1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	93	94	149	237	267
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	326	218	162	8	253

=====

VAR 001391 G11b. Ckpt: number of cand/VT01/VA05
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2517 - 2517
 Numeric

G11b.

CHECKPOINT:
 NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
 FOR SERVICES/SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

- 1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
- 2. Democratic candidate only in House race
- 3. Republican candidate only in House race
- 4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
- 5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
- 9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

=====

VAR 001391a G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2518 - 2518
 Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
 IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
 Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
 In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).
 Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
- 2. --> G12
- 3. --> G12
- 4. --> G12
- 5. --> G12
- 6. --> G12
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
 candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1258	2	7	30	77
	5	6	7	8	
Count	114	53	14	252	

=====
 VAR 001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2519 - 2519
 Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:
 IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
 Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

 FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
 In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
- 2. --> G12
- 3. --> G12
- 4. --> G12
- 5. --> G12
- 6. --> G12
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12

- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0

Count 1807

=====
VAR 001392a G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2520 - 2520
Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T.

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T

5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;

candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1197	36	182	93	298	1

=====

VAR 001392b G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2521 - 2521
 Numeric

G11b2.T.

IF VT01:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(1).T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T

3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T

5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;

candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	5
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2522 - 2522
Numeric

G11b1(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

	0	1	5
Count	1771	11	25

=====

VAR 001393b G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2523 - 2523
Numeric

G11b1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

	0
Count	1807

=====

VAR 001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrasease ser/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2524 - 2524
Numeric

G11b2(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1625	77	104	1

=====

VAR 001394b G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2525 - 2525
Numeric

G11b2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

	0
Count	1807

=====

VAR 001395a G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cand- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2526 - 2526
Numeric

G11b3(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1714	57	19	14	3

=====
VAR 001395b G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2527 - 2527
Numeric

G11b3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

	0	3
Count	1806	1

=====
VAR 001396 G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2528 - 2528
Numeric

G11bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11b1/G11b2.T, G11b1(1)/G11b1(2).T, G11b2(1).T/G11b2(2).T, G11b3(1).T/G11b3(2).T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1196	11	25	20	17
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	57	105	77	298	1

=====

VAR 001396a G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2529 - 2529
 Numeric

G11bx2.

FTF:
 Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
 What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
 (IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
 (IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
 (IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME

3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	647	13	32	50	94
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	171	158	91	550	1

=====

VAR 001397a G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2530 - 2530
Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)
were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1295	6	36	98	94

	5	6	7	8
Count	49	15	3	211

=====

VAR 001397b G11c2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2531 - 2531
 Numeric

G11c2.

IF VA05:
 IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
 Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
 HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
 FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)
 were administered was randomized.
 In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
 was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
 in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were
 administered G11c(1).

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
- 2. --> G12
- 3. --> G12
- 4. --> G12
- 5. --> G12
- 6. --> G12
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
 candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0
Count	1807

=====

VAR 001398a G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2532 - 2532
 Numeric

G11c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
 IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
 What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
 Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
 provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,

provide many more services even if it means an increase
in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/
G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:

in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
- 5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1167	169	59	114	298

=====

VAR 001398b G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2533 - 2533
Numeric

G11c2.T.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE
CANDIDATE>)?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,
provide many more services even if it means an increase
in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/
G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:

in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were
administered G11c(1).T

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
- 5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1805	1	1

=====

VAR 001399a G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2534 - 2534
 Numeric

G11c1(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
 spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
 only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1638	53	114	2

=====

VAR 001399b G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2535 - 2535
 Numeric

G11c1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
 spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
 only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

	0	5
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 001400a G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrisease serv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2536 - 2536
Numeric

G11c2(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

	0	1	5
Count	1748	17	42

=====

VAR 001400b G11c2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2537 - 2537
Numeric

G11c2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

 - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

	0
Count	1807

=====

VAR 001401a G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2538 - 2538
Numeric

G11c3(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1693	32	55	22	5

=====

VAR 001401b G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2539 - 2539
Numeric

G11c3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

	0	3
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 001402 G11cx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2540 - 2540
Numeric

G11cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11c1/G11c2.T, G11c1(1)/G11c1(2).T, G11c2(1).T/G11c2(2).T, G11c3(1).T/G11c3(2).T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1165	53	117	56	27
	5	6	7	8	
Count	32	42	17	298	

=====
VAR 001402a G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2541 - 2541
Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	653	59	153	154	121
	5	6	7	8	
Count	81	57	20	509	

=====
VAR 001403 G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2542 - 2542
Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	172	458	277
	4	7	8	9
Count	612	24	8	4

=====

VAR 001404 G12a. How important is abortion issue to R
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2543 - 2543
 Numeric

G12a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	62	173	473
	4	5	8	9
Count	538	301	7	1

=====

VAR 001405 G12b. Checkpoint: number of cand/VT01/VA05
 MD: EQ 9
 COLUMNS: 2544 - 2544
 Numeric

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:
 NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
 FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

```
=====
VAR 001405a  G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2545 - 2545
              Numeric
```

G12b1/G12b1.T.

```
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:
```

```
FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
(on abortion)?
```

```
TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?
```

```
-----
[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.
```

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

	0	1	2	3
Count	648	18	66	96
	4	7	8	9
Count	195	1	781	2

=====

VAR 001405b G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2546 - 2546
 Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:
 IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
 Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
 HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on
 abortion)?
 Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
 G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except
 VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
 FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
 G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
 TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
 G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
 OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
 IN DANGER. --> G12c or G13
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
 OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
 WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE
 ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12c or G13
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO
 OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL
 CHOICE. --> G12c or G13
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-certainty of plcmt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2547 - 2547
 Numeric

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(1)/G12b(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1431	70	151	154	1

=====

VAR 001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2548 - 2548
 Numeric

G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

	0	1
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

=====

VAR 001407a G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2549 - 2549
 Numeric

G12c1/G12c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
 IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
 IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:
 Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
 (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
 What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
 Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

 [DON'T PROBE DK]
 G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except
 VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
 FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and
 G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
 TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
 G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
 OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
 IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
 OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
 WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE
 ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN
 AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	655	70	196	74

	4	7	8	9
Count	46	1	763	2

=====

VAR 001407b G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2550 - 2550
 Numeric

G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

	0	2	3
Count	1805	1	1

=====

VAR 001408a G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plct
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2551 - 2551
Numeric

G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s
position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very
certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except
VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1420	59	158	169	1

=====
VAR 001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2552 - 2552
Numeric

G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s
position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very
certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except
VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

	0	1	3
Count	1805	1	1

=====

VAR 001409 G13. R thinks of themselves as Republican or Democrat
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2553 - 2553
 Numeric

G13.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?

-
- 1. DEMOCRAT
 - 3. REPUBLICAN
 - 5. NEITHER [VOL]
 - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY]

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	252	706	522	253
	7	8	9	
Count	62	10	2	

=====

VAR 001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2554 - 2554
 Numeric

H1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP H1b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP H2

- 8. DK --> SKIP H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered ' section H in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1042	247	79	435	2	2

=====

VAR 001411a H1a. How much better off in last year financially
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2555 - 2555
 Numeric

H1a.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H2
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H2

 - 8. DK --> SKIP H2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1560	66	181

=====

VAR 001411b H1b. How much worse off last year financially
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2556 - 2556
 Numeric

H1b.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1728	23	56

=====

VAR 001412 H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2557 - 2557
 Numeric

H1x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. The same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
- 9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	1042	66	181	435
	4	5	8	9
Count	56	23	2	2

=====

VAR 001412a H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2558 - 2558
 Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
 Built from Pre E1x and Post H1x

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. The same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	115	183	375	917
	4	5	8	9
Count	145	64	6	2

=====

VAR 001413 H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2559 - 2559
 Numeric

H2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

 The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

	0	1	5	9
Count	1042	179	585	1

=====
 VAR 001413a H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2560 - 2560
 Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
 Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	9
Count	115	457	1234	1

=====
 VAR 001414 H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2561 - 2561
 Numeric

H3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family living here/there) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip H3b
- 5. THE SAME --> Skip H4

- 8. DK --> Skip H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered
section H in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1042	289	43	414	17	2

=====

VAR 001415 H3a. How much better off next year financially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2562 - 2562
Numeric

H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4

- 8. DK --> Skip H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1518	87	200	2

=====

VAR 001416 H3b. How much worse off next year financially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2563 - 2563
Numeric

H3b.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1764	6	37

=====

VAR 001417 H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2564 - 2564
 Numeric

H3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
 (and your family living here/there) will be better off
 financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
 Much better or somewhat better?
 Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered
 section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK in H3 or H3a/b
- 9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1042	87	200	414
	4	5	8	9
Count	37	6	19	2

=====

VAR 001417a H3x1. Pre/Po: Financial situation in next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2565 - 2565
 Numeric

H3x1.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
 (and your family living here/there) will be better off
 financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
 Much better or somewhat better?
 Much worse or somewhat worse?

PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	141	204	451	893
	4	5	8	9
Count	70	24	22	2

=====

VAR 001418 H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2566 - 2566
 Numeric

H4.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of an assault during the coming year? Would you say you are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid, or not afraid?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
 NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of questions H1-H3.

- 1. VERY AFRAID
- 3. SOMEWHAT AFRAID
- 5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
- 7. NOT AFRAID

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7
Count	1042	41	142	244	338

=====

VAR 001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2567 - 2567
 Numeric

J1.

If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

- 1. HAPPY TO DO IT
- 5. RATHER NOT SERVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	957	585	12	1

J2.

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

=====

VAR 001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2568 - 2568
 Numeric

J2a.

ONE, the less government, the better; OR
TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
- 2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	639	888	25	3

=====

VAR 001421 J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2569 - 2569
 Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR

TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	928	575	50	2

=====

VAR 001422 J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2570 - 2570
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR
TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	671	854	28	2

=====

VAR 001423 J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2571 - 2571
Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR
TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
- 2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	852	662	34	7

=====

VAR 001424 J3. # times R watched jeopardy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2572 - 2573

Numeric

J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 97. R VOLUNTEERS, "NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8

- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	252	112	83	65	27	52
	6	7	9	10	13	95
Count	6	5	3	1	1	42
	96	97	98	99		
Count	1122	32	3	1		

=====

VAR 001425 J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2574 - 2575
 Numeric

J4.

How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"?

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL

98. DON'T KNOW
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	284	105	86	57	23
	5	6	7	9	10
Count	61	11	8	4	1
	95	96	98	99	
Count	68	1097	1	1	

=====

VAR 001426 J5. # times R watched morning news
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2576 - 2577
 Numeric

J5.

How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES

95. EVERY DAY
 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL

98. DON'T KNOW
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	284	122	110	123	61
	5	6	95	96	98
Count	181	16	177	729	4

=====

VAR 001427 J6. # times R watched daytime talk show
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2578 - 2579
 Numeric

J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES

95. EVERY DAY
 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL

98. DON'T KNOW
 99. RF
 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	284	141	91	70	31	61
	6	7	9	95	96	98
Count	2	5	1	36	1082	3

=====

VAR 001428 J7. Network news program R watches most
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2580 - 2580
 Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

- 1. ABC
- 3. NBC
- 5. CBS
- 6. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	3	5
Count	284	307	362	264
	6	7	8	
Count	184	394	12	

=====

VAR 001429 J8. How much can you trust the media
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2581 - 2581
 Numeric

J8.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. ALMOST NEVER [VOL]
- 8. DK

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	252	110	642	669	132	2

=====
VAR 001430 J9. Does R listen to political talk radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2582 - 2582
Numeric

J9.

There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?

1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10

8. DK --> GO TO J10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	561	994

=====
VAR 001431 J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2583 - 2583
Numeric

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

1. EVERYDAY
2. MOST DAYS
3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1246	92	77	144	248

=====

VAR 001432 J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2584 - 2584
Numeric

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background while they do other things. How about you? When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?

-
1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
 2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
 3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
 4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1246	107	258	145	51

=====
VAR 001433 J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2585 - 2585
Numeric

J10.

Do you have acces to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

-
1. YES
 5. NO --> J11
-
8. DK --> J11
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	973	581	1

=====
VAR 001434 J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2586 - 2586
Numeric

J10a.

IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:

Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J10

	0	1	5
Count	834	464	509

=====
VAR 001435 J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2587 - 2587
Numeric

J11.

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

- 1. YES, DIFFERENCES
- 5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	1066	457	31	1

=====
VAR 001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 2588 - 2590
Numeric

J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

- 990. Other comments

- 995. NA
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

=====
VAR 001436b J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2591 - 2591
Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

- 1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

- 8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

Table with 4 columns (0, 1, 2, 4) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: Count 741, 350, 11, 10. Row 2: Count 342, 322, 31.

=====
VAR 001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2592 - 2594
Numeric

J11a2(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2595 - 2595
Numeric

J11a2(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
 4. Republican Presidential candidate
 5. Republican Party mention
 6. Other party mentioned instead
 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	886	306	17	21
	5	6	7	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	360	1	216	

=====
VAR 001436e J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2596 - 2598
Numeric

J11a3(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001436f J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2599 - 2599
Numeric

J11a3(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
 4. Republican Presidential candidate
 5. Republican Party mention
 6. Other party mentioned instead
 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1137	221	16	24
	5	6	7	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	245	1	163	

=====

VAR 001436g J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2600 - 2602
Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001436h J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2603 - 2603
Numeric

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
 4. Republican Presidential candidate
 5. Republican Party mention
 6. Other party mentioned instead
 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1302	187	13	22
	5	6	7	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	189	1	93	

=====

VAR 001436j J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2604 - 2606
Numeric

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001436k J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2607 - 2607
Numeric

J11a5(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
 4. Republican Presidential candidate
 5. Republican Party mention
 6. Other party mentioned instead
 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1490	114	10	15
	5	6	7	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	118	1	59	

=====

VAR 001436m J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2608 - 2610
Numeric

J11a6(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

VAR 001436n J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2611 - 2611
Numeric

J11a6(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
 4. Republican Presidential candidate
 5. Republican Party mention
 6. Other party mentioned instead
 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4	5	7
Count	1591	90	8	9	81	28

.....
K1.

Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence.

=====
VAR 001437 K1a. Whites influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2612 - 2612
Numeric

K1a.

The first group is WHITES.
Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

-
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	425	971	124	21	14

=====
VAR 001438 K1b. Blacks influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2613 - 2613
Numeric

K1b.

What about BLACKS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	310	575	623	34	13

=====
VAR 001439 K1c. Hispanics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2614 - 2614
Numeric

K1c.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	121	502	849	69	14

=====
VAR 001440 K1d. Asian-Americans influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2615 - 2615
Numeric

K1d.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	85	586	771	100	13

VAR 001441 K1e. Jews influence
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2616 - 2616
 Numeric

K1e.

What about JEWS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	223	854	351	111	16

VAR 001442 K1f. Protestants influence
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2617 - 2617
 Numeric

K1f.

What about PROTESTANTS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	135	1012	221	171	16

=====
VAR 001443 K1g. Catholics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2618 - 2618
Numeric

K1g.

What about CATHOLICS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	250	1050	143	97	15

=====
VAR 001444 K1h. Men influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2619 - 2619
Numeric

K1h.

What about MEN?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	830	608	81	27	9

=====
VAR 001445 K1j. Women influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2620 - 2620
Numeric

K1j.

And the last group is WOMEN.
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	105	556	866	19	9

K2.

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public
figures. We want to see how much information about them
gets out to the public from television, newspapers and
the like.

=====

VAR 001446a K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2621 - 2621
Numeric

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

- 8. R makes no attempt to guess
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	782	85	260	680

=====

VAR 001446b K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2622 - 2622
Numeric

K2a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	50	167	311	2

=====
VAR 001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2623 - 2623
Numeric

K2ax.

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2a/K2a.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	135	427	991	2

=====

VAR 001448 K2a1.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2624 - 2624
Numeric

K2a1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT: LOTT - PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1279	241	287

=====
VAR 001449a K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2625 - 2625
Numeric

K2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
- 5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	782	98	297	630

=====

VAR 001449b K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2626 - 2626
Numeric

K2b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	66	189	274	1

=====
VAR 001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2627 - 2627
Numeric

K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2b/K2b.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	164	486	904	1

=====

VAR 001451 K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2628 - 2628
Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1279	220	308

=====
VAR 001452a K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2629 - 2629
Numeric

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
BRITAIN
- 5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	782	347	73	604	1

=====

VAR 001452b K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2630 - 2630
Numeric

K2c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
BRITAIN
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1277	189	69	271	1

=====
VAR 001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2631 - 2631
Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2c/K2c.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
BRITAIN
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	536	142	875	2

=====
VAR 001454 K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2632 - 2632
Numeric

K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. YES

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1278	218	311

=====
VAR 001455a K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2633 - 2633
Numeric

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess

9.RF

0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	782	561	165	296	3

=====
VAR 001455b K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2634 - 2634
Numeric

K2d.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	296	108	125	1

=====
 VAR 001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2635 - 2635
 Numeric

K2dx.

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2d/K2d.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	857	273	421	4

=====

VAR 001457 K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2636 - 2636
Numeric

K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1278	130	399

.....
K3.

Next, I'd like to ask you about the candidates who ran
for President and their running mates. We're interested
in some of the things that people may have heard about
these candidates. The first candidate I'd like to ask
you about is George W. Bush.

=====
VAR 001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2637 - 2637
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	2	17	1393
	4	7	8	9
Count	1	36	105	1

=====
 VAR 001459 K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2638 - 2638
 Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	145	1410

=====
 VAR 001460 K3b. What is Bush's religion
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2639 - 2639
 Numeric

K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?

 [PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
 1. BAPTIST
 2. METHODIST
 3. JEWISH
 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	15	236	125	5
	7	8	9	
Count	621	552	253	

=====
 VAR 001461 K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2640 - 2640
 Numeric

K3b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	255	673	879

.....

K4.

Now take Al Gore

=====
VAR 001462 K4a. What state is Gore from
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2641 - 2641
 Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	19	1053	12
	4	7	8	9
Count	5	194	271	1

=====
VAR 001463 K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2642 - 2642
 Numeric

K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	253	300	1254

=====

VAR 001464 K4b. What is Gore's religion
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2643 - 2643
 Numeric

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	14	255	102	10
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	575	598	253	

=====

VAR 001465 K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2644 - 2644
 Numeric

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	604	951

.....

K5.

What about Dick Cheney.

```
=====
VAR 001466    K5a. In what state does Cheney live
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2645 - 2645
              Numeric
```

K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	21	7	145
	4	7	8	9
Count	288	270	823	1

```
=====
VAR 001467    K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 2646 - 2646
              Numeric
```

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	253	751	803

```
=====
VAR 001468    K5b. What is Cheney's religion
              MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 2647 - 2647
              Numeric
```

K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	16	64	59	13
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	503	899	253	

=====
 VAR 001469 K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2648 - 2648
 Numeric

K5b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	254	771	782

K6.

And Joseph Lieberman.

=====
 VAR 001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2649 - 2649
 Numeric

K6a.

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	473	16	11
	4	7	8	9
Count	5	355	694	1

=====

VAR 001471 K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2650 - 2650
 Numeric

K6a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	614	941

=====

VAR 001472 K6b. What is Lieberman's religion
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 2651 - 2651
 Numeric

K6b.

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	18	6	1083
	7	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	87	358	253	

=====
VAR 001473 K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2652 - 2652
 Numeric

K6b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	319	1236

=====
VAR 001474 K7. Volunteer work in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

 COLUMNS: 2653 - 2653
 Numeric

K7.

Many people say they have less time these days to do
volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote
any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did
you not do so?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	665	890

=====
VAR 001475 K8. Are people trustworthy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2654 - 2654
 Numeric

K8.

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

- 1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
- 5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	816	728	9	2

=====
VAR 001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2655 - 2655
 Numeric

K9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

- 1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
- 5. TRY TO BE FAIR

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	467	1072	15	1

=====
VAR 001477 K10. People helpful or selfish
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2656 - 2656
 Numeric

K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?

- 1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
- 5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	1002	537	16

=====
 VAR 001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrmm homosexu
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2657 - 2657
 Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job
 discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect
 homosexuals against job discrimination?

-
- 1. FAVOR
 - 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO K12
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	993	480	59	23

=====
 VAR 001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2658 - 2658
 Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

-
- 1. FAVOR STRONGLY --> K12
 - 5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY --> K12
 - 8. DK --> K12
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K11

	0	1	5	8
Count	814	597	394	2

=====
 VAR 001480 K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2659 - 2659
 Numeric

K11b.

IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
- 5. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

	0	1	5	8
Count	1327	278	200	2

=====
 VAR 001481 K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2660 - 2660
 Numeric

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?
 (IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)
 Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?/
 Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION

Bult from K11 and K11a/b

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK in K11 or K11a/b
- 9. RF in K11 or K11a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
Count	252	597	394	200
	5	8	9	
Count	278	63	23	

K12/K12.T.

FTF:
 Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals

are caught, convicted and punished. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. Still others say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished.

```
=====
VAR 001482      K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 2661 - 2661
                Numeric
```

K12a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

-
- 1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
 - 2.
 - 3.
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.
 - 7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
 - 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	39	76	70	80	146
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	83	64	134	1	1114

```
=====
VAR 001482a    K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                COLUMNS: 2662 - 2662
                Numeric
```

K12a.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

- 1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME --> K12a1.T
- 3. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED
AND PUNISHED --> K12a2.T
- 5. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN --> K12a3.T

- 8. DK --> K13a3.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> K13a3.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	40	342	211	265	2	947

=====

VAR 001483 K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -addressing social
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2663 - 2663
Numeric

K12a1.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1465	214	127	1

=====

VAR 001484 K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2664 - 2664
Numeric

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1596	106	102	2	1

=====

VAR 001485 K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2665 - 2665
Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:

If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

- 1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
- 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1500	156	107	37	7

=====

VAR 001486 K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2666 - 2666
Numeric

K12ax1.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or

crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12a.T, K12a1.T, K12a2.T, K12a3.T.

1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. IN-BETWEEN
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	9	214	128	156	34
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	107	105	106	1	947

=====
VAR 001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2667 - 2667
Numeric

K12ax2.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12 and K12ax1

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	48	290	198	236	180
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	190	169	240	2	254

=====

VAR 001487 K13. How worried is R about nuclear war
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2668 - 2668
 Numeric

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	947	69	306	484	1

=====

VAR 001488 K14. How worried is R about conventional war
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2669 - 2669
 Numeric

K14.

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

- 1. VERY WORRIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
- 5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1112	72	300	322	1

.....

K15.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. Some people believe that we should protect government from excessive influence by campaign contributors. Others think that protecting the freedom of individuals to financially support political candidates and parties is more important.

=====
VAR 001489 K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2670 - 2670
 Numeric

K15a.

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you thought much about this?

- 1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
- 5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	561	740	239	14	253

=====
VAR 001490 K16. How should the financing of campaigns change
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2671 - 2671
 Numeric

K16.

In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

- 1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
- 3. MAJOR CHANGES
- 5. MINOR CHANGES
- 7. FINE THE WAY IT IS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	252	241	626	413
	7	8	9	
Count	214	60	1	

=====

VAR 001491 L5. Worked on community issue in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2672 - 2672
 Numeric

L5.

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	407	1146	2

=====

VAR 001492 L6. Contacted public official to express in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2673 - 2673
 Numeric

L6.

During the past twelve months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	321	1232	2

=====

VAR 001493 L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2674 - 2674
 Numeric

L7.

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting
 about an issue facing your community or schools?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	427	1127	1

=====

VAR 001494 L8. Is R a member of any organizations
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2675 - 2675
 Numeric

L8.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong
 to. There are labor unions, associations of people who
 do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as
 Lions or Kinterviewanis, hobby clubs or sports teams,
 groups working on political issues, community groups,
 and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other
 types of organizations, too. Not counting membership
 in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any
 of these kinds of organizations?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> L9

- 8. DK --> L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	645	910

=====

VAR 001495 L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member
 MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 2676 - 2677
 Numeric

L8a.

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

0. NO ORGANIZATIONS --> L9
1. ONE ORGANIZATION --> L8b
2-96. ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb
97. 97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb

98. DK --> L8bb
99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8

	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	281	193	89	38	17
	6	7	8	10	12
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	12	5	3	4	1
	15	20	99		
	-----	-----	-----		
Count	1	1	1162		

=====
VAR 001496 L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 2678 - 2679
Numeric

L8b.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1526	147	37	16	7	10
	6	7	8	9	10	12
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	8	2	3	1	10	3
	15	16	20	24	40	80
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1	1	5	1	1	1
	96	98	99			
	-----	-----	-----			
Count	1	24	2			

=====
VAR 001497 L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2680 - 2680
Numeric

L8c.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence
government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1526	105	170	6

=====
VAR 001498 L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2681 - 2681
Numeric

L8d.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence
schools?

- 1. YES --> L9
- 5. NO --> L9

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1526	92	184	5

=====
VAR 001499 L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 2682 - 2683
Numeric

L8bb.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

- 96. NONE (NO HOURS)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1443	110	47	33	30
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	28	11	1	13	1
	10	12	13	14	15
Count	15	2	1	1	4
	16	20	25	35	40
Count	2	8	2	2	2
	50	72	90	96	98
Count	1	1	1	42	6

=====

VAR 001500 L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce government
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2684 - 2684
 Numeric

L8cc.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5
Count	1443	217	147

=====

VAR 001501 L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce schools
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2685 - 2685
 Numeric

L8dd.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1443	167	196	1

=====

VAR 001502 L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 COLUMNS: 2686 - 2687
 Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS

Number of hours coded and:

- 96. NONE (NO HOURS)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1162	257	84	49	37	38
	6	7	8	9	10	12
Count	19	3	16	2	25	5
	13	14	15	16	20	24
Count	1	1	5	3	13	1
	25	35	40	50	72	80
Count	2	2	3	1	1	1
	90	96	98	99		
Count	1	43	30	2		

=====

VAR 001503 L8x2. Summary org influence schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2688 - 2688
Numeric

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence
government?/

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to
influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1162	322	317	6

=====

VAR 001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2689 - 2689
Numeric

L8x3.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence
schools/

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to
influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1162	259	380	6

=====

VAR 001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2690 - 2690
Numeric

L9.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	1234	320	1

=====

VAR 001506 L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2691 - 2691
Numeric

L10.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	49	1506

=====

VAR 001507 L10a. How many times protested/marched
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2692 - 2692
Numeric

L10a.

IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

- 1. ONCE
- 2. TWO OR THREE
- 4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
- 7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10

	0	1	2	4
Count	1758	27	19	3

.....

M3/M3.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 6 in the booklet.
 Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:

Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

=====

VAR 001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2693 - 2693
 Numeric

M3a/M3a.T.

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'
 Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	561	491	188

	4	5	8	9
Count	212	89	9	5

=====

VAR 001509 M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2694 - 2694
 Numeric

M3b/M3b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
 'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
 Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	112	348	273
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	452	346	18	6

=====

VAR 001510 M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2695 - 2695
 Numeric

M3c/M3c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
 'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could

be just as well off as whites.'
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	351	427	251
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	331	172	14	9

=====

VAR 001511 M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2696 - 2696
 Numeric

M3d/M3d.T.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	170	447	168

	4	5	8	9
Count	384	365	15	6

=====

VAR 001512 N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2697 - 2697
 Numeric

N1.

Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government. Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> N2
 - 8. DK --> N2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	960	583	10	2

=====

VAR 001513 N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2698 - 2698
 Numeric

N1a.

IF R HAS AN OPINION:

What is your feeling, do you think the government is getting too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?

-
- 1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL --> SKIP TO N1b
 - 5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
 - 7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO N2
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	847	622	293	38	6	1

=====

VAR 001514 N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2699 - 2699
Numeric

N1a1.

IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful
or should it stay the way it is?

-
- 1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL --> N1b
 - 5. STAY THE WAY IT IS --> N2

 - 8. DK --> N2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1514	62	229	2

=====
VAR 001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2700 - 2700
Numeric

N1b.

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a
powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the
Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference
between them on this?

-
- 1. DEMOCRATS
 - 3. REPUBLICANS
 - 5. NO DIFFERENCE

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a1

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1123	324	105	247	8

.....
N2/N2.T.

FTF:
Please continue looking at page 6 of the booklet. I'd
like to read you a few statements about public life.
I'll read them one at a time and please tell me how
strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

=====

VAR 001516 N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstgd of pol issues
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2701 - 2701
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	287	725	200
	4	5	8	9
Count	221	109	11	2

=====

VAR 001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2702 - 2702
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	205	379	226
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	393	346	4	2

=====

VAR 001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2703 - 2703
 Numeric

N2c/N2c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office
 as most other people. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office
 as most other people. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	191	322	211
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	421	404	5	1

=====

VAR 001519 N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2704 - 2704
Numeric

N2d/N2d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	147	381	299
	4	5	8	9
Count	428	292	7	1

=====
VAR 001520 N3. Does R believe their vote matters
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2705 - 2705
Numeric

N3.

So many other people vote in the national election that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
question not implemented at time of interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	357	72	86	79
	4	5	8	9
Count	232	973	4	4

.....

P1/P1.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Next, I'd like to ask you about equal rights.
I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read several statements about equal rights. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

=====

VAR 001521 Pla/P1a.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2706 - 2706
Numeric

Pla/P1a.T.

' Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. ' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	905	392	91
	4	5	8	9
Count	121	40	4	1

=====
VAR 001522 P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2707 - 2707
Numeric

P1b/P1b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this
country.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this
country.'

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: 253, 209, 385, 215. Row 2: 420, 318, 6, 1.

=====
VAR 001523 P1c/P1c.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2708 - 2708
Numeric

P1c/P1c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' One of the big problems in this country is that we
don't give everyone an equal chance. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' One of the big problems in this country is that we
don't give everyone an equal chance. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor

disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	267	458	174
	4	5	8	9
Count	444	204	5	2

=====
VAR 001524 P1d/P1d.T. Better if we worried less about equality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2709 - 2709
Numeric

P1d/P1d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would be better off if we worried less
about how equal people are. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' This country would be better off if we worried less
about how equal people are. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	248	428	224

	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	393	243	13	5

=====

VAR 001525 Ple/Ple.T. OK if some people have more chances than
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2710 - 2710
Numeric

Ple/Ple.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' It is not really that big a problem if some people
have more of a chance in life than others. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have
more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	253	120	349	255
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	481	338	9	2

=====

VAR 001526 Plf/Plf.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2711 - 2711
Numeric

Plf/Plf.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' If people were treated more equally in this country we
would have many fewer problems. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	429	544	176
	4	5	8	9
Count	288	110	6	1

.....

Q1Q1.T.

FTF:

(Again looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

TELEPHONE:

Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

=====
VAR 001527 Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2712 - 2712
Numeric

Q1a/Q1a.T.

' Public officials don't care much what people like me think. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	286	564	163
	4	5	8	9
Count	436	98	6	1

=====

VAR 001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2713 - 2713
Numeric

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

-
1. AGREE STRONGLY
 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	227	380	129
	4	5	8	9
Count	596	216	5	1

=====

VAR 001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2714 - 2714
Numeric

Q1c/Q1c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	319	574	108
	4	5	8	9
Count	341	208	1	3

Q2/Q2.T.

FTF:

(Still on page 6)

Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

TELEPHONE:

Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is .

=====

VAR 001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2715 - 2715
 Numeric

Q2a/Q2a.T.

' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society. '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	253	464	517	202
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	242	117	9	3

=====

VAR 001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2716 - 2716
 Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
 ' The world is always changing and we should adjust our
 view of moral behavior to those changes. '
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' The world is always changing and we should adjust our
 view of moral behavior to those changes. '
 Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	253	211	410	142
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	361	424	2	4

=====

VAR 001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2717 - 2717
 Numeric

Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
 ' This country would have many fewer problems if there
 were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
 ' This country would have many fewer problems if there
 were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

-
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
 - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
 - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
 - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	866	466	89
	4	5	8	9
Count	89	38	4	2

=====

VAR 001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2718 - 2718
 Numeric

Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
 ' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live
 according to their own moral standards, even if they are
 very different from our own. '
 (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
 disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
 this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
 ' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live
 according to their own moral standards, even if they
 are very different from our own. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	361	605	216
	4	5	8	9
Count	225	138	5	4

Q3/Q3.T.

FTF:

(Not using the booklet)

People have different ideas about the government in Washington.

These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

TELEPHONE:

People have different ideas about the government in Washington.

These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

=====
VAR 001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2719 - 2719
Numeric

Q3a.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. NEVER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	64	615	850
	4	8	9	
Count	16	8	1	

=====
VAR 001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2720 - 2720
Numeric

Q4.

Do you think that people in government waste a lot of
the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't
waste very much of it?

- 1. WASTE A LOT
- 3. WASTE SOME
- 5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	253	922	585	34	12	1

=====
VAR 001536 Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2721 - 2721
Numeric

Q5.

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few
big interests looking out for themselves or that
it is run for the benefit of all the people?

- 1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
- 5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	253	955	518	69	12

=====

VAR 001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2722 - 2722
Numeric

Q6.

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

- 1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
- 3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
- 5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	253	553	772	198	27	4

=====

AR 001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2723 - 2723
Numeric

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	253	735	613	196	10

=====

VAR 001539 Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2724 - 2724
Numeric

Q8.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	254	263	978	301	11

R1/R1.T.

FTF:

Looking at page 7 of the booklet, here is a list of groups. Please read over the list and tell me the number of those groups you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of groups. For each, please tell me if it is a group you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

```
=====
VAR 001540    R1a. Close to whites FTF
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS:  2725 - 2725
              Numeric
```

R1a.

FTF:

WHITES

CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	463	231

```
=====
VAR 001541    R1a.T. Close to whites phone
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS:  2726 - 2726
              Numeric
```

R1a.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to whites?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	743	97	9	10

=====

VAR 001542 R1b. Close to poor people FTF
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2728 - 2728
 Numeric

R1b.

FTF:
POOR PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	208	486

=====

VAR 001543 R1b.T. Close to poor people phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2729 - 2729
 Numeric

R1b.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) poor people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	530	310	10	9

=====
VAR 001544 R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2731 - 2731
Numeric

R1c.

FTF:
ASIAN AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	67	627

=====
VAR 001545 R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2732 - 2732
Numeric

R1c.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Asian Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	269	558	20	12

=====

VAR 001546 R1d. Close to liberals FTF
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2734 - 2734
 Numeric

R1d.

FTF:
 LIBERALS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	118	576

=====

VAR 001547 R1d.T. Close to liberals phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2735 - 2735
 Numeric

R1d.T.

TELEPHONE:
 (What about) liberals
 (Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	322	492	37	8

=====
VAR 001548 R1e. Close to elderly FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2737 - 2737
Numeric

R1e.

FTF:
THE ELDERLY

CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

Table with 3 columns: 0, 1, 5 and 1 row: Count. Values: 1113, 311, 383.

=====
VAR 001549 R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2738 - 2738
Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

Table with 5 columns: 0, 1, 5, 8, 9 and 1 row: Count. Values: 948, 671, 172, 7, 9.

=====
VAR 001550 R1f. Close to blacks FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2740 - 2740
Numeric

R1f.

FTF:
BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	139	555

=====
VAR 001551 R1f.T. Close to blacks phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2741 - 2741
Numeric

R1f.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	421	413	13	12

=====
VAR 001552 R1g. Close to labor unions FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2743 - 2743
Numeric

R1g.

FTF:
LABOR UNIONS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	89	605

=====
VAR 001553 Rlg.T. Close to labor unions phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2744 - 2744
Numeric

Rlg.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) labor unions
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	217	611	20	11

=====
VAR 001554 Rlh. Close to feminists FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2746 - 2746
Numeric

Rlh.

FTF:
FEMINISTS

CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	85	609

=====
 VAR 001555 R1h.T. Close to feminists phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2747 - 2747
 Numeric

R1h.T.

TELEPHONE:
 (What about) feminists
 (Do you feel particularly close to them?)

 Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	245	577	27	10

=====
 VAR 001556 R1j. Close to southerners FTF
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2749 - 2749
 Numeric

R1j.

FTF:
 SOUTHERNERS

CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS

 Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	113	581

=====

VAR 001557 R1j.T. Close to southerners phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2750 - 2750
Numeric

R1j.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	438	392	17	12

=====
VAR 001558 R1k. Close to business people FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2752 - 2752
Numeric

R1k.

FTF:
BUSINESS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	196	498

=====
VAR 001559 R1k.T. Close to business people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2753 - 2753
Numeric

R1k.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) business people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	521	323	7	8

=====
VAR 001560 R1m. Close to young people FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2755 - 2755
Numeric

R1m.

FTF:
YOUNG PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	318	376

=====
VAR 001561 R1m.T. Close to young people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2756 - 2756
Numeric

R1m.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) young people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	695	148	9	7

=====
VAR 001562 Rln. Close to conservatives FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2758 - 2758
Numeric

Rln.

FTF:
CONSERVATIVES

CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	187	507

=====
VAR 001563 Rln.T. Close to conservatives phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2759 - 2759
Numeric

Rln.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) conservatives
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	489	334	30	6

=====

VAR 001564 Rlp. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2761 - 2761
 Numeric

Rlp.

FTF:
 HISPANIC-AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	117	577

=====

VAR 001565 Rlp.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2762 - 2762
 Numeric

Rlp.T.

TELEPHONE:
 (What about) Hispanic-Americans
 (Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	354	470	23	12

=====
VAR 001566 R1q. Close to women FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2764 - 2764
Numeric

R1q.

FTF:
WOMEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

Table with 3 columns: 0, 1, 5 and 1 row: Count with values 1113, 376, 318

=====
VAR 001567 R1q.T. Close to women phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2765 - 2765
Numeric

R1q.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) women
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

Table with 5 columns: 0, 1, 5, 8, 9 and 1 row: Count with values 948, 750, 95, 7, 7

=====
VAR 001568 R1r. Close to working-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2767 - 2767
Numeric

R1r.

FTF:
WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	437	257

=====
VAR 001569 R1r.T. Close to working-class phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2768 - 2768
Numeric

R1r.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) working-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	799	48	7	5

=====
VAR 001570 R1s .Close to middle-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2770 - 2770
Numeric

R1s.

FTF:
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	453	241

=====
VAR 001571 R1s.T. Close to middle-class phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2771 - 2771
Numeric

R1s.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	782	63	9	5

=====
VAR 001572 R1t. Close to men FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2773 - 2773
Numeric

R1t.

FTF:
MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1113	282	412

=====
 VAR 001573 R1t.T. Close to men phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2774 - 2774
 Numeric

R1t.T.

TELEPHONE:
 What about) men
 (Do you feel particularly close to them?)

 Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	948	701	144	8	6

.....
 R2/R2.T.

FTF:
 Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.
 Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.
 In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

TELEPHONE:
 Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

=====

VAR 001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2776 - 2776

Numeric

R2a/R2a.T.

FTF:

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1

to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and

4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the

other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were

administered was randomized.

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	255	193	338	329	536
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	76	21	13	20	26

=====

VAR 001575 R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2777 - 2777

Numeric

R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,

Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?

(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4

indicates most blacks are not closer to one end

or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	64	97	241	659
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	278	112	50	25	26

=====

VAR 001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2778 - 2778
 Numeric

R2c/R2c.T.

FTF:
 Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
 Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 Where would you rate:
 Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7?
 (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	255	131	196	311	571
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	166	67	32	52	26

=====
VAR 001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2779 - 2779
Numeric

R2d/R2d.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	268	393	295	363
	5	6	7	8	9

.....
R3/R3.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be
"intelligent" or "unintelligent."

TELEPHONE:

The next set of questions ask about intelligence.
Again, please imagine a seven-point scale on which the
characteristics of the people in a group can be
rated. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of
the people in that group tend to be "intelligent."
A score of 7 means that you think most people in the
group are "unintelligent." A score of 4 means that
you think that most people in the group are not closer
to one end or the other, and of course, you may
choose any number in between.

Count	79	39	23	64	28
-------	----	----	----	----	----

=====
VAR 001578 R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2780 - 2780
Numeric

R3a/R3a.T.

FTF:

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1
indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4
indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the
other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	210	345	344	528
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	45	17	8	23	32

=====
VAR 001579 R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2781 - 2781
Numeric

R3b/R3b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where
1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent,
and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or
the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	89	132	275	692
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	207	68	32	25	32

=====

VAR 001580 R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2782 - 2782
Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1
to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means
unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans
are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNINTELLIGENT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	255	85	117	279	701
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	206	59	22	49	34

=====
VAR 001581 R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2783 - 2783
Numeric

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate:

Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNINTELLIGENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	208	337	330	469
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	72	28	17	57	34

R4/R4.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 10 of the booklet.

The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "trustworthy" or "untrustworthy."

TELEPHONE:

Thinking about trustworthiness as a general group characteristic, please imagine a seven point scale again. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be trustworthy. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are untrustworthy. A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

=====

VAR 001582 R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2784 - 2784
Numeric

R4a/R4a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES

-
- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
 - 2.
 - 3.
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.
 - 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	128	283	373	594
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	73	30	13	25	33

=====
VAR 001583 R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2785 - 2785
Numeric

R4b/R4b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	255	57	114	260	713
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	201	93	47	34	33

=====

VAR 001584 R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2786 - 2786
 Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
 Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

- 6.
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	61	130	262	726
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	174	63	46	57	33

=====

VAR 001585 R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2787 - 2787
 Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTF:
 (Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
 Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
 Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	84	211	308	677

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	105	38	25	70	34

R5.

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

=====

VAR 001586 R5a. Independence or respect for elders
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2788 - 2788
 Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:

INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

-
- 1. INDEPENDENCE
 - 3. BOTH [VOL]
 - 5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
 - 7. NEITHER [VOL]
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	233	230	1084

	7	8	9
Count	1	3	1

=====

VAR 001587 R5b. Obedience or self-reliance
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2789 - 2789
 Numeric

R5b.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)

OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE

-
- 1. OBEDIENCE
 - 3. BOTH [VOL]
 - 5. SELF-RELIANCE
 - 7. NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	255	814	214	516	2	6

=====

VAR 001588 R5c. Curiosity or good manners
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2790 - 2790
 Numeric

R5c.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important
 for a child to have:)

CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS

- 1. CURIOSITY
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. GOOD MANNERS
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	446	269	832
	7	8	9	
Count	3	1	1	

=====

VAR 001589 R5d. Considerate or well behaved
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2791 - 2791
 Numeric

R5d.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important
 for a child to have:)

BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

- 1. BEING CONSIDERATE
- 3. BOTH
- 5. WELL BEHAVED
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	804	355	389

	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	1	1

.....

S0.

Now we'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

=====

VAR 001590 S1. Budget deficit lar/smaller since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2792 - 2792
 Numeric

S1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office. First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. GOTTEN SMALLER
- 3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO S1b
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1016	487	93	157	54

=====

VAR 001591 S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2793 - 2793
 Numeric

S1a.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

- 1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2
- 5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2

8. DK --> SKIP TO S2
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1320	230	254	3

=====
 VAR 001591a S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2794 - 2794
 Numeric

S1b.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

 1. MUCH LARGER
 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1714	31	60	2

=====
 VAR 001592 S1x. Summary - budget deficit since 92
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2795 - 2795
 Numeric

S1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
 (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
 (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

 Built from S1 and S2a/b.

1. Much smaller
 2. Somewhat smaller
 3. About the same
 4. Somewhat larger
 5. Much stronger

8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	230	254	157
	4	5	8	
Count	60	31	59	

=====

VAR 001592a S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2796 - 2796
Numeric

S1x1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	470	502	360
	4	5	8	9
Count	138	75	132	1

=====

VAR 001593 S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2797 - 2797
Numeric

S2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	288	162	274	67

=====

VAR 001594 S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2798 - 2798
 Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/
 IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

	0	1	5	8
Count	1357	101	347	2

=====

VAR 001595 S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2799 - 2799
 Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from S2 and S2a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1016	64	222	274
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	125	37	69	

=====

VAR 001595a S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2800 - 2800
 Numeric

S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?
 A little or a lot?

COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	129	145	478	549
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	231	86	188	1

=====

VAR 001596 S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2801 - 2801
 Numeric

S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S3b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S4

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	563	40	172	16

=====
VAR 001597 S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2802 - 2802
Numeric

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S4

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

	0	1	5	8
Count	1244	310	252	1

=====
VAR 001598 S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2803 - 2803
Numeric

S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1767	12	28

=====

VAR 001599 S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2804 - 2804
 Numeric

S3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
 (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
 (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1016	310	252	172
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	28	12	17	

=====

VAR 001599a S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2805 - 2805
 Numeric

S3x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
 (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
 (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	682	513	357
	4	5	8	
Count	65	34	27	

=====
VAR 001600 S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2806 - 2806
Numeric

S4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5

8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	419	21	327	24

=====
VAR 001601 S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2807 - 2807
Numeric

S4a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S5

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

	0	1	5
Count	1388	192	227

=====

VAR 001602 S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2808 - 2808
Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

	0	1	5
Count	1786	6	15

=====

VAR 001603 S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2809 - 2809
Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	192	227	327
	4	5	8	
Count	15	6	24	

=====
VAR 001603a S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2810 - 2810
Numeric

S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	434	487	648
	4	5	8	
Count	41	21	47	

=====
VAR 001604 S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2811 - 2811
Numeric

S5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	163	61	558	9

=====
 VAR 001604a S5x. Clinton admin hurt/help R economically?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2812 - 2812
 Numeric

S5x.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	129	352	136	1166	24

=====

VAR 001605 S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2813 - 2813
 Numeric

S6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States
 is more secure from its foreign enemies, less
 secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
 retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
 and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
 for the retrospective battery in the Post election
 instrument they were assigned to administration in the
 Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
- 3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO S6b
- 5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO S7

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO ->S7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	202	223	346	19	1

=====
 VAR 001606 S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2814 - 2814
 Numeric

S6a.

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6

	0	1	5
Count	1605	61	141

=====
 VAR 001607 S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2815 - 2815
 Numeric

S6b.

IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6

	0	1	5
Count	1584	99	124

=====

VAR 001608 S6x. Summary - U.S. security
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2816 - 2816
 Numeric

S6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
 (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
 (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	61	141	346
	4	5	8	9
Count	124	99	19	1

=====

VAR 001608a S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2817 - 2817
 Numeric

S6x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/ (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.

- 1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

Table with 4 columns (0, 1, 2, 3) and 2 rows of counts. Row 1: Count 129, 132, 285, 725. Row 2: Count 277, 206, 52, 1.

=====
VAR 001609 S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2818 - 2818
Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO S7b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO S8
8. DK --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

Table with 7 columns (0, 1, 3, 5, 8, 9) and 1 row of counts. Row 1: Count 1016, 185, 198, 382, 25, 1.

=====
VAR 001610 S7a. Clinton made much/smwht more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2819 - 2819
Numeric

S7a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1622	63	122

=====
VAR 001611 S7b. Clinton made much/smwht less secur
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2820 - 2820
Numeric

S7b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1609	91	107

=====
VAR 001612 S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2821 - 2821
Numeric

S7x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	63	122	382
	4	5	8	9
Count	107	91	25	1

=====

VAR 001612a S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2822 - 2822
 Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
 Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
 Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	136	241	831
	4	5	8	9
Count	226	190	53	1

=====

VAR 001613 S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2823 - 2823
 Numeric

S8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S8b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S9

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	294	220	256	21

=====
 VAR 001614 S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwht better
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2824 - 2824
 Numeric

S8a.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S9

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

	0	1	5	8
Count	1513	79	214	1

=====
 VAR 001615 S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwht worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2825 - 2825
 Numeric

S8b.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

	0	1	5
Count	1587	82	138

=====

VAR 001616 S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2826 - 2826
 Numeric

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
 (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
 (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	79	214	256
	4	5	8	
Count	138	82	22	

=====

VAR 001616a S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2827 - 2827
 Numeric

S8x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	161	458	516
	4	5	8	
Count	276	220	47	

=====

VAR 001617 S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2828 - 2828
 Numeric

S9.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	190	65	508	27	1

=====
VAR 001618 S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht bettr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2829 - 2829

Numeric

S9a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

Table with 3 columns (0, 1, 5) and 1 row (Count) showing values 1617, 50, 140.

=====
VAR 001619 S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2830 - 2830
Numeric

S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

Table with 3 columns (0, 1, 5) and 1 row (Count) showing values 1742, 24, 41.

=====
VAR 001620 S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2831 - 2831
Numeric

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	50	140	508
	4	5	8	9
Count	41	24	27	1

=====

VAR 001620a S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2832 - 2832
 Numeric

S9x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/

Much worse or somewhat worse?

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	122	301	1051

	4	5	8	9
Count	77	60	66	1

=====
 VAR 001621 S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2833 - 2833
 Numeric

S10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	58	412	307	14

=====
 VAR 001622 S10a.Moral climate much/smwht better
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2834 - 2834
 Numeric

S10a.

IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

	0	1	5
Count	1749	11	47

=====

VAR 001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2835 - 2835
 Numeric

S10b.

IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1395	204	208

=====

VAR 001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
 COLUMNS: 2836 - 2836
 Numeric

S10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
 (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
 (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from S10, S10a, S10b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1016	11	47	307
	4	5	8	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	208	204	14	

=====

VAR 001624a S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92
 COLUMNS: 2837 - 2837
 Numeric

S10x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
 (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
 (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

 Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	129	39	106	621
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	417	464	29	2

=====

VAR 001625 S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2838 - 2838
 Numeric

S11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	47	336	391	15	2

=====
VAR 001626 S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2839 - 2839
Numeric

S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S14

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

	0	1	5
Count	1760	13	34

=====
VAR 001627 S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2840 - 2840
Numeric

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

	0	1	5
Count	1471	168	168

=====
VAR 001628 S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2841 - 2841
Numeric

S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1016	13	34	391
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	168	168	15	2

=====

VAR 001628a S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2842 - 2842
Numeric

S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	129	36	76	816

	4	5	8	9
Count	327	382	36	5

S14.

Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is,
or because of something he has done -- ever made you
feel:

```
=====
VAR 001629    S14a. Clinton makes R angry
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2843 - 2843
              Numeric
```

S14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)

ANGRY

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	470	285	7	1

```
=====
VAR 001629a   S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
              COLUMNS: 2844 - 2844
              Numeric
```

S14ax.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
ANGRY

COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	1087	582	9	1

=====
VAR 001630 S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2845 - 2845
Numeric

S14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1337	72	100	218	80

=====
VAR 001630a S14a1x. Summ pre & post - how often angry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2846 - 2846
Numeric

S14a1x.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	720	210	243	481	153

=====

VAR 001631 S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2847 - 2847
 Numeric

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
 or because of something he has done, ever made you
 feel:)
 HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
 retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
 and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
 for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
 instrument they were assigned to administration in the
 Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
 The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
 randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	360	397	3	3

=====

VAR 001631a S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2848 - 2848
Numeric

S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	829	841	6	3

=====
VAR 001632 S14b1. How often Clinton make R hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2849 - 2849
Numeric

S14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. VERY OFTEN
 - 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
 - 3. OCCASIONALLY
 - 4. RARELY

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1447	55	105	171	29

=====
VAR 001632a S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2850 - 2850
Numeric

S14b1x.

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	978	145	240	374	70

=====

VAR 001633 S14c. Clinton makes R afraid
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2851 - 2851
 Numeric

S14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
 AFRAID

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	146	612	4	1

=====
VAR 001633a S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2852 - 2852
Numeric

S14cx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)

AFRAID

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	128	378	1294	6	1

=====
VAR 001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2853 - 2853
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1661	36	29	57	24

=====
VAR 001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2854 - 2854
Numeric

S14c1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1429	76	84	153	65

=====
VAR 001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2855 - 2855
Numeric

S14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect

8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	308	450	4	1

=====

VAR 001635a S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2856 - 2856
 Numeric

S14dx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
 or because of something he has done, ever made you
 feel:)
 PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	675	994	8	2

=====

VAR 001636 S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2857 - 2857
 Numeric

S14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
 often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. VERY OFTEN
 - 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
 - 3. OCCASIONALLY
 - 4. RARELY
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1499	37	90	158	23

=====

VAR 001636a S14d1x. Summ pre & post - how often proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2858 - 2858
Numeric

S14d1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1132	117	191	308	58	1

.....
S15/S15.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe Bill Clinton. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes him extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.

=====
VAR 001637 S15a. Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2859 - 2859
Numeric

S15a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1044	20	104	275
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	351	10	3	

=====
VAR 001637a S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2860 - 2860
Numeric

S15ax.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL

Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	54	215	568
	4	8	9	
Count	807	30	5	

=====

VAR 001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2861 - 2861
 Numeric

S15b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
 describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
 too well, or not well at all?
 What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
 Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
 well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
 retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
 and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
 for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
 instrument they were assigned to administration in the
 Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
 The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
 randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	96	283	238	129	17

=====

VAR 001638a S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2862 - 2862
Numeric

S15bx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	224	599	518
	4	8	9	
Count	294	43	1	

=====
VAR 001639 S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2863 - 2863
Numeric

S15c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	290	394	53	13	13

=====
VAR 001639a S15cx. Summ pre & post-Clinton knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2864 - 2864
Numeric

S15cx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	620	856	143	31	29

=====
VAR 001640 S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2865 - 2865
Numeric

S15d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	164	333	178	82	6

=====
VAR 001640a S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2866 - 2866
Numeric

S15dx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP

Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	371	707	391	188	22

=====

VAR 001641 S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2867 - 2867
 Numeric

S15e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
 describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
 too well, or not well at all?
 What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
 Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
 well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
 Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
 retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
 and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
 for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
 instrument they were assigned to administration in the
 Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
 The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
 randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	1044	168	250	247

	4	8	9
	-----	-----	-----
Count	81	15	2

=====

VAR 001641a S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2868 - 2868
Numeric

S15ex.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	128	452	554	470
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	157	41	5	

=====

VAR 001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2869 - 2869
Numeric

S15f.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	318	367	51	19	8

=====
 VAR 001642a S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2870 - 2870
 Numeric

S15fx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
 What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

 Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	694	779	139	49	18

=====
VAR 001643 S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2871 - 2871
Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?]

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

Table with 7 columns (0-8) and 1 row (Count) showing survey results: 1044, 57, 226, 319, 141, 20

=====
VAR 001643a S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2872 - 2872
Numeric

S15gx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill

Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH

Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	164	471	670
	4	8	9	
Count	324	49	1	

=====
VAR 001644 T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2873 - 2873
Numeric

T1.

Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?

-
1. YES, WATCHED --> SKIP TO T1a
 5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2

 8. DK --> SKIP TO T2
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8
Count	255	1069	480	3

=====
VAR 001645 T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2874 - 2874
Numeric

T1a.

IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

-
1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
 5. JUST PART OF IT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	738	455	614

=====

VAR 001646 T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2875 - 2875
 Numeric

T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the
 campaign on the radio?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO T3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	255	614	937	1

=====

VAR 001647 T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2876 - 2876
 Numeric

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or
 just one or two?

- 1. A GOOD MANY
- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1193	136	244	234

=====

VAR 001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2877 - 2877
Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:

Looking at page 12 in the booklet:

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

-
1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. QUITE A BIT
 3. SOME
 4. VERY LITTLE
 5. NONE
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	255	296	426	560
	4	5	8	
Count	224	45	1	

=====
VAR 001649 T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2878 - 2878
Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:

(Still on page 12 in the booklet)

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

-
1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. QUITE A BIT
 3. SOME

- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	255	64	127	481
	4	5	8	
Count	632	246	2	

=====

VAR 001650 T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2879 - 2879
 Numeric

T5/T5.T.

FTF:
 Looking at page 13 of the booklet:
 Here is a question about political parties.
 Which of the following outcomes regarding political
 parties best represents what you would like to see
 happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome
 you choose.

TELEPHONE:
 Which one of the following outcomes regarding political
 parties best represents what you would like to see
 happen?
 ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats
 and Republicans.
 TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals
 without party labels or
 THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could
 effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

-
- 1. ...A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND
 REPUBLICANS?
 - 2. ...ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT
 PARTY LABELS?
 - 3. ...THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY
 CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	255	572	423	509	41	7

=====

VAR 001651 T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2880 - 2880
 Numeric

T6.

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

- 1. SATISFIED
- 2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
- 3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
- 4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
interview administered before question added

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	360	454	688	216
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	58	26	5	

=====

VAR 001652 V1. Bush effect on US economy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2881 - 2881
 Numeric

V1.

As you will remember, former President George Bush (George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President. Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

- 1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
- 3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO V1b
- 5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO V2
- 7. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.] --> SKIP TO Y5

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	441	254	605	220	31

=====

VAR 001653 V1a. How much better Bush made economy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2882 - 2882
 Numeric

V1a.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V2
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V2

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO V2
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

	0	1	5
Count	1366	113	328

=====

VAR 001654 V1b. How much worse Bush made economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2883 - 2883
Numeric

V1b.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

	0	1	5
Count	1553	71	183

=====

VAR 001655 V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2884 - 2884
Numeric

V1x.

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY

Built from V1, V1a, V1b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	113	328	605
	4	5	8	
Count	183	71	31	

=====

VAR 001656 V2. Bush made US more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2885 - 2885

Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

- 1. MORE SECURE
- 3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TOV2b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	476	709	96	492	34

=====

VAR 001657 V2a. Bush made US how much more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2886 - 2886

Numeric

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1098	316	393

=====
VAR 001658 V2b. Bush made US how much less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2887 - 2887
Numeric

V2b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1711	27	69

=====
VAR 001659 V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2888 - 2888
Numeric

V2x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	476	316	393	492

	4	5	8
Count	69	27	34

=====
 VAR 001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2889 - 2889
 Numeric

V3.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

-
- 1. BETTER
 - 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V3b
 - 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V4
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	476	197	118	943	73

=====
 VAR 001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2890 - 2890
 Numeric

V3a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

	0	1	5
Count	1610	55	142

=====
 VAR 001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2891 - 2891
 Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

	0	1	5
Count	1689	39	79

=====

VAR 001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2892 - 2892
 Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
 Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
 Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON U.S. CRIME 1988-1992

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	55	142	943
	4	5	8	
Count	79	39	73	

=====

VAR 001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2893 - 2893
 Numeric

V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

-
- 1. BETTER
 - 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V4b
 - 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V5

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	476	367	53	878	31	2

=====
VAR 001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2894 - 2894
Numeric

V4a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
 - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V5

 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

	0	1	5	8
Count	1440	119	247	1

=====
VAR 001666 V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2895 - 2895
Numeric

V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-
- 1. MUCH WORSE
 - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1754	20	33

=====

VAR 001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2896 - 2896
Numeric

V4x.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992

Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	476	119	247	878
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	33	20	32	2

.....

V5/V5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush

extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

=====
VAR 001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2897 - 2897
Numeric

V5a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	459	689	112	21	50

=====
VAR 001669 V5b. Bush trait - cares about people
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2898 - 2898
Numeric

V5b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	123	566	437	158	47

=====
VAR 001670 V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2899 - 2899
Numeric

V5c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	343	811	121	24	32

=====
VAR 001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2900 - 2900
Numeric

V5d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	276	679	289	50	37

=====
VAR 001672 V5e. Bush trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2901 - 2901
Numeric

V5e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	34	126	453
	4	8	9	
Count	659	56	3	

=====
VAR 001673 V5f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2902 - 2902
Numeric

V5f.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	381	799	104	16	31

=====
VAR 001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2903 - 2903
Numeric

V5g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
 2. QUITE WELL
 3. NOT TOO WELL
 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
-
8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	134	382	546	222	47

.....
Y4.

Now, on another topic..

=====
VAR 001675 Y5. Is R currently working
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2904 - 2904
Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

- 1. YES --> Y7
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	1036	515

=====

VAR 001676a Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2905 - 2905
 Numeric

Y6a.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
 We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
 are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a
 homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF
 RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;
 COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

- 1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
- 2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
- 3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
- 4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
- 5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
- 6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1292	10	31	279
	4	5	6	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	63	111	20	1

=====

VAR 001676b Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2906 - 2906
 Numeric

Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 2

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF
RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;
COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

	0	1	2	3
Count	1764	1	2	3
	4	5	6	
Count	13	20	4	

=====

VAR 001676c Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2907 - 2907
Numeric

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED
AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT
INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

	0	4	5	6
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1804	1	1	1

=====

VAR 001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2908 - 2908
 Numeric

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 1. VERY SATISFIED
- 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4. VERY DISSATISFIED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

	0	1	2	3	4	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	771	547	402	63	23	1

=====

VAR 001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2909 - 2909
 Numeric

Y7a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5. OR BY YOURSELF
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

	0	1	5	8
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	771	896	139	1

=====
VAR 001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2910 - 2910
Numeric

Y8.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you
regularly see at work.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

Table with 5 columns (0-4) and 2 rows of counts.

=====
VAR 001680 Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2911 - 2911
Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time,
most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or
never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	798	26	43	293
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	492	142	10	3

=====

VAR 001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2912 - 2912
Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	798	169	569	230
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	27	5	6	3

=====

VAR 001682 Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2913 - 2913
Numeric

Y8c.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
Count	798	248	626	102
	4	8	9	
Count	23	8	2	

=====

VAR 001683 Y8d. WRKS:Racial diversity of Rs coworkers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2914 - 2914
 Numeric

Y8d.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

- 1. ALL WHITE
- 2. MOSTLY WHITE
- 3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
- 4. MOSTLY BLACK
- 5. ALL BLACK
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	798	208	463	187	37
	5	7	8	9	
Count	6	102	5	1	

=====

VAR 001684 Y10. Laid off:Does R spend days alone/with others
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2915 - 2915
 Numeric

Y10.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5. OR BY YOURSELF

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1796	6	5

=====

VAR 001685 Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2916 - 2916
 Numeric

Y11.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.
 In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

-
- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
 - 2. MOST OF THE TIME
 - 3. SOME OF THE TIME
 - 4. HARDLY EVER
 - 5. NEVER
 - 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ----> Z1

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	2	3	4	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1796	2	2	3	2	2

=====

VAR 001686 Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2917 - 2917
 Numeric

Y11a.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

-
- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
 - 2. MOST OF THE TIME
 - 3. SOME OF THE TIME
 - 4. HARDLY EVER
 - 5. NEVER

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	2	3	4	5
Count	1798	2	2	4	1

=====

VAR 001687 Y11b. LOff:Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2918 - 2918
 Numeric

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	1	2	3
Count	1798	2	4	3

=====

VAR 001688 Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2919 - 2919
 Numeric

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
- 2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
- 3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	1	2	3
Count	1798	1	5	3

=====
VAR 001689 Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2920 - 2920
Numeric

Y15.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5 OR BY YOURSELF

8 DON'T KNOW
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	5
Count	1525	254	28

=====
VAR 001690 Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2921 - 2921
Numeric

Y16.

IF R IS RETIRED:

For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	2	3
Count	1525	15	50	100
	4	5	7	8
Count	78	24	12	3

=====

VAR 001691 Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2922 - 2922
 Numeric

Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work
 tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most
 of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
 7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3
Count	1537	7	10	61
	4	5	8	9
Count	131	57	3	1

=====

VAR 001692 Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2923 - 2923
 Numeric

Y16b.

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at
 work) Would you say that they treated others with
 respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the
 time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME

- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3
Count	1536	74	146	38
	4	5	8	
Count	9	1	3	

=====
 VAR 001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2924 - 2924
 Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you
 worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
 not well at all?

-
- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
 - 2. QUITE WELL
 - 3. NOT TOO WELL
 - 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1536	92	150	20	5	4

=====
 VAR 001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2925 - 2925
 Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
 IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
 IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the
 day with other people or are you pretty much by
 yourself?/
 When you are working, do you spend time during the day
 with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS

1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5 OR BY YOURSELF

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

	0	1	5	8
Count	478	1156	172	1

=====

VAR 001695 Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2926 - 2926
Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	478	76	289	534	311

	5	7	8	9
Count	65	41	10	3

=====
 VAR 001696 Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2927 - 2927
 Numeric

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
 IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
 IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE

-
1. ALL OF THE TIME
 2. MOST OF THE TIME
 3. SOME OF THE TIME
 4. HARDLY EVER
 5. NEVER

 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
 no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	519	33	55	356
	4	5	8	9
Count	627	200	13	4

=====
 VAR 001697 Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2928 - 2928
 Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
 IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
 IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect

all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,
hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at
work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,
hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at
work) Would you say that they treated others with
respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the
time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	518	245	719	271
	4	5	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	36	6	9	3

=====

VAR 001698 Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2929 - 2929
Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work
with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?/
Would you say that honest describes the people you work
with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?/
Would you say that honest described the people you
worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	518	341	781	125
	4	8	9	
Count	28	12	2	

=====
 VAR 001699 Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2930 - 2930
 Numeric

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area.
 From time to time, people discuss government, elections
 and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you
 about the people with whom you discuss these matters.
 These people might or might not be relatives.
 Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Z25
- 8. DK -->Z25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	256	1152	399

=====
 VAR 001700 Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2931 - 2931
 Numeric

Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Z9
- 8. DK --> Z9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	5	9
Count	655	861	290	1

=====
VAR 001701 Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2932 - 2932
Numeric

Z5.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 3

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Z9
 - 8. DK --> Z9
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	5
Count	946	550	311

=====
VAR 001702 Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2933 - 2933
Numeric

Z7.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 4

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO --> Z9
 - 8. DK --> Z9
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	5
Count	1257	327	223

=====
VAR 001703 Z9. Is name 1 relative
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2934 - 2934
Numeric

Z9.

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z10
- 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z9a
- 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z9a
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	655	263	266	619	2	2

=====
 VAR 001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2935 - 2935
 Numeric

Z9a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?

-
- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
 - 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	655	678	470	3	1

=====
 VAR 001705 Z9b. Is name 1 coworker
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2936 - 2936
 Numeric

Z9b.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1184	311	309	2	1

=====

VAR 001706 Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2937 - 2937
Numeric

Z9c.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1184	76	544	2	1

=====
VAR 001707 Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2938 - 2938
Numeric

Z9d.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1184	178	442	2	1

=====
VAR 001708 Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2939 - 2939
Numeric

Z10.

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political
matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY
- 7. NEVER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	655	354	593	195
	7	8	9	
Count	6	2	2	

=====
 VAR 001709 Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2940 - 2940
 Numeric

Z11.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1>
 knows about politics? Would you say:

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL
 - 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
 - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

 - 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
 - 9. RF - DON'T PROBE
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	655	483	557	104	7	1

=====
 VAR 001710 Z12. How name 1 voted in election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2941 - 2942
 Numeric

Z12.

I have another question about the first person you have
 named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the
 election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore,
 George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think
 <NAME 1> didn't vote?

-
- 1. AL GORE
 - 3. GEORGE W BUSH
 - 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
 - 7. DIDN'T VOTE
 - 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

 - 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
 - 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
 - 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	655	442	479	46
	7	8	98	99
Count	103	16	62	4

=====

VAR 001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2943 - 2943
 Numeric

Z13.

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or
 unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z14
- 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z13a
- 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z13a
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	946	90	270	500	1

=====

VAR 001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2944 - 2944
 Numeric

Z13a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
- 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	5	9
Count	946	478	381	2

=====

VAR 001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2945 - 2945
 Numeric

Z13b.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

	0	1	5	9
Count	1306	234	266	1

=====
VAR 001714 Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2946 - 2946
 Numeric

Z13c.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

	0	1	5	9
Count	1306	80	420	1

=====
VAR 001715 Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2947 - 2947
 Numeric

Z13d.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

	0	1	5	9
Count	1306	141	359	1

=====
 VAR 001716 Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2948 - 2948
 Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

-
- 1. OFTEN
 - 3. SOMETIMES
 - 5. RARELY
 - 7. NEVER

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	7	9
Count	946	186	483	189	2	1

=====
 VAR 001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2949 - 2949
 Numeric

Z15.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL
 - 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
 - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

 - 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
 - 9. RF - DON'T PROBE
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	946	285	478	94	3	1

=====
 VAR 001718 Z16. How name 2 voted in election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2950 - 2951
 Numeric

Z16.

Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

-
1. AL GORE
 3. GEORGE W BUSH
 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
 7. DIDN'T VOTE
 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5
Count	946	336	342	30
	7	8	98	99
Count	75	10	66	2

=====
VAR 001719 Z17. Is name 3 relative
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2952 - 2952
 Numeric

Z17.

Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

-
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z18
 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z17a
 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z17a
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	3	5
Count	1257	44	187	319

=====
VAR 001720 Z17a. Is name 3 male or female
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2953 - 2953
 Numeric

Z17a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?

-
1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	5	8
Count	1257	312	237	1

=====

VAR 001721 Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2954 - 2954
 Numeric

Z17b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

	0	1	5
Count	1488	153	166

=====

VAR 001722 Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2955 - 2955
 Numeric

Z17c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

	0	1	5
Count	1488	44	275

=====

VAR 001723 Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2956 - 2956
 Numeric

Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

-
- 1. YES
 - 5. NO

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1488	78	241

=====

VAR 001724 Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2957 - 2957
 Numeric

Z18.

When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

-
- 1. OFTEN
 - 3. SOMETIMES
 - 5. RARELY, OR
 - 7. NEVER

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	3	5	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1257	104	295	147	4

=====

VAR 001725 Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2958 - 2958
 Numeric

Z19.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3> knows about politics? Would you say:

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL
 - 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
 - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

 - 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1257	187	292	69	2

=====
 VAR 001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2959 - 2960
 Numeric

Z20.

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,
 some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't
 vote?)

-
- 1. AL GORE
 - 3. GEORGE W BUSH
 - 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
 - 7. DIDN'T VOTE
 - 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

 - 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
 - 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
 - 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	1257	201	230	12

	7	8	98
Count	43	9	55

=====
 VAR 001727 Z21. Is name 4 relative
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2961 - 2961
 Numeric

Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or
 unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

-
- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A
 SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z22
 - 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z21a
 - 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z21a

 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5
Count	1480	18	109	200

=====

VAR 001728 Z21a. Is name 4 male or female
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2962 - 2962
 Numeric

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
- 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1480	178	149

=====
VAR 001729 Z21b. Is name 4 coworker
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2963 - 2963
 Numeric

Z21b.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1607	95	105

=====
VAR 001730 Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2964 - 2964
 Numeric

Z21c.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1607	29	171

=====
VAR 001731 Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2965 - 2965
Numeric

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1607	45	155

=====
VAR 001732 Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2966 - 2966
Numeric

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political
matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5	7
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1480	58	168	97	4

=====
VAR 001733 Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2967 - 2967
Numeric

Z23.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4>
knows about politics? Would you say:

-
1. A GREAT DEAL
 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
 9. RF - DON'T PROBE
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1480	108	177	42

=====
VAR 001734 Z24. How name 4 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2968 - 2969
Numeric

Z24.

Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,
some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't
vote?)

-
1. AL GORE
 3. GEORGE W BUSH
 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
 7. DIDN'T VOTE
 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1480	105	147	6
	7	8	98	99
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	26	6	36	1

=====
VAR 001735 Z25. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2970 - 2970
Numeric

Z25.

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in
your neighborhood.
During the past twelve months, have you worked with
others from your neighborhood to deal with a common
issue or problem?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	378	1173

=====

VAR 001736 Z26. How satisfied is R with neighborhood
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2971 - 2971
 Numeric

Z26.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 1. VERY SATISFIED
- 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4. VERY DISSATISFIED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	256	844	528	120
	4	8	9	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	55	3	1	

=====

VAR 001737 Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2972 - 2973
 Numeric

Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER

5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE

99. RF - DON'T PROBE

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	99	344	627
	4	5	98	99
Count	324	95	58	4

=====
VAR 001738 Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2974 - 2975
 Numeric

Z27a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE

99. RF - DON'T PROBE

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	28	43	234
	4	5	98	99
Count	852	325	66	3

=====
VAR 001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS: 2976 - 2977

 Numeric

Z27b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
3. MOST OF THE TIME

- 5. SOME OF THE TIME
- 7. HARDLY EVER
- 8. NEVER

- 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	398	820	223
	4	5	98	99
Count	46	17	43	4

=====
 VAR 001740 Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2978 - 2978
 Numeric

Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	440	873	118
	4	8	9	
Count	47	70	3	

=====
 VAR 001741 Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2979 - 2979
 Numeric

Z27d.

Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

- 1. ALL WHITE
- 2. MOSTLY WHITE
- 3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF

- 4. MOSTLY BLACK
- 5. ALL BLACK
- 7. R VOLUNEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	256	403	700	238	70
	5	7	8	9	
Count	30	104	5	1	

=====
 VAR 001742 Z28. How satisfying is Rs life
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2980 - 2980
 Numeric

Z28.

In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're spending your life these days? Would you call it completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very satisfying?

- 1. COMPLETELY SATISFYING
- 3. PRETTY SATISFYING
- 5. NOT VERY SATISFYING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	256	317	1029	202	1	2

=====
 VAR 001743a ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2981 - 2981
 Numeric

ZZ1(1).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 0. NONE
- 1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL

9. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	431	87	48	79
	4	5	7	9
Count	18	33	857	254

=====

VAR 001743b ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2982 - 2982
 Numeric

ZZ1(2).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

-
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
 2. OLDER CHILDREN
 3. SPOUSE
 4. OTHER RELATIVES
 5. OTHER ADULTS
 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL

9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	7	9
Count	8	15	28	14	10	857	875

=====

VAR 001743c ZZ1(3). Others present for interview
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2983 - 2983
 Numeric

ZZ1(3).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

-
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
 2. OLDER CHILDREN
 3. SPOUSE
 4. OTHER RELATIVES
 5. OTHER ADULTS
 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL

9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

	2	3	4	5	7	9
Count	3	6	3	6	857	932

=====
VAR 001744 ZZ2. Rs cooperation
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2984 - 2984
 Numeric

ZZ2.

R's cooperation was:

-
1. VERY GOOD
 2. GOOD
 3. FAIR
 4. POOR
 5. VERY POOR
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	257	978	452	104	12	4

=====
VAR 001745 ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2985 - 2985
 Numeric

ZZ3.

R's general level of information about politics and
public affairs seemed:

-
1. VERY HIGH
 2. FAIRLY HIGH
 3. AVERAGE
 4. FAIRLY LOW
 5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	257	187	400	551	301	111

=====
VAR 001746 ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2986 - 2986
 Numeric

ZZ4.

R's apparent intelligence:

-
1. VERY HIGH
 2. FAIRLY HIGH
 3. AVERAGE
 4. FAIRLY LOW

5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	272	243	543	675	63	11

=====
VAR 001747 ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2987 - 2987
 Numeric

ZZ5.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before
the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
5. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	257	1483	63	4

=====
VAR 001748 ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2988 - 2988
 Numeric

ZZ6.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	257	425	519	498	88	20

=====
VAR 001749 ZZ7. How sincere did R seem
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2989 - 2989
 Numeric

ZZ7.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

-
- 1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ11
 - 3. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ7a
 - 5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ7a
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	257	1438	97	15

=====
VAR 001750 ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
COLUMNS: 2990 - 2990
Character

ZZ7a.

IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

(BLANKED)

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====
VAR 001751a ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2991 - 2992

Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.

- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	257	12	59	6	15	1
	20	22	30	31	40	41
Count	17	24	27	131	4	13
	45	50	70	80		
Count	11	2	1023	205		

=====

VAR 001751b ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
 MD: EQ 0
 COLUMNS: 2993 - 2994
 Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	1548	1	5	4	6	6

	20	22	30	31	40	41
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	2	9	10	25	6	7
	45	50	70	80		
	-----	-----	-----	-----		
Count	7	1	124	46		

=====

VAR 001751c ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2995 - 2996
Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	13	15	20	22
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	1745	3	2	1	2
	30	31	40	41	45
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	3	12	3	4	2
	50	70	80		
	-----	-----	-----		
Count	1	26	3		

=====

VAR 001751d ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2997 - 2998
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	15	30	31
Count	1789	2	1	3
	40	41	70	80
Count	2	2	5	3

=====

VAR 001751e ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2999 - 3000
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS

- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	31	40	41	70
Count	1801	1	1	2	2

```

=====
VAR 001751f  ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
              MD: EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 3001 - 3002
              Numeric

```

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
 - 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	40	41
	-----	-----	-----
Count	1805	1	1

```

=====
VAR 001751g  ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 3003 - 3004
              Numeric

```

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

-
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
 - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
 - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
 - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
 - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
 - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
 - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
 - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
 - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
 - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
 - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
 - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
 - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
 - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	70
	-----	-----
Count	1806	1

```

=====
VAR 001752  Post-Rand.C9(2).
              MD:  EQ 0
              COLUMNS: 3005 - 3005
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.C9(2).

Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.

All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2
	-----	-----	-----
Count	629	406	772

```
=====
VAR 001753      Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
                COLUMNS: 3006 - 3006
                Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D1b-D1d.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers
D1b-D1d

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Nader 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Nader 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	187	162	663
	4	5	6	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	167	186	190	

=====

VAR 001754 Post-Rand.D2g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3007 - 3008
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1g.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers
D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Democratic candidate

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	156	595	182
	10	11	12	99
Count	166	185	152	119

=====
VAR 001755 Post-Rand.D2h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3009 - 3010
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers
D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such

cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Republican candidate

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	623	149	162
	10	11	12	99
Count	156	189	168	108

```
=====
VAR 001756   Post-Rand.D2j.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3011 - 3012
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D1j.

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post

Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no retiring House representative

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	14	17	47
	10	11	12	99
Count	24	15	18	1420

```
=====
VAR 001757   Post-Rand.D2k.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3013 - 3014
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D1k.

Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post
Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	142	154	128
	10	11	12	99
Count	137	441	131	422

=====
VAR 001758 Post-Rand.D2m.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3015 - 3016
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1m.

Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post
Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
 99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	108	150	148
	10	11	12	99
Count	138	133	477	401

=====
 VAR 001759 Post-Rand.D2n.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 3017 - 3018
 Numeric

Post-Rand.D1n.

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post
 Thermometers D1g-D1n

 This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05.
 D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
 99. NA; INAP, no independent incumbent House candidate

	0	7	9	10	11	99
Count	252	1	1	1	1	1551

=====
 VAR 001760 Post-Rand.D3a.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 3019 - 3020
 Numeric

Post-Rand.D2a.

Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

 On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	45	46	45	58
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	50	57	44	516
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	38	44	49	36	48
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	35	38	43	51	39
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	35	43	56	50	46

=====
VAR 001761 Post-Rand.D3b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3021 - 3022
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2b.

Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	39	50	34	530
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	38	49	36	40	54
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	44	49	41	46	46
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	46	36	48	48	49
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	52	44	51	46	39

=====
VAR 001762 Post-Rand.D3c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3023 - 3024
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2c.

Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	39	37	40	40
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	45	45	56	42
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	53	55	40	53	55

	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	51	40	45	42	36
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	49	53	25	527	44

```

=====
VAR 001763      Post-Rand.D3d.
                MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
                COLUMNS: 3025 - 3026
                Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2d.

Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	42	50	55	53
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	57	46	43	44	46
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	34	36	49	53	45
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	37	41	36	42	36
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	23	41	64	59	523

=====

VAR 001764 Post-Rand.D3e.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 3027 - 3028
 Numeric

Post-Rand.D2e.

Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	48	61	51	41
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	35	521	52	41
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	38	62	51	43	38
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	52	53	40	45	38
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	35	43	43	40	37

=====
 VAR 001765 Post-Rand.D3f.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
 COLUMNS: 3029 - 3030
 Numeric

Post-Rand.D2f.

Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the

correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	38	44	535	37
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	38	42	48	47	57
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	54	46	42	41	48
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	39	59	54	45	41
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	41	37	27	44	51

```
=====
VAR 001766   Post-Rand.D3g.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3031 - 3032
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2g.

Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	49	45	38	47
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	42	529	43	40	44
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	50	45	51	51	48
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	49	47	43	48	34
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	37	42	41	45

```

=====
VAR 001767    Post-Rand.D3h.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3033 - 3034
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2h.

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

- 00. Inap, no Post interview
- 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	512	48	47	50
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	50	38	45	46	63
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	44	33	41	44	37

	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	60	45	40	47	51
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	45	40	43	37	49

```

=====
VAR 001768   Post-Rand.D3j.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
             COLUMNS: 3035 - 3036
             Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2j.

Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	49	50	53	43
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	58	41	45	45	41
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	31	36	57	56
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	41	30	42	45	519
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	52	50	33	49	42

```

=====
VAR 001769   Post-Rand.D3k.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
             COLUMNS: 3037 - 3038
             Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2k.

Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	37	43	33	34
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	40	54	48	34	47
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	46	538	40	44	45
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	48	49	43	42	46
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	58	46	51	40	49

=====
VAR 001770 Post-Rand.D3m.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3039 - 3040
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2m.

Order of Poor People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	42	58	51
Count	33	50	36	40	32
Count	44	44	48	43	48
Count	41	521	40	63	52
Count	43	51	36	50	44

```
=====
VAR 001771    Post-Rand.D3n.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3041 - 3042
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2n.

Order of People on Welfare in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	43	38	44

	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	45	43	37	58	41
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	522	40	46	49	41
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	41	54	44	36	48
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	54	46	43	46	51

```
=====
VAR 001772    Post-Rand.D3p.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3043 - 3044
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2p.

Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	58	34	35	47
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	44	48	51	38	40
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	40	36	54	49
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	45	43	520	41

	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	47	43	65	44

```

=====
VAR 001773    Post-Rand.D3q.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3045 - 3046
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2q.

Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	52	46	41	58
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	39	43	50	60	52
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	45	39	50	528	40
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	43	45	44	41	45
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	31	47	49	39	28

```

=====
VAR 001774    Post-Rand.D3r.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3047 - 3048
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2r.

Order of Women's Movement in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	43	45	43	45
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	52	38	40	37
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	39	48	38	48	42
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	52	31	53	47	51
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	50	527	43	46

=====
VAR 001775 Post-Rand.D3s.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3049 - 3050
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2s.

Order of Older People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such

cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	50	43	44	53
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	38	43	535	37
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	39	45	37	40	50
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	44	46	42	52
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	52	43	59	27	42

```
=====
VAR 001776    Post-Rand.D3t.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3051 - 3052
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2t.

Order of Environmentalists in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	45	44	42	43

	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	31	45	51	52
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	41	33	59	35	42
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	38	46	38	44	52
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	55	534	44	47	47

```
=====
VAR 001777    Post-Rand.D3u.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3053 - 3054
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2u.

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	51	30	44	38
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	42	39	47	45
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	45	52	518	44	47
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	48	58	44	50	45

	20	21	22	23	24
Count	41	54	39	47	40

```
=====
VAR 001778    Post-Rand.D3v.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3055 - 3056
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2v.

Order of Christian Coalition in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	39	48	51	42
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	34	47	42	39	60
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	53	53	26	37	52
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	64	46	47	35	46
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	524	37	44	37	52

```
=====
VAR 001779    Post-Rand.D3w.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3057 - 3058
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2w.

Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	63	43	39	39
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	49	41	38	29	44
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	46	56	43	51	35
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	36	45	527	45	52
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	45	49	49	39	52

=====
VAR 001780 Post-Rand.D3x.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3059 - 3060
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2x.

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	46	37	42	38
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	523	45	56	42	39
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	55	46	50	33	43
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	45	47	50	40
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	52	38	51	39	51

```
=====
VAR 001781    Post-Rand.D3xx.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS:  3061 - 3062
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.D2xx.

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	23	528	46	41

	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	47	44	64	54	34
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	52	39	41	52	33
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	37	46	53	40	59
	20	21	22	23	24
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	46	32	55	45	44

```

=====
VAR 001782    Post-Rand.D3y.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
              COLUMNS: 3063 - 3064
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	40	59	59	42
	5	6	7	8	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	36	49	47	38	54
	10	11	12	13	14
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	40	40	66	38	524
	15	16	17	18	19
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	34	37	48	42	33

	20	21	22	23	24
Count	46	44	38	62	39

```

=====
VAR 001783   Post-Rand.D3z.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
             COLUMNS: 3065 - 3066
             Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	57	39	42	41
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	53	38	36	37
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	39	41	57	35	43
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	526	54	47	45	50
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	49	43	36	50

```

=====
VAR 001784   Post-Rand.E1/E3.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
             COLUMNS: 3067 - 3067
             Numeric

```

Post-Rand.E1/E3.

Post: Order of Democratic/Republican House candidates in
'Likes-Dislikes' E1-E2/E3-E4

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	9
Count	252	1017	534	4

=====
VAR 001785 Post-Rand.G3-G5.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3068 - 3068
Numeric

Post-Rand.G3-G5.

Post: Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Liberal-
Conservative placements G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	181	172	659
	4	5	6	
Count	184	180	179	

=====
VAR 001786 Post-Rand.G6-G7.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3069 - 3069
Numeric

Post-Rand.G6-G7.

Post: Order of Democratic and Republican House
candidates in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G6-G7/G6.T-G7.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore,
GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
^

	0	1	2
Count	252	716	839

=====
VAR 001787 Post-Rand.G8-G10.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3070 - 3070
Numeric

Post-Rand.G8-G10.

Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform
parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
 2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
 3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
 4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
 5. Reform Party 7th , Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
 6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	181	172	659
	4	5	6	
	-----	-----	-----	
Count	184	180	179	

```
=====
VAR 001788      Post-Rand.G11b/c.
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
                COLUMNS: 3071 - 3071
                Numeric
```

Post-Rand.G11b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates
in Services-Spending placements G11b-G11c/G11b.T-G11c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2
Count	252	1078	477

=====
VAR 001789 Post-Rand.G12b/c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3072 - 3072
Numeric

Post-Rand.G12b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates in Abortion
placements
G12b-G12c/G12b.T-G12c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2
Count	252	1214	341

=====
VAR 001790 Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3073 - 3073
Numeric

Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.

Post: Order of racial groups Hardworking
R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)

were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
 2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
 3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
 4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
 5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
 6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	190	671	180
	4	5	6	9
Count	177	166	168	3

```
=====
VAR 001791   Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3074 - 3074
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.

Post: Order of racial groups Intelligent
R3b-R3d/R3b.T-R3d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites. On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
 2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
 3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
 4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
 5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
 6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	185	164	179
	4	5	6	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	174	651	199	3

```
=====
VAR 001792   Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
             COLUMNS: 3075 - 3075
             Numeric
```

```
Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy
R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T
```

These names were randomly administered after whites. On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	174	191	192
	4	5	6	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	646	170	179	3

```
=====
VAR 001793   Post-Rand.S14a.
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
             COLUMNS: 3076 - 3076
             Numeric
```

```
Post-Rand.S14a.
Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d
```

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	135	130	372	126	792

```
=====
VAR 001794    Post-Rand.S14b.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3077 - 3077
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.S14b.

Post: Order of Hopeful Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	131	348	138	146	792

```
=====
VAR 001795    Post-Rand.S14c.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3078 - 3078
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.S14c.

Post: Order of Afraid Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	359	140	138	126	792

```

=====
VAR 001796    Post-Rand.S14d.
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3079 - 3079
              Numeric

```

Post-Rand.S14d.

Post: Order of Proud Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	138	145	115	365	792

=====

VAR 001797 Post-Rand.S15a.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3080 - 3080
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15a.

Post: Order of Moral in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	301	84	83	81
	5	6	7	9	
Count	73	74	67	792	

=====

VAR 001798 Post-Rand.S15b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3081 - 3081
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	68	78	65	87
	5	6	7	9	
Count	78	308	79	792	

```
=====
VAR 001799    Post-Rand.S15c.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3082 - 3082
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.S15c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	76	301	81	68
	5	6	7	9	
Count	83	86	68	792	

```
=====
VAR 001800    Post-Rand.S15d.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3083 - 3083
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.S15d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews

--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	82	80	66	69
	5	6	7	9	
Count	76	78	312	792	

```
=====
VAR 001801    Post-Rand.S15e.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3084 - 3084
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.S15e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	70	60	77	72
	5	6	7	9	
Count	325	75	84	792	

=====

VAR 001802 Post-Rand.S15f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3085 - 3085
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	81	71	80	309
	5	6	7	9	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	68	71	83	792	

=====

VAR 001803 Post-Rand.S15g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3086 - 3086
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	85	89	311	77
	5	6	7	9	
Count	60	71	70	792	

=====
 VAR 001804 Post-Rand.V5a.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 3087 - 3087
 Numeric

Post-Rand.V5a.

Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	137	139	134	130
	5	6	7	9	
Count	539	118	134	224	

=====
 VAR 001805 Post-Rand.V5b.
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 3088 - 3088
 Numeric

Post-Rand.V5b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the

correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	139	140	542	128
	5	6	7	9	
Count	130	124	128	224	

```
=====
VAR 001806    Post-Rand.V5c.
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
              COLUMNS: 3089 - 3089
              Numeric
```

Post-Rand.V5c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	140	114	129	135
	5	6	7	9	
Count	116	149	548	224	

=====

VAR 001807 Post-Rand.V5d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3090 - 3090
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Count	252	545	139	132	131
	5	6	7	9	
	-----	-----	-----	-----	
Count	130	128	126	224	

=====

VAR 001808 Post-Rand.V5e.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3091 - 3091
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	114	127	129	137
	5	6	7	9	
Count	121	567	136	224	

=====
VAR 001809 Post-Rand.V5f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3092 - 3092
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	135	127	129	544
	5	6	7	9	
Count	133	126	137	224	

=====
VAR 001810 Post-Rand.V5g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3093 - 3093
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the

correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	----- 252	----- 121	----- 545	----- 136	----- 126
	5	6	7	9	
Count	----- 162	----- 119	----- 122	----- 224	

=====

CODEBOOK APPENDIX

2000 PRE-POST-ELECTION STUDY

>> NOTES ON SAMPLING VARIABLES

PSU (Primary Selection Unit)

An abbreviated version of the Census MSA of which it is part for SR MSAs and Non-SR MSAs. For non-MSAs the PSU Name is the name of the county involved. If there is more than one county in the non MSA (non MSA counties were linked to reach a minimum measure of size of 5000 Households) it is named for the county with the most Households in 1990.

The hundreds place of the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) for Cross Section Segments that are all from the 1990 National Sample indicates when the segment is in a Self Representing (SR) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), a Non Self Representing (Non SR) MSA or a Non MSA as designated by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

- 100's are SR MSA areas
- 200's are Non SR MSA areas*
- 300's are Non MSA areas**
- 400's are Non MSA PSU's from the 1980 National Sample Design

* PSU 434 is a Non Self Representing MSA from the 1980 National Sample Design.

**For NES 2000 all Non MSA PSU's are Non MSA's from the 1980 National Sample Design.

The tens place of the PSU for the 1990 National Sample indicate which Census Division the segment is located in. The variable Census Region (described on page two) indicates the Census Region for each of the divisions mentioned below.

	Division	Region (Census Region)
	-----	-----
10	New England	North East (1)
20	Middle Atlantic	North East (1)
30	East North Central	MidWest (2)
40	West North Central	MidWest (2)
50	South Atlantic	South (3)
60	East South Central	South (3)
70	West South Central	South (3)
80	Mountain	West (4)
90	Pacific	West (4)

The ones place of the PSU are simply sequential numbers within the division.

SEGMENT NAME

The name of the city or Census Place or Census MCD with the most housing units (HUs) within the area segment boundary.

BELT CODE

Code 1 includes all except two of the 28 1990 National Sample Frame self-representing areas. Central Cities of Denver, Co MSA and Kansas City MSA are NOT to be coded 1. Central Cities of all other self-representing areas of the 1990 National Sample Frame are coded 1 (including Nassau-Suffolk NY and Newark NJ as part of the NYC, NY-Northern NJ CMSA; Los Angeles, Anaheim, and Riverside CA as part of the LA-etc. CMSA; and San Francisco and Oakland CA as part of the SF-Oakland CA CMSA). For the most part, no 1990 Frame non-self-representing MSA Central Cities are coded 1, except as noted below. [NOTE: In 1990, the PSU definition in New England states is the New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA). This means that for the 1990 Frame segments in New England-- there may be Central Cities of MSAs included in the NECMA definition that are not in the CMSA/MSA definition. For example, in Boston MA (1990 frame) the Central Cities include not only those for Boston MSA but some from other New England MSAs -- some of which could be outside of the Boston CMSA definition and could be coded `2'. It also means that in the Manchester-Nashua NH NECMA (non-self-representing) there are two segment selections in Nashua, NH (central city of Nashua MA PMSA) which is part of the Boston MA CMSA and has therefore been coded `1'.]

Central Cities - as designated in Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1990, U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. (June 1990). Six largest CMSAs - Statistical Abstract of the United States 1991, (111th Edition), U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, Table No. 38. "70 Largest Metropolitan Areas-- Racial and Hispanic Origin Populations: 1990" Pp 33. Suburbs - defined as all "urbanized areas" in the Primary Area exclusive of the areas coded `1' and `2' above, plus the remainder of any county which includes a central city or parts of a central city.

NECMA/SMSA

The NECMA is the New England County Metropolitan Area code as described in the 1990 SRC National Sample Design and Development documentation. In 1990 the U.S. Census adopted slightly different wording and abbreviations for metropolitan areas, now known collectively as Metropolitan Areas (MAs). What in 1980 were Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) became in 1990 either Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) or Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas (PMSAs). MSA is used for a metropolitan area which stands alone, i.e., is not a part of a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA). PMSA is used for a metropolitan area which is part of a larger CMSA.

The metropolitan area standards for the 1990's generally reflect a continuity with those adopted for the 1980's and maintain the basic concepts originally developed in 1950. The few substantive changes made between 1980 and 1990 are detailed in the Federal Register, Vol. 55, No.62 cited in the footnote.

New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs) provide an alternative to the official city-and-town based metropolitan statistical areas in that Census region for the convenience of data users who desire a county-defined set of areas. NECMAs have their separate definition standards detailed in the Federal Register (p. 12159). Since the 1990 SRC National Sample primary stage of selection was based on the NECMA in New England, the 1990 NECMA is provided in place of 1990 MSA or PMSA for this variable.

CMSA

The 1990 Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) codes are in most cases identical to the 1980 SCSA codes with the exceptions noted in parenthesis. In addition to the major changes noted, minor changes in SCSA and CMSA names have also occurred between 1980 and 1990.

>> CENSUS DEFINITIONS

THIS NOTE CONTAINS DEFINITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING TERMS
USED BY THE 1990 U.S. CENSUS OF POPULATION:

Metropolitan Statistical Areas
Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas
Urbanized Areas
Places
Incorporated Places
Unincorporated Places

1. "METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (MSA's):"

The general concept of a metropolitan area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a high degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus.

In 1990 the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the U.S. Census have used the term Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) for what in 1980 was referred to as Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA). An attempt has been made by the study staff to be consistent in using the newer terms in the current documentation and definitions. The definitions of characteristics to be classified as a metropolitan area have remained fairly consistent--with only minor changes between 1980 and 1990. However, due to changes in population size and density, employment, commuting and other behavior which defines metropolitan areas, the specific geographical composition of any given metropolitan area has, of course, frequently changed. The specific MSA title may also have changed as to which cities are named and in what order.

Each MSA has one or more central counties containing the area's main population concentration: an urbanized area with at least 50,000 inhabitants. An MSA may also include outlying counties that have close economic and social relationships with the central counties. The outlying counties must have a specified level of commuting to the central counties and must also meet certain standards regarding metropolitan character, such as population density, urban population and population growth. In New England, MSA's are composed of cities and towns rather than whole counties.

The population living in MSA's may also be referred to as the metropolitan population. The population is subdivided into "inside central city (or cities)" and "outside central city (or cities)." (The population living outside MSA's constitutes the non-metropolitan population.) Most MSA's have one to three CENTRAL CITIES that are named in the census title of the MSA.

2. "CONSOLIDATED METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (CMSA's):"

In some parts of the country, metropolitan development has progressed to the point that adjoining MSA's are themselves socially and economically interrelated. These areas are designated consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSA's) by the Office of Management and Budget, and are defined using standards included as part of the new MSA

standards described above. MSA's that are a part of a CMSA are referred to as primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSA's).

Definitions of the six largest CMSA's:

NEW YORK-NORTHERN NEW JERSEY-LONG ISLAND, NY-NJ-CT, CMSA

Bergen-Passaic, NJ PMSA
Bridgeport-Milford, CT PMSA
Danbury, CT PMSA
Jersey City, NJ PMSA
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA
Monmouth-Ocean NJ PMSA
Nassau-Suffolk, NY PMSA*
New York, NY PMSA*
Newark, NJ PMSA*
Norwalk, CT PMSA
Orange County, NY PMSA
Stamford, CT PMSA

LOS ANGELES-ANAHEIM-RIVERSIDE, CA, CMSA

Anaheim-Santa Ana, CA PMSA*
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA PMSA*
Oxnard-Ventura, CA PMSA
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA PMSA*

CHICAGO-GARY-LAKE COUNTY (IL), IL-IN-WI CMSA

Aurora-Elgin, IL PMSA* (Kane Co part only)
Chicago, IL PMSA*
Gary-Hammond, IN PMSA
Joliet, IL PMSA* (Will Co part only)
Kenosha, WI PMSA
Lake County, IL PMSA*

SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND-SAN JOSE, CA, CMSA

Oakland, CA PMSA*
San Francisco, CA PMSA*
San Jose, CA PMSA
Santa Cruz, CA PMSA
Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA PMSA
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA PMSA

PHILADELPHIA-WILMINGTON-TRENTON, PA-NJ-DE-MD, CMSA

Philadelphia, PA-NJ, PMSA*
Trenton, NJ PMSA
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ PMSA
Wilmington, DE-NJ-MD PMSA

DETROIT-ANN ARBOR, MI, CMSA

Ann Arbor, MI PMSA
Detroit, MI PMSA*

* In the SRC 1980 National Sample (1992 NES sample).

For the purpose of size and distance coding of suburbs and non-MSAs, the central cities of the six largest CMSAs are listed as:

1. New York City (Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens), NY, Elizabeth, NJ and Newark, NJ

2. Los Angeles, Long Beach, Pasadena, Pomona, Burbank, Anaheim, Santa Ana, Riverside, San Bernardino and Palm Springs, CA.
3. Chicago, Evanston and Chicago Heights, Aurora, Elgin, Joliet, Waukegan and North Chicago, IL
4. San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Livermore, CA
5. Philadelphia and Norristown, PA and Camden, NJ
6. Detroit, Dearborn, Pontiac and Port Huron, MI

Both the CMSA definitions and the central city designations above are from Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1990, U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC (Jun 1990) (PB90-214420)

3. "URBANIZED AREAS:"

The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe").

4. "PLACES:"

Two types of places are recognized in the census reports--incorporated places and unincorporated places, defined as follows:

5. "INCORPORATED PLACES:"

These are political units incorporated as cities, borough, towns and villages with the following exceptions: (a) boroughs in Alaska; and (b) towns in New York, Wisconsin and the New England states.

6. "UNINCORPORATED PLACES:"

The Census Bureau has delineated boundaries for closely settled population centers without corporate limits. Each place so delineated possesses a definite nucleus of residences and has its boundaries drawn to include, if feasible, all the surrounding closely settled area. These are called Census Designated Places (CDP's).

>> 2000 TYPE RACE MASTER CODE

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER
CHALLENGERS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
71 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
72 Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
73 Other incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
75 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
76 Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
77 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
79 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

SENATE ONLY -NO RACE IN STATE

81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

>> 2000 CANDIDATE NUMBER MASTER CODE

SENATE:

OPEN RACE

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **
08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent **
11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
13 Democratic Senate incumbent
14 Republican Senate incumbent
15 Democratic Senate challenger
16 Republican Senate challenger

TERM NOT UP

19 Democratic Senator, term not up in state with race
29 Republican Senator, term not up in state with race
20 Third party or independent Senator, term not up in
state with race

RETIRING

21 Democratic Senator--retiring (state with open race)
22 Republican Senator--retiring (state with open race)
23 Third party or independent Senator--retiring (state
with open race)

NO RACE IN STATE

17 Democratic Senator, no race in state (1st Dem)
18 Republican Senator, no race in state (1st Rep)
27 Democratic Senator, no race in state (2nd Dem)
28 Republican Senator, no race in state (2nd Rep)
26 Third party or independent Senator, no race in state

HOUSE:

OPEN RACE

30 Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31 Democratic candidate in open House race
32 Republican candidate in open House race
33 Democratic House incumbent
34 Republican House incumbent
35 Democratic House challenger
36 Republican House challenger
38 Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39 Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

RETIRING

40 Third party or independent Representative--retiring
(district with open race)
41 Democratic Representative--retiring (district with
open race)
42 Republican Representative--retiring (district with
open race)

OTHER:

90 Both Democratic and Republican candidates (used in
incumbency varS only)
97 Name given not on Candidate List

MISSING DATA:

98 DK; refused to name candidate
99 NA
00 INAP

++VOTE QUESTION ONLY, VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)

81 Democratic candidate
82 Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)

83 Democratic incumbent
84 Republican incumbent
85 Democratic challenger
86 Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS: (VOTE VAR ONLY)

80 Third party or independent candidate **
91 Democrat--no name given
92 Republican--no name given

** IF 3RD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE NAMED, THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST (IF NAME NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST, CODE 97 IS USED).

NOTE: CODE 97 INCLUDES INSTANCES WHERE R VOTED STRAIGHT MAJOR PARTY TICKET BUT NO CANDIDATE FOR R'S PARTY RAN FOR GIVEN OFFICE (OR: R INSISTS VOTED FOR A MAJOR PARTY'S CANDIDATE BUT NO CANDIDATE RAN FOR GIVEN OFFICE REPRESENTING NAMED MAJOR PARTY).

++ CODES 80-86,91,92 ARE NOT USED IN VARS OTHER THAN VOTE VARS.

>> ETHNICITY MASTER CODE

WESTERN HEMISPHERE

North America

01. American Indian, tribal mentions
02. Canadian; not specified as French-Canadian (03)
03. Canadian, of French origin
04. Mexican (excluding explicit mention of "Chicano",
"Mexican-American")
05. Central American

West Indies

07. Barbados
08. Cuban
09. Dominican Republic
10. Haitian
11. Jamaican
12. Puerto Rican
13. West Indian--not from one of the above countries
14. West Indian--NA which country

South America

16. South American--any country

EUROPE

British Isles

18. English, British
19. Irish (not specified as from Northern Ireland, Ulster--22)
20. Scottish
21. Welsh
22. From Northern Ireland (Ulster)
23. Scot-Irish
24. From British Isles; from two or more countries of the
British Isles

Western Europe

26. Austrian
27. Belgian
28. French
29. German; also Pennsylvania Dutch
30. Luxembourg
31. Netherlands, Holland; Dutch
32. Swiss
33. From Western Europe; two or more countries of Western Europe

Scandinavia

35. Danish
36. Finn, Finnish
37. Norwegian
38. Swedish
39. Icelander
40. Scandinavian; reference to two or more Scandinavian
countries

Multiple - Western Europe/Scandinavia/British Isles

- 41. Reference to two or more countries from combination of the following areas: British Isles, Western Europe, Scandinavia, Mediterranean countries, Greece

Eastern Europe

- 43. Czechoslovakian, Slavic
- 44. Estonian
- 45. Hungarian
- 46. Latvian
- 47. Lithuanian
- 48. Polish
- 49. Russian; from U.S.S.R.
- 50. Ukrainian
- 51. Eastern Europe; reference to two or more countries of Eastern Europe

Balkan Countries

- 53. Albanian
- 54. Bulgarian
- 55. Greek
- 56. Rumanian
- 57. Yugoslavian
- 58. Mention of two or more Balkan Countries

Mediterranean Countries

- 60. Italian
- 61. Portugese
- 62. Spanish
- 63. Maltese

Multiple - Europe

- 64. European; general mention of Europe; reference to two or more European countries of Europe not codeable above

ASIA (exc. NEAR EAST)

- 65. Pakistani
- 66. Afghan
- 67. Indian (not American Indian, code 01)
- 68. Southeast Asia--from Indochina, Thailand, Malaya, Burma, Philippines, Indonesia
- 69. Chinese
- 70. Japanese; Japanese American
- 71. Korean
- 72. Asian

NEAR EAST

- 73. Egyptian
- 74. Iranian, Persian
- 75. Iraqi
- 76. Israeli
- 77. Jordanian
- 78. Lebanese
- 79. Arab, Arabian, Saudi Arabian
- 80. Syrian
- 81. Turk, Turkish
- 82. Armenian

AFRICA

- 83. African; from any African country excluding only Egypt (U.A.R.); South African (formerly 90)

OCEANIA

- 84. South Pacific Islander other than 85 (incl native Hawaiian)
- 85. Australian, New Zealander, Tasmanian

ETHNIC GROUPS

- 86. White, Caucasian
- 87. Black; Negro; American Black; African American
- 88. Chicano; Mexican-American; Hispanic; Latin American
- 89. Asian-American (exc. specifically Japanese American, 70)

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS **

- 90. None; neither (response to 'choice' question)
- 91. Catholic
- 92. Protestant
- 93. Jewish
- 94. Other religious groups
- 95. Both/all of them (response to 'choice' question only)
- 96. 'American'; 'Just American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable above

MISSING DATA **

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; no further mention

** these codes are slightly revised in 2000

>> ICPSR STATE AND COUNTRY MASTER CODE

UNITED STATES:

New England

101 Connecticut
102 Maine
103 Massachusetts
104 New Hampshire
105 Rhode Island
106 Vermont
109 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Middle Atlantic

111 Delaware
112 New Jersey
113 New York
114 Pennsylvania
118 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - Eastern States

119 EAST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH NEW ENGLAND AND
MIDDLE ATLANTIC

East North Central

121 Illinois
122 Indiana
123 Michigan
124 Ohio
125 Wisconsin
129 General mention of area; two or more states in area

West North Central

131 Iowa
132 Kansas
133 Minnesota
134 Missouri
135 Nebraska
136 North Dakota
137 South Dakota
138 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - East and Central States

139 MIDWEST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH EAST NORTH
CENTRAL AND WEST North Central

Solid South

141 Alabama
142 Arkansas
143 Florida
144 Georgia
145 Louisiana
146 Mississippi
147 North Carolina
148 South Carolina
149 Texas

- 140 Virginia
- 157 General mention of area; the South; two or more states in area

Border States

- 151 Kentucky
- 152 Maryland
- 153 Oklahoma
- 154 Tennessee
- 155 Washington, D.C.
- 156 West Virginia
- 158 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - South and Border States

- 159 SOUTH; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH SOLID SOUTH AND BORDER STATES

Mountain States

- 161 Arizona
- 162 Colorado
- 163 Idaho
- 164 Montana
- 165 Nevada
- 166 New Mexico
- 167 Utah
- 168 Wyoming
- 169 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Pacific States

- 171 California
- 172 Oregon
- 173 Washington
- 178 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - Mountain and Pacific States

- 179 WEST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH MOUNTAIN STATES AND PACIFIC STATES

External States and Territories

- 180 Alaska
- 181 Hawaii
- 182 Puerto Rico
- 183 American Samoa, Guam
- 184 Panama Canal Zone
- 185 Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
- 186 Virgin Islands
- 187 Other U.S. Dependencies

Multiple - U.S. States/Regions or NA State/Region

Reference to Two or More States from Different Regions of the United States; or NA Which State

- 191 Northeast and South (New England or Middle Atlantic and Solid South or Border States)
- 192 Northeast and Midwest (New England or Middle Atlantic and East North Central or West North Central)

- 194 West (Mountain States or Pacific States) and
Midwest; West and Northeast
- 195 West and South (Solid South or Border States)
- 196 Midwest and South
- 198 Lived in 3 or more regions (NA whether lived in one
more than the rest)
- 199 United States, NA which state

WESTERN HEMISPHERE Except U.S.

North America

-
- 201 North America (except U.S.) comb. Canada, Mexico,
and/or Central America
 - 207 Canada -- ancestry of Anglo-Saxon origin
 - 208 Canada -- ancestry of French origin
 - 209 Canada -- NA origin or other origin
 - 219 Mexico
 - 229 Central America

West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands)

-
- 231 Barbados
 - 232 Cuba
 - 233 Dominican Republic
 - 234 Haiti
 - 235 Jamaica
 - 236 Netherlands Antilles
 - 237 Trinidad and Tobago
 - 238 Islands of Lesser Antilles--except Virgin Islands
and Netherlands Antilles
 - 239 West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands)
or "Caribbean"--reference to two or more West
Indian countries

South America

-
- 259 South America; South American country or countries

EUROPE

British Isles

-
- 301 England
 - 302 Ireland (NA North or South); southern Ireland
 - 303 Scotland
 - 304 Wales
 - 305 Northern Ireland (Ulster)
 - 306 Scot-Irish
 - 308 United Kingdom; Great Britain
 - 309 "BRITISH ISLES"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE
TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF THE BRITISH ISLES

Western Europe

-
- 310 Austria
 - 311 Belgium
 - 312 France
 - 313 Federal Republic of Germany (W. Germany)
 - 314 German Democratic Republic (E. Germany)
 - 315 Germany--NA East or West
 - 316 Luxembourg
 - 317 Netherlands; Holland

- 318 Switzerland
319 "WESTERN EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA;
REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF WESTERN
EUROPE

Scandinavia

- 321 Denmark
322 Finland
323 Norway
324 Sweden
325 Iceland

Multiple - Western Europe and Scandinavia

- 328 GENERAL MENTION OF AREA OF WESTERN EUROPE AND/OR
SCANDINAVIA AND/OR BRITISH ISLES AND/OR
MEDITERRANEAN COUNTRIES AND/OR GREECE; REFERENCE
TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES IN DIFFERENT AREAS LISTED
ABOVE

Scandinavia General

- 329 "SCANDINAVIA"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE
TO TWO OR MORE SCANDINAVIAN COUNTRIES

Eastern Europe

- 331 Czechoslovakia (Slavic)
332 Estonia
333 Hungary
334 Latvia
335 Lithuania
336 Poland
337 Russia (or U.S.S.R.)
338 Ukraine
339 "EASTERN EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA;
REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF EASTERN
EUROPE

Balkan Countries

- 341 Albania
342 Bulgaria
343 Greece
344 Rumania
345 Yugoslavia
348 General mention of area; reference to two or more
Balkan Countries
349 "BALKANS"; GENERAL REFERENCE OF AREA; REFERENCE TO
COUNTRIES IN EASTERN EUROPE AND BALKAN COUNTRIES

Mediterranean Countries

- 351 Italy
352 Portugal
353 Spain
354 Malta or Gozo

Multiple and General - Europe

399 "EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO
OR MORE COUNTRIES OF EUROPE IN DIFFERENT AREAS

ASIA except Near East

401 Afghanistan
404 India
405 1990: Pakistan
406 Pakistan
428 Southeast Asia: Indochina, Thailand, Malaya,
Burma, Philippines, Indonesia; Hong Kong
431 China (mainland)
432 1990: Taiwan, Formosa
434 Taiwan, Formosa
451 Japan
452 Korea (North or South)
499 "ASIA"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO
OR MORE COUNTRIES OF ASIA

NEAR EAST

501 U.A.R. (Egypt)
502 Iran
503 Iraq
504 Israel (or Palestine)
505 Jordan
506 Lebanon
507 Saudi Arabia
508 Syria
509 Turkey
599 "NEAR EAST"; "MIDDLE EAST"; GENERAL MENTION OF
AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF NEAR
EAST

AFRICA

655 South Africa
699 Africa; any African country or countries, excluding
only South Africa and U.A.R. (Egypt)

OCEANIA

704 Australia, New Zealand, Tasmania
705 South Pacific islands (exc. 704)

OTHER:

997 Other (combinations) not codeable elsewhere
998 DK
999 RF
000 NA

>> RELIGION

Codes followed by * have been newly added in 2000.

GENERAL PROTESTANT

- 010. Protestant, no denomination given
- 020. Non-denominational Protestant
- 030. Community church
- 040. Inter-denominational Protestant
- 099. Christian (NFS); "just Christian"

ADVENTIST

- 100. 7th Day Adventist
- 109. Adventist (NFS)

ANGLICAN

- 110. Episcopalian; Anglican
- 111. Independent Anglican, Episcopalian

BAPTIST

- 120. American Baptist Association
- 121. American Baptist Churches U.S.A. (inaccurately known as "Northern Baptist")
- 122. Baptist Bible Fellowship
- 123. Baptist General Conference
- 124. Baptist Missionary Association of America
- 125. Conservative Baptist Association of America
- 126. General Association of Regular Baptist Churches (G.A.R.B.)
- 127. National Association of Free Will Baptists (United Free Will Baptist Church)
- 128. Primitive Baptists
- 129. National Baptist Convention in the U.S.A.
- 130. National Baptist Convention of America
- 131. National Primitive Baptist Convention of the U.S.A.
- 132. Progressive National Baptist Convention
- 134. Reformed Baptist (Calvinist)
- 135. Southern Baptist Convention
- 147. Fundamental Baptist (no denom. ties)
- 148. Local (independent) Baptist churches with no denominational ties or links to a national fellowship
- 149. Baptist (NFS)

CONGREGATIONAL

- 150. United Church of Christ (includes Congregational, Evangelical and Reformed)
- 155. Congregational Christian

EUROPEAN FREE CHURCH (ANABAPTISTS)

- 160. Church of the Brethren
- 161. Brethren (NFS)

- 162. Mennonite Church
- 163. Moravian Church
- 164. Old Order Amish
- 165. Quakers (Friends)
- 166. Evangelical Covenant Church (not Anabaptist in tradition)
- 167. Evangelical Free Church (not Anabaptist in tradition)
- 168. Brethren in Christ
- 170. Mennonite Brethren

HOLINESS

- 180. Christian and Missionary Alliance (CMA)
- 181. Church of God (Anderson, IN)
- 182. Church of the Nazarene
- 183. Free Methodist Church
- 184. Salvation Army
- 185. Wesleyan Church
- 186. Church of God of Findlay, OH
- 199. Holiness (NFS); Church of God (NFS); R not or NA whether R Pentecostal or Charismatic

INDEPENDENT-FUNDAMENTALIST

- 200. Plymouth Brethren
- 201. Independent Fundamentalist Churches of America
- 219. Independent-Fundamentalist (NFS)

LUTHERAN

- 220. Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (formerly Lutheran Church in America and The American Lutheran Church); ELCA
- 221. Lutheran Church--Missouri Synod; LC-MS
- 222. Wisconsin Evangelical Lutheran Synod; WELS
- 223. Other Conservative Lutheran
- 229. Lutheran (NFS)

METHODIST

- 230. United Methodist Church; Evangelical United Brethren
- 231. African Methodist Episcopal Church
- 232. African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church
- 233. Christian Methodist Episcopal Church
- 234. Primitive Methodist
- 240. Congregational Methodist (fundamentalist)
- 249. Methodist (NFS)

PENTECOSTAL

- 250. Assemblies of God
- 251. Church of God (Cleveland, TN)
- 252. Church of God (Huntsville, AL)
- 253. International Church of the Four Square Gospel
- 254. Pentecostal Church of God
- 255. Pentecostal Holiness Church
- 256. United Pentecostal Church International

- 257. Church of God in Christ (incl. NA whether 258)
- 258. Church of God in Christ (International)
- 260. Church of God of the Apostolic Faith
- 261. Church of God of Prophecy
- 262. Vineyard Fellowship
- 263. Open Bible Standard Churches
- 264. Full Gospel
- 267. Apostolic Pentecostal
- 268. Spanish Pentecostal
- 269. Pentecostal (NFS); Church of God (NFS); R not or
NA whether R Pentecostal or Chrismatic

PRESBYTERIAN

- 270. Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A.
- 271. Cumberland Presbyterian Church
- 272. Presbyterian Church in American (PCA)
- 275. Evangelical Presbyterian
- 276. Reformed Presbyterian
- 279. Presbyterian (NFS)

REFORMED

- 280. Christian Reformed Church (inaccurately known as
"Dutch Reformed")
- 281. Reformed Church in America
- 282. Free Hungarian Reformed Church
- 289. Reformed (NFS)

RESTORATIONIST

- 290. Christian Church (Disciples of Christ)
- 291. Christian Churches and Churches of Christ
- 292. Churches of Christ; "Church of Christ" (NFS)
- 293. Christian Congregation

NON-TRADITIONAL PROTESTANTS

- 300. Christian Scientists
- 301. Mormons; Latter Day Saints
- 302. Spiritualists
- 303. Unitarian; Universalist
- 304. Jehovah's Witnesses
- 305. Unity; Unity Church; Christ Church Unity
- 306. Fundamentalist Adventist (Worldwide Church of God)
- 309. Non-traditional Protestant (NFS)

ROMAN CATHOLIC

- 400. Roman Catholic

JEWISH

- 500. Jewish, no preference
- 501. Orthodox
- 502. Conservative
- 503. Reformed
- 524. Jewish, other

MIXED CHRISTIAN

600. Roman Catholic AND Protestant

EASTERN ORTHODOX (GREEK RITE CATHOLIC)

700. Greek Rite Catholic
701. Greek Orthodox
702. Russian Orthodox
703. Rumanian Orthodox
704. Serbian Orthodox
705. Syrian Orthodox
706. Armenian Orthodox
707. Georgian Orthodox
708. Ukranian Orthodox
719. Eastern Orthodox (NFS)

NON-CHRISTIAN/NON-JEWISH

720. Muslim; Mohammedan; Islam
721. Buddhist
722. Hindu
723. Bahai
724. American Indian Religions (Native American Religions)
725. New Age
726. Wica (Wiccan)
727. Pagan

729. Other non-Christian/non-Jewish
750. Scientology
790. Religious/ethical cults

MIX OF MAJOR RELIGIONS

795. More than 1 major religion (e.g., Christian, Jewish, Moslem, etc.)

OTHER

800. Agnostics
801. Atheists
990. R indicates attendance/affiliation but specifies none
997. Other

>> OCCUPATION

The full 3-digit 1990 Census Occupation Code was used to code the occupation of respondents. In order to minimize the amount of highly specific information released about respondents, the full occupation code has been recoded to a 71 category code, which is based on the occupation code sub-headings in the Census Code.

Users who need access to the full 3-digit occupation code for their research purposes should contact NES project staff for details about how this could be arranged.

In the code description that follows, the full 1990 Census Code is presented. At the beginning of each recoded section, the statement "(XXX) THROUGH (YYY) ARE RECODED TO (ZZ)" indicates the code values to which the specific occupations have been recoded. For example, purchasing managers (009), legislators (003), and funeral directors (019) have all been recoded to (01). Numbers in parentheses following the occupation categories are the U.S. Department of Commerce's 1980 Standard Occupational Classification code equivalents. The abbreviation "pt" means "part" and "N.E.C." means "not elsewhere classified".

MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS

Executive, Administrative, and Managerial

(003) THROUGH (022) ARE RECODED TO: 01

003 LEGISLATORS (111)
004 CHIEF EXECUTIVES AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATORS, PUBLIC
ADMINISTRATION (112)
005 ADMINISTRATORS AND OFFICIALS, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION
(1132-1139)
006 ADMINISTRATORS, PROTECTIVE SERVICES (1131)
007 FINANCIAL MANAGERS (122)
008 PERSONNEL AND LABOR RELATIONS MANAGERS (123)
009 PURCHASING MANAGERS (124)
013 MANAGERS, MARKETING, ADVERTISING, AND PUBLIC
RELATIONS (125)
014 ADMINISTRATORS, EDUCATION AND RELATED FIELDS (128)
015 MANAGERS, MEDICINE AND HEALTH (131)
016 POSTMASTERS AND MAIL SUPERINTENDENTS (1344)
017 MANAGERS, FOOD SERVING AND LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS (1351)
018 MANAGERS, PROPERTIES AND REAL ESTATE (1353)
019 FUNERAL DIRECTORS (PT 1359)
021 MANAGERS, SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, N.E.C. (127, 1352, 1354,
PT 1359)
022 MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS, N.E.C. (121, 126, 132-1343, 136-139)

Management-Related Occupations

(023) THROUGH (037) ARE RECODED TO: 02

023 ACCOUNTANTS AND AUDITORS (1412)
024 UNDERWRITERS (1414)
025 OTHER FINANCIAL OFFICERS (1415, 1419)
026 MANAGEMENT ANALYSTS (142)
027 PERSONNEL, TRAINING, AND LABOR RELATIONS
SPECIALISTS (143)

028 PURCHASING AGENTS AND BUYERS, FARM PRODUCTS (1443)
029 BUYERS, WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE, EXCEPT FARM
PRODUCTS (1442)

033 PURCHASING AGENTS AND BUYERS, N.E.C. (1449)
034 BUSINESS AND PROMOTION AGENTS (145)
035 CONSTRUCTION INSPECTORS (1472)
036 INSPECTORS AND COMPLIANCE OFFICERS, EXC.
CONSTRUCTION (1473)
037 MANAGEMENT RELATED OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (149)

Professional Specialty Occupations

.....
- engineers, architects and surveyors -

(043) THROUGH (063) ARE RECODED TO: 03

043 ARCHITECTS (161)

ENGINEERS

044 AEROSPACE ENGINEERS (1622)
045 METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERS (1623)
046 MINING ENGINEERS (1624)
047 PETROLEUM ENGINEERS (1625)
048 CHEMICAL ENGINEERS (1626)
049 NUCLEAR ENGINEERS (1627)
053 CIVIL ENGINEERS (1628)
054 AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERS (1632)
055 ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERS (1633, 1636)
056 INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERS (1634)
057 MECHANICAL ENGINEERS (1635)
058 MARINE ENGINEERS AND NAVAL ARCHITECTS (1637)
059 ENGINEERS, N.E.C. (1639)
063 SURVEYORS AND MAPPING SCIENTISTS (164)

- mathematical and computer scientists -

(064) THROUGH (068) ARE RECODED TO: 04

064 COMPUTER SYSTEMS ANALYSTS AND SCIENTISTS (171)
065 OPERATIONS AND SYSTEMS RESEARCHERS AND ANALYSTS
(172)
066 ACTUARIES (1732)
067 STATISTICIANS (1733)
068 MATHEMATICAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C. (1739)

- natural scientists -

(069) THROUGH (083) ARE RECODED TO: 05

069 PHYSICISTS AND ASTRONOMERS (1842, 1843)
073 CHEMISTS, EXCEPT BIOCHEMISTS (1845)
074 ATMOSPHERIC AND SPACE SCIENTISTS (1846)
075 GEOLOGISTS AND GEODESISTS (1847)
076 PHYSICAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C. (1849)
077 AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SCIENTISTS (1853)
078 BIOLOGICAL AND LIFE SCIENTISTS (1854)
079 FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION SCIENTISTS (1852)
083 MEDICAL SCIENTISTS (1855)

- health diagnosing occupations -

(084) THROUGH (089) ARE RECODED TO: 06

084 PHYSICIANS (261)
085 DENTISTS (262)
086 VETERINARIANS (27)
087 OPTOMETRISTS (281)
088 PODIATRISTS (283)
089 HEALTH DIAGNOSING PRACTITIONERS, N.E.C. (289)

- health assessment and treating occupations -

(095) THROUGH (106) ARE RECODED TO: 07

095 REGISTERED NURSES (29)
096 PHARMACISTS (301)
097 DIETITIANS (302)

THERAPISTS

098 INHALATION THERAPISTS (3031)
099 OCCUPATIONAL THERAPISTS (3032)
103 PHYSICAL THERAPISTS (3033)
104 SPEECH THERAPISTS (3034)
105 THERAPISTS, N.E.C. (3039)
106 PHYSICIANS' ASSISTANTS (304)

- teachers, postsecondary -

(113) THROUGH (154) ARE RECODED TO: 08

113 EARTH, ENVIRONMENTAL AND MARINE SCIENCE TEACHERS
(2212)
114 BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2213)
115 CHEMISTRY TEACHERS (2214)
116 PHYSICS TEACHERS (2215)
117 NATURAL SCIENCE TEACHERS, N.E.C. (2216)
118 PSYCHOLOGY TEACHERS (2217)
119 ECONOMICS TEACHERS (2218)
123 HISTORY TEACHERS (2222)
124 POLITICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2223)
125 SOCIOLOGY TEACHERS (2224)
126 SOCIAL SCIENCE TEACHERS, N.E.C. (2225)
127 ENGINEERING TEACHERS (2226)
128 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2227)
129 COMPUTER SCIENCE TEACHERS (2228)
133 MEDICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2231)
134 HEALTH SPECIALTIES TEACHERS (2232)
135 BUSINESS, COMMERCE, AND MARKETING TEACHERS (2233)
136 AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY TEACHERS (2234)
137 ART, DRAMA, AND MUSIC TEACHERS (2235)
138 PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS (2236)
139 EDUCATION TEACHERS (2237)
143 ENGLISH TEACHERS (2238)
144 FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHERS (2242)
145 LAW TEACHERS (2243)
146 SOCIAL WORK TEACHERS (2244)
147 THEOLOGY TEACHERS (2245)

148 TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL TEACHERS (2246)
149 HOME ECONOMICS TEACHERS (2247)
153 TEACHERS, POSTSECONDARY, N.E.C. (2249)
154 POSTSECONDARY TEACHERS, SUBJECT NOT SPECIFIED

- teachers, except postsecondary -

(155) THROUGH (165) ARE RECODED TO: 09

155 TEACHERS, PREKINDERGARTEN AND KINDERGARTEN (231)
156 TEACHERS, ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (232)
157 TEACHERS, SECONDARY SCHOOL (233)
158 TEACHERS, SPECIAL EDUCATION (235)
159 TEACHERS, N.E.C. (236,239)
163 COUNSELORS, EDUCATIONAL AND VOCATIONAL (24)

LIBRARIANS, ARCHIVISTS, AND CURATORS

164 LIBRARIANS (251)
165 ARCHIVISTS AND CURATORS (252)

- social scientist and urban planners -

(166) THROUGH (173) ARE RECODED TO: 10

166 ECONOMISTS (1912)
167 PSYCHOLOGISTS (1915)
168 SOCIOLOGISTS (1916)
169 SOCIAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C. (1913, 1914, 1919)
173 URBAN PLANNERS (192)

- social, recreation, and religious workers -

(174) THROUGH (177) ARE RECODED TO: 11

174 SOCIAL WORKERS (2032)
175 RECREATION WORKERS (2033)
176 CLERGY (2042)
177 RELIGIOUS WORKERS, N.E.C. (2049)

- lawyers and judges -

(178) THROUGH (179) ARE RECODED TO: 12

178 LAWYERS (211)
179 JUDGES (212)

- writers, artists, entertainers, and athletes -

(183) THROUGH (199) ARE RECODED TO: 13

183 AUTHORS (321)
184 TECHNICAL WRITERS (398)
185 DESIGNERS (322)
186 MUSICIANS AND COMPOSERS (323)
187 ACTORS AND DIRECTORS (324)
188 PAINTERS, SCULPTORS, CRAFT-ARTISTS, AND ARTIST

PRINTMAKERS (325)
189 PHOTOGRAPHERS (326)
193 DANCERS (327)
194 ARTISTS, PERFORMERS, AND RELATED WORKERS, N.E.C.
(328, 329)
195 EDITORS AND REPORTERS (331)

197 PUBLIC RELATIONS SPECIALISTS (332)
198 ANNOUNCERS (333)
199 ATHLETES (34)

TECHNICIANS AND RELATED SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS

Health Technologists and Technicians

(203) THROUGH (208) ARE RECODED TO: 14

203 CLINICAL LABORATORY TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS
(362)
204 DENTAL HYGIENISTS (363)
205 HEALTH RECORD TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS (364)
206 RADIOLOGIC TECHNICIANS (365)
207 LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES (366)
208 HEALTH TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (369)

Technologists and Technicians, except Health

.....

- engineering and related technologists and technicians -

(213) THROUGH (218) ARE RECODED TO: 15

213 ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC TECHNICIANS (3711)
214 INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS (3712)
215 MECHANICAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS (3713)
216 ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (3719)
217 DRAFTING OCCUPATIONS (372)
218 SURVEYING AND MAPPING TECHNICIANS (373)

- science technicians -

(223) THROUGH (225) ARE RECODED TO: 16

223 BIOLOGICAL TECHNICIANS (382)
224 CHEMICAL TECHNICIANS (3831)
225 SCIENCE TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (3832, 3833, 384, 389)

- technicians, except health, engineering, and science -

(226) THROUGH (235) ARE RECODED TO: 17

226 AIRPLANE PILOTS AND NAVIGATORS (825)
227 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS (392)
228 BROADCAST EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (393)
229 COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS (3971, 3972)
233 TOOL PROGRAMMERS, NUMERICAL CONTROL (3974)
234 LEGAL ASSISTANTS (396)
235 TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (399)

SALES OCCUPATIONS

Supervisors and Proprietors

(243) IS RECODED TO: 18

243 SUPERVISORS AND PROPRIETORS, SALES OCCUPATIONS (40)

Sales Representatives, Finance and Business Services

(253) THROUGH (257) ARE RECODED TO: 18

253 INSURANCE SALES OCCUPATIONS (4122)
254 REAL ESTATE SALES OCCUPATIONS (4123)
255 SECURITIES AND FINANCIAL SERVICES SALES OCCUPATIONS
(4124)
256 ADVERTISING AND RELATED SALES OCCUPATIONS (4153)
257 SALES OCCUPATIONS, OTHER BUSINESS SERVICES (4152)

Sales Representatives, Commodities except Retail

(258) THROUGH (259) ARE RECODED TO: 19

258 SALES ENGINEERS (421)
259 SALES REPRESENTATIVES, MINING, MANUFACTURING, AND
WHOLESALE (423, 424)

Sales Workers, Retail and Personal Services

(263) THROUGH (278) ARE RECODED TO: 20

263 SALES WORKERS, MOTOR VEHICLES AND BOATS
(4342, 4344)
264 SALES WORKERS, APPAREL (4346)
265 SALES WORKERS, SHOES (4351)
266 SALES WORKERS, FURNITURE AND HOME FURNISHINGS
(4348)
267 SALES WORKERS; RADIO, TELEVISION, HI-FI, AND
APPLIANCES (4343, 4352)
268 SALES WORKERS, HARDWARE AND BUILDING SUPPLIES
(4353)
269 SALES WORKERS, PARTS (4367)
274 SALES WORKERS, OTHER COMMODITIES (4345, 4347, 4354,
4356, 4359, 4362, 4369)
275 SALES COUNTER CLERKS (4363)
276 CASHIERS (4364)
277 STREET AND DOOR-TO-DOOR SALES WORKERS (4366)
278 NEWS VENDORS (4365)

Sales Related Occupations

(283) THROUGH (285) ARE RECODED TO: 21

283 DEMONSTRATORS, PROMOTERS AND MODELS, SALES (445)
284 AUCTIONEERS(447)
285 SALES SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (444, 446, 449)

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT, (incl. Clerical supervisors)

Clerical Supervisors

(303) THROUGH (307) ARE RECODED TO: 22

303 SUPERVISORS, GENERAL OFFICE (4511, 4513, 4514, 4516, 4519, 4529)
304 SUPERVISORS, COMPUTER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (4512)
305 SUPERVISORS, FINANCIAL RECORDS PROCESSING (4521)
306 CHIEF COMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS (4523)
307 SUPERVISORS; DISTRIBUTION, SCHEDULING, AND
ADJUSTING CLERKS (4522, 4524-4528)

Computer Equipment Operators

(308) THROUGH (309) ARE RECODED TO: 23

308 COMPUTER OPERATORS (4612)
309 PERIPHERAL EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (4613)

Secretaries, Stenographers, and Typists

(313) THROUGH (315) ARE RECODED TO: 24

313 SECRETARIES (4622)
314 STENOGRAPHERS (4623)
315 TYPISTS (4624)

Information Clerks

(316) THROUGH (323) ARE RECODED TO: 25

316 INTERVIEWERS (4642)
317 HOTEL CLERKS (4643)
318 TRANSPORTATION TICKET AND RESERVATION AGENTS (4644)
319 RECEPTIONISTS (4645)
323 INFORMATION CLERKS, N.E.C. (4649)

Records Processing Occupations, except Financial

(325) THROUGH (336) ARE RECODED TO: 26

325 CLASSIFIED-AD CLERKS (4662)
326 CORRESPONDENCE CLERKS (4663)
327 ORDER CLERKS (4664)
328 PERSONNEL CLERKS, EXCEPT PAYROLL AND TIMEKEEPING
(4692)
329 LIBRARY CLERKS (4694)
335 FILE CLERKS (4696)
336 RECORDS CLERKS (4699)

Financial Records Processing Occupations

(337) THROUGH (344) ARE RECODED TO: 27

337 BOOKKEEPERS, ACCOUNTING, AND AUDITING CLERKS (4712)
338 PAYROLL AND TIMEKEEPING CLERKS (4713)
339 BILLING CLERKS (4715)

343 COST AND RATE CLERKS (4716)
344 BILLING, POSTING, AND CALCULATING MACHINE OPERATORS
(4718)

Duplicating, Mail and Other Office Machine Operators

(345) THROUGH (347) ARE RECODED TO: 28

345 DUPLICATING MACHINE OPERATORS (4722)
346 MAIL PREPARING AND PAPER HANDLING MACHINE OPERATORS
(4723)
347 OFFICE MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (4729)

Communications Equipment Operators

(348) THROUGH (353) ARE RECODED TO: 29

348 TELEPHONE OPERATORS (4732)
353 COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT OPERATORS, N.E.C. (4733, 4739)

Mail and Message Distributing Occupations

(354) THROUGH (357) ARE RECODED TO: 30

354 POSTAL CLERKS, EXC. MAIL CARRIERS (4742)
355 MAIL CARRIERS, POSTAL SERVICE (4743)
356 MAIL CLERKS, EXC. POSTAL SERVICE (4744)
357 MESSENGERS (4745)

Material Recording, Scheduling, and Distribuing Clerks

(359) THROUGH (374) ARE RECODED TO: 31

359 DISPATCHERS (4751)
363 PRODUCTION COORDINATORS (4752)
364 TRAFFIC, SHIPPING, AND RECEIVING CLERKS (4753)
365 STOCK AND INVENTORY CLERKS (4754)
366 METER READERS (4755)
368 WEIGHERS, MEASURERS, CHECKERS, AND SAMPLERS (4756, 4757)
373 EXPEDITERS (4758)
374 MATERIAL RECORDING, SCHEDULING, AND DISTRIBUTING
CLERKS, N.E.C. (4759)

Adjusters and Investigators

(375) THROUGH (378) ARE RECODED TO: 32

375 INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, EXAMINERS, AND INVESTIGATORS
(4782)
376 INVESTIGATORS AND ADJUSTERS, EXCEPT INSURANCE
(4783)
377 ELIGIBILITY CLERKS, SOCIAL WELFARE (4784)
378 BILL AND ACCOUNT COLLECTORS (4786)

Miscellaneous Administrative Support Occupations

(379) THROUGH (389) ARE RECODED TO: 33

- 379 GENERAL OFFICE CLERKS (463)
- 383 BANK TELLERS (4791)
- 384 PROOFREADERS (4792)
- 385 DATA-ENTRY KEYERS (4793)
- 386 STATISTICAL CLERKS (4794)
- 387 TEACHERS' AIDES (4795)
- 389 ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (4787, 4799)

SERVICE OCCUPATIONS

Private Household Occupations

(403) THROUGH (407) ARE RECODED TO: 34

- 403 LAUNDERERS AND IRONERS (503)
- 404 COOKS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD (504)
- 405 HOUSEKEEPERS AND BUTLERS (505)
- 406 CHILD CARE WORKERS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD (506)
- 407 PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD CLEANERS AND SERVANTS (502, 507, 509)

Protective Service Occupations

.....
-supervisors, protective service occupations-

(413) THROUGH (415) ARE RECODED TO: 35

- 413 SUPERVISORS, FIREFIGHTING AND FIRE PREVENTION OCCUPATIONS (5111)
- 414 SUPERVISORS, POLICE AND DETECTIVES (5112)
- 415 SUPERVISORS, GUARDS (5113)

-firefighting and fire prevention occupations-

(416) THROUGH (417) ARE RECODED TO: 35

- 416 FIRE INSPECTION AND FIRE PREVENTION OCCUPATIONS (5122)
- 417 FIREFIGHTING OCCUPATIONS (5123)

-police and detectives-

(418) THROUGH (424) ARE RECODED TO: 35

- 418 POLICE AND DETECTIVES, PUBLIC SERVICE (5132)
 - 423 SHERIFFS, BAILIFFS, AND OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS (5134)
 - 424 CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION OFFICERS (5133)
-

-guards-

(425) THROUGH (427) ARE RECODED TO: 35

- 425 CROSSING GUARDS (5142)
 - 426 GUARDS AND POLICE, EXCEPT PUBLIC SERVICE (5144)
 - 427 PROTECTIVE SERVICE OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (5149)
-

Service Occupations, except Protective and Household

.....

-food preparation and service occupations-

(433) THROUGH (444) ARE RECODED TO: 36

- 433 SUPERVISORS, FOOD PREPARATION AND SERVICE OCCUPATIONS (5211)
 - 434 BARTENDERS (5212)
 - 435 WAITERS AND WAITRESSES (5213)
 - 436 COOKS (5214, 5215)
 - 438 FOOD COUNTER, FOUNTAIN AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS (5216)
 - 439 KITCHEN WORKERS, FOOD PREPARATION (5217)
 - 443 WAITERS'/WAITRESSES' ASSISTANTS (5218)
 - 444 MISCELLANEOUS FOOD PREPARATION OCCUPATIONS (5219)
-

-health service occupations-

(445) THROUGH (447) ARE RECODED TO: 37

- 445 DENTAL ASSISTANTS (5232)
 - 446 HEALTH AIDES, EXCEPT NURSING (5233)
 - 447 NURSING AIDES, ORDERLIES, AND ATTENDANTS (5236)
-

-cleaning and building service occupations, exc. household-

(448) THROUGH (455) ARE RECODED TO: 38

- 448 SUPERVISORS, CLEANING AND BUILDING SERVICE WORKKERS (5241)
 - 449 MAIDS AND HOUSEMEN (5242, 5249)
 - 453 JANITORS AND CLEANERS (5244)
 - 454 ELEVATOR OPERATORS (5245)
 - 455 PEST CONTROL OCCUPATIONS (5246)
-

-personal service occupations-

(456) THROUGH (469) ARE RECODED TO: 39

- 456 SUPERVISORS, PERSONAL SERVICE OCCUPATIONS (5251)
- 457 BARBERS (5252)
- 458 HAIRDRESSERS AND COSMETOLOGISTS (5253)
- 459 ATTENDANTS, AMUSEMENT AND RECREATION FACILITIES (5254)
- 461 GUIDES (5255)
- 462 USHERS (5256)
- 463 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ATTENDANTS (5257)
- 464 BAGGAGE PORTERS AND BELLHOPS (5262)
- 465 WELFARE SERVICE AIDES (5263)
- 466 FAMILY CHILD CARE PROVIDERS (PT 5264)

467 EARLY CHILDHOOD TEACHER'S ASSISTANTS (PT 5264)
468 CHILD CARE WORKERS (PT 5264)
469 PERSONAL SERVICE OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (5258, 5269)

FARMING, FORESTRY, AND FISHING OCCUPATIONS

Farm Operators and Managers

(473) THROUGH (476) ARE RECODED TO: 40

473 FARMERS, EXCEPT HORTICULTURAL (5512-5514)
474 HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTY FARMERS (5515)
475 MANAGERS, FARMS, EXCEPT HORTICULTURAL (5522-5524)
476 MANAGERS, HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTY FARMS (5525)

Other Agricultural and Related Occupations

.....
-farm occupations, except managerial-

(477) THROUGH (484) ARE RECODED TO: 41

477 SUPERVISORS, FARM WORKERS (5611)
479 FARM WORKERS (5612-5617)
483 MARINE LIFE CULTIVATION WORKERS (5618)
484 NURSERY WORKERS (5619)

-related agricultural occupations-

(485) THROUGH (489) ARE RECODED TO: 42

485 SUPERVISORS, RELATED AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS
(5621)
486 GROUNDSKEEPERS AND GARDENERS, EXCEPT FARM (5622)
487 ANIMAL CARETAKERS, EXCEPT FARM (5624)
488 GRADERS AND SORTERS, AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (5625)
489 INSPECTORS, AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (5627)

-forestry and logging occupations-

(494) THROUGH (496) ARE RECODED TO: 43

494 SUPERVISORS, FORESTRY AND LOGGING WORKERS (571)
495 FORESTRY WORKERS, EXCEPT LOGGING (572)
496 TIMBER CUTTING AND LOGGING OCCUPATIONS (573, 579)

-fishers, hunters, and trappers-

(497) THROUGH (499) ARE RECODED TO: 43

497 CAPTAINS AND OTHER OFFICERS, FISHING VESSELS
(PT 8241)
498 FISHERS (583)
499 HUNTERS AND TRAPPERS (584)

PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS

Mechanics and Repairers

.....
-mechanics and repairers supervisors-

(503) IS RECODED TO: 44

503 SUPERVISORS, MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS (60)

-mechanics and repairers, vehicle and mobile equipment-

(505) THROUGH (517) ARE RECODED TO: 44

505 AUTOMOBILE MECHANICS (PT 6111)
506 AUTOMOBILE MECHANIC APPRENTICES (PT 6111)
507 BUS, TRUCK, AND STATIONARY ENGINE MECHANICS (6112)
508 AIRCRAFT ENGINE MECHANICS (6113)
509 SMALL ENGINE REPAIRERS (6114)
514 AUTOMOBILE BODY AND RELATED REPAIRERS (6115)
515 AIRCRAFT MECHANICS, EXCEPT ENGINE (6116)
516 HEAVY EQUIPMENT MECHANICS (6117)
517 FARM EQUIPMENT MECHANICS (6118)

-mechanics and repairers, except
vehicle and mobile equipment-

(518) THROUGH (534) ARE RECODED TO: 45

518 INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY REPAIRERS (613)
519 MACHINERY MAINTENANCE OCCUPATIONS (614)

ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS

523 ELECTRONIC REPAIRERS, COMMUNICATIONS AND INDUSTRIAL
EQUIPMENT (6151, 6153, 6155)
525 DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS (6154)
526 HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCE AND POWER TOOL REPAIRERS (6156)
527 TELEPHONE LINE INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6157)
529 TELEPHONE INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6158)
533 MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT
EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS (6152, 6159)
534 HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, AND REFRIGERATION
MECHANICS (616)

-miscellaneous mechanics and repairers

(535) THROUGH (549) ARE RECODED TO: 46

535 CAMERA, WATCH, AND MUSICAL INSTRUMENT REPAIRERS
(6171, 6172)
536 LOCKSMITHS AND SAFE REPAIRERS (6173)
538 OFFICE MACHINE REPAIRERS (6174)
539 MECHANICAL CONTROLS AND VALVE REPAIRERS (6175)
543 ELEVATOR INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6176)
544 MILLWRIGHTS (6178)
547 SPECIFIED MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS, N.E.C.
(6177, 6179)
549 NOT SPECIFIED MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS

Construction Trades

.....

-supervisors, construction occupations-

(553) THROUGH (558) ARE RECODED TO: 47

553 SUPERVISORS; BRICKMASONS, STONEMASONS, AND TILE
SETTERS (6312)
554 SUPERVISORS, CARPENTERS AND RELATED WORKERS (6313)
555 SUPERVISORS, ELECTRICIANS AND POWER TRANSMISSION
INSTALLERS (6314)
556 SUPERVISORS; PAINTERS, PAPERHANGERS, AND PLASTERERS
(6315)
557 SUPERVISORS; PLUMBERS, PIPEFITTERS, AND
STEAMFITTERS (6316)
558 SUPERVISORS, N.E.C. (6311, 6318)

-construction trades, except supervisors-

(563) THROUGH (599) ARE RECODED TO: 48

563 BRICKMASONS AND STONEMASONS, (PT 6412, PT 6413)
564 BRICKMASON AND STONEMASON APPRENTICES
(PT 6412, PT 6413)
565 TILE SETTERS, HARD AND SOFT (6414, PT 6462)
566 CARPET INSTALLERS (PT 6462)
567 CARPENTERS (PT 6422)
569 CARPENTER APPRENTICES (PT 6422)
573 DRYWALL INSTALLERS (6424)
575 ELECTRICIANS (PT 6432)
576 ELECTRICIAN APPRENTICES (PT 6432)
577 ELECTRICAL POWER INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6433)
579 PAINTERS, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE (6442)
583 PAPERHANGERS (6443)
584 PLASTERERS (6444)
585 PLUMBERS, PIPEFITTERS, AND STEAMFITTERS (PT 645)
587 PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, AND STEAMFITTER APPRENTICES
(PT 645)
588 CONCRETE AND TERRAZZO FINISHERS (6463)
589 GLAZIERS (6464)
593 INSULATION WORKERS (6465)
594 PAVING, SURFACING, AND TAMPING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
(6466)
595 ROOFERS (6468)
596 SHEETMETAL DUCT INSTALLERS (6472)
597 STRUCTURAL METAL WORKERS (6473)
598 DRILLERS, EARTH (6474)
599 CONSTRUCTION TRADES, N.E.C. (6467, 6475, 6476,
6479)

Extractive Occupations

(613) THROUGH (617) ARE RECODED TO: 49

613 SUPERVISORS, EXTRACTIVE OCCUPATIONS (632)
614 DRILLERS, OIL WELL (652)
615 EXPLOSIVES WORKERS (653)

616 MINING MACHINE OPERATORS (654)
617 MINING OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (656)

Precision Production Occupations
.....
-production occupation supervisors-

(628) IS RECODED TO: 50

628 SUPERVISORS, PRODUCTION OCCUPATIONS (67, 71)

-precision metalworking occupations-

(634) THROUGH (655) ARE RECODED TO: 50

634 TOOL AND DIE MAKERS (PT 6811)
635 TOOL AND DIE MAKER APPRENTICES (PT 6811)
636 PRECISION ASSEMBLERS, METAL (6812)
637 MACHINISTS (PT 6813)
639 MACHINIST APPRENTICES (PT 6813)
643 BOILERMAKERS (6814)
644 PRECISION GRINDERS, FITTERS, AND TOOL SHARPENERS
(6816)
645 PATTERNMAKERS AND MODEL MAKERS, METAL (6817)
646 LAY-OUT WORKERS (6821)
647 PRECIOUS STONES AND METALS WORKERS (JEWELERS)
(6822, 6866)
649 ENGRAVERS, METAL (6823)
653 SHEET METAL WORKERS (PT 6824)
654 SHEET METAL WORKER APPRENTICES (PT 6824)
655 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION METAL WORKERS (6829)

-precision woodworking occupations-

(656) THROUGH (659) ARE RECODED TO: 51

656 PATTERNMAKERS AND MODEL MAKERS, WOOD (6831)
657 CABINET MAKERS AND BENCH CARPENTERS (6832)
658 FURNITURE AND WOOD FINISHERS (6835)
659 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION WOODWORKERS (6839)

-precision textile, apparel, and
furnishings machine workers-

(666) THROUGH (674) ARE RECODED TO: 52

666 DRESSMAKERS (PT 6852, PT 7752)
667 TAILORS (PT 6852)
668 UPHOLSTERERS (6853)
669 SHOE REPAIRERS (6854)
674 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION APPAREL AND FABRIC WORKERS
(6856, 6859, PT 7752)

-precision workers, assorted materials-

(675) THROUGH (684) ARE RECODED TO: 53

675 HAND MOLDERS AND SHAPERS, EXCEPT JEWELERS (6861)
676 PATTERNMAKERS, LAY-OUT WORKERS, AND CUTTERS (6862)
677 OPTICAL GOODS WORKERS (6864, PT 7477, PT 7677)
678 DENTAL LABORATORY AND MEDICAL APPLIANCE TECHNICIANS
(6865)
679 BOOKBINDERS (6844)
683 ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ASSEMBLERS
(6867)
684 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION WORKERS, N.E.C. (6869)

-precision food production occupations-

(686) THROUGH (688) ARE RECODED TO: 54

686 BUTCHERS AND MEAT CUTTERS (6871)
687 BAKERS (6872)
688 FOOD BATCHMAKERS (6873, 6879)

-precision inspectors, testers and related workers-

(689) THROUGH (693) ARE RECODED TO: 55

689 INSPECTORS, TESTERS, AND GRADERS (6881, 828)
693 ADJUSTERS AND CALIBRATORS (6882)

Plant and System Operators

(694) THROUGH (699) ARE RECODED TO: 56

694 WATER AND SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT OPERATORS (691)
695 POWER PLANT OPERATORS (PT 693)
696 STATIONARY ENGINEERS (PT 693, 7668)
699 MISCELLANEOUS PLANT AND SYSTEM OPERATORS (692, 694,
695, 696)

OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS

Machine Operators, Assemblers, and Inspectors

.....

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
metalworking and plastic working machine operators-

(703) THROUGH (717) ARE RECODED TO: 57

703 LATHE AND TURNING MACHINE SET-UP OPERATORS (7312)
704 LATHE AND TURNING MACHINE OPERATORS (7512)
705 MILLING AND PLANING MACHINE OPERATORS (7313, 7513)
706 PUNCHING AND STAMPING PRESS MACHINE OPERATORS
(7314, 7317, 7514, 7517)
707 ROLLING MACHINE OPERATORS (7316, 7516)
708 DRILLING AND BORING MACHINE OPERATORS (7318, 7518)
709 GRINDING, ABRADING, BUFFING, AND POLISHING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7322, 7324, 7522)
713 FORGING MACHINE OPERATORS (7319, 7519)
714 NUMERICAL CONTROL MACHINE OPERATORS (7326)

715 MISCELLANEOUS METAL, PLASTIC, STONE, AND GLASS
WORKING MACHINE OPERATORS (7329, 7529)
717 FABRICATING MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (7339, 7539)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
metal and plastic processing machine operators-

(719) THROUGH (725) ARE RECODED TO: 58

719 MOLDING AND CASTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7315, 7342,
7515, 7542)
723 METAL PLATING MACHINE OPERATORS (7343, 7543)
724 HEAT TREATING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (7344, 7544)
725 MISCELLANEOUS METAL AND PLASTIC PROCESSING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7349, 7549)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
woodworking machine operators-

(726) THROUGH (733) ARE RECODED TO: 59

726 WOOD LATHE, ROUTING AND PLANING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7431, 7432, 7631, 7632)
727 SAWING MACHINE OPERATORS (7433, 7633)
728 SHAPING AND JOINING MACHINE OPERATORS (7435, 7635)
729 NAILING AND TACKING MACHINE OPERATORS (7636)
733 MISCELLANEOUS WOODWORKING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7434, 7439, 7634, 7639)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
printing machine operators-

(734) THROUGH (737) ARE RECODED TO: 60

734 PRINTING PRESS OPERATORS (7443, 7643)
735 PHOTOENGRAVERS AND LITHOGRAPHERS (6842, 7444, 7644)
736 TYPESETTERS AND COMPOSITORS (6841, 7642)
737 MISCELLANEOUS PRINTING MACHINE OPERATORS
(6849, 7449, 7649)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators-

(738) THROUGH (749) ARE RECODED TO: 61

738 WINDING AND TWISTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7451, 7651)
739 KNITTING, LOOPING, TAPING, AND WEAVING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7452, 7652)
743 TEXTILE CUTTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7654)
744 TEXTILE SEWING MACHINE OPERATORS (7655)
745 SHOE MACHINE OPERATORS (7656)
747 PRESSING MACHINE OPERATORS (7657)
748 LAUNDERING AND DRY CLEANING MACHINE OPERATORS
(6855, 7658)
749 MISCELLANEOUS TEXTILE MACHINE OPERATORS
(7459, 7659)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
machine operators, assorted materials-

(753) THROUGH (779) ARE RECODED TO: 62

753 CEMENTING AND GLUING MACHINE OPERATORS (7661)
754 PACKAGING AND FILLING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7462, 7662)
755 EXTRUDING AND FORMING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7463, 7663)
756 MIXING AND BLENDING MACHINE OPERATORS (7664)
757 SEPARATING, FILTERING, AND CLARIFYING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7476, 7666, 7676))
758 COMPRESSING AND COMPACTING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7467, 7667)
759 PAINTING AND PAINT SPRAYING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7669)
763 ROASTING AND BAKING MACHINE OPERATORS, FOOD
(7472, 7672)
764 WASHING, CLEANING, AND PICKLING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7673)
765 FOLDING MACHINE OPERATORS (7474, 7674)
766 FURNACE, KILN, AND OVEN OPERATORS, EXC. FOOD (7675)
768 CRUSHING AND GRINDING MACHINE OPERATORS
(PT 7477, PT 7677)
769 SLICING AND CUTTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7478, 7678)
773 MOTION PICTURE PROJECTIONISTS (PT 7479)
774 PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESS MACHINE OPERATORS
(6863, 6868, 7671)
777 MISCELLANEOUS MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C.
(PT 7479, 7665, 7679)
779 MACHINE OPERATORS, NOT SPECIFIED

-fabricators, assemblers, and hand working occupations-

(783) THROUGH (795) ARE RECODED TO: 63

783 WELDERS AND CUTTERS (7332, 7532, 7714)
784 SOLDERERS AND BRAZERS (7333, 7533, 7717)
785 ASSEMBLERS (772, 774)
786 HAND CUTTING AND TRIMMING OCCUPATIONS (7753)
787 HAND MOLDING, CASTING, AND FORMING OCCUPATIONS
(7754, 7755)
789 HAND PAINTING, COATING, AND DECORATING OCCUPATIONS
(7756)
793 HAND ENGRAVING AND PRINTING OCCUPATIONS (7757)
795 MISCELLANEOUS HAND WORKING OCCUPATIONS (7759)

-production inspectors, testers, samplers, and weighers-

(796) THROUGH (799) ARE RECODED TO: 64

796 PRODUCTION INSPECTORS, CHECKERS, AND EXAMINERS
(782, 787)
797 PRODUCTION TESTERS (783)
798 PRODUCTION SAMPLERS AND WEIGHERS (784)
799 GRADERS AND SORTERS, EXCEPT AGRICULTURAL (785)

Transportation and Material Moving Occupations

.....
-motor vehicle operators-

(803) THROUGH (814) ARE RECODED TO: 65

803 SUPERVISORS, MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATORS (8111)
804 TRUCK DRIVERS (8212-8214)
806 DRIVER-SALES WORKERS (8218)
808 BUS DRIVERS (8215)
809 TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS (8216)
813 PARKING LOT ATTENDANTS (874)
814 MOTOR TRANSPORTATION OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (8219)

Transportation Occupations, except Motor Vehicles

.....
-rail transportation occupations-

(823) THROUGH (826) ARE RECODED TO: 66

823 RAILROAD CONDUCTORS AND YARDMASTERS (8113)
824 LOCOMOTIVE OPERATING OCCUPATIONS (8232)
825 RAILROAD BRAKE, SIGNAL, AND SWITCH OPERATORS (8233)
826 RAIL VEHICLE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (8239)

-water transportation occupations-

(828) THROUGH (834) ARE RECODED TO: 66

828 SHIP CAPTAINS AND MATES, EXCEPT FISHING BOATS
(PT 8241, 8242)
829 SAILORS AND DECKHANDS (8243)
833 MARINE ENGINEERS (8244)
834 BRIDGE, LOCK, AND LIGHTHOUSE TENDERS (8245)

Material Moving Equipment Operators

(843) THROUGH (859) ARE RECODED TO: 67

843 SUPERVISORS, MATERIAL MOVING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
(812)
844 OPERATING ENGINEERS (8312)
845 LONGSHORE EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (8313)
848 HOIST AND WINCH OPERATORS (8314)
849 CRANE AND TOWER OPERATORS (8315)
853 EXCAVATING AND LOADING MACHINE OPERATORS (8316)
855 GRADER, DOZER, AND SCRAPER OPERATORS (8317)
856 INDUSTRIAL TRUCK AND TRACTOR EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
(8318)
859 MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL MOVING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS
(8319)

Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers, and Laborers

(864) THROUGH (873) ARE RECODED TO: 68

864 SUPERVISORS; HANDLERS, EQUIPMENT CLEANERS, AND
LABORERS, N.E.C. (85)
865 HELPERS, MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS (863)

HELPERS, CONSTRUCTION AND EXTRACTIVE OCCUPATIONS

866 HELPERS, CONSTRUCTION TRADES (8641-8645, 8648)
867 HELPERS, SURVEYOR (8646)
868 HELPERS, EXTRACTIVE OCCUPATIONS (865)
869 CONSTRUCTION LABORERS (871)
873 PRODUCTION HELPERS (861, 862)

Freight, Stock, and Material Handlers

(875) THROUGH (883) ARE RECODED TO: 69

875 GARBAGE COLLECTORS (8722)
876 STEVEDORES (8723)
877 STOCK HANDLERS AND BAGGERS (8724)
878 MACHINE FEEDERS AND OFFBEARERS (8725)
883 FREIGHT, STOCK, AND MATERIAL HANDLERS, N.E.C.
(8726)

(885) THROUGH (889) ARE RECODED TO: 70

885 GARAGE AND SERVICE STATION RELATED OCCUPATIONS
(873)
887 VEHICLE WASHERS AND EQUIPMENT CLEANERS (875)
888 HAND PACKERS AND PACKAGERS (8761)
889 LABORERS, EXCEPT CONSTRUCTION (8769)

(903) THROUGH (905) ARE RECODED TO: 71

903 COMMISSIONED OFFICERS AND WARRANT OFFICERS
904 NON-COMMISSIONED OFFICERS AND OTHER ENLISTED PERSONNEL
905 MILITARY OCCUPATION, RANK NOT SPECIFIED

(999) IS RECODED TO: 99

999 NA

>> 2000 INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (1990 CENSUS)

Numbers in parentheses following the industry categories are the 1987 SIC definitions. The abbreviation "pt" means "part" and "n.e.c." means "not elsewhere classified."

1990

Census Industry category
code

AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND FISHERIES

010 Agricultural production, crops (01)
011 Agricultural production, livestock (02)
012 Veterinary services (074)
020 Landscape and horticultural services (078)
030 Agricultural services, n.e.c. (071, 072, 075, 076)
031 Forestry (08)
032 Fishing, hunting, and trapping (09)

MINING

040 Metal mining (10)
041 Coal mining (12)
042 Oil and gas extraction (13)
050 Nonmetallic mining and quarrying, except fuels (14)

060 CONSTRUCTION (15, 16, 17)

MANUFACTURING

Nondurable Goods

Food and kindred products
100 Meat products (201)
101 Dairy products (202)
102 Canned, frozen, and preserved fruits and vegetables (203)
110 Grain mill products (204)
111 Bakery products (205)
112 Sugar and confectionery products (206)
120 Beverage industries (208)
121 Miscellaneous food preparations and kindred products (207, 209)
122 Not specified food industries
130 Tobacco manufactures (21)

Textile mill products

132 Knitting mills (225)
140 Dyeing and finishing textiles, except wool and knit goods (226)
141 Carpets and rugs (227)
142 Yarn, thread, and fabric mills (221-224, 228)
150 Miscellaneous textile mill products (229)

Apparel and other finished textile products

151 Apparel and accessories, except knit (231-238)
152 Miscellaneous fabricated textile products (239)

Paper and allied products

160 Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills (261-263)
161 Miscellaneous paper and pulp products (267)

- 162 Paperboard containers and boxes (265)
Printing, publishing, and allied industries
- 171 Newspaper publishing and printing (271)
- 172 Printing, publishing, and allied industries, except newspapers (272-279)

Chemicals and allied products

- 180 Plastics, synthetics, and resins (282)
- 181 Drugs (283)
- 182 Soaps and cosmetics (284)
- 190 Paints, varnishes, and related products (285)
- 191 Agricultural chemicals (287)
- 192 Industrial and miscellaneous chemicals (281, 286, 289)

Petroleum and coal products

- 200 Petroleum refining (291)
- 201 Miscellaneous petroleum and coal products (295, 299)

Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products

- 210 Tires and inner tubes (301)
- 211 Other rubber products, and plastics footwear and belting (302-306)
- 212 Miscellaneous plastics products (308)

Leather and leather products

- 220 Leather tanning and finishing (311)
- 221 Footwear, except rubber and plastic (313, 314)
- 222 Leather products, except footwear (315-317, 319)

Durable Goods

Lumber and wood products, except furniture

- 230 Logging (241)
- 231 Sawmills, planing mills, and millwork (242, 243)
- 232 Wood buildings and mobile homes (245)
- 241 Miscellaneous wood products (244,249)
- 242 Furniture and fixtures (25)

Stone, clay, glass, and concrete products

- 250 Glass and glass products (321-323)
- 251 Cement, concrete, gypsum, and plaster products (324, 327)
- 252 Structural clay products (325)
- 261 Pottery and related products (326)
- 262 Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral and stone products (328, 329)

Metal industries

- 270 Blast furnaces, steelworks, rolling and finishing mills (331)
- 271 Iron and steel foundries (332)
- 272 Primary aluminum industries (3334, part 334, 3353-3355, 3363, 3365)
- 280 Other primary metal industries (3331, 3339, part 334, 3351, 3356, 3357, 3364, 3366, 3369, 339)
- 281 Cutlery, handtools, and general hardware (342)
- 282 Fabricated structural metal products (344)
- 290 Screw machine products (345)
- 291 Metal forgings and stampings (346)

- 292 Ordnance (348)
- 300 Miscellaneous fabricated metal products (341, 343, 347, 349)
- 301 Not specified metal industries

Machinery and computing equipment

- 10 Engines and turbines (351)
- 311 Farm machinery and equipment (352)
- 312 Construction and material handling machines (353)
- 320 Metalworking machinery (354)
- 321 Office and accounting machines (3578, 3579)
- 322 Computers and related equipment (3571-3577)
- 331 Machinery, except electrical, n.e.c. (355, 356, 358, 359)
- 332 Not specified machinery

Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies

- 340 Household appliances (363)
- 341 Radio, TV, and communication equipment (365, 366)
- 342 Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies, n.e.c. (361, 362, 364, 367, 369)
- 350 Not specified electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies

Transportation Equipment

- 351 Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment (371)
- 352 Aircraft and parts (372)
- 360 Ship and boat building and repairing (373)
- 361 Railroad locomotives and equipment (374)
- 362 Guided missiles, space vehicles, and parts (376)
- 370 Cycles and miscellaneous transportation equipment (375, 379)

Professional and photographic equipment, and watches

- 371 Scientific and controlling instruments (381, 382, exc. 3827)
- 372 Medical, dental, and optical instruments and supplies (3827, 384, 385)
- 380 Photographic equipment and supplies (386)
- 381 Watches, clocks, and clockwork operated devices (387)
- 390 Toys, amusement, and sporting goods (394)
- 391 Miscellaneous manufacturing industries (39 exc. 394)
- 392 Not specified manufacturing industries

TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES

Transportation

- 400 Railroads (40)
- 401 Bus service and urban transit (41, except 412)
- 402 Taxicab service (412)
- 410 Trucking service (421, 423)
- 411 Warehousing and storage (422)
- 412 U.S. Postal Service (43)
- 420 Water transportation (44)
- 421 Air transportation (45)
- 422 Pipe lines, except natural gas (46)
- 432 Services incidental to transportation (47)

Communications

- 440 Radio and television broadcasting and cable (483, 484)
- 441 Telephone communications (481)

442 Telegraph and miscellaneous communications services (482, 489)

Utilities and sanitary services

450 Electric light and power (491)

451 Gas and steam supply systems (492, 496)

52 Electric and gas, and other combinations (493)

470 Water supply and irrigation (494, 497)

471 Sanitary services (495)

472 Not specified utilities

WHOLESALE TRADE

Durable Goods

500 Motor vehicles and equipment (501)

501 Furniture and home furnishings (502)

502 Lumber and construction materials (503)

510 Professional and commercial equipment and supplies (504)

511 Metals and minerals, except petroleum (505)

512 Electrical goods (506)

521 Hardware, plumbing and heating supplies (507)

530 Machinery, equipment, and supplies (508)

531 Scrap and waste materials (5093)

532 Miscellaneous wholesale, durable goods (509, exc. 5093)

Nondurable Goods

540 Paper and paper products (511)

541 Drugs, chemicals and allied products (512, 516)

542 Apparel, fabrics, and notions (513)

550 Groceries and related products (514)

551 Farm-product raw materials (515)

552 Petroleum products (517)

560 Alcoholic beverages (518)

561 Farm supplies (5191)

562 Miscellaneous wholesale, nondurable goods (5192-5199)

571 Not specified wholesale trade

RETAIL TRADE

580 Lumber and building material retailing (521, 523)

581 Hardware stores (525)

582 Retail nurseries and garden stores (526)

590 Mobile home dealers (527)

591 Department stores (531)

592 Variety stores (533)

600 Miscellaneous general merchandise stores (539)

601 Grocery stores (541)

602 Dairy products stores (545)

610 Retail bakeries (546)

611 Food stores, n.e.c. (542, 543, 544, 549)

612 Motor vehicle dealers (551, 552)

620 Auto and home supply stores (553)

621 Gasoline service stations (554)

622 Miscellaneous vehicle dealers (555, 556, 557, 559)

623 Apparel and accessory stores, except shoe (56, except 566)

630 Shoe stores (566)

631 Furniture and home furnishings stores (571)

632 Household appliance stores (572)

633 Radio, TV, and computer stores (5731, 5734)

640 Music stores (5735, 5736)

641 Eating and drinking places (58)
642 Drug stores (591)
650 Liquor stores (592)
651 Sporting goods, bicycles, and hobby stores (5941, 5945, 5946)
652 Book and stationery stores (5942, 5943)
660 Jewelry stores (5944)

61 Gift, novelty, and souvenir shops (5947)
662 Sewing, needlework and piece goods stores (5949)
663 Catalog and mail order houses (5961)
670 Vending machine operators (5962)
671 Direct selling establishments (5963)
672 Fuel dealers (598)
681 Retail florists (5992)
682 Miscellaneous retail stores (593, 5948, 5993-5995, 5999)
691 Not specified retail trade

FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE

700 Banking (60 exc. 603 and 606)
701 Savings institutions, including credit unions (603, 606)
702 Credit agencies, n.e.c. (61)
710 Security, commodity brokerage, and investment companies (62, 67)
711 Insurance (63, 64)
712 Real estate, including real estate-insurance offices (65)

BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES

721 Advertising (731)
722 Services to dwellings and other buildings (734)
731 Personnel supply services (736)
732 Computer and data processing services (737)
740 Detective and protective services (7381, 7382)
741 Business services, n.e.c. (732, 733, 735, 7383-7389)
742 Automotive rental and leasing, without drivers (751)
750 Automobile parking and carwashes (752, 7542)
751 Automotive repair and related services (753, 7549)
752 Electrical repair shops (762, 7694)
760 Miscellaneous repair services (763, 764, 7692, 7699)

PERSONAL SERVICES

761 Private households (88)
762 Hotels and motels (701)
770 Lodging places, except hotels and motels (702, 703, 704)
771 Laundry, cleaning, and garment services (721 exc. part 7219)
772 Beauty shops (723)
780 Barber shops (724)
781 Funeral service and crematories (726)
782 Shoe repair shops (725)
790 Dressmaking shops (part 7219)
791 Miscellaneous personal services (722, 729)

ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION SERVICES

800 Theaters and motion pictures (781-783, 792)
801 Video tape rental (784)
802 Bowling centers (793)
810 Miscellaneous entertainment and recreation services (791, 794, 799)

PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES

812 Offices and clinics of physicians (801, 803)

820 Offices and clinics of dentists (802)
821 Offices and clinics of chiropractors (8041)
822 Offices and clinics of optometrists (8042)
830 Offices and clinics of health practitioners, n.e.c. (8043, 8049)
831 Hospitals (806)
832 Nursing and personal care facilities (805)

40 Health services, n.e.c. (807, 808, 809)
841 Legal services (81)
842 Elementary and secondary schools (821)
850 Colleges and universities (822)
851 Vocational schools (824)
852 Libraries (823)
860 Educational services, n.e.c. (829)

861 Job training and vocational rehabilitation services (833)
862 Child day care services (part 835)
863 Family child care homes (part 835)
870 Residential care facilities, without nursing (836)
871 Social services, n.e.c. (832, 839)
872 Museums, art galleries, and zoos (84)
873 Labor unions (863)
880 Religious organizations (866)
881 Membership organizations, n.e.c. (861, 862, 864, 865, 869)
882 Engineering, architectural, and surveying services (871)
890 Accounting, auditing, and bookkeeping services (872)
891 Research, development, and testing services (873)
892 Management and public relations services (874)
893 Miscellaneous professional and related services (899)

PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

900 Executive and legislative offices (911-913)
901 General government, n.e.c. (919)
910 Justice, public order, and safety (92)
921 Public finance, taxation, and monetary policy (93)
922 Administration of human resources programs (94)
930 Administration of environmental quality and housing programs (95)
931 Administration of economic programs (96)
932 National security and international affairs (97)

ACTIVE DUTY MILITARY

Armed Forces

940 Army
941 Air Force
942 Navy
950 Marines
951 Coast Guard
952 Armed Forces, Branch not specified
960 Military Reserves or National Guard

EXPERIENCED UNEMPLOYED NOT CLASSIFIED BY INDUSTRY

992 Last worked in 1984 or earlier

>> PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000
** indicates "other" codes

PARTY ONLY -- PEOPLE WITHIN PARTY

0001 Johnson
0002 Kennedy, John; JFK
0003 Kennedy, Robert; RFK
0004 Kennedy, Edward; "Ted"
0005 Kennedy, NA which
0006 Truman
0007 Roosevelt; "FDR"
0008 McGovern
0009 Carter
0010 Mondale
0011 McCarthy, Eugene
0012 Humphrey
0013 Muskie
0014 Dukakis, Michael
0015 Wallace
0016 Jackson, Jesse
0017 Clinton, Bill
0018 Clinton, Hillary
0019 Gore, Al
* 0020 Lieberman, Joseph

0031 Eisenhower; Ike
0032 Nixon

0034 Rockefeller
0035 Reagan
0036 Ford
0037 Bush, Sr., George
0038 Connally
0039 Kissinger
0040 McCarthy, Joseph
0041 Buchanan, Pat
0042 Dole
0043 Gingrich, Newt
* 0044 Cheney, Dick
* 0045 Bush, Jr. George W.
* 0046 McCain, John

0051 Other national party figures (Senators, Congressman, etc.)
0052 Local party figures (city, state, etc.)
0053 Good/Young/Experienced leaders; like whole ticket
0054 Bad/Old/Inexperienced leaders; dislike whole ticket
0055 Reference to vice-presidential candidate

** 0097 Other people within party reasons

PARTY ONLY -- PARTY CHARACTERISTICS

- 0101 Traditional Democratic voter: always been a Democrat; just a Democrat; never been a Republican; just couldn't vote Republican
- 0102 Traditional Republican voter: always been a Republican; just a Republican; never been a Democrat; just couldn't vote Democratic
- 0111 Positive, personal, affective terms applied to party--good/nice people; patriotic; etc.
- 0112 Negative, personal, affective terms applied to party--bad/lazy people; lack of patriotism; etc.
- 0121 Can trust them; they keep their promises; you know where they stand
- 0122 Can't trust them; they break their promises; you don't know where they stand
- 0131 Party is well-organized, sticks together, is united; members are disciplined; votes party line
- 0132 Party is poorly-organized/really two parties/divided/factionalized; members not disciplined; doesn't vote party line
- 0133 Party is (more) representative/good cross-section of the country; encompasses a wider variety of views/people; is more at the center of the country's views
- 0134 Party is less/not representative;bad cross-section of the country; encompasses more restricted views; is less at the center of the country's views
- 0135 Reference to participation of minority candidate(s)
- 0141 Reference to party's most recent National Convention; party's process/method of selecting presidential/vice-presidential candidates
- 0151 Performance of local branch of party; how they've done in this state/county/town
- 0161 Reference to the predominant faction that R sees as being in control of the party (NA which faction); "I don't like the people running it"
- 0162 Reference to Northerners/Liberals (as in control) of Democratic Party
- 0163 Reference to Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Democratic Party
- 0164 Reference to Easterners/Liberals/Moderates (as in control) of Republican Party
- 0165 Reference to Midwesterners/Westerners/Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Republican Party; "Old Boy Network"
- 0166 Reference to Christian Coalition/Religious Right (as in control) of Republican Party

- 0167 Can't win; doesn't have a chance
- 0168 Can win; party can't be beat
- 0169 Too big a party; there are too many of them; party is too powerful
- 0170 Too small a party; there are not enough of them; party is too weak
- 0171 Listens (more) to people; takes (more) into consideration the needs and wants of people; understands (better) the people/the majority of the people
- 0172 Doesn't listen to/understand the needs and wants of the people/the majority of the people
- 0173 Campaign tactics, uses too much money in campaigns, slings mud
- 0174 Party been in office too long (but use 0430 for candidate been in office too long)
- ** 0197 Other party-characteristic reasons

CANDIDATE ONLY -- EXPERIENCE, ABILITY

- 0201 General reference to him as "a good/bad man or a good/bad guy"; R has heard good/bad things about him; qualifications; general ability; reference to his "personality" ("job being done" is in code 0609)
- 0203 Not qualified for the office; the job is too big for him to handle
- 0211 Experienced (NA what kind) (see 0217, 0218, 0220 for specific kinds of experience; if in foreign policy see 1100's)
- 0212 Inexperienced
- 0213 Dependable/Trustworthy/Reliable; a man you can trust with the responsibilities of government ("trust" in the capability sense, rather than the honesty sense)
- 0214 Undependable/Untrustworthy/Unreliable; a man you can't trust with the responsibilities of government
- 0215 A military man; a good military/war record
- 0216 Not a military man; bad military/war record; no military/war record (but see 0719)
- 0217 His record in public service; how well he's performed in previous offices; voting record in Congress
- 0218 Has government experience/political experience/seniority/incumbency (also see code 0722)
- 0219 Lacks government experience/political experience
- 0220 A statesman; has experience in foreign affairs
- 0221 Not a statesman; lacks experience in foreign affairs

- 0222 "He has done a good job so far"; he has brought us through hard times"; has gotten things done has some good ideas; trying to do right things
- 0223 Hasn't done anything; hasn't produced any results (general); has not been able to get programs off the ground
- 0224 Has fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises
- 0225 Has not fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises
- ** 0297 Other candidate experience/ability reasons

CANDIDATE ONLY -- CANDIDATE LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

- 0301 Dignified/has dignity
- 0302 Undignified/lacks dignity
- 0303 Strong/decisive/self-confident/aggressive; will end all this indecision
- 0304 Weak/indecisive/lacks self-confidence/vacillating; "waffles"; "wishy-washy"
- 0305 Inspiring; a man you can follow; "a leader"; charisma
- 0306 Uninspiring; not a man you can follow; not a leader; lacks charisma
- 0335 Makes people feel good about America/being Americans; is patriotic/loves the country
- 0307 People have confidence in him
- 0308 People don't have confidence in him
- 0309 Good at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups
- 0310 Bad at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups (if communicate in general, see 0441, 0442)
- 0311 Knows how to handle people (at personal level)
- 0312 Doesn't know how to handle people (at personal level)
- 0313 A politician/political person; (too) much in politics; a good politician; part of Washington crowd; politically motivated; just wants to be re-elected
- 0314 Not a politician; not in politics; above politics; a bad politician
- 0315 Independent; no one runs him; his own boss
- 0316 Not independent; run by others; not his own man/boss
- 0317 Humble; knows his limitations; doesn't pretend to know all the answers

- 0318 Not humble enough; too cocky/self-confident
- 0319 (Too) Careful/Cautious/Good judgment
- 0320 (Too) Impulsive/Careless/Bad/Poor judgment
- 0334 Poor at explaining himself/his positions; doesn't answer questions clearly; speaks off the top of his head/doesn't stop to think before he speaks
- 0321 Helps people in the district on a personal level; has helped R personally with a problem (specific mention); tries to do things for the people
- 0322 Doesn't help people in the district on a personal level; was not helpful to R with a personal problem (specific mention)
- 0323 Represents (well) the views of the district; close to people in the district; comes home regularly to chat and mix with people
- 0324 Does not represent (well) the views of the district; not close to the people in the district; doesn't interact enough with the people
- 0325 Keeps people well informed about governmental matters; communicates with constituents; any mention of R receiving newsletters or communications from him/her; explains matters well so people can understand
- 0326 Does not inform people enough about governmental matters; does not send enough newsletters or communications; doesn't explain matters well
- 0327 Listens to the people/solicits public opinion; any mention of polls or questionnaires; is accessible to constituents (NFS)
- 0328 Doesn't listen to the people/does not solicit public opinion; isn't accessible to constituents (NFS)
- 0329 Has helped local (district) economy; brought money, projects, jobs to district
- 0330 Has not helped local (district) economy; not brought money, projects, jobs to district
- 0331 Candidate helps the district; watches out for the interests of the district or region in general
- 0332 Candidate has not protected/watched out for the interests of the district (specific mentions)
- 0334 Located after 0320
- 0335 Located after 0306
- ** 0397 Other candidate leadership reason

CANDIDATE ONLY -- PERSONAL QUALITIES

- 0401 Honest/Sincere; keeps promises; man of integrity; means what he says; fair; not tricky; open and candid; straightforward; positive Playboy references (1976)
- 0402 Dishonest/Insincere; breaks promises; no integrity; doesn't mean what he says; tricky; not open and candid; not straightforward
- 0403 Man of high principles/ideals; high moral purpose; idealistic (if too idealistic, code 0416)
- 0404 Lacks principles/ideals
- 0405 Racist/Bigoted/Prejudiced
- 0406 Not a racist/bigoted/prejudiced
- 0407 Public servant; man of duty; conscientious; hard-working; would be a full-time President; good attendance record in Congress; dedicated; really interested in serving people
- 0408 Doesn't take public service seriously; lazy; would be a part-time President; poor attendance record in office; not dedicated; not really interested in serving people; "shallow"
- 0409 Doesn't use office for personal benefit; not in office to maximize personal benefit
- 0410 Uses/in office (mostly) for personal benefits (junket trips, big salary, other perks)
- 0411 Patriotic; (88) like Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
- 0412 Unpatriotic; (88) dislike Dukakis' stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
- 0413 Understands the nation's/district's problems; well-informed; studies up on issues
- 0414 Doesn't understand the nation's/district's problems; poorly informed; doesn't study up on issues
- 0415 Realistic
- 0416 Unrealistic; too idealistic; (if "idealistic" in positive sense, code 0403)
- 0417 Uses common sense; makes a lot of sense; pragmatic/practical/down-to-earth
- 0418 Not sensible; impractical
- 0419 (Too) well educated; scholarly
- 0420 Poorly educated; unschooled
- 0421 Intelligent/Smart
- 0422 Unintelligent/Stupid/Dumb

0464 Uninformed; doesn't (seem to) know anything about the issues/what is going on in the country/government (for being "informed", see code 04130)

0423 Religious; "moral" (in religious sense); God-fearing; "too" religious

0424 "Irreligious"; "immoral" (in religious sense); Playboy interview (reflects on Carter--1976)

0425 Self-made; not well off; started out as poor; worked his way up; (started out) unpolished/unrefined/rough

0426 Wealthy; rich; born with silver spoon in mouth; polished/refined/well-mannered; buy way into office; use of own money to finance campaign

0427 Old hat; has run before; a die-hard; "a loser" (in the past)

0428 Someone new; a fresh face

0429 Don't change horses in midstream

0430 Time for a change (see 0174 for party has been in office too long)

0431 Unsafe/Unstable; dictatorial; craves power; ruthless

0432 Safe/Stable

0433 Sense of humor; jokes a lot (too much)

0434 No sense of humor; humorless (too serious)

0435 Kind/Warm/Gentle; caring

0436 Cold/Aloof

0437 Likeable; gets along with people; friendly; outgoing; nice

0438 Not likeable; can't get along with people

0439 Democratic (in non-partisan sense)

0440 Undemocratic (in non-partisan sense)

0441 High-fallutin'/High-brow; talks in circles; can't talk to common man; can't communicate ideas well

0442 Not high-fallutin'/is low-brow; talks straight; can talk to common man; can communicate ideas well

0443 Well-known; "I know him/her"

0444 Unknown; not well known

0445 Reference to his family (not 0457)

0446 Reference to his wife/spouse

0447 Speaking ability

0448 Health

0449 Appearance/Looks/Face/Appearance on TV; his smile

0450 Age (NA how perceived)

0451 (Too) Old

0452 (Too) Young

0453 Mature

0454 Immature

0455 Regional reference; "he's a Southerner"; "he's a Midwesterner"; he comes from the country/a rural area; area reference

0456 Previous occupation

0457 He's a family man

0459 Energetic; too energetic

0460 Not energetic

0461 Gender, e.g., "She's a woman"

0462 Racial/Ethnic attribute; "He is a black man"

0463 Sexual orientation mentioned; "She is a lesbian"

0464 Located after 0422

* 0465 Taking undeserved credit; taking credit for actions ,events, or policies one is not responsible for; Gore claiming "to have invented the internet"

* 0466 Overcoming adversity in one's personal life; overcoming handicaps, disabilities, disease, alcoholism, or other similar problem.

** 0495 Other negative personal qualities

** 0496 Other positive personal qualities

** 0497 Other candidate personal qualities

0498 References to Playboy interview--NA direction or neutral; "it's OK," "that is what the Bible says" (not 0401)--1976

CANDIDATE ONLY--PARTY CONNECTIONS

0500 A Democrat; good Democrat; typical Democrat

0501 A Republican; good Republican; typical Republican

In codes 0500 and 0501 it may be necessary to flip answers. If the R will not vote for a Democrat because they say they are a Republican voter, then the response should be coded as Democrat. The R is Republican and will not vote for the candidate because he is a Democrat.

0502 Controlled by party regulars/bosses/machine

0503 Not controlled by party regulars/bosses

0504 Reference to men around him/staff/followers

0505 Reference to his speeches (exc. 0447), campaign tactics; mud-slinging; (88) dislike Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue; all campaign mentions

0506 Can win; best choice for party victory

0507 Cannot win; not good choice for party victory

0508 Reference to linkage with other party figures (he's close to the Kennedy's; he was close to Eisenhower; etc.)

0509 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic policies (unspecified)

0510 Would change/get rid of " "

0511 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic domestic policies (unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)

0512 Would change/get rid of " " "

0513 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic foreign policies (unspecified, not codeable in 1100's)

0514 Would change/get rid of " " "

0515 Would continue/keep/follow Republican policies (unspecified)

0516 Would change/get rid of " "

0517 Would continue/keep/follow Republican domestic policies (unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)

0518 Would change/get rid of " " "

0519 Would continue/keep/follow Republican foreign policies (unspecified, not codeable in 1100's)

0520 Would change/get rid of " " "

0531 More liberal than most Democrats; a Northern Democrat

0532 More conservative " " ; a Southern Democrat

0533 More liberal than most Republicans; an Eastern Republican

0534 More conservative " " ; a Midwestern/Western/Southern Republican

0535 Will bring in/listen to the (party) liberals

0536 Will bring in/listen to the (party) conservatives

0541 Reference to the Eagleton affair--1972; reference to physical or mental health of vice-presidential incumbent/candidate; emotional stability/state of V-P incumbent/candidate

0542 Reference to vice-presidential incumbent/candidate, running mate

- 0543 Mondale's selection of a woman for vice-president (1984);
reference to age/gender/race/ethnicity of V-P incumbent/
candidate
- 0544 Mention of issues that V-P incumbent/candidate is identified
with or has taken a leading role in promoting: 1992--Gore's
position on environment
- 0551 References to link with "Watergate"--positive reference to
Watergate
- 0552 Not associated with "Watergate"--negative reference to

Watergate; making too much out of Watergate
- 0553 Ford's pardon of Nixon--NA direction or against pardon
- 0554 " " " --pro; brave/right thing to do
- 0555 Positive references about independent candidacy; maybe the
country needs a third party; third parties should have more
recognition; the two party system needs buckling
- 0556 Negative references/liabilities related to independent
candidacy; "he's an independent" (NFS); "we don't need a
third party"; "he lacks backing from a party"
- ** 0597 Other candidate party connection reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT

- 0601 Good/Efficient/Businesslike administration; balanced budget;
lower/wouldn't increase national debt; cautious spending
- 0602 Bad/Inefficient/Unbusinesslike administration; wasteful;
"bureaucratic"; deficit budget; higher/increased national
debt; overspend
- 0603 Honest government; not corrupt; no "mess in Washington"
- 0604 Dishonest/Corrupt government; "mess in Washington";
immorality in government; reference to Hayes, Mills, Lance
- 0605 (Would) Spend less (than other side); (would) spend too
little
- 0606 (Would) Spend more (than other side); (would) spend too much
- 0607 Has brought/will bring about bureaucratic reform
- 0608 Has not brought/will not bring about bureaucratic reform
- 0609 General assessment of job he/they would do/are doing; is
good/bad President; are providing good/bad administration
- 0622 Doesn't work (hard) at job; not involved (enough) in the
work of his office/delegates too much authority to others;
has chosen poor/incompetent aides; his aides have not
performed well

- 0610 Reference to management/performance in Congress/Supreme Court/other government agency; made poor appointments
- 0611 He has/has not worked well with (Democratic) Congress; would/could have done better with (Republican) Congress; he kept/would keep Congress in check
- 0612 He will work well/better with (Democratic) Congress
- 0613 Gets more done/accomplishes as much/more productive
- 0614 Gets less done/doesn't accomplish as much/less productive
- 0625 Mostly approve of/happy with job done so far, but doesn't approve of everything that has been done
- 0615 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the complexity/magnitude of the job (e.g., President): tough job
- 0616 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the difficult situation ("a mess") inherited by the incumbent
- 0623 Doing the best he can (under the circumstances); doing as good a job as anyone else could do; everyone makes some mistakes
- 0617 Will face (difficult) issues; faces problems directly; faces up to political reality
- 0618 Will not face (difficult) issues; will not face problems directly; ignores political reality
- 0619 Supports the president/works well with the president/would work well with the president
- 0620 Does not support the president/does not (would not) work well with the president
- 0621 Response to/handling of domestic crisis or natural disaster--riot, hurricane, tornado, earthquake, flood, etc.
- 0622 Located after 0609
- 0623 Located after 0616
- 0625 Located after 0614
- 0626 Favors term limits
- 0627 The economy is bad, but that is not (necessarily) his fault
- ** 0697 Other government management reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--MISCELLANEOUS

- 0701 Just like him/them (NA why); like everything about him/them;
"I was hoping he would win the (nomination/primaries)"
- 0702 Just dislike/Don't like him/them (NA why); don't like
anything about him/them
- 0732 Used to like him but don't now; have lost respect for him
- 0703 Will save America; America needs him/them
- 0704 Will ruin America; last thing America needs
- 0705 Will unite Americans/bring people together
- 0706 Will divide Americans/drive people apart
- 0707 Speaks of party/candidate as good protector(s); will know
what to do; more intelligent
- 0708 Speaks of party/candidate as bad protector(s); won't know
what to do
- 0709 Good for country (unspecified); trying to do good job;
trying; not just out for self/own best interest; has/have
country's interest at heart
- 0710 Bad for country (unspecified); don't have country's
interests at heart; only looking out for their own interests
- 0711 Lesser of two evils
- 0718 Treatment of Jesse Jackson; didn't offer him the vice-presidential
nomination; didn't use him (effectively) to get out the Black vote;
weren't courteous/respectful toward him; didn't keep promises made
to him
- 0719 Sexual scandals; reference to Chappaquiddic; Kennedy's personal
problems; damaging incidents in personal life--sexual escapades
- 0720 Reference to Watergate affair (exc. 0551-0554)
- 0721 The way the incumbent came to office; the people should
select President
- 0722 The incumbent should have a chance (on his own)/another
chance/second chance
- 0723 (I believe in/Necessary for) a two-party system; choice
between candidates; opposition; balances power of other party
- 0724 Vote for the man rather than party; look for more qualified
man; don't pay attention to parties
- 0725 The opponent who the candidate ran against; the candidate
was the better of the two in general; the candidate ran
against someone I really dislike
- 0726 Splits votes; will elect wrong candidate; "spoiler"

- 0727 Expression of sympathy/admiration for the candidate's underdog position; trying hard against terrible odds; courageous uphill battle; "I like underdogs"; "they are bucking the guy" (keeping him off ballot, not taking him seriously, not giving him enough publicity)
- 0728 Negative comments about the candidate's switching parties, being a turncoat, disloyal to his original party
- 0729 Party selection of a woman for vice-president
- 0730 Mention of debates; candidate's performance in the debates
- 0731 Position (vote) on increasing congressional salary; position (vote) on accepting honoraria/outside pay/royalties while in office
- 0732 Located after 0702
- * 0734 Non-sexual scandals; Whitewater; Travel Office firings; FBI file controversy; (Whitewatergate, Travelgate, FBI gate); Bush Jr's drug and alcohol use. All sexual scandals should be coded in 0719 (incl. Paula Jones, "womanizing," "can't keep pants on," etc.)
- * 0735 Campaign finance scandals; Gore at the Buddhist temple; Gore soliciting funds from his office
- 0796 References to unfair/undeserved/excessive criticism by media or public
- ** 0797 Other miscellaneous reasons: Other miscellaneous reasons relating to image and candidate/party effect on nation

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY/PHILOSOPHY

- 0801 General assessment of ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
- 0802 Different from other party/candidate
- 0803 Same as other party/candidate; not different enough
- 0804 (Too) negative; always tearing down other side; no solutions of his/their own
- 0805 For government activity; believe government should take care of things; for big government; supports social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)
- 0806 Against government activity; believe government involved in too many things; favors reduction in social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)
- 0807 Humanistic; favor human beings over property rights
- 0808 Not humanistic; favor property rights over human beings
- 0809 Favor social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
- 0810 Against social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions

0811 Socialistic

0812 Anti-socialistic

0813 Communistic/soft/hard-liner on Communism/apologist for Communists/dupe

0814 (Too) anti-communistic/hard-liner on Communism

0815 (Too) liberal (except 0531 or 0533)

0816 (Too) conservative (except 0532 or 0534)

0817 Moderate/middle of the road/for slow change; not an extremist/fanatic

0818 Extremist/fanatic/too far out; not too moderate/not a fence-sitter

0819 Pro-Far Right/Birchers/reactionaries; encouraging fascist/police state

0820 Anti-Far Right/ " " ; discouraging "

0821 Pro-Far Left/radicals/Yippies/SDS; encouraging anarchy/guerilla state

0822 Anti-Far Left/ " " " ; discouraging "

0823 Pro-Extremists (NA direction)/nuts/bomb-throwers

0824 Anti-Extremists " " "

0827 Pro-States'/local/community rights; better local government

0828 Anti- " " " " ; worse/weaker local government

0829 For equality; believe everyone should have things equally/be treated equally

0830 Anti-equality; believe some people should have more than others/people should not be treated equally

0831 Generous, compassionate, believe in helping others

0832 Selfish, only help themselves

0833 Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo; more open to new ideas/ways of doing things; flexible, innovative

0834 Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo; resist new ways of doing things; rigid

0835 Has a well-defined set of beliefs/definite philosophy; does not compromise on principles; has (clear) understanding of goals they stand for

0836 Has poorly defined set of beliefs; lacks a definite philosophy; compromise on principles; has no (clear) understanding of goals they stand for

- 0837 Favor work ethic; believes in self-reliance/in people working hard to get ahead
- 0838 Doesn't favor work ethic; believes in people being handed things/in government handouts (if specific policy mentioned, code in 0900's)
- 0841 Keep track of/control over administration heads, cabinet members, etc.; follow through on policies; determine if programs are working
- 0842 Don't (as in 0841)
- 0843 Conditional evaluation: R suggests candidate/party cannot solve problems because not under his/their control (no negative connotations); will he/they be able to do what they say (determining factor outside his/their control); "I like what he says but wonder if he can do it" (if clearly negative, code in 0122 or 0402)
- 0845 Will involve/wants to involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making
- 0846 Will not involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making
- 0847 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--pro
- 0848 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--anti
- 0849 Stand/views on religion (church/state relationship NA)
- ** 0897 Other Government Activity/Philosophy reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES

- 0900 General assessment of domestic ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
- 0901 General assessment of economic policy (unspecified)
- 0902 Government economic controls--NA direction
- 0903 " " " --Pro; we need planned economy; control of private enterprise
- 0904 " " " --Anti; we have too much interference in private enterprise
- 0905 Welfare/Poverty problems--NA direction; give-away
- 0906 " " " --Pro government aid/activity; pro give-aways
- 0907 " " " --Anti government aid/activity; anti give-aways; pro self-help

0908 Social Security/Pensions--NA direction

0909 " " " --Pro expansion in coverage and/or
increase in benefits

0910 " " " --Anti expansion in coverage and/or
increase in benefits; favoring contraction
and/or decrease

0911 Unemployment compensation--NA direction

0912 " " " --Pro expansion in coverage and/or
increase in benefits

0913 " " " --Anti expansion in coverage and/or
increase in benefits; favoring
contraction and/or decrease

0914 Aid to education--NA direction

0915 " " " --Pro

0916 " " " --Anti

0917 Aid to parochial schools--NA direction

0918 " " " --Pro

0919 " " " --Anti

1047 Establish/enforce standards for schools (test teachers,
require minimum curricula, regulate class size, etc) -- NA
direction

1048 " " " -- Pro

1049 " " " --Anti

0920 Housing--NA direction

0921 " " --Pro more public housing

0922 " " --Anti more public housing

* 0923 Aid/Programs for older people/the aged, Medicare, Medicaid,
prescription drug plan, direction -- NA

* 0924 " " " -- Pro

* 0925 " " " -- Anti

1059 School vouchers--NA direction

1060 " " " --Pro

1061 " " " --Anti

0926 Monetary policy--NA direction

0927 " " " --Pro loose(r) money; more availability of
loans for housing, cars, etc.; lower
interest rates

0928 " " " --Anti loose(r) money; for tighter money;
less availability of loans; higher interest rates

1046 Solvency/stability/regulation/control of the nation's
FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. [1990] Involvement in the Savings
and Loan scandals

0929 Tax policy--NA direction

0930 " " --Pro lower taxes

0931 " " --Anti lower taxes; for higher taxes

0932 " " --Pro reform/fairer system/end of loopholes/
write-offs/dodges

0933 " " --Anti reform/fairer system/end of loopholes/
write-offs/dodges

0942 [1990] Candidate voted for the budget agreement which
resulted in increased taxes/fees

0934 "The Times"/General conditions/Prosperity/The Economy
--better under him/them

0935 " " --worse under him/them

0936 Inflation/Cost of living--lower/better under him/them

0937 " " " --higher/worse under him/them

0938 Wages/Salaries/Income/Employment--higher/better under him/
them

0939 " " " " --lower/worse under him/them

0940 Prices for producers--higher/better under him/them

0941 " " --lower/worse (if farm, see 0943-0945)

0942 Located after 0933

0943 Programs to help farmers -- NA direction

0944 " " " --Pro (greater) help/fairer
system, reform in system; higher
price supports

0945 " " " --Anti (greater) help/fairer
system, reform in system; higher price supports

0946 Civil rights/Racial justice/Integration/Desegregation/Voting
Rights -- NA direction

0947 " " -- Pro

0948 " " -- Anti

1043 Affirmative Action programs -- NA direction

1044 " " " -- Pro; favors quotas based on
race or gender

1045 " " " -- Anti; opposes quotas based on
race or gender

0949 Civil liberties/Freedom of expression/First amendment/
Privacy -- NA direction

0950 " -- Pro; against snooping; political trials, etc;
(88) like Dukakis' stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue

0951 " -- Anti; for snooping; political trials;
McCarthyite; (88) dislike Republican party stand on Pledge
of Allegiance issue

0952 General assessment of Labor policy (unspecified)

0953 Right to work laws--NA direction

0954 " " " --Pro (i.e., opposes unions [anti-labor,
code 1208])

0955 " " " --Anti (i.e., supports unions [pro-labor,
code 1207])

0956 Strikes--NA direction

0957 " --will have fewer/will handle better

0958 " --will have more/will handle worse

0959 Public power/Utilities/TVA/Atomic reactors/Nuclear power
plants/Etc. -- NA direction

0960 " " " -- Pro

0961 " " " -- Anti

0962 Ecology/Environment; Air and Water Pollution--NA direction

0963 Will crack down on polluters, will be activist; will protect
the environment

0964 Won't crack down on polluters, doesn't care; in league with
polluters; not willing to protect the environment

0965 Veterans' Benefits--NA direction

0966 " " --Pro expansion of coverage and/or
increase in benefits

0967 " " --Anti expansion of coverage and/or
increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

0968 Law and order--NA direction

0969 " " --soft line--unspecified

0970 " " " " --blacks

0971 " " " " --campus demonstrators

0972 " " " " --criminals/organized crime/
hoodlums/street crime

0973 " " " " --anti power of police; court
interference

1041 " " " " --opposes death penalty

0974 " " --hard line--unspecified

0975 " " " " --blacks

0976 " " " " --campus demonstrators

0977 " " " " --criminals/organized crime/
hoodlums/street crime

0978 " " " " --pro power of police; reduced
court interference

1042 " " " " --favors death penalty

0979 Public morality--NA direction

0980 " " --Strict/older/traditionalistic outlook;
improve/renew morality of country; pro-family;
defends family values

0981 " " --Permissive/newer/modernistic outlook; not
(strongly enough) pro-family; doesn't defend

(strongly enough) family values

0982 Drugs--NA direction

0983 " --Pro legalization/decriminalization; soft-liner; (88)
doesn't support (strongly enough) the war on drugs; not
willing to do more to combat drug use/pushers;
involvement with Noreiga

0984 " --Anti legalization/decriminalization; hard-liner; (88)
supports the war on drugs; willing to do more to
combat drug use/pushers

0985 Abortion and birth control--NA direction

0986 " " " --Pro reform/legalization;
new outlook

0987 " " " --Anti reform/legalization;
traditional outlook

0988 Gun control--NA direction

0989 " " --Pro; controls

0990 " " --Anti; "everyone has the right to own a gun"

0991 Busing--NA direction

0992 " --Pro; against neighborhood school

0993 " --Anti; for neighborhood school

0994 Urban problem/Cities--NA direction

0995 " " " --Pro government aid/activity

0996 " " " --Anti government aid/activity

** 0997 Other domestic policy reasons

1001 National Health Insurance--NA direction

1002 " " " --Pro

1031 Help to/improvement in a specific industry or occupation--NA
direction

1032 " " " " " -- Pro help/
improvement

1033 " " " " " -- Anti help/
improvement

(NOTE: Tobacco industry/smoking has been coded under 1031-1033)

1035 Polarization of classes/increasing gap between rich and
poor--NA direction

1036 " " " " " --will stop trend/handle better

1037 " " " " " --will accelerate trend/handle worse

1038 Day care--NA direction

1039 " " --favors/will expand or extend day care programs

1040 " " --opposes/will not expand or extend (will cut or
eliminate) day care programs

1041 Located after 0973

1042 Located after 0978

1043 Located after 0948

1044 Located after 0948

1045 Located after 0948

1046 Located after 0928

1047 Located after 0919

1048 Located after 0919

1049 Located after 0919

1050 Local/state issue mentioned--NA direction

1051 " " " --pro

1052 " " " --anti

1053 How candidate feels about/votes on Clinton
impeachment--NA direction

1054 " " " --pro

1055 " " " --anti

* 1056 Financing of elections; campaign finance reform-NA dir

* 1057 " " " " -Pro

* 1058 " " " " -Anti

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES

- 1101 General assessment of foreign ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
- 1102 Foreign policies more clear-cut/decisive; less bungling
- 1103 Foreign policies less clear-cut/decisive; more bungling
- 1104 Internationalist/Interested in other countries' problems/Interested in world role/Pro-UN and allies; Meddling in other people's problems
- 1105 Isolationist/America First/Fortress America/Would meddle less in other people's problems
- 1184 Military/Defense position/spending--NA direction or neutral (not 1106, 1107)
- 1106 Strong military position/Preparedness/Weapons systems/
Pentagon spending/Overkill; SDI ("Star Wars")
- 1107 Weak military position/Pentagon spending cutbacks/No overkill/Reduce armed forces; SDI ("Star Wars")
- 1108 Cold-war oriented; opposed detente; international Communist-fighter
- 1109 Against cold war/Wants thaw/Detente/Understanding with international communists (if NA whether international, code in 0813-0814)
- 1110 Military aid to allies--NA direction
- 1111 " " " --Pro
- 1112 " " " --Anti
- 1113 Economic aid/Foreign aid/AID/Non-military aid--NA dir.
- 1114 " " " " " " " --Pro
- 1115 " " " " " " " --Anti
- 1116 Located after 1163
- 1117 " " "
- 1118 Mideast--NA direction; any references to oil embargo; boycott of companies dealing with Israel
- 1119 " --handle better/more experience; positive comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty
- 1120 " --handle worse/less experience; negative comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty; [1992] Iraqgate
- 1121 " --Pro-Israel/anti-Arabs
- 1122 " --Anti-Israel/pro-Arabs; wishy-washy on Israel

1123 Red China--NA direction

1124 " " --handle better/more experience/doing well, better

1125 " " --handle worse/less experience/doing poorly

1126 " " --pro understanding/thaw/detente/new
relationships/recognition/admission to UN

1127 " " --anti understanding/thaw/detente/new
relationships/recognition/admission to UN;
defender of Formosa/Chaing/Nationalists

1128 Russia--NA direction

1129 " --handle better/more experience

1130 " --handle worse/less experience

1131 " --pro understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of
relations; SALT II

1132 " --anti understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of
relations; SALT II

1133 Eastern Europe--NA direction

1134 " " --handle better/more experience

1135 " " --handle worse/less experience

1136 " " --pro defense of Iron-Curtain countries

1137 " " --anti " " "

1138 Latin America--NA direction

1139 " " --handle better/more experience

1140 " " --handle worse/less experience

1141 " " --pro-third world posture; reach
understanding with Castro/Chile/
neutrals; anti-colonialism/European
powers; against Contra aid/pro-
Sandinista

1142 " " --anti-third world posture; hard
anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy;
pro-colonialism/European powers; pro Contra
aid/anti-Sandinista

1198 (Involvement in) Diversion of money to the Contras (in
violation of the law)

1143 Africa--NA direction

1144 " --handle better/more experience

1145 " --handle worse/less experience

1146 " --pro-third world posture; reach understanding
with leftists/neutrals; anti-colonialism/European powers

1147 " --anti-third world posture; hard
anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy;
pro-colonialism/European powers

1148 Asia/India--NA direction

1149 " " --handle better/more experience

1150 " " --handle worse/less experience

1151 " " --pro India/Bangladesh

1152 " " --pro Pakistan

1153 Located after 1163

1154 " " "

1155 " " "

1156 " " "

1157 Vietnam/Indochina/Southeast Asia--NA direction

1158 " " " " --better chance for peace

1159 " " " " --poorer chance for peace; failed
to end war

1160 " " " " --pro military victory/
preservation of Saigon regime

1161 " " " " --anti military victory/
willing to sacrifice Thieu/Ky;
favoring withdrawal

1163 " " --will bring policy change
(unspec.)

1116 Trouble spots (not specifically coded)--would handle better
(Panama, Afghanistan,
Persian Gulf, Iraq)

1117 " " " " " --would handle worse
(Panama, Afghanistan,
Persian Gulf, Iraq)

1162 (88) The invasion of Grenada

1300 (91) The Persian Gulf war/ Desert Storm

* 1301 (99) War in the Balkans;US/NATO led air war against Serbia

1153 Would raise American prestige

1154 Would lower American prestige; not maintain American prestige

1155 Would have better chance for peace (unspecified); not get us into
trouble abroad

1156 Would have poorer chance for peace (unspecified); get us into
war/trouble abroad

1164 Tariffs--NA direction

1165 " --Pro free trade/reduce tariffs; would not
protect US labor from foreign competition

1166 " --Anti free trade; for high tariffs; would
protect US labor from foreign competition

1196 Foreign trade/balance of payments deficit--any mention

1167 Trade with communists--NA direction

1168 " " --Pro

1169 " " --Anti

1170 Draft--NA direction

1171 " --Pro volunteer army/abolition of peacetime draft

1172 " --Anti volunteer army; for peacetime draft

1173 " --Pro amnesty/pardon

1174 " --Anti amnesty/draft dodgers/pardon

1178 Amnesty--NA direction

1175 POW-MIA--Will get prisoners back, will not abandon them

1176 POW-MIA--Will not get prisoners back, will abandon them

1177 POW-MIA--NA direction

1178 Located after 1174

1179 Did a good job of getting the boys/country out of
Vietnam war; got us out of Vietnam

1180 Should have won Vietnam war; gave too much away and then
pulled out

1181 Secrecy/deception in U.S. foreign policy; shuttle
diplomacy; Kissinger's foreign policy (1976) --NA direction

1182 " " " " --Pro

1183 " " " " --Anti

1184 Located after 1105

1185 Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction
or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Pro

1186 Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction
or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Anti

1187 Iranian crisis; American hostages (1980)/Arms sale (1986)
--NA direction

1188 " " " " --has handled well/would
handle better

1189 " " " " --has handled poorly/would
handle worse

1190 Nuclear freeze/Disarmament--NA direction
 1191 " " " --Pro
 1192 " " " --Anti
 1193 Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except
 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya
 1194 " " " -- has handled/would handle
 better; (88) Bombing of
 Libya/handling of Khadafy
 1195 " " " -- has handled/would handle
 worse; (88) Bombing of
 Libya/handling of Khadafy
 * 1302 Security at the Dept of Energy; supposed Chinese spying
 for nuclear secrets; treatment of physicist Wen Ho Lee;
 mismanagement by Energy Secretary Bill Richardson-NA dir
 * 1303 " -- has handled well/would handle better
 * 1304 " -- has handled poorly/would handle worse
 1196 Located after 1166
 ** 1197 Other foreign policy reasons
 1198 Located after 1142
 1199 Iran-Contra affair--NFS (NA whether 1187 or 1198)

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GROUP CONNECTIONS

1201 Special interests/Privileged people/Influential--Pro
 1202 " " " " --Anti
 1203 "People like me"--pro, NA whether 1205, 1206
 1204 " " " --anti, " " " "
 1205 Common man/People/Little people/Working people--Pro
 1206 " " " " --Anti
 1207 Labor/Unions/Labor bosses/Racketeers--Pro
 1208 " " " " --Anti
 1209 Big Business/Corporate rich/The rich individuals/People
 with power/Wall Street/Industry/Upper classes--Pro
 1210 (Same as 1209) --Anti
 1211 Small businessman--Pro
 1212 " " --Anti
 1213 White collar workers/Salaried people/Middle class--Pro
 1214 " " " " --Anti
 1215 Farmers/Country people--Pro
 1216 " " --Anti

9999 NA

0000 Inap, no further mentions

EVENTS UNIQUE TO ONE CAMPAIGN

5001 Perot quit race, is quitter--NFS

5002 (Because Perot quit race) he is not trustworthy or
dependable (let down supporters)

5003 (Because Perot quit race) re-entered, he is indecisive,
inconsistent, not stable, other mention of quit and
re-enter--NFS

5004 Not a serious/legitimate candidate

>> MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000

** indicates "other" codes

SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS

- 001. General reference to domestic issues; repairing/
maintaining the nation's infrastructure (roads, bridges, dams, etc)
- 005. POPULATION; any mention of population increase; reference to
over-population/birth control
- 006. DAY CARE; child care
- 010. UNEMPLOYMENT; the number of people with jobs; unemployment
rate/compensation; job retraining
- 013. CREATE JOBS/RECRUIT INDUSTRY in specific area/region/ state
- 020. EDUCATION; financial assistance for schools/colleges/
students; quality of education/the learning
environment/teaching
- 030. AGED/ELDERLY; social security benefits; administration
of social security; medical care for the aged; medicare
benefits; insuring against catastrophic illness;
prescription drug program for elderly
- 035. Social Security won't be around in the future; paying into a system
which won't benefit me/them
- 040. HEALTH PROBLEMS/COST OF MEDICAL CARE; quality of medical care;
medical research/training of doctors and other health personnel;
hospitals; National Health insurance program
- 045. Located after 330
- 046. Located after 383
- 048. Other specific references to health problems; AIDS
- 050. HOUSING; providing housing for the poor/homeless; ability of young
people to afford to buy homes/find homes to buy
- 060. POVERTY; aid to the poor/underprivileged people; help for the
(truly) needy; welfare programs (such as ADC); general reference to
anti-poverty programs; hunger/help for hungry people in the U.S.
- 090. SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS; "welfare"--NFS
- 091. For general or other social welfare programs; "we need to help
people more"
- 092. Against general or other social welfare programs; "too many give
away programs for the people who don't deserve it"; for welfare
reform
- ** 099. Other specific mentions of social welfare problems

AGRICULTURE

- 100. FARM ECONOMICS; payment for crops/price of feed/cost of farming
- 103. SUBSIDIES/crop payments/government aid to farmers
- 120. WORLD FOOD PROBLEMS; food shortages/starvation/famine
(not 406 or 407)

NATURAL RESOURCES

- 150. CONSERVATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES; conservation, ecology; protecting the environment/endangered species
- 151. Controlling/REGULATING GROWTH or land development; banning further growth/development in crowded or ecologically sensitive areas; preserving natural areas
- 153. POLLUTION; clean air/water
- 154. Disposal of RADIOACTIVE/TOXIC waste (dumps, landfills)
- 160. DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES /ENERGY SOURCES; harbors, dams, canals, irrigation, flood control, navigation, reclamation; location, mining, stock-piling of minerals; water power, atomic power; development of alternative sources of energy (includes mentions of solar or nuclear power)
- ** 199. Other specific mentions of agriculture or natural resources problems

LABOR PROBLEMS: UNION-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS

- 200. LABOR/UNION PROBLEMS; union practices; job security provided workers; job safety issues; working conditions
- 220. Anti-union; unions too powerful
- ** 299. Other specific mention of labor or union-management problems

RACIAL PROBLEMS

- 300. CIVIL RIGHTS/RACIAL PROBLEMS; programs to enable Blacks to gain social/economic/educational/political equality; relations between Blacks and whites
- 302. PROTECTION (expansion) OF WHITE MAJORITY; maintenance of segregation; right to choose own neighborhood; right to discriminate in employment
- 304. Discrimination against whites; preferred treatment given to minorities

TECHNOLOGY

- 310. Technology; mentions that are specific to technology; e.g., the Year 2000 computer (Y2K) problem

PUBLIC ORDER PROBLEMS

- 320. NARCOTICS; availability of drugs; extent of drug/alcohol addiction in the U.S.; interdiction of drugs coming to the U.S. from foreign countries; alcohol or drug related crime; drug laws
- 330. WOMEN'S RIGHTS; references to women's issues; economic equality for women; ERA
- 045. PRO-ABORTION; pro-choice; the right of a woman to control her body
- 340. CRIME/VIOLENCE; too much crime; streets aren't safe; mugging, murder, shoplifting; drug related crime
- 360. LAW AND ORDER; respect for the law/police; support for the police; death penalty; tougher sentences for criminals; need for more prisons
- 361. Legal reform--general (for mentions regarding specific issues, see specific issue); see also Adhering to the Constitution (code 850)
- * 363. Police brutality; police not doing their job properly
- 367. Against unregistered ownership of guns; legislative control of guns; "CONTROL OF GUNS"-NFS
- 368. For gun ownership; right to have guns; against gun control
- 370. EXTREMIST GROUPS/TERRORISTS; terrorist bombings/hostage-taking; political subversives; revolutionary ideas/approaches
- 375. Euthenasia; right to die
- 380. General mention of MORAL/RELIGIOUS DECAY (of nation); sex, bad language, adult themes on TV
- 381. Family problems--divorce; proper treatment of children; decay of family (except 006); child/elder abuse (incl. sexual); family values
- 046. ANTI-ABORTION; pro-life; "abortion"--NFS
- 383. Problems of/with YOUNG PEOPLE; drug/alcohol abuse among young people; sexual attitudes; lack of values/ discipline; mixed-up thinking; lack of goals/ambition/sense of responsibility
- 384. Religion (too) mixed up in politics; prayer in school
- 385. HOMOSEXUALITY; protecting civil rights of gays and lesbians; accepting the lifestyle of homosexuals; granting homosexual couples the same rights and benefits as heterosexual couples
- ** 399. Other specific mention of racial or public order problems; other mention of domestic issues

ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS PROBLEMS

If R mentions both "inflation" (400) and rise in prices of specific items (407-409), code "Inflation" (400). (See also 496.)

- 400. INFLATION; rate of inflation; level of prices; cost of living
- 401. WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS/GUIDELINES; freezing prices; control of business profits

403. High price of food, all mentions (exc. 100)
404. High price of other specific items and services
405. MINIMUM WAGE, any mention; any mention of wage levels
407. Food shortages; economic aspects of food shortages, e.g., price of sugar (other references, code 120)
408. Fuel shortages; "energy crisis"; oil companies making excessive profits; depressed condition of the oil industry
410. RECESSION, DEPRESSION; prosperity of the nation; economic growth; GNP
411. MONETARY RESTRAINTS/CONTROLS; level of interest rates; availability of money/the money supply
413. Future vision of what America will be like; a need to look to the future
415. Against (increased) government spending; balancing of the (national) budget; against government stimulation of the economy; the size of the budget deficit
416. TAXES; general reference to tax structure; tax surcharge (NA R's direction); tax reform; other specific tax reference
417. For tax cuts; against tax surcharge; for tax reform
418. Against tax cuts; for tax surcharge; against tax reform
424. PRODUCTIVITY of American industry; "giving a day's work for a day's pay"; revitalizing American industry
425. STOCK MARKET/GOLD PRICES; all references to gold prices, stock brokers, stock fluctuations, etc.
427. VALUE OF THE DOLLAR; strength/weakness of the dollar against other currencies
433. Large businesses taking over small businesses
440. Class oriented economic concerns--middle class, working class (pro); MIDDLE CLASS GETTING SQUEEZED
441. Class oriented economic concerns--big business, monied interests (anti) too powerful
442. Concern for inequitable distribution of wealth; gap between the rich and the poor; concentration of wealth in the hands of a few
451. For the regulation of interstate commerce, transportation, air travel, railways, government auto safety regulations; in favor of increased government regulation of business; mention of problems caused by deregulation
452. Against (increased) regulation of interstate commerce, transportation; AIR TRAVEL, RAILWAYS, etc.
453. Solvency/stability/regulation/control of the nation's FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. [1990] Savings and Loan scandal

- 460. IMMIGRATION POLICY; establishing limits on how many people from any one nation can enter the U.S.; prohibiting specified types of persons from entering the U.S. (All mentions of Elian Gonzales or "the Cuban child" go here. If power of Federal government mentioned, see codes 801 and 887.)
- 463. Problems relating to the influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians, Mexicans, etc.)
- 491. Economics--general; "Economics"--NFS
- 492. International economics--general; economic problems in specific countries or regions
- 493. U.S. foreign trade, balance of payments position; foreign oil dependency
- 494. Control of FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN U.S.; mention of foreigners buying U.S. assets (businesses, real estate, stocks, etc)
- 495. PROTECTION OF U.S. INDUSTRIES; imposition of tariffs/reciprocal restrictions on foreign imports; limitation of foreign imports; mention of problems in specific industries competin with foreign manufacturers
- 496. The economy--not further specified (code specific mention if R clarifies by saying "inflation", etc.; also see 400)
- 497. International competitiveness; outsourcing; loss of jobs to foreign competition; moving jobs abroad; modernizing plants/equipment/management techniques to meet foreign competition; matching the quality of foreign goods
- 498. Mention of "twin problems" of a large national debt/ budget deficit and unfavorable balance of trade/import-export ratio
- ** 499. Other specific mention economic or business problems

FOREIGN AFFAIRS

- 500. FOREIGN RELATIONS/FOREIGN AFFAIRS; foreign policy/relations, prestige abroad
- 504. Relations with the Third World (no specific country or region mentioned)
- 505. Relations with WESTERN EUROPE; Great Britain, France, Germany; our allies
- 510. VIETNAM; general reference to "the war," Indochina, Cambodia; aid
- 514. Latin America, South America--any references; reference to war/situation in Nicaragua; U.S. support of the Contras
- 515. Iran; mention of American hostages in Teheran; arms deal
- 516. African countries; developing areas in Africa (not 518) --any mention; U.S. response to apartheid in South Africa
- 519. Other specific countries/areas/trouble spots (exc. 520's, 530's)

- 524. MIDDLE EAST-- support or aid to Israel/Arab states; Arab/Israeli conflict; Iran-Iraq war; hostages in Lebanon/Middle East. [1990] Iraqi aggression in the Persian Gulf ; Saddam Hussein
- 530. RUSSIA/Eastern Europe; relations with Russia/the Communist bloc; detente/trade/negotiations with Russia-- NA whether 531 or 532
- 531. For PEACEFUL RELATIONS with Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe; for increased TRADE with Russia; talking/resuming negotiations with Russia on arms control/reduction (reaching/concluding a treaty is 711)
- 532. Against policy of Detente with Russia; COLD WAR; threat of external Communism; need to oppose/be wary of Russia
- 533. Prevention of Russian (Communist) expansion; mention of Soviet invasion and occupation of Afghanistan-- any reference; references to Soviet activity in Central America/Nicaragua)
- * 535. US/NATO involvement in the Balkans; US/NATO led air war to contain Serbia
- 539. Other specific references to Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe, etc. (including changing site/boycotting 1980 Moscow Olympics); threat of/preventing war with Russia (exc. 714)
- 540. FIRMNESS IN FOREIGN POLICY; maintenance of position of MILITARY/DIPLOMATIC STRENGTH (not 710-712)
- 550. U.S. FOREIGN (MILITARY) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENT, extent of U.S. Foreign involvement; military assistance/aid (exc. 524)
- 560. U.S. FOREIGN (ECONOMIC) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENTS; extent of U.S.(foreign) economic aid; "foreign aid"
- 570. Prevention of war; ESTABLISHMENT OF PEACE; any reference
- 585. Obligation to TAKE CARE OF PROBLEMS AT HOME before helping foreign countries
- ** 599. Other specific mention of foreign affairs problems

NATIONAL DEFENSE

- 700. NATIONAL DEFENSE; defense budget; level of spending on defense
- 710. DISARMAMENT; general reference to ENDING OF THE ARMS RACE; nuclear proliferation; test ban treaty (not 540); SALT; INF treaty
- 711. For DISARMAMENT; for extension of test ban treaty; support toward ending of arms race; against (additional) expenditures on military/arms development; SALT; SDI ("Star Wars"); INF treaty
- 712. Against (increased) policy of DISARMAMENT; against test ban treaty; for additional WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT; missile program; scientific/technological development in weapons/strategy; atomic bomb testing; increased DEFENSE BUDGET, increased arms expenditure (not 540); SALT; increased pay for military personnel; SDI ("Star Wars"); INF treaty

- 713. General or specific references to functioning and performance of defense; waste, inefficiency (not codable in 710-712)
- 714. Nuclear war; the threat of nuclear war; nuclear proliferation
- * 715. Security of nuclear secrets; Dept of Energy/Los Alamos nuclear security; Wen Ho Lee or Energy Secretary Richard compromising security; supposed Chinese spying
- 740. The space program; space race (not 711,712)
- 750. MORALE OF NATION; Patriotism; National spirit; national unity; greed, selfishness of people
- 760. BENEFITS FOR VETERANS; general reference
- 765. Allowing/accepting GAYS IN THE MILITARY
- ** 799. Other specific mention of national defense problems

ISSUES RELATING TO THE FUNCTIONING OF GOVERNMENT

- 800. POWER OF THE (FEDERAL) GOVERNMENT; power of/control exercised by the federal government
- 810. (LACK OF) HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT; (LACK OF) ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT--general reference (exc. 811)
- 811. LACK OF PERSONAL ETHICS/morality of persons related to or part of government
- 812. The President lied/didn't tell the truth; covered up personal involvement with a young woman (also see more general code 874)
- 813. The President has low morals; had affair with a young woman (also see more general code 874)
- 820. CAMPAIGN DONATIONS/PUBLIC FINANCING OF ELECTIONS; any mentions; campaign finance reform
- 830. CONFIDENCE/TRUST in political leaders/system; wisdom, ability, responsiveness of political leaders; quality of leadership provided by political leaders
- 833. QUALITY/EFFICIENCY of public employees, diplomats, civil service; SIZE OF THE GOVERNMENT BUREAUCRACY; COST OF GOVERNMENT
- 836. COMPENSATION; all references to the compensation of government employees, officials, congressmen, judges, local politicians/bureaucrats
- 837. Waste in government spending; keeping tabs on where money goes
- 838. Government BUDGET PRIORITIES are wrong; Congress/President is spending money in the wrong areas/not spending money on the right things

- 840. SIZE OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT; the (large) size of government/civil service/bureaucracy; the number of government departments/employees/programs
- 850. Adhering to the Consitution (see also legal reform, code 361)
- 853. POWER OF CONGRESS--general reference
- 856. POWER OF THE SUPREME COURT, all other references to the Supreme Court except 857, 858
- 859. Other specific references to the (federal) balance of power; legislative gridlock in Washington
- 862. FAIR ELECTION PROCEDURES; prevention of vote manipulation; curbing of political "bosses", smear campaigns
- 869. Other specific references to problems of representation; term limitations for members of Congress
- 874. Lack of support for the President; any anti-President comments, negative reference to the PRESIDENT's quality, style, etc. (also see codes 812, 813)
- 875. Impeachment proceeding; mention of the Special Prosecutor; Republicans attempting to get the President out of office/Democrats attempting to keep the President in office (also see codes 812, 813)
- 878. Mention of a specific CANDIDATE or relative of a candidate -- NFS
- 881. New president/administration getting started; other references specific to the President; support for the President; "let him get on with his job"
- 885. PUBLIC APATHY/disinterest--all references
- 886. References to unfair/undeserved/excessive criticism by the media. All media mentions should go here: "The news can't be trusted"
- 887. Extending/protecting EQUAL RIGHTS, basic freedoms, human rights of all citizens
- ** 899. Other specific mention of problems relating to the functioning of government

NON-POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- 995. "There were no issues"; "there were no issues, just party politics"
- 996. "There was no campaign in my district"
- ** 997. Other specific mentions of important problems
- 998. DK
- 999. NA
- 000. Inap, no further mention; no problems

>> PARTY DIFFERENCES MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000

** indicates "other" codes

Responses that refer specifically to the candidates rather than parties should be coded 910. However, if the candidates are referred to as leaders or representatives of the parties, the response should be coded with the appropriate code category.

BROAD PHILOSOPHY

Liberal Responses

- 001. More liberal, progressive--too far left
- * 005. Pro-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of liberal/progressive judges
- * 006. Anti-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of conservative/reactionary judges
- 010. Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo; more open to new ideas; new ways of doing things
- 020. Quick (rash) response to problems; tackle problems quickly; impetuous; impulsive; too aggressive; take more chances; not cautious enough
- 030. More extreme, radical (NFS)
- 040. Socialistic; for welfare state; for social welfare programs; sensitive to social problems; leaves less to (interferes more with) private enterprise
- * 050. Depends (too much) on federal government (rather than state or local government); (too) centralized, paternalism; want Washington to do everything; Pro Big government.
- * 060. Destroy personal initiative/individual responsibility/individual dignity; recognize individual needs
government help; society has obligation to provide safety net
- 070. Future-oriented; plan ahead; look to the future
- 085. Freedom to do as one chooses; less interested in strict control of social behavior; not interested in moral standards
- 086. Not religious; against prayer in school

- ** 090. Other broad philosophy--liberal

Conservative Responses

- 100. More conservative/reactionary; too far right
- 105. Pro-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of conservative/reactionary judges
- 106. Anti-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of liberal/progressive judges
- 110. Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo; traditionalists; resist new ways of doing things; rigid
- 120. Slow (cautious) response to problems; do-nothing; lets things go
- 130. Moderate; middle of road (NFS); less extreme
- 140. For free enterprise capitalism; against socialism (code "help big business" under group references); unaware of social problems; for development of private enterprise; against expansion of government activities into areas of private enterprise

- * 150. For states' rights, local government; less interference from Washington at local level; against powerful federal government; Anti Big government
- * 160. Initiative/responsibility/dignity of individual protected; for self-reliance.
- 170. Not future-oriented; don't plan ahead; don't worry about the future
- 185. Definite moral standards/stands; concern for/control of public morality; upholds/fosters family values
- 186. (Good) Christian; strong religious beliefs; for prayer in school
- ** 190. Other broad philosophy--conservative

BROAD PHILOSOPHY-DIRECTION NA

- * 195. Pro-Party influence on appointments to Supreme Court/ judicial appointment (NA type)
- * 196. Anti-Party influence on appointments to Supreme Court/ judicial appointment (NA type)

GROUP REFERENCES

Party Seen as Good for, Helping, Giving Special Advantage to:

- 200. Everybody; nobody; no catering to special interests, "people" (the majority)
- * 210. Working or little people; the common people, the working class; "average man"
- * 211. Needy; poor; disadvantaged; welfare recipients
- 212. People like me; people like us
- 220. Unions, "labor", labor leaders
- 230. Big business; industry, "business(men)", Wall Street (except small businessman, code 240); agribusiness/large farming businesses
- 231. Rich people; upper classes; wealthy (powerful) people
- 240. Small businessmen
- 250. Middle class people; white collar people
- 260. Farmers
- 270. Blacks
- 272. Hispanics/Chicanos/Latinos
- 273. Asians
- ** 280. Other racial and ethnic groups
- 281. The South, some portion of the south
- 282. The North, some portion of the north
- 283. White people, white people only
- 284. Minorities, minority groups (NA which)
- 285. Old people
- 286. The educated, intellectuals, students
- 287. Families
- 288. Religious conservatives; religious right
- ** 290. Other groups
- * 291. Children
- * 292. Women
- 299. Group differences codeable in 200 or 300 series--NA which

Party Seen as Bad for, Anti, Keeping in Check, Putting in Place

- 300. Divisive (sets class against class, caters to special interests (NA what), plays group politics, not for all the people; (Dems/Reps) only for themselves
- 310. Working or little people; the common people, the working class; "average man"
- * 311. Needy; poor; disadvantaged; welfare recipients
- 312. People like me; people like us
- 320. Unions, "labor", labor leaders
- 330. Big business; industry, "business(men)", Wall Street (except small businessman, code 340); agribusiness/large farming businesses
- 331. Rich people; upper classes; wealthy (powerful) people)
- 340. Small businessmen
- 350. Middle class people; white collar people
- 360. Farmers
- 370. Blacks
- 371. Racist, prejudiced, bigoted
- 372. Hispanics/Chicanos/Latinos
- 373. Asians

- ** 380. Other racial and ethnic groups; "minority groups" other or not specified
- 381. The South, some portion of the south
- 382. The North, some portion of the north
- 383. White people, white people only
- 384. Minorities, minority groups (NA which)
- 385. Old people
- 386. The educated, intellectuals, students
- 387. Families
- 388. Religious conservatives; religious right

- ** 390. Other groups

- * 391. Children
- * 392. Women

DOMESTIC POLICY REFERENCES

Fiscal Policy--Easy Spending Responses

- 400. Spend more freely/high spenders (NFS)
- 401. Spend much relative to what is accomplished; wasteful, not careful with spending
- 402. Spend much relative to money available; spend us deeper in debt; deficit spending
- 403. Spend under special circumstances, such as hard times
- * 404. Bring cheap money; more money circulating; lower interest rates

- ** 405. Other easy spending response

- 406. Raise taxes--NFS; keep taxes high; seek to increase government revenues
- 407. Increase income taxes; will not cut income taxes; rely on increase in/high income tax to provide government revenues

Fiscal Policy--Cautious Spending Responses

- 500. Spend less freely; economy in government (NFS)
- 501. Spend little relative to what is accomplished; less wasteful/more careful with government (taxpayers') money
- 502. Spend little relative to money available; reduce debt, keep debt from getting higher, balanced budget
- 503. Spend little even when special circumstances might warrant
- * 504. For sound money/tight money, deflation; higher interest rates
- ** 505. Other cautious spending response

- 506. Cut taxes--NFS; keep taxes low; seek to decrease government revenues
- 507. Cut income taxes; will not increase income taxes; rely on taxes other than income tax to provide government revenue

- 591. General mention of taxes--neutral or NA direction
- 599. General mention of spending--neutral or NA direction

Association of Party with Good/Positive Domestic Situations

- 411. Responsible promised (NA what); restraint on promises, realistic, doesn't promise too much
- 412. Don't have (too much) government control over the economy; or lets business get more involved/handle problems of poverty/unemployment, etc.
- 413. (Good) government control of the economy, business
- 415. Good for the nation's economy--general positive reference
- 420. Prosperity in nation; good times for all, high national production, avoidance of depression, high employment
- 431. Price inflation held in check; lower cost of living
- 435. Propose/enact fair taxes; believe everyone should be taxed the same/that taxes should be even-handed
- 436. Give tax breaks to the poor/working/middle class people; tax policies favor the lower/middle classes
- 440. Local personal good times economically; head of family gets (keeps) better job (wages) when party is in power, family better off economically under this party (no direct government benefits like social security mentioned)
- 450. Honesty and integrity--characteristics of the party or administration (local or national), other similar characteristics of the party
- 451. One party has more experience, is better, smarter, more united

- 480. (Only) party has a philosophy/program/platform; stands for something

- ** 490. Other positive domestic associations

- 491. General mention of unemployment--neutral or NA direction
- 492. General mention of inflation--neutral or NA direction
- 493. General mention of economic policy/handling of the economy

- 499. A domestic issue difference is cited which could be coded in the 400 or 500 series, but NA which

Association of Party with Bad/Negative Domestic Situations

- 511. Irresponsible promises (NA what); promises too much; unrealistic, pie-in-the sky; can't fulfill promises
- 512. Have (too much) government control over the economy; or does not let business get more involved/handle problems of poverty/unemployment, etc.
- 513. (Poor) government control of the economy

- 515. Bad for the nation's economy, general negative reference
- 520. Hard times, depression in nation, much unemployment, low (over) production
- 531. Create/does not control price inflation; high cost of living in nation
- 535. Propose/enact unfair taxes; show favoritism/give tax breaks to certain groups or types of people
- 536. Give tax breaks to the wealth/corporations; tax policies favor the rich/powerful/upper classes
- 540. Local/personal hard times economically; head of family gets laid off (poorer wages) when party is in power; family worse off economically under this party
- 550. Dishonesty/corruption (nepotism, graft, patronage) of party or administration (local or national); other similar characteristics of the party; Watergate
- 551. One party has less experience/is worse/not as smart; party is not (is less) unified
- 580. Party has no philosophy/programs/platform; doesn't stand for anything

** 590. Other negative domestic association with party

Specific Domestic Policies Favored by Party

- 600. Minimum wage legislation; favors raising minimum wage, or favors raising unemployment compensation
 - 601. Social Security; government pension raises
 - * 602. Financing of campaigns; for campaign finance reform
 - * 607. Immigration policy; for allowing (more) immigrants in
 - * 610. Medical (health) insurance; medical card for aged; socialized medicine; medicare; prescription drug plans
 - * 611. Affirmative action
 - 612. Housing; aid to the homeless
 - 620. Government control of utilities; more attention to conservation; public works; mention of ecology, environment
 - 630. Federal aid to education/school-building; teachers' pay higher
 - 631. Bussing; forced integration
 - 632. Other federal control of education or schools response; school choice plans
 - 634. Gun control
 - 640. Civil rights; insist more strongly on civil rights
 - 641. Law and order--hard line (or NA line); wants a police state; support death penalty (88)
 - 642. Law and order--soft line; oppose death penalty (88)
 - 643. Property rights; open housing
 - 644. Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war
 - 650. Higher tariffs; less free trade
 - 660. "Wet" legislation; anti-prohibition
 - 670. General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
 - 671. Poverty program
 - 672. Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.
 - 680. Farm policy
 - 681. Abortion
 - 682. Women's rights; ERA
 - 683. Legalization of marijuana; (more) lenient drug laws
 - 684. Homosexual/gay rights
 - 691. Democrats support Clinton (against impeachment), although he lied/had affair; anti-Ken Starr
- ** 690. Other specific domestic policy favored
695. Domestic issues difference, but NA which

Specific Domestic Policies--Neutral or NA Direction

- 605. Minimum wage or unemployment compensation
- 606. Social Security; government pension
- * 608. Financing of campaigns; campaign finance reform
- * 609. Immigration policy
- * 615. Medical (health) insurance; medical card for aged; socialized medicine; medicare; prescription drug plans
- 617. Housing; aid to the homeless
- 625. Government control of utilities; conservation; public works; ecology, environment
- 635. Federal aid to education; school choice plans
- 636. Bussing; forced integration
- 637. Other federal control of education or schools response
- 639. Gun control
- 645. Civil rights (legislation)
- 646. Law and order--hard line (or NA line); death penalty (88)
- 647. Law and order--soft line; death penalty (88)
- 648. Property rights; open housing
- 649. Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war
- * 651. Affirmative action
- 655. Higher tariffs; free trade
- 665. Prohibition; "dry"/"wet" legislation
- 675. General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
- 676. Poverty program
- 677. Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.
- 685. Farm policy
- 686. Abortion
- 687. Women's rights; ERA
- 688. Legalization of marijuana; lenient drug laws
- 689. Homosexual/gay rights

Specific Domestic Policies Opposed by Party

- 700. Minimum wage or unemployment compensation; won't raise minimum wage, won't improve unemployment compensation
- 701. Social Security; against raising benefits
- * 702. Financing of campaigns; against campaign finance reform
- * 707. Immigration policy; against letting (more) immigrants in
- * 710. Medical (health) insurance; against medical card for aged; against socialized medicine, medicare; prescription drug plans
- * 711. Affirmative action
- 712. Housing; aid to the homeless
- 720. Government control of utilities; for private power; less interested in conservation; public works; mention of ecology, environment
- 730. Federal aid to education; against or drag feet on aid to education
- 731. Bussing; forced integration
- 732. Other federal control of education or schools response; school choice plans
- 734. Gun control
- 740. Civil rights; against or drag feet on civil rights legislation; leave it to states
- 741. Following a tough or hard line in maintenance of law and order/prevention of crime, etc.; police state; imposing the death penalty (88)
- 742. Following a soft line in maintenance of law and order/prevention of crime, etc.; imposing the death penalty (88)
- 743. Property rights; open housing
- 744. Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war; want to unite the country
- 750. High tariffs; want free trade

- 760. Repeal; want prohibition; "dry"
- 770. General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
- 771. Poverty program
- 772. Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.
- 780. Farm policy
- 781. Abortion
- 782. Women's rights; ERA
- 783. Legalization of marijuana; lenient drug laws
- 784. Homosexual/gay rights
- 791. Republicans are against Clinton (in impeachment) because of lying/having affair; pro-Ken Starr
- ** 790. Other specific domestic policy opposed

FOREIGN POLICY

- 800. War; get us into war (faster); party associated with war; militarist
- 810. Peace; more likely to keep peace; party associated with peace
- 820. Internationalist; more for foreign aid, government activities abroad; cooperate with allies, U.N.; "more for foreign aid/trade"
- 825. Foreign aid/trade, NA direction
- 830. Isolationist; avoid foreign activities; cut foreign aid (military or economic); "cut foreign aid/trade"
- 840. National security; for strong national defense (spending); strong (firm) (too aggressive) posture toward communism (Russia); too much defense spending
- 845. National defense--general, NA or neutral direction
- 850. Inadequate national security; fail to maintain (spend for) defense; weak posture toward communism (Russia)
- 860. Specific trouble spots
- 870. Control of nuclear weapons
- 880. Strong foreign policy
- 881. Weak foreign policy
- 884. Space; space policy
- ** 890. Other foreign policy--other substantive foreign policy mentions (direction of response usually indicated)
- 891. Mention of "foreign policy" difference but no substance or direction given (e.g., usual response is "the two parties or candidates differ on foreign policy, on how they will handle foreign policy")

MISCELLANEOUS AND NO PARTY DIFFERENCES RESPONSES

- 900. Miscellaneous other party differences
- 901. (Only) one party is more successful than the others; wins elections; is (is not) majority party, etc.
- 902. (Only) one party is less successful than the others; doesn't win elections much; is the minority party
- 910. Personality/candidate only mentions--candidate is dangerous, fanatic, aggressive, courageous, honest, untrustworthy, impulsive, outspoken, firm, dishonest, negative, lack of integrity, bad politician, etc. (but code 371 racist, prejudiced, bigoted)
- 920. Reference to probable inability to get things done, e.g., gain congressional support
- 930. Leadership mentions--a good (bad) leader, is head of the party (R must specifically mention the candidate as leader or head of the party), or one party has better leadership than another
- 980. The parties are different; everything about them is different (NA what the differences are)
- 991. There used to be differences, but not now

- 992. Indicate dissatisfaction with the lack of differences
- 993. Favorable to both parties, e.g., both parties are seeking to serve the people
- 994. Indicates that individual candidates are more important than parties anyhow
- 995. Unfavorable to both parties, e.g., both parties are just after money
- 996. On variation within parties
- ** 997. Other comments

- 998. DK (Code in 1st var only)
- 999. NA (Code in 1st var only)

- 000. No party differences ("No" or "DK" and no further comment);no